# **FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

## 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

## **PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

#### **School Information**

School Name: Eustis Elementary School	District Name: Lake
Principal: Kay Sawchuk	Superintendent: Dr. Susan Moxley
SAC Chair: Kristy King	Date of School Board Approval:

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kay Sawchuk	BS Early Childhood/Elementary Education <b>Georgia Southern</b> <b>University</b> MS Educational Leadership <b>Nova Southeastern</b> <b>University</b> Certified in Early Childhood, Elementary Education, ESOL Educational Leadership	2 + years	12 year completed	Assistant Principal 1 year Mount Dora Middle 2002-2003 Grade B 3 years Cypress Ridge Elementary 2003-2006 Grade A AYP Yes 4 years Tavares Elementary 2006-2007 Grade B AYP 92% 2007-2008 Grade A AYP 92% 2008-2009 Grade A AYP 95% 2009-2010 Grade A AYP 95% Principal 2010-2011 Eustis Elementary Grade C AYP NO 2011-2012 Eustis Elementary Grade A AMO's met for all subgroups but SWD reading; and Black Math.
Assistant Principal	Tammie Henry	BS Elementary Education University of Central Florida MS Educational Leadership Barry University National Board Certification Middle Grades Integrated Curriculum Grades 5-9 English 6-12 Exceptional Student Education K-12 Reading Endorsement ESOL Endorsement	1 + years	1 year completed	Five years experience with 9-12 lowest quartile at Umatilla High School 1 year as Literacy Coach – Working with DA as D school at Umatilla High School to a score of B in 2009-2010 <b>Assistant Principal</b> 2010-2011 Umatilla Elementary Grade A AYP Yes 2011-2012 Eustis Elementary Grade A AMO's met for all subgroups but SWD reading; and Black Math.

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Literacy	Melinda Stubbs	BS-Elementary Ed./K-5 with ESOL endorsement MA-Reading	6	3	2007-2008 Grade A AYP 100% 2008-2009 Grade A AYP 100% 2009-2010 Grade A AYP 95% 2010-2011 Grade C AYP 74% 2011-2012 Grade A all AMO's met but SWD reading; Black math.

## **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1. Hire teachers who are highly qualified	Kay Sawchuk	June 2013	
2. Assign mentors to new teachers	Tammie Henry	June 2013	
3. Meet regularly with new teachers to ensure their acclamation at the school.	Kay Sawchuk	June 2013	
4.			

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
	1								100

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Alia Perrone	Jenifer Lanier	Mrs. Lanier and Mrs. Perrone are co- teaching a 5 <sup>th</sup> grade inclusion group.	Review staff/student handbook. Work through portfolio for new beginnings. Review TEAM assessment.

#### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The members of the MTSS leadership team include Principal, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Literacy Coach.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? This team meets with teachers and parents on a monthly basis to discuss data and provide resources to assist teachers and students in academics as well as behavioral issues. Members of this team also participate and give feedback to the SAC.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SIP is based on the areas of academics that need improvement. The MTSS process helps monitor the interventions to make sure they are working.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. The data source that we will use for MTSS is Edusoft, PRMN

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Staff training is held at the beginning of the year during a faculty meeting. Ongoing staff training as needed throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. MTSS will be supported by administration through attendance and participation in meetings.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Members of the LLT include Literacy Coach, representative from grade K-5 and ESE, principal and media specialist.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The team meets monthly and reviews AR data and other data that supports literacy at EES. The team also makes recommendations for initiatives that support MTSS and literacy at Eustis Elementary.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Celebrate Literacy Week; support implementation of Enterprise AR;

#### **Public School Choice**

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

#### **Postsecondary Transition**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readi	ing Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1A.1. Students lack the fluency necessary to read grade level text.	1A.1. Use Read Naturally for students who are reading below grade level expectations.	1A.1. Literacy Coach	1A.1. Weekly fluency scores on grade level text.	1A.1. FCAT 2.0	
Reading Goal #1A: 70% of the students in grades 3-5 will score level 3 or above on FCAT Reading.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* P 59 % of the students made level 3 or above re	013 Expected evel of erformance:* 0% of the tudents will core 3 or above on FCAT reading.						
			1 A.2. Students lack comprehension skills necessary to answer questions that relate to grade level text.	1A.2. Use graphic organizers and 9 Good Habits with complex text to teach students good comprehension strategies.	1A.2. Literacy Coach	1A.2. Use mini-benchmark assessments to make sure students are able to answer comprehension questions that is to the rigor of FCAT 2.0.	1A.2. FCAT 2.0	
			1A.3. Students do not do enough independent reading to impact their comprehension on grade level text.	1A.3. Students will work toward their AR goals to increase the number of words read at their grade level.	1A.3. Literacy Coach and Media Specialist	1A.3. Monitor TOPS reports from Enterprise AR.	1A.3. FCAT 2.0	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5	e Assessment: S , and 6 in read	Students ling.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Reading Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical E data for current level of performance in this box. the current the curen	013 Expected evel of erformance:* Inter numerical lata for expected evel of performance in his box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above         Achievement Levels 4 in reading.         Reading Goal #2A:         60 % of students in grades         3, 4 & 5 will score level 4         or above on FCAT         Reading.	2A.1. Challenging students with activities that require rigor beyond the basic curriculum.	2A.1. PAWS—students in grades 3-5 are grouped homogeneously for 30 minutes 3 days a week and activities are planned that will challenge students.	2A.1. Literacy Coach	2A.1. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	2A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, Midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT.
	2A.2. Challenging students to read books at their level of performance.	2A.2. AR goals are set based on student's reading level.	2A.2. Media Specialist	2A.2. STAR is given several times a year and data is monitored by teachers to see that students are increasing their zpd.	2A.2. Mini-benchmark assessments, Midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.         Reading Goal #2B:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3A:</b> 76% of students in grades 4       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*       Performance:*       Performance:*         75%       76%	3A.1. Number of students who are not reading and comprehending grade level text.	3A.1. PAWS—30 minutes 4 times a week in a homogeneous group in order to work on necessary reading skills.	3A.1. Literacy Coach	3A.1. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	3A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments; FCAT Reading
	3A.2. Number of students who take longer to attain the necessary skills to be proficient readers.	23A.2. After school tutoring 2days a week for 24 sessions.	3A.2. CRT	3A.2. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	3A.2. Mini-benchmark assessments; FCAT Reading
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage</b> of students making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #3B:       2012 Current Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	. 3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading         Reading Goal #4A:         65% of the students in the bottom 25% will make         learning gains in reading.	4A.1. Number of students who are reading 1 to 2 grade level below their peers.	4A.1. After school tutoring 2 days a week.	4A.1. CRT	4A.1. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	4A.1. FCAT Reading
	4A.2. Number of students who take longer to attain skills than their peers.	4A.2. PAWS—30 minutes 4 times a week in a homogeneous group in order to work on necessary reading skills.	4A.2. Literacy Coach	4A.2. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	4A.2. FCAT Reading
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.
4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #4B:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expects level of performance in this box.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years     Baseline data       school will reduce     2010-2011       their achievement     gap by 50%.       Reading Goal #5A:		59	61					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	ion Tool	
The subgroup / blackSB. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.Reading Goal #5B:2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*The subgroup /black students will increase the number of students who are proficient on FCAT reading by 4%.2012 Current Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		5B.1. White: 73 Black: 39 Hispanic: 52 The gap with the black subgroup is significant. Comprehension seems to be the biggest challenge for those students.	5B.1. In the 90 minute reading block the identified students will receive small group instruction using Harcourt Intervention for 15 minutes a day, 4 days a week. An additional 30 minutes 4 times a week in a homogeneous group (PAWS) in order to work on necessary reading skills.	5B.1. Melinda Stubbs	5B.1. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	5B.1. Mini-benchmarl midyear benchm and FCAT 2.0.	k assessments, hark assessments	
			5B.2. Students in the black subgroup need extra time to learn skills due to the deficits they start school with.	5B.2. Identified students will receive after school tutoring 2 days a week. This instruction will be specifically on vocabulary and comprehension skills.	5B.2. Debbie Hartog	5B.2. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings.	5B.2. Mini-benc assessments, mid benchmark asses FCAT 2.0.	hmark dyear ssments and
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.         Reading Goal #5C:         44% of the ELL students will score proficient in reading on FCAT reading.		5C.1. ELL students struggle with vocabulary and comprehension when reading on grade level text due to language barrier.	5C.1. ELL students will receive support from an assistant who will work with them specifically on developing vocabulary.	5C.1. ELL coordinator, CRT	5C.1. Mini-benchmark assessments	5C.1. Reading FCAT 2.0	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:</b> 28 % of the students with disabilities will score proficient on FCAT reading.		5D.1. SWD often are reading more than one grade level below their expected grade level.	5D.1. Students have extra assistance from an ESE teacher during the reading block.	5D.1. ESE teacher, principal	5D.1. Progress monitoring through IEP goals.	5D.1. Reading FCAT 2.0	
			5D.2. SWD do not have the comprehension skills to answer questions on grade level text.	5D.2. Students with disabilities have assistance from an ESE teacher in a resource setting to give them strategies to attack grade level text.	5D.2. ESE teacher, principal	5D.2. Progress monitoring through mini-benchmark assessments.	5D.2. Reading FCAT 2.0
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5E.1. Students in this subgroup do not have experience with understanding complex text.	5E.1. Expose students to more complex text through read alouds. Have students practice use	5E.1. Principal, assistant principal	5E.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments	5E.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark and FCAT Reading.	
Reading Goal #5E: 51% of the economically disadvantaged subgroup will score proficient on FCAT reading.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 47%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 51%		UNRAAVEL strategies to answer questions.			
			5E.2. Students in this subgroup have not developed vocabulary skills.	5E.2. Use graphic organizers in the reading block to help students develop vocabulary.	5E.2. principal, assistant principal	5E.2. monitoring mini- benchmark assessments	5E.2. Mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark and FCAT reading.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

## **Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.			
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	t/Topic Grade Level/ C Focus Subject PD Facilitator PD Participants T Subject PLC Leader Or school-wide)		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Larry Bell	K-5	Kay Sawchuk	All grade levels K-5	October 19, 2012	Lesson Plans, grade level discussions	Kay Sawchuk		

## **Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ma	terials and exclude district funded activitie	s/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
	•			Subtotal:		
Technology						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Using Enterprise AR to increase amount of time students read independently.	Enterprise AR	SAC money	\$1500			
				Subtotal:		
Professional Development						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Larry Bell	Posters for Unraavel, 12 words that trip students up on tests.	None	\$0			
				Subtotal:		
Other						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Provide tutoring for students after school 2 days a week.	6 teachers	SAI, discretionary funds	\$10,00			
				Subtotal:11,500		
Total:11,500						

End of Reading Goals

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.         CELLA Goal #1:         70% of ELL students will be proficient in listening/speaking.	<ol> <li>Number of students who come in as non-English speakers.</li> <li>s</li> </ol>	1.1. Bi-lingual assistant will work with students on basic language/vocabulary skills.	1.1. CRT	1.1. FAIR data, mini-benchmark assessment, and mid-year benchmark assessments.	1.1.	
	1.2. Number of students who have parents who cannot support the school academics.	1.2. Students will have access to Rosetta Stone.	1.2. CRT	1.2. FAIR data, mini-benchmark assessment, and mid-year benchmark assessments	12.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.         CELLA Goal #2:         33% of all ELL students will be proficient in reading.         14/50 or 28%	2.1. Number of students who do not know letters or sounds.	2.1. Bi-lingual assistant will work with students on basic language/vocabulary skills	2.1. CRT	2.1. FAIR data	2.1.	
	2.2. Number of students who do not know common vocabulary.	2.2. Students will have access to Rosetta Stone.	2.2. CRT	2.2. FAIR data	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr	oficient in writing.	2.1. Students are unable to speak or read English.	2.1. Bi-lingual assistant will work with students on basic writing skills.	2.1.CRT	2.1. Students will write monthly to a prompt. Writing will be scored and monitored.	2.1.
CELLA Goal #3: 37% of ELL students will score proficient in writing.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 16/50 or 32%.					
		2.2. Students are not proficient in basic grammar.	2.2. Bi-lingual assistant will work with students on basic grammar skills.	2.2. CRT	2.2. Students will write monthly to a prompt. Writing will be scored and monitored.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

## **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathemati	cs Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achiev reference to "Guiding Questions," identif in need of improvement for the follo	rement data and y and define areas owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #1A:         2012 Current Level of Performance:*         2013 Expected Level of Performance:*         70% of all students in grades 3-5 will score 3 or above on FCAT Math.		1A.1. Number of students who scored level 1 last year was 41%.	1A.1. Use small group instruction in math 3 days a week.	1A.1. CRT	1A.1 Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings	1A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT 2.0.	
		1A.2. The number of students who do not understand the academic vocabulary of math. Students do not have skills to solve word problems 1A.3.	1A.2. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems 1A.3.	1A.2. Principal 1A.3. CRT	1A.2. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessmen</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in m	t: Students athematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Mathematics Goal       2012 Current         #1B:       Level of         Performance: <sup>3</sup> Enter numeric:         goal in this box.       Current         level of       performance in         performance in       this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* al Enter numerical t data for expected level of performance in this box.						
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	athematics	Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques in need of improveme	student achieveme stions," identify an ent for the followin	ent data and d define areas ag group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
IA. FCAT 2.0: Studer         Achievement Level 3 if         Mathematics Goal         #1A:         70% of all students in grades 3-5 will score proficient on FCAT Math.	nts scoring at in mathematic 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 60 % of the students scored proficient	<b>cs.</b> <u>013 Expected</u> <u>evel of</u> <u>erformance:*</u> 0% of the tudents will core proficient	1A.1. The number of students who do not understand the academic vocabulary of math. Students do not have skills to solve word problems.	1A.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems.	1A.1. Assistant Principal, Principal	1A.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	1A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT Math.	
			1A.2. The number of students who need more assistance and a longer time to learn math skills.	1A.2. Provide math tutoring after school two days a week.	1A.2. CRT	1A.2. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	1A.2. Mini-benchmark assessments, midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT Math	
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5,	Assessment: S and 6 in math	Students hematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* P Enter numerical E data for current level of performance in phis box. th	013 Expected evel of erformance:* Inter numerical ata for expected evel of erformance in his box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data as reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define a in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics         Mathematics Goal #2A:         2012 Current Level of Performance:*         50% of students in grades 4 & 5 will score 4 or 5 on FCAT Math	2A.1. Students will need to be challenged to go beyond the regular math curriculum.	2A.1. STEM club	2A.1. CRT	2A.1. Monitor data on Mini-benchmark assessments and midyear benchmark assessments through MTSS as well as monthly data meetings	2A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT Math
	2A.2. Students will need to have enrichment activities.	2A.2. Use the enrichment piece in Harcourt.	2A.2. Principal, CRT	2A.2. Students will be given challenge problems on their weekly assessments.	2A.2. Mini-benchmark assessments, midyear benchmark assessments and FCAT Math
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Student scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics         Mathematics Goal #2B:         #2B:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.             Enter narrative for the goal in this box.             Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2B.1. ted s:* ical ccted in	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percet learning gains in mat Mathematics Goal #3A 80% of students in grades 4 & 5 will	ntage of stud hematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 90% 157/174	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 80%	3A.1. The number of students who do not understand the vocabulary of math and how to approach solving word problems.	3A.1. Use a problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL for Math.	3A.1. CRT, assistant principal and principal	3A.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	3A.1. Mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessments, FCAT math.
<u>make learning gains.</u>			3A.2. The number of students who need extra time and assistance to learn math concepts.	3A.2. After school tutoring two days a week for grades 3-5. This tutoring will focus on building math vocabulary and fluency.	3A.2. CRT, principal	3A.2. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	3A.2. Mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessments, FCAT math.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: earning gains 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Percentage in 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
			3В.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement da	ta and Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
in need of improvement for the following gro	ne areas		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students is lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #4A:         65% of the students in the bottom 25% will make	AA.1.The number of students do not understand the vocabu of math and how to approach solving word problems.	who 4A.1. Use a written problem ilary solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems	4A.1. principal, assistant principal	4A.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	4A.1.
learning gains on FCAT					
math.	4A.2. The number of students Do not have the support from to help with academic achievement.	s who 4A.2. Members of the a home administrative team will mentor a group of students who have been identified as the bottom quartile.	4A.2. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	4A.2. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	4A.2.
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.		4A.3.
4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Perc of students in lowest 25% making learn gains in mathematics.	entage <sup>4B.1.</sup> ing	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	
Mathematics Goal     2012 Current     2013       #4B:     Level of     Level       Performance:*     Performance:*	xpected of nance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	namerical expected nance in K.				
	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years <b>54.</b> In six years Baseline data 2010-2011		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A 53% of students will be prof math	Baseline dat	a 2010-2011 ed by FCAT						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool	
in need of improvement for the following subgroups: <b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity</b> (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) <b>not</b> <b>making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5B:</u> 40% of the students in the black subgroup will score proficient on FCAT math. <b>White:</b> 76 Black: 33 Hispanic: 51 <b>White:</b> 77 Black: 40 Hispanic: 52		5B.1. White: 76 Black: 33 Hispanic: 51 There is a significant gap between the black subgroup and all the other subgroups.	5B.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems	5B.1. Principal, Assistant Principal	5B.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings.	5B.1.		
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions" identify and define areas		nt data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
in need of improvement	for the following s	subgroup:			Responsible for wontoring	Encenveness of Strategy	
5C. English Language         making satisfactory provide the set of the set	e Learners (EL rogress in mat 2012 Current 20 Level of Le Performance:* Pe 53% 54	LL) not thematics.	5C.1. Students are not proficient in reading and writing English according to Cella test.	5C.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems	5C.1. Principal, Assistant Principal	5C.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings	5C.1.
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Antioinstad Domion	Churchener	Demonstration Devision			
reference to "Guiding Quest in need of improvement	tions," identify and	nt data and d define areas subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1 ool
reference to "Guiding Quest in need of improvement <b>5D. Students with Disa</b> <b>making satisfactory pl</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5D:</u> 22% of the SWD subgroup will score proficient on FCAT Math.	abilities (SWD rogress in mat 2012 Current 20 Level of Le Performance:* Pe 14% 22	<ul> <li>and data and d define areas subgroup:</li> <li>b) not thematics.</li> <li>b) 13 Expected evel of erformance:*</li> </ul>	5D.1. SWD do not have ability to read word problems because they are one or more grade levels below in reading comprehension.	5D.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems	SD.1. ESE teacher, ESE specialist	5D.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings	5D.1.
reference to "Guiding Quest in need of improvement <b>5D. Students with Disa</b> <b>making satisfactory pl</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5D:</u> 22% of the SWD subgroup will score proficient on FCAT Math.	abilities (SWD rogress in mat	In data and         d define areas         subgroup:         )) not         thematics.         D13 Expected         evel of         erformance:*         2%	5D.1. SWD do not have ability to read word problems because they are one or more grade levels below in reading comprehension.	5D.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic way to work through word problems 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through MTSS and monthly data meetings	5D.1.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1. Economically disadvantaged students do not have the academic vocabulary to	5E.1. Use a written problem solving strategy like UNRAAVEL to give students a visual and kinesthetic	5E.1. Principal, Assistant Principal	5E.1. Monitor mini-benchmark assessments, mid-year benchmark assessment through	5E.1.	
Mathematics Goal #5E: 50% of our economically disadvantaged students will	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 49%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 50%.	understand how to solve word problems.	way to work through word problems		MTSS and monthly data meetings	
score proficient on FCAT math.			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

## **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1A:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1A.1. 1	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.             Enter narrative for the goal in this box.             Enter narrative for the goal in this box.             But this box.             Enter numerical level of performance in this box.	1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement da reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and def in need of improvement for the following gro	ta and Anticipated Barrier ine areas up:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or all         Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathem         Mathematics Goal         #2A:         2012 Current         Level of         Performance:*	bove 2A.1. atics. <u>Expected</u> <u>of</u> mance:*	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1	2A.1
	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Stud scoring at or above Level 7 in mathema	ents <sup>2B.1.</sup> tics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal       2012 Current       2013 E         #2B:       Level of       Performance:*         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for the level of level of this box.	Expected of mance:* numerical r expected nance in x.				
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		nent data and nd define areas ing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal #3A:       2012 Current Level of Level of		3A.1	3A.1	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1. FCAT math scores	
	Performance:*	Performance:*	34.2	34.2	34.2	34.2	34.2 FCAT math scores
			3A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5H.2.	
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics.	Assessment: earning gains	Percentage in	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #4A:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*       2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1. FCAT math scores
		4A.2.	4A.2 4A.3	4A.2. 4A 3	4A.2 4A 3	4A.2. FCAT math scores
4B. Florida Alternate Assessmen	t: Percentage	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
of students in lowest 25% making gains in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #4B:       2012 Current Level of Performance:**         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerica data for current level of performance in this box.	g learning 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* al Enter numerical t data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #54 Enter narrative for the goa	Baseline dat	a 2010-2011						
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	f student achiever estions," identify at for the followin	ment data and and define areas g subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
<b>5B. Student subgrou</b> Black, Hispanic, Asian <b>making satisfactory</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ps by ethnicit n, American In progress in m 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	y (White, ndian) not athematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: 5B.2.	5B.1. 5B.2.	5B.1. 5B.2.	5B.1. 5B.2.	5B.1. 5B.2.	
			рв.2.	рв.2.	58.2.	DB.2.	5В.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		nent data and nd define areas g subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Languag making satisfactory p	e Learners (E progress in ma	CLL) not athematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
Mathematics Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	student achievem stions," identify a t for the following	nent data and nd define areas g subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	sabilities (SW) progress in ma	D) not athematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Mathematics Goal #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current     2013 Expected       Level of     Level of       Performance:*     Performance:*       Enter numerical     Enter numerical       data for current     data for expected       level of     level of					
	performance in performance in this box.	50.0	55.0	50.0	50.0	5E 2
		JE.2.	ν <u>μ</u> .2.	JE.Z.	52.2.	JE.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### **Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal #1:       2012 Current Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2013 Expected Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	1.2. 1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.2. 1.3. Strategy	1.2. 1.3. Person or Position	<ul><li>1.2.</li><li>1.3.</li><li>Process Used to Determine</li></ul>	1.2. 1.3. Evaluation Tool	
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	L L		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate A students making lear mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: Percentage ming gains in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Percentage 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current this box.	o <b>f</b> 3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
		3.2.	3.2. 3.3.	3.2.	3.2. 3.3.	3.2. 3.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate A students in lowest 25 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: Percentage % making learning gain 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical for current level of performance in this box. Performance in this box.	<b>of</b> 4.1. <b>5</b> 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals
### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical content of the goal in this box. Enter numerical content of the level of current level of performance in performance in this box. Enter numerical content of this box.	i I					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement         Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.         Algebra Goal #2:       2012 Current         Level of       2013 Expected	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerica data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical evel of performance in this box.	l d					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	achievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
<b>3B. Student subgroup</b> Black, Hispanic, Asiar <b>making satisfactory p</b> Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	by ethnicity (White, a, American Indian) not progress in Algebra 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for current data for current data for current data for current data for current data for current bevel of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American Indian: Motion Content Asian: Content Cont	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improven	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3C. English Languag</b> making satisfactory p Algebra 1 Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	e Learners (1 progress in A 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	ELL) not lgebra 1. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
			3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3D. Students with Dis</b> <b>making satisfactory p</b> <u>Algebra 1 Goal #3D:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	sabilities (SW progress in A 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<b>VD) not</b> <b>lgebra 1.</b> 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		d Anticipated Barrier ap:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3E. Economically Dis</b>	3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory p	orogress in Algebra 1.					
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:	2012 Current2013 ExpendenceLevel ofLevel of	ted				
Enter narrative for the	Performance:* Performance	<u>e:*</u>				
goal in this box.	Enter numerical Enter nume	rical				
	level of level of	ecteu				
	performance in performanc	e in				
	this box. this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3F 3	3F 3	3F 3	3F 3	3F 3
			51.5.	JL.J.	JL.J.	JL.J.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expected level of performance in this box.	I d						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.         Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of Performance:*       2013 Expected Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1. 2.2.		
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	achievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A:	Baseline data 2011-2012					
Enter narrative for the goal	l in this box.					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3B. Student subgrouf</b> Black, Hispanic, Asiar <b>making satisfactory f</b> Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	by by ethnicity (White, h, American Indian) not progress in Geometry. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Methods Performance in this box. Mite: M	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3C. English Languag making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3C:	e Learners (1 progress in G 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	ELL) not eometry. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improven	student achieven uestions," identi- nent for the follow	nent data and fy and define wing subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	sabilities (SW progress in G	VD) not eometry.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	-				
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not		tudents not	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory pr	ogress in Ge	cometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E: 20	012 Current	2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the	evel of erformance:*	<u>_evel of</u> Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Inter numerical 1	Enter numerical					
de	ata for current	lata for expected					
De De	erformance in	ver of performance in					
th	nis box. t	his box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities											
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Problem solving strategies for math.	2-5	Kay Sawchuk	All teacher	Monthly during faculty meetings	Observation, lesson plans, sharing work product	Kay Sawchuk					

# Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary an	nd Middle S Goals	Science	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achieven uestions," identif ment for the follo	nent data and y and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.		t	1A.1. Number of students who do not understand the scientific process.	1A.1. Use hands on activities to demonstrate the scientific process.	1A.1. Debbie Hartog	1A.1. Improvement from baseline to midyear on the Science benchmark exams.	1A.1. FCAT Science	
Science Goal #1A: 60 % of the students will score Level 3 or above on FCAT Science.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 48%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 60%						
			1A.2. Number of students who do not understand the vocabulary of Science.	1A.2. Individual science fair project is required for students in grades 4 and 5.	1A.2. Debbie Hartog	1A.2. Improvement from baseline to midyear on the Science benchmark exams	1A.2. FCAT Science	
			1A.3. Amount of time spent doing hands on activities/experiments.	1A.3. Use Wednesdays to do science rotations that include vocabulary development, experiments and writing connected to science.	1A.3. Principal, CRT	1A.3. Improvement from baseline to midyear on the Science benchmark exams.	1A.3.FCAT Science	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5,	Assessment: , and 6 in scie	Students ence.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	2A.1.	2A.1.STEM activities	2A.1.CRT	2A.1. Monitor growth from baseline to midyear science assessment.	2A.1.
Enter numerical data for current data for current data for current data for current his box.	T d				
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students</b> scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Science Goal #2B:         2012 Current         2013Expected           Level of         Level of         Performance:*         Performance:*					
goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerica data for current data for expecte level of level of performance in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerica data for current level of level of performance in this box.	T d				
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Science Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expected level of performance in this box.	I d					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
scoring at or above Level 7 in science.						
Science Goal #2:       2012 Current       2013Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of       Enter numerical data for expected level of         Image: state of the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*						
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

### **Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Biology 1 Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical level of expected level of performance in performance in this box.       Enter numerical level of performance in performance in this box.	1 d				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement         Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.         Biology 1 Goal #2:         Enter narrative for the	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerica data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box. this box.	1 d	<u></u>	2.2	2.2	2.2
	<i>L.2.</i>	<u> </u>		2.2.	<i>2.2.</i>
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

# Science Professional Development

Profes	ssional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t	hrough Professional I	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	trategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsion Monitoring	
Science Budget (	Insert rows as	needed)					
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	)					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Technology							Subtotai:
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		÷					Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotale
Other							Subtotal:
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Sumegy		Descriptio	n or resources			7 milouit	
				<u> </u>			Subtotal:
							Total:
	1						

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier n	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b> Writing Goal #1A: <b>2012 Current</b> Level of         Performance:*         78% scored level         Sor higher on         FCAT Writes.	1A.1. Number of students who enter 4 <sup>th</sup> grade scoring 1 or 2.	1A.1. Teach students how to use anchor papers to score their own writing.	1A.1. Melinda Stubbs	1A.1. Monitor improvement on monthly writing prompts.	1A.1. FCAT Writes.
	1A.2. Number of students who are still struggling with the correct use of conventions.	1A.2. Mini-lessons on conventions and writing quality sentences.	1A.2. Melinda Stubbs	1 A.2. Monitor improvement on monthly writing prompts	1A.2. FCAT Writes
18 Florido Altornoto Assocements Students	1B 1	1R.1	1R.1	18.1	1R.5.
scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			10.1.	15.1.	15.1.
Writing Goal #1B:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expected level of performance in this box.       Performance in this box.	1 đ				
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

# Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus     Grade Level/Subject     PD Facilitator     PD Participants     Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)     Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring     Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring								
Improving students writing	4	Melinda Stubbs	4 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers	Once a month	Monthly writing prompts	Melinda Stubbs			

### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Writing Goals

#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (*required in year 2014-2015*)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expected data for current level of performance in this box.	l al ed				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

# **Civics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Circian Derdant (I								
Include only school-h	ased funded act	ivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials				
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s)	s)						
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
				6				
							Subtotal:	
Technology								
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Professional Developn	nent	<u> </u>				Ι.		
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotale	
Other							Subtotal:	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
		Description				1 mount		
						1	Subtotal:	
							Total:	

End of Civics Goals

# U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.         U.S. History Goal #1:         2012 Current Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Deferming the state of the state	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
			2.2.		2.2.		
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

# **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
	-	-	Please note that each Strategy does no	t require a professional development	ent or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus     Grade Level/Subject     PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader     PD Participants     Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)     Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring     Person or Position Responsible Monitoring								

#### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

# **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attenda	nce Goal(s	)	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of a "Guiding Questions," ide imp	attendance data an ntify and define a rovement:	nd reference to reas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1.	1.1. Guidance counselor will meet with student s weekly who missed more than 10 days last school year.	1.1. Principal	1.1. Monitor monthly through MTSS.	1.1. Attendance reports pulled monthly.
Attendance Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for current attendance rate in this box. 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical					
	number of students tardy in this box.	number of students tardy in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **Attendance Professional Development**

Profes	ssional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not	hrough Professional I require a professional developmen	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Budge	et (Insert rows	s as needed)					
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	3)					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology						-	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal
Professional Developm	nent						Subtotal.
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
		1		1			Subtotal:
Other							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
							Total:

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Susj	Spension Goal(s)         Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		eference to "Guiding of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: Decrease the number of in-school and out of school suspensions by 10%.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions 27 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions 36 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school	2013 Expected         Number of         In-School         Suspensions         20         2013 Expected         Number of Students         Suspended         In-School         Suspended         In-School         Enter numerical data         for expected number         of students suspended         in: school         2013 Expected         Number of         Out-of-School         Suspensions         30         2013 Expected         Number of Students         Suspended         Out-of-School         Suspended         Out-of-School         Enter numerical data         for expected number         of students suspended         out- of-School	1.1. Students who chronically in breaking rules and do not respond to traditional consequences.	1.1. Staff development on discipline strategies to use with students who do not respond to traditional consequences (Ruby Payne.)	1.1. Assistant Principal	1.1. Monitor number of referrals from the same students.	1.1.
			1.2. Students who have different cultural backgrounds do not respond to PBS interventions.	1.2. Use check in- check –out system.	1.2. PBS coach/Assistant Principal	1.2. Monitor SESIR data quarterly.	1.2.
			1.3. Students like to be suspended so that they can escape school.	1.3. Assign Saturday school instead of suspension when possible.	1.3.Assistant Principal	1.3. Monitor SESIR data quarterly.	1.3.

Profes	sional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies the Please note that each Strategy does not a	require a professional I development	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)	or PD Activity	
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
PBS team	All	Terri Pfister	A representative from each grade level	1 <sup>st</sup> Wed. of the month starting in September	Minutes from meetings, feedback from classroom teachers		Tammie Henry	
			-					
Suspension Bude	l <b>set</b> (Insert roy	l vs as needed)						
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded ad	ctivities /materials.				
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(	s)						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
T 1 1							Subtotal:	
Technology			(D					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Professional Developm	nent							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Other								
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
Saturday School		1 teacher t Saturday.	o monitor students for 2 hours of	n Safe Schools		\$700		
							Subtotal: \$700	
							Total: \$700	

**Suspension Professional Development** 

End of Suspension Goals

#### **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

# \* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout P	Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of pa "Guiding Questions," i in	rent involvement data, and reference to identify and define areas in need of mprovement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention	1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	2012 Current         2013 Expected           Dropout Rate:*         Dropout Rate:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical       Enter numerical data         data for dropout       for expected dropout         rate in this box.       rate in this box.         2012 Current       2013 Expected         Graduation Pate:*       Graduation Pate:*					
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school	Enter numerical Enter numerical data data for for expected graduation rate in graduation rate in this box. this box.					
year.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

# **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based for	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<ol> <li>Parent Involvement         Parent Involvement Goal         #1:         90 % of all parents will participate in one or more parent activity in the 2012-2013 school year.     </li> </ol>	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:* 85%	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:* 90%	1.1. Getting parents who work to come to school.	1.1. Offer activities at varying times so that parents have many opportunities to be involved in school functions.	1.1. CRT	<ol> <li>1.1.</li> <li>Sign in sheets from all parent activities. End of the year climate survey.</li> </ol>	1.2 End of the year climate survey.
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or			1.2. Having parent volunteers to help out with activities at the school.	<ol> <li>1.2.</li> <li>Use website and email to send messages to parents about opportunities to volunteer.</li> </ol>	1.2. CRT	1.2. Monitor number of hours that parents volunteer.	<ol> <li>1.2.</li> <li>Forms from volunteer book that record the hours a person volunteers.</li> </ol>
unduplicated.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

# Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based fun	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Eustis Elementary will retain their status as a STEM school by completing all of the requirements.	1.1. Volunteers to head up STEM club for each grade level.	1.1. Involve teachers who are interested and strong in science and math.	1.1. CRT	1.1. Monitor requirements throughout the school year to make sure we are on track.	1.1. STEM school requirements
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

# **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

ed activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
erials(s)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	I	•	Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
· · · ·	· · ·	·	Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
·	•		Subtotal:
			Total:
	ed activities/materials and exclude district fun erials(s)           Description of Resources           Description of Resources	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.  rials(s)  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source Funding Funding Source Funding Funding Funding Funding Funding Funding Funding Funding F	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. rrials(s)           Description of Resources         Funding Source         Amount           Image:

End of STEM Goal(s)

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

### **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · ·	· ·		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		I		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · ·	· ·		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of CTE Goal(s)				

#### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of sch areas in need of	hool data, identify a of improvement:	and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal			1.1. Students who lack social skills to solve problems in an	1.1. Promote respect through	1.1. Assistant Principal	1.1. Monitor SESIR reports	1.1. SESIR reports	
Additional Goal #1: Decrease the number of bullying Incidences that end up with a discipline referral.	2012 Current Level :* I	2013 Expected Level :* 0	appropriate way.	guidance.				
			<ul><li>1.2.</li><li>Students who are bullied at home by older siblings.</li><li>1.3.</li></ul>	<ul><li>1.2.</li><li>Participate in bully awareness</li><li>week November 12-16.</li><li>1.3.</li></ul>	1.2. Assistant Principal 1.3.	1.2. Monitor SESIR reports 1.3.	1.2. End of the year SESIR report 1.3.	

# Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)
# 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total
CTE Budget	10001.
	Tatal
Additional Cools	Total.
	Tatal.
	1 otai:
	Grand Total:

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

### 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

## School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

🛛 Yes

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

No

The SAC will meet and monitor the School Improvement Plan. They will also vote on the "A" monies proposal.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount