Florida Department of Education

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Green Springs High School	District Name: Miami-Dade
Principal: Maria Regueiro	Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho
SAC Chair: Cheryl Duncan	Date of School Board Approval: PENDING

Student Achievement Data:

IDENTIFY WHO WILL PARTICIPATE IN ANALYZING DATA IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE STRATEGY AND HOW OFTEN THIS PROCESS WILL TAKE PLACE.

USING FCIM MODEL DETERMINE HOW THE RESULTS WILL BE USED.

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

IDENTIFY WHO WILL PARTICIPATE IN ANALYZING DATA IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE STRATEGY AND HOW OFTEN THIS PROCESS WILL TAKE PLACE.

USING FCIM MODEL DETERMINE HOW THE RESULTS WILL BE USED.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/		
		Certification(s)	Years at	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest		
			Current School	Administrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)		
Principal	Daniel Fernandez	BS in Political Science	2	10	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08		
		MS in Social Science EdS in Educational Leadership Certification in Social Studies 6-12 and Educational Leadership			School Grade NA A A A B AYP NA N Y N N High Standards Rdg. NA 85 80 84 42 High Standards Math NA 84 80 84 76 Learning Gains-Rdg. NA 70 70 73 54 Learning Gains-Math NA 72 77 79 84 Gains-Rdg-25% NA 66 70 76 48 Gains-Math-25% NA 66 73 75 82		
Assistant Principal	Jessica M. King	M.A. Curriculum and Instruction Certification in Educational Leadership B.A. History and History of Art & Architecture B.S. Secondary S. Education Certification in Secondary Social Sciences 6-12	1	2	Previous school did not compile data because it was an alternative educational program and student results were sent to their home schools reports.		

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Specialist	Jennifer Abraham	Social Science 6-12 Reading Endorsement	1	5	School Grade NA <

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)
THIS SECTION HAS BEEN UPDATED BY THE FLDOE.			
PLEASE REFER TO THE NEW SIP TEMPLATE (7/12/12) ON			
THE OSI WEBSITE. THE CHANGE IS TO REPORT "NUMBER			
OF STAFF AND PARAPROFESSIONALS THAT ARE			
TEACHING OUT-OF-FIELD AND/OR WHO ARE NOT			
HIGHLY EFFECTIVE."			

1. Regular Meetings for new teachers with administration.	Principal	Aug., Sept., Oct., Nov., Dec. 2012. Jan., Feb., March, April, May, June, July 2013.
2. Prior to the beginning of school year 2012-2013, personnel not deemed highly qualified will complete a self-evaluation documenting progress towards requirements for becoming highly qualified. Personnel department will provided each individual with a prescription of steps toward becoming highly qualified.	Principal	August 2012
3. Provide support staff services to enhance teacher effectiveness with parent contacts, data analysis, professional devices and incentive awards	Assistant Principal/ SPED Coordinator	October 2011
4. Regular meetings between new teachers and administration	Principal/Assistant Principal	Aug., Sept., Oct., Nov., Dec. 2012. Jan., Feb., March, April, May, June, July 2013.
5. Teachers-Teachers.com	Principal	November 2012

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name THIS SECTION HAS BEEN UPDATED BY THE FLDOE. PLEASE REFER TO THE NEW SIP TEMPLATE (7/12/12) ON THE OSI WEBSITE. THE CHANGE IS TO REPORT "NUMBER OF STAFF AND PARAPROFESSIONALS THAT ARE TEACHING OUT-OF-FIELD AND/OR WHO ARE NOT HIGHLY EFFECTIVE."	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
Cristina Abad	Spanish	Language Arts 9-12	Preparing to take subject area exam
Melissa Dorceant	Mathematics 5-9	Language Arts 9-12	Preparing to take subject area exam
Phillippe Hoffing	Social Science 6-12	Physical Education	Preparing to take subject area exam

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
9	22% (2)	44% (4)	22% (2)	11 % (1)	22%(2)	67% (6)	22 % (2)	0 % (0)	11% (1)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

Cristina Abad	Melissa Dorceant	Ms. Abad is a first year	Classroom observations
		teacher.	and follow-up to address
			best practices in the area
			of classroom
			management.
			Regular meetings to
			discuss individual student
			attendance and overall
			strategies for improving
			student attendance.
			Regular meetings to
			discuss individual student
			academic data,
			performance, and overall
			strategies for improving
			student performance in
			coursework and on
			standardized exams.
			Review instructional best
			practices and application
			activities relating to all
			major areas of standard
			operation and in the
			classroom.

Phillippe Hoffing	Stelios Valtetsiotis	Mr. Hoffing is a first year	Classroom observations
		teacher.	and follow-up to address
			best practices in the area
			of classroom
			management.
			Regular meetings to
			discuss individual student
			attendance and overall
			strategies for improving
			student attendance.
			Regular meetings to
			discuss individual student
			academic data,
			performance, and overall
			strategies for improving
			student performance in
			coursework and on
			standardized exams.
			Review instructional best
			practices and application
			activities relating to all
			major areas of standard
			operation and in the
			classroom.
	1		

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Principal-Daniel Fernandez, Assistant Principal-Jessica M. King, ESE Specialist-Vivian Colmenares, Reading Specialist-Jennifer Abraham, Advisory Teacher- Carey Rappazzo, Career Counselor-Cheryl Duncan, Security Specialist-Jesus Perez, Family Counselor – Maria Torres

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI team will meet on a bi-monthly basis to consider students for recommendation or already recommended; Emergency sessions will be called for urgent interventions needed based upon classroom and/or other school-related events that may impact student achievement, school participation or school operations.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI lead team meets on a consistent basis in order to ensure that the goals and objectives set forth in the School Improvement Plan are being met. Additionally, the RtI team has representatives present at the SAC meetings in order to assist in using the School Wide Florida Continuous Improvement Model to develop and monitor the School Improvement Plan. The team provided the SAC with data on academic areas that need improvement, budgeting hurdles, and helped develop the goals, strategies, and interventions to be implemented during the 2012-2013 school year.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data used will be FAIR, PMRN, Baseline and Interim Assessments, State Math and Science assessments, FCAT, school site specific assessments. Edusoft data from Baseline and Interim Assessments will be used to guide instructional decisions and system procedures which will include allocation of school resources, delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet students' needs, create student growth trajectories in order to implement and deliver intervention.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional Development (PD) will be provided to teachers on Teacher Workdays that have been designated as Professional Development Day(s). Additionally, teachers will be given professional development during common planning time. The RTI team will have an initial PD for all faculties in August and will meet again in November. The team will provide additional PD to staff as deemed appropriate. The ESE teacher will participate in all district sponsored trainings on RtI.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Bi-weekly Leadership Team meetings, held to review strategies used in classes and curriculum based lesson plans.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal-Daniel Fernandez, Assistant Principal-Jessica M. King, Reading Teacher- Jennifer Abraham, English Teacher-Melissa Dorceant, ESE Specialist-Vivian Colmenares, Career Counselor-Cheryl Duncan

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team will meet monthly to review student data and identify the students who are showing mastery and those students who are not meeting the benchmarks. Each student will be discussed individually and intensive intervention plans will be developed accordingly. Based upon available data, the team will identify resources available and professional development needed. The team will articulate with instructional staff on the outcomes of the meetings and continuously keep them abreast of new information.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The goals will be to improve the percentage of students meeting mastery and increase the percentage of students with learning gains, as well as properly serving the needs of the lowest quartile to better prepare them for success on the Reading FCAT. The implementation of Reading Plus offers remediation through intensive reading classes and will allow students who have already achieved mastery to further advance their reading skills after school. In addition, students will see an increase rigor throughout all classes. These initiatives will be implemented with fidelity and will be supplemented by other strategies such as the usage of differentiated strategies across the curriculum, providing modeling and coaching by reading coach and administration for teachers and students and pull-out tutoring.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers in the areas of Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, and English will implement the following Reading strategies: Graphic Organizers, CRISS strategies, and FCAT Reading Task Cards. Implementation of these strategies will be monitored through classroom observation, walkthroughs, APEX Assessments, and course specific Progress Monitoring Logs.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students who enroll in the school will work with the Career Coordinator and teacher/mentor to determine interest and aptitude for post-secondary plans. While some students will purse vocational programs, others will seek employment or enroll in a two or four year college.

An Integrated Math I and II course will also be offered. These courses integrate the primary core math concepts (Algebra, Geometry, Pre-Calculus, and Trigonometry). Additionally, the course addresses how these math concepts relate to each other, other contents, and postsecondary career tracks. A curriculum delivered via FDIC serves to connect math concepts with real world application and provides students with a foundation for financial literacy.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Every student meets one-on-one with a counselor to review their coursework taken, coursework needed to complete for graduation, and coursework recommended for his/her specified possible career choice through the Electronic Personal Education Planner (EPEP) accessed in the FACTS.org website.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Postsecondary Transition

PROVIDE DATA

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Green Springs High School will develop a partnership with other institutions of higher education. This partnership will enable students to begin taking college placement tests to determine the level of remediation needed in preparation for post-secondary studies. Students who perform at a high proficiency level will be encouraged to take Advanced Placement and/or Dual Enrollment classes at post-secondary institutions. There will also provide presentations from professional representatives of post-secondary programs.

All students will participate in the Reading Plus curriculum which provides an opportunity to advance reading skills through the post-secondary level. A series of integrated math courses will be offered as well as higher level curriculum (i.e. pre-calculus) to ensure that students are prepared for college level math. Students will be encouraged to sit for the ACT and SAT college entrance exams as well as the ASVAB. Test Gear through Choices.com will be readily available for preparation for college entrance and placement exams.

Prior to graduating, all students will be required to submit a transition portfolio. This portfolio will include all postsecondary planning activities as described in the response to the previous question. Activities will include a thorough investigation of public postsecondary educational settings to include admissions criteria, application processes, financial aide assistance, etc.

All graduates will have work ready resumes as most will work while in college.

Students will search for scholarships through various websites. Students that graduate with a 3.0 GPA will get \$500 per semester to attend MDC.

GSHS will bring in various institutions to give presentations to help students select a school.

All students take Personal/Social/ Career development courses.

Dual enrollment is provided to students.

School tours are schedule for colleges.

GSHS offers college readiness courses in English and Mathematics.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1 FC + F 2 0	1 - 1	1 - 1	1_ 1	1 - 1	1 - 1	
1a. <mark>FCAT 2.0:</mark>			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring	The area of	Ctudonta will	L	The school leadership	Formative :	
at Achievement	deficiency	Students will		team will meet monthly or		
Level 3 in			Leadership		Interim assessments	
reading.		maps and			Summative:	
		graphic			2013 FCAT 2.0 assessment	
	ration of	organizers			Reading Assessment	
	the FCAT		11	realigned to ensure student success.		
	Reading		the implantation	Success.		
	Test was		of the identified			
			strategies.			
		within a	strategies.			
	01101	single text.				
		Teachers will				
		emphasize				
		identifying				
		words and				
		clue words				
		than signal				
		relationships				
		into				
		classroom				
		instructions				
		to enhance				
		the students'				
	1	levels of				
		literary				
		analysis.				
		Use CRISS				
		strategies.				

the 2012 FCAT Reading 2.0 Test indicate that 12% of students achieved level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 7 percentage points to 19%.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	12 % (22)	19% (34)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	N/A	N/A		N/A	lb.1.		
Reading Goal #1b:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					

	N/A	N/A .					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	Ib.2.	Ib.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	lb.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. <mark>FCAT</mark> 2.0:Students					2a.1.	
scoring at	which showed	enrichment	The Literacy Leadership team along with	team will meet monthly or		
Achievement	growth and	concept maps	be responsible for	as needed to disseminate data and monitor progress	Summative:	
Levels 4 and 5 in reading.	required students to maintain or improve performance as noted on	that allow students to become more familiar with comparing and contrasting and across a variety	the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	to student progress.	2013 FCAT 2.0 assessment Reading Assessment	
FCAT Reading Test, indicate that 4 % of students achieved levels 4 and 5.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				
Our goal for the 2012- 2013 School year is to increase levels 4 and 5 students proficiency by						
	4 % (7)	7 % (13)				

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
		24.3	2a.3	24.3	2a.3	2a.5	
21. El	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:			N/A	N/A			
Students scoring	N/A	N/A	(V/A	(V/A	N/A		
	(V/ Z1	WA			IV/A		
at or above Level							
7 in reading.							
Reading Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
Reading Goal #20.	Level of	Level of					
	Derformance:*	Performance:*					
30.77.4	r criormance.	r criormance.					
N/A							
	N/A	$N\!/\!A$.					
	(V/AI	/V/A .					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Determine Effectiveness			
achievement data,			Responsible for Monitoring	of			
and reference				Strategy			
to "Guiding				0,			
Questions", identify and define							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

	b - 1	h_ 1	b - 1	0 - 1	2- 1	1	
	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of	The area of	Students	The Literacy Leadership	The school leadership	Formative:		
students making	deficiency		team along with	team will meet monthly or			
Learning Gains		analyzing and		as needed d to disseminate			
in reading.	the 2012		be responsible for	data and monitor progress			
in reading.	administration			to student progress.	internii ussessinent		
	of the FCAT	a literary work	implantation of the	Strategies will be	Summative:		
			identified strategies.	realigned to ensure student	2013 FCAT 2.0		
	was reporting	an author's		success.	Reading Assessment		
	category	use of Literary			8		
		Elements					
		trough character					
		development,					
		point of view					
		and plot					
		development.					
D 1: C 1//2	2012 G	20125 (1					
Reading Goal #3a:	Level of	2013Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
The results of the 2012	r criormanec.	r criormanec.					
FCAT Reading Test indicate that of							
students made learning							
gains.							
Our goal for the 2012-							
2013 school year is to							
increase the number							
of students achieving							
learning gains by							
percentage points to							
	68% (114944)	73% (123396)					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		I					
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
		[[

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	N/A	N/A	3b.1 N/A.	N/A	3b.1. N/A		
Reading Goal #3b:	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
						3b.2. 3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a <mark>. FCAT</mark>	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	
	+a.1.	1 a.1.	Ha.1.	Ha.1.	+a.1.	
2.0:Percentage	As noted,	Students	The Literacy Leadership	The school leadership	Formative:	
of students in	on the	will practice	team along with	team will meet monthly or	Student work	
Lowest 25%	administration	analyzing and	administrators will	as needed d to disseminate	Interim assessment	
making learning	of the 2012	developing an		data and monitor progress		
going in roading	FCAT	interpretation of	the monitoring of the		Summative:	
	Reading Test,		implantation of the		2013 FCAT 2.0	
	the number of students	by describing an author's	identified strategies.	realigned to ensure student	Reading Assessment	
		use of Literary		success.		
		Elements				
	gains changed	trough character				
	by	development,				
	percentage	point of view				
		and plot				
		development.				
	category					
	#3Literary Analysis.					
	Allalysis.					
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current	2013Expected				
		Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
FCAT Reading Test						
indicate that of						
students made learning gains.						
Our goal for the 2012-						
2013 school year is to						
increase the number						
of students achieving						
lowest 25% by						
percentage points to						
	70% (29630)	75% (31747)				
	, 0,0 (2,70,50)	13/0 (31/7/)				

		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate Assessment:							
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
of students in	(V/ Z1	(V/A	(V/21	(V/AI			
Lowest 25%							
making learning							
gains in reading.							
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
N/A	Level of Performance [*]	Level of Performance:*					
IV/A							
	N/A	N/A					
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		41. 2	41. 2	41. 2	41.2	4.0	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable	LETE WHEN AVAILA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reading Goal #5A:							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
	White:	рв.1.	DB.1.	ов.1.	Formative:	
pungroups	Black:				Student work	
by ethnicity	Hispanic:				Interim assessment	
(White, Black,	Asian:					
Hispanic, Asian,	American				Summative:	
American Indian)	Indian:				2013 FCAT 2.0	
not making					Reading Assessment	
satisfactory						
progress in						
reading.						
UPDATE AS						
NEEDED						
AFTER						
THE STATE						
RELEASES						
SUBGROUP						
INFORMATIO						
N						
1 N						
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected				
#5B:	Level of	Level of Performance:*				
		Performance: *				
The results of the 2012						
FCAT Reading Test indicate that of						
students made learning						
gains.						
Our goal for the 2012-						
2013 school year is to						
increase the number						
of students achieving						
lowest 25% by percentage points to						
percentage points to						

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
1	data for	data for					
1	current level of	expected level of					
1	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
	White: NA	White: NA					
	Wille. NA	WIIILE. NA					
		Black: NA					
	Hispanic: 8	Hispanic: 17 (25)					
	(12)	Asian: NA					
		American Indian:					
	ASIAII. INA	American mulan.					
		NA					
	Indian: NA						
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		DB.2.	55.2.	J. D. 2.	25.2.	0.5.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
1							
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool		
		Strategy			Evaluation 1001		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Determine Effectiveness			
achievement data,			Monitoring	of			
and reference				Strategy			
to "Guiding				Strategy			
to Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language							
Learners (ELL)							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		zorsexpected					
#5C:	<u>Level of</u>	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
1							
1							

	data for current level of performance in this box.					5C.2. 5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

						i	
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.	5D 4	5D 4	50.0	50.0	
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		50.5.	эD.3.	DD.3.	DD.3.	50.3.	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Determine Effectiveness			
achievement data,			Monitoring	of			
and reference				Strategy			
to "Guiding Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
D 1: C 1	2012 Current	2012Evm4- 4					
reducting Cour	Level of	2013Expected Level of					
#5E:	Performance*	Performance:*					
I	criormanec.	r criormanec.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							

data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
My Reading Coach	9 – 12 Reading	Reading Plus Trainer	All Staff	September 2012	Weekly review of performance data	Reading Teacher
Reading Plus 4.0	9-12 Reading	Reading Plus Trainer	All Staff	August 2012	Weekly review of performance data	Reading Teacher
CRISS Training	9 – 12	District CRISS Trainer	All Staff	October 2012	Authentic Student Work	Literacy Leadership Team
Common Core Training	9 – 12	District Trainer	All Staff	August 13-17, 2012	Follow up with LLT	Literacy Leadership Team

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Technology based curriculum	School Based Budget	\$1,000.00	
Technology based curriculum	District Funds	0	
Technology based curriculum	Schools Funds	\$10,000.00	
CELLA Assessment	Schools Funds	\$10,000.00	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To upgrade existing software	Corporate office	\$500.00	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
APEX curriculum training	Corporate Office	\$ 500.00	
NWEA training	Corporate Office	\$ 500.00	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Technology based curriculum Technology based curriculum Technology based curriculum CELLA Assessment Description of Resources To upgrade existing software Description of Resources APEX curriculum training NWEA training	Technology based curriculum Technology based curriculum Technology based curriculum Schools Funds CELLA Assessment Schools Funds CELLA Assessment Description of Resources To upgrade existing software Corporate office Description of Resources Funding Source Corporate Office NWEA training Corporate Office	Technology based curriculum Technology based curriculum District Funds Technology based curriculum Schools Funds S

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013School I	nprovement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	In CELLA testing, one of the test areas that noted an area of deficiency is in Listening Comprehension.	with opportunities to use language experience approaches to help them produce language in response to multisensory experiences such as	1.1. The Literacy Leadership team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	will meet monthly	1.1. Formative: Student work Summative 2013 CELLA	
CELLA Goal #1: The results of the 2012 CELLA Test, indicate that 31 % of students achieved the levels required. Our goal for the 2012-2013 School year is to reduce the number of non proficient students.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 31 % (14)	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
nroficient in Reading	2.1. In CELLA testing, one of the test areas that noted an area of deficiency is in Reading Comprehension. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:	meaning for students. Provide a variety of instructional strategies that will help students practice make story	2.1. The Literacy Leadership team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	2.1. The school leadership team will meet monthly or as needed d to disseminate data and	2.1. Formative: Student work Summative 2013 CELLA	
School year is to reduce the number of students by 10%.	9 % (4)					

		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
P. 0.1000 (1.1011.g)	2.1. In CELLA testing, one of the test areas that noted an area of deficiency is Writing Paragraphs.	2.1. Students will understand the use of rubrics and the importance of how criteria is needed to evaluate a written product, practice spelling strategies that help students focus on writing conventions, and use different prompts using the steps in the writing process to convey information effectively.	2.1. The Literacy Leadership team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	Analyze student work samples and provide corrective feedback on selected assignments to determine student mastery and make adjustments when necessary.	2.1. Formative: Student work Summative 2013 CELLA	
CELLA Goal #3: The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test, indicate that 12 % of students achieved the levels required . Our goal for the 2012-2013 School year is to increase CELLA students proficiency by percentage point to	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	12 % (5)					

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CELLA Administration	CELLA Assessment	District Funds	N/A
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Computer Station	On Line CELLA Assessment	District Funds	N/A
C. La.d.L.			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Testing Administration	District Training	District Funds	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Total: N/A		
I Utal. IV/A		

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a.FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level							
3 in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
<u>#1a:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	renormance.	remormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of performance in this					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	inis box.		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
			14.5.		14.5.	14.3.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	lb.3.	1b.3.		1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		

#20:	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
#2h:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					

			2b2.			2b.2. 2b.3	
				Process Used to Determine			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					

	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3a.2.		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:* Enter numerical	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		00.2.	υυ.Σ.	ρυ.2.	ρυ.2.	ρυ.2.	

	1	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
		00.3.	00.3.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
1							
Dd	A 4: - 1	Cturet	D D ''	December 11-1 Control	England' T 1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
4a.FCAT	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
2.0:Percentage of							
2.0.1 creentage of							
students in Lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#4a:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		Tu.2.	Tu.2.	Tu.2.	Tu.2.	Tu.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
		[a.J	та.э.	na.J.	Ta.J.	na.J.	
	l					ļ.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.		5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

#5C:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
				5C.3.		5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this					
		<i>box.</i> 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Ctt	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not							
making satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5E:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

ematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1a.1.	I a. I .	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	la.2.	la.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
#1b.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						lb.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.		2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2Б.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
gou in inis vox.							
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	262.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
#3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
			3b.2. 3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.2. 3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
						4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							

2012 Current	2013Evpected					
Level of	Level of					
Performance:*	Performance:*					
data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	50.0	50.0		50.0		
	DC.2.	DC.2.	DU.2.	DC.2.	DU.2.	
	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Anticipated Barrier	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. SC.2. SC.3. Anticipated Barrier Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. SC.2.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.3. SC.3. Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2. Anticipated Barrier Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.3. 5C.3. 5C.3. 5C.3. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2. 5C.2.	Enter numerical total for experted level of performance.*

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.		5D.2.			5D.2.	
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5E:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		·					
ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	i	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.		1.5.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.	ĺ						
	ĺ						
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Zivier ivariative jor vive	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.	2.2		<u></u>	h 2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Stratagy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to	2		Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",				0,			
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
						3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4 b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
goal in this box.	Level of: Performance:*					
data for	cal Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in thi box.		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
	4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	<u> </u>			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Algebra EOC Goa	ls Problem-					
	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achieveme					
	nt					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Algebra.	knowledge in Algebra I EOC is reporting category – functions, linear equations & inequalities.	with training in developing meaning through mathematical problem solving.	the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	Bi-weekly review of monthly journal entries emphasizing how students utilize a variety of problem solving strategies. Review formative assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed. Analyze student work samples and provide corrective feedback on selected assignments to observe student mastery	1.1. Formative: Student work Interim assessment Summative: Algebra 1 (EOC)		
Algebra Goal #1: The results of 2012 Algebra 1 (EOC) Test indicates that 36% of students achieved level 3. The district's goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 3 percentage\; points to 39 % on the Algebra 1 (EOC)		2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

		1. 2	li a	1 2	1 2	1 2	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
group:		h .	h .	h .	la i		
2. Students scoring at or		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels	The lowest scores	Assign student to	The RtI Leadership team	Ongoing classroom assessments	Formative:		
4 and 5 in Algebra.	in the body of				Student work		
	knowledge in	teams and require that	will be responsible for	identify in grade level	Interim assessment		
	Algebra I EOC	students explain to	the monitoring of the	texts.			
			implantation of the		Summative:		
	category –		identified strategies.		Algebra 1 EOC		
		process used to arrive at a solution.					
	inequalities.	at a solution.					
	inequalities.	Help students					
		understand how to					
		solve open-ended					
		and non-routine real					
		world problems use					
		math concepts and activities that draw					
		upon knowledge from					
		other content area.					
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level					
riigeora Goar #2.	Level of	of Performance:*					
The results of 2012 Algebra 1	Performance:*						
(EOC) Test indicates that 21% of							
students achieved level 4 and 5.							
The district's goal for the 2012-							
2013 school year is to increase level 4 and 5 student proficiency							
by 1 percentage ;points to 22% on							
the Algebra 1 (EOC)							
	21% (5366)	22% (5723)					
	ĺ	l ' ´					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
		د.ع	L	۷.5	4	4	
	•	-	•	•	•	•	•

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their	Baseline data 2010-2011 COMPLE TE WHEN AVAILAB LE						
Algebra Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2D Student subgroups	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:	DD.1.	DD.1.	DB.1.	5B.1.		
by elimicity (white, black,	Black:						
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:						
Indian) not making	Asian:						
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:						
Algebra.							
UPDATE AS							
NEEDED AFTER							
THE STATE							
RELEASES							
SUBGROUP							
INFORMATION							
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this	White:					
	box.	Black:					
	White:	Hispanic:					
	Black:	Asian:					
	Hispanic: Asian:	American Indian:					
	Asian: American Indian:						
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		27. 2	an a	27.2	an a		
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
	•						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Algebra Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.						
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress inAlgebra.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	for expected level of performance in this box.	20.0	AT 0		25.0	
						3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Student Achieveme nt Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	scores in the Body of Knowledge in Geometry EOC is Reporting Category - Trigonometry and Discrete Mathematics.	teachers with additional professional development to help them aid the students construct viable arguments and critique the		I.1. Bi-weekly review of monthly journal entries emphasizing how students utilize a variety of problem solving strategies. Review formative assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed. Analyze student work samples and provide corrective feedback on selected assignments to observe student mastery.	1.1. Formative: Student work Interim assessment Summative: Geometry (EOC)	
Geometry Goal #1: The results of 2012 Geometry (EOC) Test indicates that 41 % of students achieved level 3. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 41%; to 44 % on the Geometry (EOC)		2013Expected Level of Performance:*				

	41 % (9)	44 % (10)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	The lowest scores in the Body of Knowledge	Assign students to cooperative learning teams and require that	The RtI Leadership team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the	Bi-weekly review of monthly journal entries emphasizing how students utilize a variety	2.1. Formative: Student work Interim assessment Summative:		
	EOC is Reporting Category - Trigonometry and Discrete Mathematics.	to their peers in verbal and written form the process used to arrive at a solution.	identified strategies.	strategies. Review formative assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed.	Geometry (EOC)		
		Help students understand how to solve open-ended and non-routine real world problems. These problems use math concepts		Analyze student work samples and provide corrective feedback on selected assignments to observe student mastery.			
		and activities that draw upon knowledge from other content area.					

Geometry Goal #2: The results of 2012 Geometry (EOC) Test indicates that 9 % of students achieved level 4 and 5 Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 4 and 5 student proficiency by 2 percentage; points to 11% on the Geometry (EOC).	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	9 % (2)	11% (2). 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives	2010-2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their	COMPLE						
achievement gap by 50%.							
	<mark>AVAILAB</mark> LE						

Geometry Goal #3A:	1	i		ı		
Geometry Goar #3A.						
Enter narrative for the goal in this	5					
box.						
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy		
identify and define areas in						
need of improvement for the						
following subgroup:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
3B. Student subgroups	White:	DD.1.	ט.ו.	р <u>р.1.</u>	DD.1.	
by ethnicity (White, Black,	White: Black:					
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:					
Indian) not making	Asian:					
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:					
Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level		i		
	Level of	of Performance:*		l		
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	UPDATE AS		l		
box.		NEEDED				
				l		
		AFTER				
		THE STATE				
		RELEASES		l		
		SUBGROUP				
				l		
		<u>INFORMATI</u>		l		
		ON				

	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		BC.2.	BC.2.	BC.2.	BC.2.	BC.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in							
need of improvement for the following subgroup:							
	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
0 = 1 /0 111 111111111111111111111111111	DD.1.	D.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	l	
Disabilities (SWD) not						l	
making satisfactory						l	
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Stometry Sourness.	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.	_						
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of performance in this box.				l	
	current level of performance in this					l	
	box.					l	
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
						l	
						l	
		hD 4	2D 2	an a	1D 2	hp a	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
						l	
				l	l		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3E.2 3E.3			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

viathematics i i o	velopment		
Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Training	9-12	District	Mathematics Teachers	August 13-17, 2012	Common Core Planning	Principal Assistant Principal Math Teacher
Effective use of schools enrichment programs	M_1 /	Assistant Principal	Mathematics Teachers	Eenchary 7013		Principal Assistant Principal

Mathematics Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Mathematics Duuget(misert rows	as necuca)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
APEX technology based curriculum	Technology based curriculum	Corporate Funds	\$ 1,000.00
ASCEND	Technology based	Corporate Funds	\$ 1,600.00

Subtotal: \$2,600.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Computer license upgrades	Upgrade to existing software	Corporate Funds	\$ 500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Using differentiated instruction in virtual setting	APEX curriculum	Corporate Funds	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$				
Total: \$ 3,600.00				

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and	Problem-			

Middle Science	Solving						
Goals	Process to						
Goals							
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students	1a.1.	1a.1.	la.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.							
Level 3 in science.							
Science Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enton married	Entan marris I					
	data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in this	expected level of performance in this					
	box.	box.					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			16.1.	16.1.	16.1.		
Science Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1b.2.	Īb.2.	1b.2.	lb.2.	Ib.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	Ib.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0:Students	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
scoring at or above				[
scoring at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and							
5 in science.							
Sajanaa Caal #2a	2012 Current	2013Expected					
Science Goal #2a:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current tevet of performance in this	expected level of performance in this					
	box.	box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	2.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
	l						

	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
box.							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

				1 (8)	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
High School Science	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achieveme					
	nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	evel of erformance:* inter numerical ata for urrent level of erformance in this ox.	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						2.2.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

UPDATE THIS SECTION

REFER TO APPENDIX X

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			

	Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Biology.	deficiency as noted on the 2012 administra tion of the Biology EOC is Reporting Category - Molecular and Cellular Biology.	activities that allow	along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the	will review student work folders for evidence of the use of inquiry based	I.1. Formative: Student work Interim assessment Summative: Biology (EOC)	

Biology Goal #1: The results of 2012 Biology (EOC) Test indicates that 30 % of students achieved level 3. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 2 percentage points to 32% on the Biology (EOC)	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	5% (7646)	4% (8397)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology.	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administra tion of the Biology EOC is Reporting Category-Molecular and Cellular Biology.	learning opportunities for students to evaluate scientific explanations and investigations . Students will practice making inferences using critical thinking skills to guide their scientific explorations.	along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	science teachers will review student work folders for evidence of processes used for	Formative: Student work Interim assessment Summative: Biology (EOC)		
Biology Goal #2: The results of 2012 Biology (EOC) Test indicates that 29 % of students achieved level 4 and 5. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 4 and 5 student proficiency by 2 percentage points to 30% on the Biology (EOC)		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	5% (7486)	4% (7808)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

_							
Г		2	n 2	n 2	2 2	2 2	
- 1		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
- 1							
- 1							

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core State Standards	9-12	District	Biology Teacher		orage level planning sessions,	Principal Assistant Principal Biology Teacher
Differentiated Instruction	9-12	Reading Teacher	School Wide	September, 26, 2012 January 2013 February 2013		Principal Assistant Principal

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

belefice budget(mbert rows as need	icu)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
APEX technology based curriculum	Technology based curriculum	School Based Funds	\$ 1,000.00
Discovery Education	Technology based curriculum	District Funds	0
GIZMO's	Technology based curriculum	District Funds	0

Subtotal: \$1,000.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Computer license upgrades	Upgrade to existing software	School Based Funds	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Using differentiated instruction in virtual setting	APEX curriculum	School Based Funds	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$				
Total: \$2,000.00				

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.	performance on	Consistently utilize rubrics to increase the quality of students writing in their LA courses.	1A.1. The Literacy Leadership team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implantation of the identified strategies.	1A.1. Review student assessment data reports and student work folders to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed	1A.1. Monthly Assessments 2013 FCAT Writing Test		
Writing Goal #1a: The results of -2012 Writing FCAT TEST indicates that 65 % of students achieved level 3. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 3 percentage; points to 68% on the FCAT WRITING TEST	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		The area that reflected the	IA.2. Incorporate and monitor the peer editing revision process.		work folders to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed	IA.2. Monthly Assessments 2013 FCAT Writing Test	
		1a.5.	1a.5.	1a.5.	118.5.	18.5.	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1.	1b.1.	lb.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Six traits to writing	9-12	Facilitator	Language Arts Teachers Reading Teacher	August 13-17, 2012	Small Group Instruction	Language Arts Teachers
Four Square Writing	9-12	Language Arts Teachers	School Wide	August 13-17, 2012	MTSS Team	Language Arts Teachers

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
APEX curriculum	Technology based curriculum for students	School Based Funds	\$1,000.00
Writing Boot camp	School wide writing plan	School Funds	\$500.00
Subtotal: \$1,500.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Computer license upgrades	Upgrade to existing software	School Based Funds	\$500.00
Subtotal: \$500.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Ensuring a successful implementation of school wide writing plan	School wide plan	School Funds	\$200.00
Subtotal:\$200.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total: \$ 2,200.00		

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

				1 (0)	. / /	
Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Civics Goal #1: Enter numerical Evel of Enter numerical data and the Enter numerical data and the evel of experiments of the goal in this expected level of experiments of this box. Enter numerical data and the experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of this box. The enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group: 2. Strategy Enter numerical data and experiments of the following group grou	T		landari da			·		
Enter numerical data for surrent level of oxogenomance in this bax. Enter numerical data for surrent level of oxogenomance in this bax. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.3. 1	Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level					
Enter numerical lata for expected level of barformance in this bax. berformance in this bax. bax. bax. bax. bax. bax. bax. bax.	1		of Performance:*					
Enter numerical data for surveys level of base formance in this base. Surfamente in this base formance in this base. Enter numerical data for expected level of base formance in this base. Surfamente in this base. Surfame	Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2	box.							
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2								
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2								
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2								
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2								
that for current levels of oreformance in this box. 1.2								
Evices Goal #2: Enter narrarive for the goal in this box. 1.2.								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 1.2. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. Preson or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2.1.			for expected level of					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance.** 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2		current level of	perjormance in this box.					
1.2. 1.3. 1.3.	1							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 1.3. I.3. I.3. I.3. I.3. I.3. I.3. I.3.		///·	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2.1.			1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	1.2.	1.4.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2.1.								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2.1.				1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Responsible for Monitoring Responsible for Monitoring Strategy 2.1.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Responsible for Monitoring Responsible for Monitoring Strategy 2.1.								
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1.	Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position		Evaluation Tool		
and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance.* 2013 Expected Level of Performance.*	achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for				
and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance.* 2012 Current Level of Performance.*	to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	and define areas in need of							
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1.								
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1		
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2. Students scoring at or		Γ					
Civics Goal #2: Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*								
Civics Goal #2: Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	4 and 5 in Civics.							
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*								
Level of of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*	Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level					
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*			of Performance:*					
box.	Enter narrative for the goal in this							
	hov							
	$UU\lambda$.							

data for current	or for	nter numerical data r expected level of rformance in this box.					
	2.2	2. 2	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget(Insert rows as needed)

_			
	Include only school-based funded		
	activities/materials and exclude district		
	funded activities /materials.		
	Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
to "Guiding Questions", identify	Баглег		Monitoring	Strategy		
and define areas in need of improvement for the following						
group:				l		
	1.1.	1.1.				
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	need additional exposure to instru ctional strategies and activities that are linked to increased rigor through inquiry- based in US History content area.	problem solving and inquiry-based learning; Emphasizes research-based activities on various security issues impacting the world community; Provides opportunities for students to write to	MTSS Team/Rt1 Team	review students work folders for evidence of the use of inquiry based learning activities	assessment. Summative 2013 - EOC US History Evaluation	
		inform and to persuade;				
		and Provides an opportunity for students to participate in simulation activities related to national security.				

U.S. History Goal #1: Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student proficiency in US History.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Pending	Pending					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
U.S. History Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					

Pending	Pending					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

U.S. History Professional Development

U.S. History Prof	essional De	velopment				
Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through	l					
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core State Standards	9-12	District	US History Teacher	August 13-17, 2012	Grade level planning sessions,	Principal Assistant Principal
					classroom walkthroughs	US History Teacher
Differentiated Instruction	9-12	Reading Teacher	School Wide	September 26, 2012 January 2013 February 2013	Small Group Activities	Principal Assistant Principal

U.S. History Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Technology based curriculum for students	Corporate Funds	\$1,000.00	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Upgrade to existing software	Corporate Funds	\$ 500.00	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Technology based curriculum for students Description of Resources Upgrade to existing software	Technology based curriculum for students Corporate Funds Description of Resources Upgrade to existing software Corporate Funds Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source	Technology based curriculum for students Corporate Funds \$1,000.00 Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Upgrade to existing software Corporate Funds \$500.00 Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Amount

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

8 1	Problem-	•	,	 7	
Attendance	solving Process to				
Goal(s)	Increase				
	Attendance				

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	had limited knowledge of the expectations and regulations associated to student attendance and tardies.	are developing a pattern of non- attendance to MTSS / RTI Team for intervention.	working at North Park High School will play an active role in monitoring student attendance.	Compare District Averages Teachers will check attendance bulletin for accuracy on a daily bases and make correction as needed. Use attendance reports from STARS to identify habitual non-attendees. Attempt contacts as needed.	Student Tardy Logs, Attendance Sign-In sheets, STARS and ISIS	
Attendance Goal #1: The goal for 2012 – 2013 school year is to increase students attendance by 3 percentage points from 79.89 % to 82.89 % by minimizing absences due to illness and transportation.	Attendance Rate·*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	79.89 % (484)	82.89 % (502)				
	Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	1 7 / U	<i>†J</i> 4				

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
177	168					
	of student population have entered school with an extensive history of excessive absences and	students who are developing a pattern of non-attendance to MTSS / RTI Team for intervention.	student attendance.	Compare District		
	Incentives for good student attendance was limited		1.3. Administrative Team	Monitor generated reports by grade	1.3. Student Tardy Logs, Attendance Sign-In sheets, STARS and ISIS	

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Truancy Prevention	9 – 12	District Trainer	Attendance Staff	August 6-17, 2012	the Principal will monitor the implantation of the program.	Principal Assistant Principal
School Attendance Procedures	9-12	Principal	School wide	Weekly	Monitoring reports from STARS and Attendance contracts	Principal

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
STARS	Computer-based software	Corporate Funds	\$1,500.00
Subtotal: \$1,500.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
STARS training	Training on truancy interventions	Corporate Funds	\$1,500.00	
Subtotal: \$ 1,500.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$				
Total: \$3,000.00				

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension 1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
A hig of stude attend Greet High are class aton probehave at the school result discipantion been in hele stude chang which result unsuce attem to grathe tradities school This challe for G Sprin in dea	gh number ents who and en Springs an School classified derivious avioral issues eir home and lt, traditional iplinary on has not an effective eliping these ents to age behavior ch has alted in accessful mpts raduate from actional high tools. I leaves lenges Green angs staff ealing with iplinary Parents will participate in workshops dealing with a range of topics such as appropriate behavior, signs of mental health issues in their children, resources available for counseling, and developing communication skills. Staff/ Administration will provide progres reports to parents, twice monthly indicate studen progress in curriculum and behavior at school. Staff/ Administration Staff/	Staff Leadership Team Family Coordinator Local resources/ community agencies	Referral numbers	The evaluation tool of monthly suspension report.	

		needed.					
C . C 1 //1	2012Total Number	2013 Expected					
Suspension Goal #1:	of In –School	Number of					
	Suspensions	In- School					
Or goal for the 2012		Suspensions					
School year is to							
decreased out of							
school suspensions							
by 9 % from 469 to							
422, and the number							
of suspended out of							
or suspended out of							
school from 97 to							
87.							
	469	422					
	2012Total Number of						
	Students Suspended	Number of Students					
	In-School	Suspended In -School					
	186	167					
	2012Number of	2013 Expected					
	Out-of-School	Number of					
	<u>Suspensions</u>	Out-of-School					
	156	Suspensions 140					
	130	<i>170</i>					
	2012Total Number of	2013 Expected					
	Students Suspended	Number of Students					
	Out- of- School	Suspended					
		Out- of-School					
	97	87					
		1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		1.2. Parents were		1.2. Administrators	1.2. Monitor parent	1.2. STARS Contact Logs	
			parents and advise	Aummistrators	contact logs on	STAKS CONTact Logs	
			them of alternate		STARS and sign-in		
		Conduct.	consequences and		sheets.		
			provide information on				
		I	interventions strategies				
			being implemented.				
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total	dispension 1 Totessional Development									
Professional										
Development										
(PD) aligned with										
Strategies through										
Professional										
Learning										
Community (PLC)										
or PD Activity										
Please note that each Strategy does not require a										
professional development or										
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic				Target Dates and Schedules						
and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Student Code of					Monitor the enforcement of student					
Conduct	9-12	Principal	School wide	August 13, 2012		Principal				
		F			by teachers through classroom walkthroughs	Assistant Principal				
School wide	9 -12	Principal	School wide	August 24, 2012	Monitor Behavior Logs	Principal Assistant Principal				
expectations Staff Workshop how to										
communicate with at		Principal	School wide	August 14, 2012	School wide climate survey	Principal				
risk students		1 "				Assistant Principal				
Handle with Care	9 – 12	Security Guard	School wide	August 17, 2012	School wide incident reports	Principal Assistant Principal				

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded	needed)		
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		+	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Waterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Power point presentation	Projector	School Funds	0
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Handle with Care	Diffusing mechanism	School Funds	\$2,300.00
Subtotal: \$2,300.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$2,300.00			
F 1 CC . C 1		I	I

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	parents whose children are classified as at- risk, who have dropped out of school and are returning to school to complete their graduation requirements.	programs.		1.1. Monitor Enrollment Log tracking at-risk students registering for alternative programs	1.1. Enrollment logs	
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school is to decrease the dropout rate by percentage points and to increase the graduation rate by 2 percentage points.		2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				

NA.	NA					
2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
71.3 % (18954)	73.3% (19559)					
		meetings to inform parents of the graduation requirements and the available resources. Discuss graduation requirements to ensure student receive the proper support.		Monitor parent sign-in Roster and contact parents that did not attend.	1.2. Sign-In Roster/ Parent-Contact Log	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

	Dropout	Family Support Specialist	School-Wide	iviaren 2013 - I	Monthly team feedback reports of student needs	Principal Family Support Specialist

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
School Connect	School wide curriculum	Corporate Funds	\$1,500.00	
Subtotal:\$ 1,500.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
FDIC	Computer Based curriculum	N/A	N/A	
Bridges	Computer Based curriculum	Corporate Funds	\$ 1,200.00	
Subtotal: \$1,200.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Identifying obstacles to student success	Copies of materials	School Funds	\$ 200.00	
Subtotal: \$200.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Total: \$2,900.00	

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	Working with a population of parents whose children are classified as at-risk, who have	parents to attend PTA/parent group programs or workshops through phone, email, and flyers.	School Administration, Counselor, Enrollment Specialist, and teachers	I.1. Review sign in sheets/ to determine the number of parents participating in PTA/parent group programs or workshops	1.1. Sign in sheets Enrollment Specialist	
2011-12 sign-in logs demonstrated 20% parental participation in our quarterly ESSAC meetings. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase parental participation by 3 percentage points to 23%. in school-wide activities	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*				

	20%	23%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
ſ		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strategies for Engaging Parent Participation.	9-12	Principal Assistant Principal Career Coach	School- wide		Monitor parent logs during school events.	Principal Assistant Principals Career Coach

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	School Funds	Amount
Bullying Parent Workshop	Classroom	School Funds	\$100.00
Suicide Prevention Parent Workshop	Classroom	School Funds	\$100.00
Student Progress Monitoring Parent Workshop	Classroom	School Funds	\$100.00
Subtotal: \$300.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Use of Computer stations to view stats.	Classroom Computer	School Funds	0
Use of Computer stations to view stats.	Classroom Computer	School Funds	0
Use of Computer stations to obtain student monitoring resources available to parents.	Classroom Computer	School Funds	0
Subtotal: 0			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Strategies for Engaging Parent Participation.	APEX Student Summary Reports Copies of training materials	Corporate Funds School Funds	\$1000.00 \$100.00
Subtotal: \$1,100.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$1,400.00			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: The goal for 2012 – 2013 school year is to increase student scheduling in Advance Placement and Honor courses by 3 percentage points from 1% to 4%.	Approximately 80 percent of students are level 1 and 2 requiring remediation courses on their schedules.	courses.	The MTSS team along with administrators will be responsible for the monitoring of the implementation of the identified strategies.	with the science, technology, engineering and mathematics teachers will review student work folders for evidence of the use of inquiry based learning activities.	based assessment.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Ensuring student success on a high level curriculum.	IU_17	Assistant Principal	School-Wide		Student progress will be monitored	Principal Assistant Principal MTSS Team

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			?	
APEX Training				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:?				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	######################################	 	()).	
CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving			
	Process to			

	Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Our 2011-12 data indicates that 9% of our students participated in Career and Technical Education. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student participation by 3 percentage points to 12% participation.	Partners are small privately owned businesses. It has been	Provide CT students the opportunity to participate in on the job training courses and increase our Dade partnerships.			2013 CTE students participation in executive internship.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

 sitive behavior in e workplace.	9-12	Career Coach	IA II Teachers	September 17, 2012 March 2013	Monitor data to identify student mastery and understanding of CTE content, classroom walkthroughs and student interviews.	Assistant Principal Career Coach

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Every Friday students will participate in our career readiness curriculum.	School Connect/Copies	School Funds	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
FDIC	Web-Based Curriculum	N/A	0	
Bridges	Web-Based Curriculum	School Funds	\$1, 200.00	
Subtotal: \$1,200.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Career Readiness Professional Development	FDIC Bridges School Connect	School Funds	\$2,900.00	

Subtotal: \$2,900.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 0			
Total: \$4,600.00			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
A 11'0' 1 C 1 //1	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current	data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

That Bugge (meet tows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$22,500.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$ 3,600.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$2,000.00
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$2,200.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total: \$ 3,000.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total: \$2,300.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total: \$ 2,900.00

Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$1,400.00
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: \$39,900.00

eva

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		
Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

\Box Yes \Box No	o o
If No, describe the measures	s being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the activities of the	e SAC for the upcoming school year.
COMPLETE	
ALIGN THIS BUDGET TO S	SUBJECT AREA BUDGETS
\$5 PER FTE	
W TEICHTE	
Reviewing school wide data, ar	and assisting with the school improvement plan.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Implementation of school wide enrichment programs	\$1,750.00