FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012-2013

2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

| School Name: PACE Center for Girls | District Name: Lee |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Principal: Alice Brunner | Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Burke |
| SAC Chair: Anissa Brathwaite | Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012 |

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

Administrators

List your school's on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ | Number of | Number of Years | Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| | | Certification(s) | Years at | as an | learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the |
| | | | Current School | Administrator | associated school year. |
| Principal | Alice Brunner | Doctorate Degree in | 3 Years | 21 Years | The 2011-2012 BASI assessment data used for the School |
| | | Educational Leadership | | | Improvement Plan indicated that 42% of students made learning gains |
| | | | | | in reading and 47% of students made learning gains in math. |
| Lead | Anissa Brathwaite | Masters Degree in Public | 2 Years and 8 | 6 Years | The 2011-2012 BASI assessment data used for the School |
| Educator | | Administration- | months | | Improvement Plan indicated that 42% of students made learning gains |
| | | Certification in Social | | | in reading and 47% of students made learning gains in math. |
| | | Sciences 6-12 | | | |

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

| Subject | Name | Degree(s)/ | Number of | Number of Years as | Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment |
|---------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| Area | | Certification(s) | Years at | an | data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress |
| | | | Current School | Instructional Coach | along with the associated school year. |
| Reading | Anne Berchtold | Reading Endorsement | 5 | 11 | The 2011-2012 BASI assessment data used for the School |
| | | ESOL Endorsement | | | Improvement Plan indicated that 42% of students made learning |
| | | Bachelors Degree in | | | gains in reading and 47% of students made learning gains in |
| | | Elementary Education | | | math. |
| | | Masters Degree in Specific | | | |
| | | Learning Disabilities | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.

| Subject | Name | Degree(s)/ | Number of | Number of Years as | Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| Area | | Certification(s) | Years at | an | data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress |
| | | | Current School | Instructional | along with the associated school year. |
| | | | | Teacher | |
| Science Social Studies English Reading Math | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | The 2011-2012 BASI assessment data used for the School Improvement Plan indicated that 42% of students made learning gains in reading and 47% of students made learning gains in math. |

| 2012 | 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

| Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|--|--------------------|---|--|
| In order to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers at PACE, Lee, the following school-based strategies will be utilized: Monetarily compensate teachers comparable to the Lee County school district. Offer health insurance. Provide paid vacation and holidays Provide the resources to obtain professional development opportunities in the teachers' respective disciplines. Provide a collective planning period for teachers. Early Friday Dismissal | Academic Manager | Ongoing throughout the 2012-2013 school year. | (If not, please explain why) |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to |
|---|--|
| out-of-field and who are not highly effective. | support the staff in becoming highly effective |

| 5 Teachers =100% of teachers are not highly effective. | Teachers will collaborate across the curriculums to enhance the interdisciplinary instructional methods, which will emphasize on developing reading and math skills in students. |
|--|---|
| | Teachers with 2-years of experience or less shall partner with seasoned effective teachers to learn teaching strategies, which will increase their abilities to deliver standard aligned content to students. |
| | 3. Teachers will receive regular professional development in order to enhance their knowledge related to the discipline they teacher, which will be applied to lesson planning, lesson delivery, and lesson development in order to increase students' academic productivity. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | % of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 5 | 2 = 0.1% | 2 = 0.1% | 1 = 0.05% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Edna Barnes | Currently, teachers have not been assigned. | New teachers will receive mentoring from as they participate in the Lee County School District's APPLES program. | Dates for the commencement of the mentoring sessions will be announced when new teachers are hired. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

*Grades 6-12 Only- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Teachers will receive ongoing training in the area of reading. PACE will provide 2 professional development reading workshops in the first and second semester of the school year via reading professional. There will be interdisciplinary collaboration for teachers where strategies for reading will be discussed and incorporated into all of the mini lessons that are taught by every teacher each week.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Teachers will provide 10 minute mini lessons 3 times a week for students in their specific core subjects, which will incorporate reading strategies, current events, math/science, and vocabulary that are cross-curricular in nature. The purpose is to assist students to see the relationship between subjects and the significance that the integration will have in their future academic endeavors.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

Students are able to use Florida Virtual School to select elective foreign language, business, writing, career education, and computer technology courses in addition to their core classes, which will allow students to study in areas that are personally meaningful to their future.

Postsecondary Transition May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Students will receive FCAT preparation to assist with meeting a passing grade requirement in math and reading to obtain a standard diploma. Students will receive SAT and ACT preparation and ongoing tutoring in math and reading to improve their readiness for the public postsecondary level. Students also engage in academic advising, which includes strategies to successfully meet postsecondary goals.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2011-2012 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?
- * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| READING GOALS | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----------------|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1. Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. | who take the entrance BASI are generally academically | 1.1.Teachers will be observed on their abilities to instruct in the area of reading and they will also receive feedback from the | C | 1.1 Entrance and exit BASI assessment | 1.1 BASI | | |
| Reading Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* | | observing administration of areas that should be improved. 1.2 Designated school district | | | | | |

| 2012-2013 School Hilp | or chichic | i ian guvem | ic sustice Education | 11 1 | i ogi ams | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| minimum of.01% gain in reading | Last year's goal | BASI assessments | | | reading coaches will meet | | |
| | was to have 58% | used will | | | with each teacher weekly | | |
| | | determine 48% of | | | and observe the teacher's | | |
| | | students will | | | performance in their | | |
| | | make a minimum | | | classrooms as they are | | |
| | | of one gain in | | | teaching reading to | | |
| | for a minimum of | | | | students. | | |
| | 40 days to make a | | | 1.3 | There will also be a formal | | |
| | gain in their | | | | evaluation process monthly | | |
| | BASI entrance | | | | conducted by the reading | | |
| | and exit reading | | | | department chairperson and | | |
| | scores for 2011- | | | | the academic | | |
| | 2012. 42% of the | | | | administration. | | |
| | students made a | | | 1.4 | The teachers will also be | | |
| | gain in reading. | | | 1.4 | responsible for submitting | | |
| | Therefore, the reading goal for | | | | weekly lesson plans that | | |
| | 2011-2012 was | | | | will be examined to ensure | | |
| | not met. | | | | | | |
| | noi mei. | | | | that it is aligned with the | | |
| | | | | | state's reading standards. | | |
| | | | | 1.5 | Lesson plans must be | | |
| | | | | | comprehensive and | | |
| | | | | l | appropriate to the reading | | |
| | | | | 1.6 | Teachers will be | | |
| | | | | | responsible to participate in | | |
| | | | | | weekly departmental | | |
| | | | | | professional learning | | |
| | | | | | communities, a designated | | |
| | | | | | administrator will attend | | |
| | | | | | the meetings to encourage | | |
| | | | | | teachers to share concerns, | | |
| | | | | | challenges and ideas | | |
| | | | | | pertaining to the reading | | |
| | | | | | initiative. | | |
| | | | | 1.7 | The stludents will received | | |
| | | | | | a weekly mini assessment | | |
| | | | | | and the date from the mini | | |
| | | | | | lessons will be compiled | | |
| | | | | | and placed in a spreadsheet | | |
| | | | | | to provide an overview of | | |
| | | | | | the reading levels and | | |
| | | | | | improvements of students. | | |
| | | | | 1.8 | Assign reading tutors to | | |
| | | | | 1 | students who have | | |
| | | | | | demonstrated reading | | |
| | | | | | deficits; work with the | | |
| | | | | | reading coach and reading | | |
| | | | | | teacher to outline strategies | | |
| | | | | | in the IAP (Individual | | |
| | | | | | Academic Plan) to improve | | |
| | | | | | reading performance. | | |
| | | L | | | reading performance. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target | | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2. Ambitious but | Baseline data 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
| Achievable Annual | | | | | | | |
| Measurable Objectives | | | | | | | |
| (AMOs). In six year | | | | | | | |
| school will reduce their | | | | | | | |
| achievement gap by 50%. | | | | | | | |
| Reading Goal #2: | | | | | | | |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Reading Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| reading Dauget (misert rows as | necucu) | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------|
| Include only school-based funded activiti | ies/materials and exclude district funded activ | vities/materials. | |
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

| | p = 0 + 01110110 = 10111 0 0 + 0111110 0 0000100 = 0 00001001 | 8 | | |
|--------------------------|---|----------------|------------------|---------|
| NA | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Sub | ototal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| NA | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | · | Sub | ototal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| NA | | | | |
| | · | <u> </u> | Grand ' | Total: |

End of Reading Goals

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

| MATHEMATICS GOALS | | Problem-Solving Pro | cess to Increase | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Percentage of students making learning gains in | 1.1. The majority of | 1.1 Provide technology to | | 1.1.Entrance and Exit BASI assessments | 1.1.BASI |
| mathematics. | | enhance classroom mathematics activities to | | assessments | |
| | | stimulate engagement of | | | |

| 47% of students will make a minimum of .01% gain in math GVS scores based on the entrance and exit exam. | Level of Performance:* Last year's goal was to have 62% of all students who successfully completed the | Level of Performance:* BASI assessments used will determine 52% of students will make a minimum of one gain in math GVS. | students 1.2 Identify students who need intense math tutoring; provide tutoring services. 1.3 Provide math teacher with opportunities for professional development in the math discipline grades 6-12. | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | |
| 2. Ambitious but Baseline data 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
| Achievable Annual | | | | | | |
| Measurable Objectives | | | | | | |
| (AMOs). In six year | | | | | | |
| school will reduce their | | | | | | |
| achievement gap by 50%. | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| The anticipated length of stay for students at this academic establishment is | | | | | | |
| 15-18 months. Therefore, planning an annual measurable objective (AMO) | | | | | | |
| over a six year period for a student to reduce her achievement gap cannot | | | | | | |
| apply due to the amount of time required. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Algebra | EOC Goals | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of studen "Guiding Questions", identify an for the fo | | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| Students who take the exam for the first time will have 30% of their total score apply to their final passing grade. Students retaking the exam will achieve a passing score in order to receive a | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Last year's goal was to have 50% of all students successfully pass | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | students who take the entrance Algebra 1 EOC are generally academically behind in math. | enhance classroom mathematics activities to stimulate engagement of | 1.1.Academic Manager and math teacher | 1.1 End of Year Course Exam | 1.1 End of Year Course Exam | | |
| Based on the analysis of studen "Guiding Questions", identify and for the fo | | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 2. Students scoring at or a and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in | above Achieve 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | | |

| this box. | | Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | |
| | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | |
| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target | | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| 3. Ambitious but Baseline data 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Achievable Annual | | | | | | | | |
| Measurable Objectives | | | | | | | | |
| (AMOs). In six year | | | | | | | | |
| school will reduce their | | | | | | | | |
| achievement gap by 50%. | | | | | | | | |
| Algebra Goal #3: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012-2013 is a baseline year | | | | | | | | |
| The anticipated length of stay for st | udents at this acad | demic establishment is | | | | | | |
| 15-18 months. Therefore, planning an annual measurable objective (AMO) over a six year period for a student to reduce her achievement gap cannot apply due to the amount of time required. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Geometry EOC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. | | 1.1. Provide technology to enhance classroom bio | | | |

| 2012-2013 School Im | provement | Pian Juvenne | Justice Education | n Programs | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Geometry Goal #1: | | | the Geometry EOC | geometry activities to | | | |
| | | | are generally | stimulate engagement of | | | |
| Students who take the exam for | | | academically behind | | | | |
| the first time will have 30% of | Last year's goal | 25% of the students | in science. | | | | |
| their total score apply to their | was to have 50% | wiii successjuity pass | in science. | 1.2 Identify students | | | |
| final passing grade. Students retaking the exam will achieve a | of all students successfully pass | the Geometry EOC. | | | | | |
| | the Geometry | | | who need geometry | | | |
| final grade for the course. | EOC. 0% of the | | | tutoring and provide | | | |
| , | students passed | | | tutoring services. | | | |
| | the exam | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1.3 Provide math teacher | | | |
| | | | | with opportunities for | | | |
| | | | | professional development | | | |
| | | | | in the math discipline | | | |
| | | | | grades 6-12. | | | |
| | | | | grades o 12. | | | |
| | | | | 1.4 Provide students with | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | the curriculum aligned | | | |
| | | | | with the common core | | | |
| | | | | standards, which will | | | |
| | | | | offer an increase in their | | | |
| | | | | chances to display | | | |
| | | | | mastery in the subject | | | |
| | | | | area of geometry. | | | |
| | | l | | , | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of studer | t aabiavamant dat | a and reference to | Anticipated Barrier | Stratagy | Person or Position | Process Used to Determine | Evaluation Tool |
| "Guiding Questions", identify an | it acilievellielit dat id define areas in r | a, and reference to | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible for Monitoring | Effectiveness of | Evaluation 1001 |
| | ollowing group: | iced of improvement | | | responsible for Montoring | Strategy | |
| 2. Students scoring at or | | mont Loyals 1 | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | | 2.1. |
| | above Acinevo | ement Levels 4 | <u></u> | 2.1. | | 2.1. | ∠. |
| and 5 in Geometry. | | | | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #2: | 2012 Current | 2013 Expected Level | | | | | |
| Ocometry Goar #2. | Level of | of Performance:* | | | | | |
| Enter narrative for the goal in | Performance:* | | | | | | |
| this box. | Enter numerical | Enter numerical data | | | | | |
| | data for current | for expected level of | | | | | |
| | level of | performance in this | | | | | |
| | performance in | box. | | | | | |
| | this box. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| L | | | F | F | | | F |

| 2012-2013 School Improvement I fan Juvenne Justice Education I Tograms | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target | | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| 3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their | Baseline data 2010-2011 | | | | | | | |
| achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3: 2012-2013 is a baseline year The anticipated length of stay for st 15-18 months. Therefore, planning | tudents at this academic establishment is an annual measurable objective (AMO) to reduce her achievement gap cannot | | | | | | | |

Mathematics Professional Development

| TVIAtheniaties 1 | oreportur | 20,619 p 111 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Profession | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| Improve math scores on BASI, Algebra 1, and Geometry, EOC exams Focus will be to assist students with utilizing online preparatory math programs | 6-12 | Teacher | Teachers | May 2013 | that will need math practice via the | math teacher and Academic Manager | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Budget

| | , | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Include only school-based | funded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | |
| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Materials(s) | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | · | Subtotal |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | • | • | Subtotal |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Grand Total |

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| | when using percentages, metade the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 7070 (55)). | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Biology EOC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. | | | | | | | | |

| 2012-2013 School IIII] | | | ie dustice Laucatio | ii i i ogi amb | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|-----------------|
| Biology Cour #1. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | | | | | |
| 2012-2013 is a baseline | r errormance. | i enormance. | | | | | |
| year. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |
| Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identification improvement for the student of the stude | fy and define area | s in need of | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2. Students scoring at or 4 and 5 in Biology. | above Achiev | rement Levels | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| Diology Com "2" | 2012 Current Level of | 2013 Expected Level of | | | | | |
| Zitter itali tatti oʻjot tite gotti tit | Performance:* | Performance:* | | | | | |
| this box. | | Enter numerical | | | | | |
| | data for current level of | data for expected level of | | | | | |
| | performance in | performance in | | | | | |
| | this box. | this box. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |
| | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

Science Professional Development

| Profess | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development of PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Facilitator and/or PLC setup Release) and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012-2013 is a baseline year. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based | funded activities/materials and exclude district fu | nded activities/materials. | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s) | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | • | · | • | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Science Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Civics EOC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. | | | | | |

| 2012-2013 School Improvement I fan Juvenne Justice Education I Tograms | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------|----------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Civics Goal #1: | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | |
| Based on the analysis of studer "Guiding Questions", identify an for the fo | nt achievement dat d define areas in n llowing group: | a, and reference to need of improvement | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 2. Students scoring at or a and 5 in Civics. | above Achieve | ement Levels 4 | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | |
| Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | Level of Performance:* Enter numerical | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | |
| | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | |

Civics Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school bess | ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fu | nded activities /materials | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| - | | nided activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(| | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | t | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | Subtotui |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | 2.1.1.1 |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| U.S. History EOC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. | | | | | |
| U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | | | | | |

| 2012-2013 SCHOOLIH | provement. | i ian gavenne (| Justice Daucation | TTTOSTATIS | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|------------|--|---|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |
| "Guiding Questions", identify an | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2. Students scoring at or al and 5 in U.S. History. | oove Achiever | ment Levels 4 | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| Enter number of on the gout in | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | | | | | |
| this box. | Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |
| | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

U.S. History Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
| 2012-2013 is a baseline year. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Professional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Other Subtotal: Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A | Include only school-base | ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fun | nded activities /materials. | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| N/A N/A N/A N/A Interest of the control of the co | Evidence-based Program(| s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtoal Professional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A Subtoal Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Professional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A | | | | l | Subtotal |
| N/A N/A N/A N/A Frofessional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A | Technology | | | | |
| Professional Development Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Amount Amount Subtotal: | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A | | | | | Subtotal: |
| N/A N/A N/A N/A Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A | Professional Development | t | | | |
| Other Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount N/A N/A N/A N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountN/AN/AN/AN/A | | <u> </u> | | <u>l</u> | Subtotal: |
| N/A N/A N/A N/A | Other | | | | |
| | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Subtotal: | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | | Subtotal |

End of U.S. History Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| CAREER EDUCA | | | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| All 8th grade students are required to complete the middle school requirement Career Education course. Every high school student will be | 2012 Current Level :* 100% of 8 th grade students. 100% of 8 th high school students. | Level. | 1.1.Classes are offered via Florida Virtual School. There may be times that the class is not offered within the time frame of a student's promotion track to 9 th grade. 1.2.High school level students may not have the academic skills to take virtual classes as they may be performing below grade level. | students into Florida Virtual School Career Education classes immediately upon enrollment to PACE as needed. 1.2. Enroll high school students into Florida Virtual School Career | | | 1.1.All students must earn 0.5 credits for their career related elective class. | |
| | | | 1.2. | | 1.2. | | 1.2. | |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | |

Career Education Professional Development

| Professi | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Pl | lease note that each Strategy does not re | equire a professional development | or PLC activity. | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
| Objective is to have students acquire basic career education knowledge for academic post- | 6''' -8''' grade | Anissa Brathwaite | II eachers | 2013 school year | Students' progress will be monitored via the Florida Virtual School administrator's dashboard | Academic Manager | | | |

| | | 8 | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| secondary purposes. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| | Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed) ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fur | aded activities /materials | |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------|
| Evidence-based Program | | ided activities / materials. | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Subtotal |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal |
| Professional Developmer | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | <u>l</u> | | Subtotal |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | | Grand Total |

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

• How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?

• How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| TRANSITIO | | | | Problem-Solving Pro | | Student Achievement | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 98% of students who transitioned from the PACE Center successfully return to a public or private school setting. | Level :* Last year's goal | Level:* In 2012-2013. 100% of the students will successfully transition to a public or private school. | active participation in the group counseling sessions, and they may choose not be maintain contact with the transition counselor. 1.2 Students that leave the day program may leave the county or state; therefore, physical one-on-one sessions with the transition counselor cannot occur. | following activities: Individual counseling Group counseling Family Tutoring Mentoring Workshops | Manager and Transition Counselor | 1.1.Frequency of contact with transition counselor 1.2 Coordination of community resources for the student | 1.1.Students will be placed successfully back into a public or private school. |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Transition Professional Development

| Professi | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |

| Transition counselor will track all students that leave the day program to ensure that appropriate placement has occurred. | 6-12 | Suzanne Edwards | IACademic Manager and | II broughout the 7017 | visits, school visits and telephone | Executive Director, Social Services Manager, Academic Manager, and Transition Counselor |
|--|------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Materials(s) | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Sub |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | • | Sub |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Sub |
| Other | | | Sub |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | |
| | L | <u>l</u> | Grand 7 |

End of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?
- How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| ATTEN | DANCE GOA | AL(S) | , | Problem-solving | g Process to Incr | ease Attendance | |
|-------------|---|--|--|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| attendance. | 2012 Current Attendance Rate:* 82% 2012 Current Number of Students | Attendance Rate:* 83% 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | students known for excessive truancy. 1.2 As PACE is an all-year-round school, the summer | | and Counselors | 1.1.Truant students will increase their attendance rate to 80%Recorded attendance date in Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) and the school district's mainframe. | 1.1. Recorded attendance date in Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) and the district's mainframe. |
| | | | | | | | |

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

| | Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Tittellaance Daaget (III) | sert rows as needed) | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Include only school-based f | funded activities/materials and exclude district fu | nded activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s)/N | Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | Subtota | <u>l:</u> |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | Subtota | l: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | Subtota | <u>l:</u> |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | · | · | Grand Tota | <u>l:</u> |

End of Attendance Goals

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

Reading Budget

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

| 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs | | |
|---|--|--|
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Mathematics Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Science Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Civics Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| U.S. History Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Career Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Transition Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| Attendance Budget | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Total: | |
| | | |
| | \$ 0.00 Grand Total: | |
| School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below. | balanced number of who are representative of | |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Describe projected use of SAC funds. | Amount | |
| | | |
| | | |
| May 2012 | 29 | |

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

| Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |