# **Florida Department of Education**



1

# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: Avon Elementary	District Name: Highlands
Principal: Pamela Burnham	Superintendent: Wally Cox
SAC Chair: Karin Doty	Date of School Board Approval:

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Pamela Burnham	BSE, MSE in Guidance and Counseling, Certification in Educational Leadership	11	16	01 – 02 B AYP/NA 02 – 03 B AYP/NA 03 – 04 A AYP/NA 04 – 05 A AYP/YES 05 – 06 B AYP/Provisional 06 – 07 A AYP/A 07 – 08 C AYP/YES 08 – 09 A AYP/YES 09 – 10 B AYP/NO 10 – 11 D AYP/NO 11 – 12 C
Assistant Principal	Karin Doty	BSE, MSE in Educational Leadership	6	6	06-07 A AYP/A 07-08 C AYP/YES 08-09 A AYP/YES 09-10 B AYP/NO 10-11 D AYP/NO 11-12 C

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Cindy Murphy	BA in Elementary Education – Grades 1 – 6 and K – 12 Reading Endorsement and ESOL Endorsement	13	5	07 – 08 C AYP/YES 08 – 09 A AYP/YES 09 – 10 B AYP/NO 10 – 11 D AYP/NO 11 – 12 C

#### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Internships from local colleges to recruit	Pam Burnham/Karin Doty	Ongoing throughout school year
2. Job postings through Heartland Consortium to recruit	Pam Burnham	As needed to fill positions
3. Peer/Mentor teachers assigned to new teachers to retain	Pam Burnham	When new teachers are hired
4. Peer Evaluators to retain	District Office	1 <sup>st</sup> year teachers and Teachers with Needs Improvement based on Performance Appraisal

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0% [0]	N/A

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
43	2% [1]	13% [5]	28% [12]	56% [29]	26% [12]	98% [42]	15% [6]	15% [6]	69% [29]

#### **Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
-------------	-----------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

Mary Foster	Emily Eshelman	Ms. Eshelman is a first year teacher and working in $2^{nd}$ grade. Ms. Foster has many years of teaching experience, with ESOL and Reading Endorsements, as well as the Team Leader for $2^{nd}$ grade.	Ms. Foster will follow the district wide protocol in providing support and guidance to Ms. Eshelman. Some activities may include planning and preparing curriculum and instruction, collaborating on classroom management techniques, and assisting with day to day routines and procedures.
Marci Hargrove	Christin Sapp	Ms. Sapp is a 2 <sup>nd</sup> year teacher but is new to our district. She is teaching 4 <sup>th</sup> grade. Ms. Hargrove will serve as her mentor as she is the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade Team Leader, ESOL endorsed, Gifted endorsed as well as being a National Board Certified teacher.	Ms. Hargrove will follow the district wide protocol in providing support and guidance to Ms. Sapp. Some activities may include planning and preparing curriculum and instruction, collaborating on classroom management techniques, and assisting with day to day routines and procedures.
Kelly Hall	Danielle Respress	Ms. Respress has previous teaching experience in our district, however, she is recently returning to the classroom after several years. Ms. Hall will serve as her mentor as Ms. Hall has many years of experience mentoring new teachers and working with student interns. She is also National Board Certified.	Ms. Hall will follow the district wide protocol in providing support and guidance to Ms. Respress. Some activities may include planning and preparing curriculum and instruction, collaborating on classroom management techniques, and assisting with day to day routines and procedures.

#### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Will provide funds to all elementary schools and one middle school with 75% free/reduced poverty level, in a school-wide project format, to target academic assistance to all
students, professional development for teachers and parent involvement activities. This grant is also the funding source for implementing the requirements of NCLB.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Provides services to migrant students (PreK – 12th grade) and their families. The primary goal of the Migrant Program is to improve academic performance of migrant students,
and provide health and guidance services to them. The Migrant Early Childhood Program serves 4 year old children in a full time pres
Title I, Part D
Provides services to children who are delinquent or neglected.
Title II
Part A: Provides for teacher professional development and supports all teachers and paraprofessionals to be highly qualified.
Title III
Supports activities to assist students to become proficient in English, supports teacher professional development in ELL strategies and parent involvement and education.
Title X- Homeless
Student Services coordinates with Title 1, Part A to provide resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the
McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI funds will be coordinated with Title 1 funds to provide summer school for Level 1 readers.
Violence Prevention Programs
The district offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporates field trips, community service, drug tests, and counseling.
Nutrition Programs
District food service department facilitates grant funding to provide fresh fruit and vegetables in the elementary schools. In addition, they provide services in summer for
breakfast and lunches at various school and community locations.
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A

Career and Technical Education Proposals are submitted annually to enhance selected Vocational Programs for regular, disadvantaged, and handicapped students in grades 7 – 12. Title VI supports the operations of the Career Academy by providing professional development and resources for progress monitoring. Job Training

A partnership with the city will provide students with a job skills program that will allow students the opportunity to learn how to create a resume, dress for success, and perform well during a job interview.

Other N/A

# Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
ntify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
n Burnham – Principal
rin Doty – Assistant Principal
rtie Brooker – Guidance Counselor
ather Simmons – School Psychologist
ndy Murphy - Reading Coach
n Lanier – Staffing Specialist
rious Classroom teachers – as needed
scribe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate
e MTSS/RtI team meets monthly with all team members. The reading coach and guidance counselor review and input data weekly. Students may be referred to this team for istance when experiencing difficulty academically or behaviorally. This is done through progress monitoring, or directly to the guidance counselor, administration, reading coach other team member when ordinary classroom accommodations and intervention strategies fail to resolve the student's deficiency. A meeting is then scheduled for the RtI team to et with the classroom teacher to share concerns and look cooperatively with the team for solutions. Parents are invited to attend the meeting as well. The team clarifies the conce I reviews available data in order to specifically define the issue
scribe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving beess is used in developing and implementing the SIP? the students, parents and staff at Avon Elementary School work together to achieve our mission and goals, the RtI Team is an essential part of the plan. That team plays a vital rother support of the school improvement plan through: accountability, multiple tiers of intervention, scientifically based interventions, progress monitoring and decisions at various els of the child's response to interventions and problem solving.
MTSS Implementation
scribe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. S actively participates with the A3 system used by the Highlands County School district. This system is accessed by teachers, support personnel and administration. The A3 tem provides several purposes: chived academic data of our students (i.e. FCAT scores, Performance Matters, etc.) chived attendance and discipline referral information
rrent progress monitoring data (academic data, attendance, and discipline)
cumentation of students who require additional interventions via PMP (Progress Monitoring Plan) or more intense monitoring and intervention (SOS referral system).
scribe the plan to train staff on MTSS. e RtI team members were involved in training for three years in The Florida Problem Solving/Response to Intervention Statewide Initiative, a collaborative project between the orida Department of Education and the University of South Florida. Five days of training was provided for our school RtI team. As training began for the team, teachers at Avon ementary were introduced to the RtI model, given an overview and background information. The process continues to evolve as teachers gain skills in using the problem solving/ ponse to intervention process daily in the classroom.

#### Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Support to the MTSS/RtI process is ongoing. The team continually meets to analyze the effectiveness of the interventions, and teachers continue to receive support as they meet with the guidance counselor and through progress monitoring meetings held three times a year.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
Pam Burnham – Principal
Karin Doty – Assistant Principal/Reading Endorsed
Cindy Murphy – Reading Coach/Reading Endorsed
Laura Richardson – Kindergarten Teacher/National Board Certified
Sara Franza – 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade Teacher/Reading Endorsed
Mary Foster – 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade Teacher/Reading Endorsed
Cindy Cobb – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Teacher
Marcia Hargrove – 4th Grade Teacher – Reading Endorsed and National Board Certified
Lisa Gause – 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Teacher
Lisa Elder – ESE/VE Teacher
Martie Brooker – Guidance Counselor
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The LLT is vital in researching evidence based strategies, setting literacy goals based upon this research, communicating those goals with stakeholders and supporting the
implementation of goals of the team. The team meets before the start of the school year to set long and short term goals for the school. They work with the Curriculum Leadership
Team and cooperatively decide on avenues and logistics of implementing the plan. Throughout the school year, the team meets to progress monitor the plan and its success. They
also conduct professional development to other teachers in order to reach the goals set by the team. After the school year, the team meets again to evaluate the effectiveness of the
goals and implementation. They come to consensus about next steps and how to process for the following year.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
*Reading Pals implemented in Kindergarten with conjunction with United Way and Barney and Carol Barnett.
*Revisit AR goals and reorganize the implementation of rewards.
*SM5 computer based program meeting all the Reading needs of each student.
*Implementation of the Leader in Me by Sean Covey for students to take responsibility of his/her learning by keeping data notebooks and tracking progress.
*Implementation of Kagan strategies to increase student engagement within the 90 minute reading block

\*Implementation of Kagan strategies to increase student engagement within the 90 minute reading block.

#### **Public School Choice**

#### • Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The staff at Avon Elementary is involved with the early childhood centers in our community. As requested, counselors and kindergarten teachers meet with staff and parents at these centers to provide information regarding expectations as children enter school for the first time. Each spring, early childhood centers schedule visitations for those students who will be enrolling at Avon Elementary. A special Kindergarten registration time is scheduled for two days each spring. Parents are invited to come to school with their child, visit our campus and are given information to assist them in enrollment. There are also open enrollment times as well.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

#### **Postsecondary Transition**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

N/A

#### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

#### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
1A. FCAT 2.0:	Students will	Teachers will	Administration, Reading Coach,	Students will be progress	Grade level indicators, Harcourt	
Students scoring at	not utilizo	model, teach,	and Teacher	monitored three times a year to	Weekly Test, Harcourt Theme	
Achievement Level 3	stratagies when	and monitor		discuss and determine rate of	Tests, Small Group Instruction,	
in reading.	attempting to	the use of QAR		progression at each grade level;	FAI, SM5	
in reading.		strategies.		Classroom walkthroughs and	FAI, SMS	
	fiction and	sualegies.		informal observations; and teacher		
	nonfiction	Teachers will		observation and assignments.		
		model the use		observation and assignments.		
	passages.	of UNRAVVEI				
		strategies	1			
		and monitor				
		students to				
		ensure the				
		strategies are				
		being utilized.				
		oeing utilizeu.				
		Teachers will				
		utilize Kagan				
		structures to				
		increase student				
		engagement				
		during the 90				
		minute Reading				
		block.				
		oro <b>ci</b> i.				
		Teachers will				
		utilize Close				
		Reading to				
		support students	5			
		in acquiring				
		skills to read				
		passages				
		critically.				
	1	I				

Avon Elementary	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	achieved Level 3 on FCAT	60% [179 students] will score Level 3 on FCAT Reading in 2012- 2013.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

	lin i	lin i	18.1	1.5.4		
1B. Florida		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate		ESE teachers	Administrator, Reading Coach,		IEP Goals/Performance of	
A	teacher to plan		Teacher, District Liaison	school wide progress monitoring	student	
	and collaborate			of individual students three times a		
		level liaison		year.	Alternate Assessment	
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in		to understand				
reading.		and be able				
i chung.		to provide				
		more effective				
	of the standards.					
		the students				
		in the access				
		points.				
		Ensure that				
		each student's				
		IEP goals are				
		suitable for				
		him/her to be				
		successful on				
		the alternate				
		assessment.				
Reading Goal #1B:		2013 Expected				
Avon Elementary		Level of				
continues to rocus on	Performance:*	Performance:*				
effective strategies in						
the area of Reading						
instruction in K – 5 <sup>th</sup>						
grades. We operate on						
the belief that every child						
can learn and we will						
support and encourage			1			
all students to do so.			1			
In doing this, we instill			1			
the love of reading and			1			
develop lifelong learners.			1			
			1			
			1			
			1			

The remaining 6 students scored at Levels 7, 8, and 9.	4, 5, 6 on the FAA in 2012 -2013. This number decreased from last year as we would like more					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

	A	<u><u> </u></u>	D D	D U L D		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:	2.4.1	0.4.1				
		2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	
	Students are not		Administration, Reading Coach,	Students will be progress	Harcourt Theme tests, Harcourt	
<b>.</b>		will use	Teacher	monitored three times a year to	Weekly Tests, and teacher	
	her instructional	supplemental		discuss and determine rate of	observation in small groups.	
	level, therefore	materials		progression at each grade level;		
		provided in the		Classroom walkthroughs and		
		core curriculum as well as	,	informal observations; and teacher		
	μ			observation and assignments.		
		leveled readers in Science.				
		Teachers will				
		use these				
		materials in				
		small group instruction to				
		ensure that				
		instruction				
		is taught at or above				
		grade level to				
		students.				
		students.				
		Utilization				
		of the SM5				
		program.				

Avon Elementary	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2012.	students] will score Level 4 or above on FCAT Reading in 2012- 2013.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

	an i	an i	8D 1			
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Alternate	Time for	ESE teachers	Administrator, Reading Coach,		IEP Goals/Performance of	
Assessment:	teacher to plan	will work	Teacher, District Liaison	school wide progress monitoring	student	
	and collaborate			of individual students three times a		
Students scoring at	in order to	level liaison		year.	Alternate Assessment	
or above Level 7 in	ensure that	to understand				
reading.	students have	and be able				
C .	instruction in Access Points	to provide more effective				
	of the standards					
	of the standards	the students				
		in the access				
		points.				
		pointo.				
		Ensure that				
		each student's				
		IEP goals are				
		suitable for				
		him/her to be				
		successful on				
		the alternate				
		assessment.				 
Reading Goal #2B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Avon Elementary	Level of	Level of Performance:*				
continues to focus on	Performance:*	Performance:*				
effective strategies in						
the area of Reading instruction in K – 5 <sup>th</sup>						
grades. We operate on						
the belief that every child						
can learn and we will						
support and encourage						
all students to do so.						
In doing this, we instill						
the love of reading and						
develop lifelong learners.						
			1			
			1			
			1			
		0.00/ 58				
	75% [6 out of 8 students] scored	88% [7 out of 8 students] will				
	at or above Level					
	7 on the FAA in	Level 7 on the				
	2011 - 2012.	FAA in 2012 –				
		2013.				

	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

	<b>b</b> + 1	b + 1	2 4 1	2 + 1	2.4.1	
3A. FCAT 2.0:		3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	
Percentage of	Instruction will		Classroom Teacher, Administration		SM5 program, Grade Level	
students making		will meet	and Reading Coach	that data.	Indicators	
	driven resulting	weekly to				
learning gains in	in students not	discuss		Progress Monitoring meetings 3x		
reading.	receiving proper	curriculum		a year - teacher/administrators/		
,	instruction	mapping/		Reading Coach/ Guidance		
		pacing;		Counselor		
		collaborate				
	level; therefore					
		students.				
	classroom time	They will				
		analyze data				
	ernerentry.	individually				
		and as a grade				
		level to discuss				
		resources and				
		materials to bes				
		fit the needs of	оц			
		their students.				
		Administration				
		will conduct				
		classroom				
		walkthroughs				
		and informal				
		observations				
		to monitor use				
		of classroom				
		time and ensure	•			
		appropriate				
		materials are				
		being utilized.				
		Teachers will				
		collaborate				
		with Reading				
		Coach on Tier				
		2 students to				
		ensure proper				
		instruction and				
		resources are				
		being used.				
		comp used.				
		Use of the SM5	;			
		program.				
		program.				

Avon Elementary	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	60% [179 students] made learning gains on FCAT Reading in 2011 – 2012.	gains on FCAT Reading in 2012 – 2013.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

	hp 1	hp 1	20.1	20.1	2D 1		
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1. ESE teachers		3B.1.	3B.1. IEP Goals/Performance of		
Alternate	Time for teacher to plan	will work	Administrator, Reading Coach, Teacher, District Liaison	Progress Monitoring of IEP, school wide progress monitoring			
Assessment:	and collaborate	WIII WOFK	Teacher, District Liaison	of individual students three times a	student		
Percentage of	in order to	level liaison			A 14		
	ensure that	to understand		year.	Alternate Assessment		
students making							
learning gains in	students have instruction in	and be able to provide					
reading.	Access Points	more effective					
8	of the standards.						
	of the standards.	the students					
		in the access					
		points.					
		points.					
		Ensure that					
		each student's					
		IEP goals are					
		suitable for					
		him/her to be					
		successful on					
		the alternate					
		assessment.					
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Avon Elementary	Level of	Level of					
continues to focus on	Performance:*	Performance:*					
effective strategies in							
the area of Reading							
instruction in $\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{\tilde{5}}^{\text{th}}$							
grades. We operate on							
the belief that every child							
can learn and we will							
support and encourage							
all students to do so.							
In doing this, we instill							
the love of reading and							
develop lifelong learners							
	0% [0] students	25% [1] will					
	made learning	make learning					
	gains on FAA	gains on FAA					
	Reading in 2012.	Reading in 2013.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	not respond to the core Reading curriculum and /or supplemental/ strategic	4A.1. Students will be monitored more frequently to determine the rate of progression as compared to peers in small groups and the whole class. The Reading Coach and the classroom teacher will work together in determining the most appropriate strategies to ensure a positive response to intervention. SM5 computer- based program.	4A.1. Administration, Reading Coach, Classroom Teacher, Guidance Counselor, Rtl Team	More frequent assessments will be	4A.1 PAST, Phonics, CBM, Maze, SM 5	

Avon Elementary	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	learning gains on FCAT Reading in						
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2010-2011	Baseline data: 57% of students scored satisfactory or higher on FCAT Reading in 2011 – 2012.	65% of students will score satisfactory or higher on FCAT Reading in 2012 – 2013.		72% of students will score satisfactory or higher on the Reading state assessment.	satisfactory or higher on the Reading state assessment.	79% of students will score satisfactory or higher on the Reading state assessment.
<b>Reading Goal #5A:</b> Avon Elementary continues to focus on effective strategies in the area of Reading instruction in $K - 5^{th}$ grades. We operate on the belief that every child can learn and we will support and encourage all students to do so. In doing this, we instill the love of reading and develop lifelong learners							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

<b>5B. Student</b> subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.5B.1. Expected barriers for all subgroups include: The amount of time allot for development of readi skills may not be sufficie providing extra assistance students who are well be grade level.	Targeted students may receive additional time on the SM5 program during times not during the Reading block, small group ent in instruction from classroom teacher, and may be invited to attend after	5B.1. Administration Classroom teacher Reading Coach	5B.1. Monitoring of lesson plans to ensure time on SM5, small grou instruction is being documented. Classroom walkthroughs and informal/formal classroom observations. On-going progress monitoring	5B.1. Data used during progress pmonitoring and FCAT Spring 2013 results.		
Reading Goal #5B:       2012 Current Level of         Avon Elementary       Performance:*         continues to focus on       effective strategies in         the area of Reading       instruction in K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grades. We operate on       the belief that every child         can learn and we will       support and encourage         all students to do so.       In doing this, we instill         the love of reading and       develop lifelong learners	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
68% of white; 44% of bla 49% of Hispanic made a 3 on FCAT Reading in 2 2012. We did not have subgrou Asian nor American Indi	Level 50% of Hispanic to make Level 3 on FCAT Reading in 2012 – 2013. We did not have subgroups for Asian no American Indian.					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Avon Elementary	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Students not entering intermediate grades with the necessary background skills in order to perform satisfactorily on the FCAT reading portion of the test.	Teachers will utilize materials on students' grade levels in order to fill gaps in reading skills. Teachers will focus on FCAT	Administration	Teachers will plan and prepare lessons embedded in skills deficient of students. Teachers will collaborate and share	observations and classroom walkthroughs, progress	
<b>Reading Goal #5D:</b> Avon Elementary continues to focus on effective strategies in the area of Reading instruction in $K - 5^{th}$ grades. We operate on the belief that every child can learn and we will support and encourage all students to do so. In doing this, we instill the love of reading and develop lifelong learners	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

satisfactory progress in	18% of SWD will make satisfactory progress in reading on the FCAT 2012 – 2013.					
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Students not entering intermediate grades with the necessary background skills in order to perform satisfactorily on the FCAT reading portion of the test.	Teachers will utilize materials on students' grade levels in order to fill gaps in reading skills. Teachers will focus on FCAT	Teacher Administration	Teachers will plan and prepare lessons embedded in skills deficient of students. Teachers will collaborate and share	observations and classroom walkthroughs, progress	
Avon Elementary	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

satisfactory progress in	50% of students will make Level 3 on FCAT Reading in 2012 – 2013.					
	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

## **<u>Reading Professional Development</u>**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Kagan Structures	ALL grade levels and subject areas	Kagan Consultant	School wide	October 8 <sup>th</sup> 7:30 – 3:00	Administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs and informal/formal observations, teachers will note Kagan activities in lesson plans	Administration, Teachers Reading Coach
Daily 5/CAFÉ Book Study	K – 5 teachers	FDLRS	Teachers voluntarily signed up for training	After school 2:15 – 3:15 on the following days: October 9, 16, 23, 30 and November 13	Participants will read books and have discussion sessions in training. In order to receive in-service points, participants will complete all scheduled meetings and assignments provided by trainer.	Teacher self-monitors

Common Core	K- 5	Kim Ervin	<ul> <li>K - 1: Continue curriculum planning on Atlas</li> <li>Grades 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> teachers: district Reading Specialist to train</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{l} K-1: 2 \text{ days Summer 2012} \\ K-1: \text{ Setpember 11}^{\text{th}} \\ K-3: \text{ September 26} \\ 4^{\text{th}} \text{ and 5}^{\text{th}}: \text{ November 7}^{\text{th}} \end{array}$	Administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs and informal/formal observations, teachers will note Common Core standards in lesson plans/Atlas mapping.	Teachers, Administration Reading Coach
SM5	K - 5	Lu Brannon, ITRT	Classroom Teachers	0 1	Administrators will analyze SM5 reports, conduct classroom walkthroughs, and review lesson plans /Computer Lab for SM5 times and days	Administrators, Teachers

### Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers will learn strategies on increasing student engagement when teaching reading.	Kagan Structures	Title 1 – Staff Development	\$1370.00
To support teachers in the implementation of the Common Core Standards	K – 5 Common Core Deconstructed Standards	Title 1 – Instructional Funds	\$1200.00
Subtotal: 2570.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers will have on-line access to Kagan activities, materials and articles to support in the implementation of Kagan structures in the classroom	Kagan Club	Title 1 – Instructional Funds	\$108.99
Subtotal: 108.99			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers will learn strategies on increasing student engagement when teaching reading.	Kagan Structures	Title 1 – Staff Developement	<pre>\$2990.00 - consultant \$ 500.00 - travel expenses</pre>
Reading Coach to attend Kagan Structure on Higher Order Questioning	Kagan Structures	Title 1 – Staff Development	\$400.00
Subtotal: 3890.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implementation of Core Curriulum District based reading series	Replacements for the current adopted Reading series	Instructional Materials	\$3250.00

Subtotal: 3250.00		
Total: \$9818.99		

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
listening/speaking.	opportunities in the classroom to verbalize understanding of the English language.	conversations and group activities. Teachers will utilize ESOL strategies that target listening and speaking.		<ul><li>1.1. Active (LY) and monitored (LF) students will be monitored by the ESOL Resource Teacher, Administrators and Reading Coach progress monitor students 3 times a year.</li></ul>	Progress Report and Report Cards	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 51% [49] scored proficient in					
	51% [49] scored proficient in Listening/Speaking on CELLA.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.	nonfiction passages	Teachers will model the use of UNRAVVEL strategies and monitor students to ensure the strategies are being utilized. Teachers will utilize Kagan structures to increase student engagement during the 90 minute Reading block. Teachers will utilize Close Reading to support students in acquiring skills to read passages critically.	Reading Coach, ESOL Resource Teacher	2.1. Students will be progress monitored three times a year to discuss and determine rate of progression at each grade level; Classroom walkthroughs and informal observations; and teacher observation and assignments.	2.1. Grade level indicators, Progress Report and Report Cards	
Avon Elementary continues to focus on effective strategies in the area of Reading instruction in K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grades. We operate on the belief that every child can learn and we will support and encourage all students to do so. In doing this, we instill the love of reading and develop lifelong learners	Proficient in Reading: 35% [31] scored proficient in					
	Reading on CELLA.					

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.	vocabulary background to write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :	<ul> <li>2.1. Teachers will utilize</li> <li>vocabulary strategies through</li> <li>the Harcourt Reading series and</li> <li>the robust vocabulary provided.</li> <li>Teachers will focus on difficult</li> <li>vocabulary skills such as inflection</li> <li>endings, plural nouns through</li> <li>phonic lessons and Daily Oral</li> <li>Language.</li> <li>Teachers will also utilize Kathy</li> <li>Robinson Writing program,</li> <li>specifically "Dinner Words" and</li> <li>the Daily Sentence Work.</li> </ul>	2.1. Teachers, Reading Coach, ESOL Resource Teacher, Administrators	<ul> <li>2.1. Administrators/Reading Coach collect writing samples from K – 5 classes and monitor the progress of students.</li> <li>ESOL Resource Teacher collaborates with classroom teachers to provide support when necessary in areas of students' deficiencies.</li> </ul>	2.1. Grade level indicators, Progress Report and Report Cards	
	24% [21] scored proficient in Writing on CELLA.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

#### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: <b>1A. FCAT 2.0:</b> <b>Students scoring at</b> <b>Achievement Level 3</b> <b>in mathematics.</b>	proficiency as they move from	Students will practice math concepts at his/	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1A.1. Administrators will monitor SM5 through reports. Teachers will administer	Students will be progress	Evaluation Tool 1A.1. Grade level indicators, Progress Report and Report Cards	
	grade level. All students have not mastered appropriate math facts/key concepts for the grade level.	SM5 daily. After school tutoring for Grades 3 – 5 in Math.	Pre and post assessments of students attending Math tutoring. Administrators will analyze growth. Administrators will check lesson plans weekly.	Classroom walkthroughs and informal observations; and teacher observation and assignments.		

Mathematics Goal #1A: K and 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade will focus on student success in Math through the Common Core Math Standards; 2 <sup>nd</sup> through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade will continue focusing on student success in Math through the Next Generation Sunshine State Math Standards.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	FCAT Math in 2011 – 2012.	score at Level 3 on FCAT Math in 2012 – 2013.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
			1A.3.			1A.3.	
Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	Time for teacher to plan and collaborate in order to ensure that students have instruction in Access Points of the standards.	ESE teachers will work with district level liaison to understand and be able to provide more effective	Teacher, District Liaison	Progress Monitoring of IEP, school wide progress monitoring of individual students three times a	IB.1. IEP Goals/Performance of student Alternate Assessment		

#1B: K and 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade will focus on student success in Math through the Common Core Math Standards; 2 <sup>nd</sup> through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade will continue focusing on student success in Math through the Next Generation Sunshine State Math Access Points.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	at Levels 4, 5, and 6 on FAA	63% [5] will score at Levels 4, 5, and 6 on FAA Mathematics.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

		<u><u> </u></u>	<b>D D</b>	D II II DI		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
					2A.1.	
	Students are not			Teachers will monitor student	Grade level indicators, progress	
· · ·		will use		growth through Pinnacle and small	and report cards	
	her instructional			group instruction assignments.		
	level, therefore					
		provided in the		Teachers/administrators will		
		core curriculum.		analyze SM5 reports.		
		Teachers will				
		use these		Classroom teachers will view		
		materials in		ALEX program to monitor usage.		
		small group				
		instruction to				
		ensure that				
		instruction				
		is taught at				
		or above				
		grade level to				
		students.				
		11/11 / ·				
		Utilization				
		of the SM5				
		program				
		ALEV				
		ALEX computer				
		program				
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected				
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				
K and 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade will focus	renormance:*	renormance:*				
on student success in Math						
through the Common						
Core Math Standards;						
2 <sup>nd</sup> through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade						
will continue focusing						
on student success in						
Math through the Next						
Generation Sunshine State						
Math Standards.						

·			i	i	i	i	
	25% [75] scored at Levels 4 and 5 on FCAT Math.	28% [84] will score at Levels 4 and 5 on FCAT Math.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
Alternate Assessment:	Students do not demonstrate proficiency in math skills.		2B.1. Teacher, Administrators, District Liaison	Progress Monitoring of IEP,	2B.1. IEP Goals/Performance of student Alternate Assessment Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal/Formal Observations		
#2B.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	38% [3] scored at or above Level 7 on FAA Mathematics.	50% [4] will score at or above Level 7 on FAA Mathematics.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	

	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	Students continue to have a gap in skill proficiency as they move from grade level to grade level. All students have not mastered appropriate math facts/key concepts for the grade level.	concepts at his/ her level on SM5 daily. After school tutoring for Grades 3 – 5 in Math. Lesson Planning Collaboration within grade	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 3A.1. Administrators will monitor SM5 through reports. Teachers will administer Pre and post assessments of students attending Math tutoring. Administrators will analyze growth. Administrators will check lesson plans weekly.	Students will be progress	Evaluation Tool 3A.1. Grade level indicators, Progress Report and Report Cards		
#3A: K and 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade will focus on student success in Math through the Common Core Math Standards; 2 <sup>nd</sup> through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade will continue focusing on student success in Math through the Next Generation Sunshine State Math Standards.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 56% [167] made learning gains on FCAT Mathematics in 2011 – 2012.	levels weekly. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 58% [194] will make learning gains on FCAT Mathematics in 2012 – 2013. 3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	

		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	Time for teacher to plan and collaborate in order to ensure that students have instruction in Access Points of the standards.	ESE teachers will work with district level liaison to understand and be able to provide more effective instruction for the students in the access points. Ensure that each student's IEP goals are suitable for him/her to be successful on the alternate assessment.	3B.1. Teacher, Administrators, District Liaison	Progress Monitoring of IEP, school wide progress monitoring of individual students three times a year.	3B.1. IEP Goals/Performance of student Alternate Assessment Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal/Formal Observations		
Mathematics Goal #3B: K and 1st Grade will focus on student success in Math through the Common Core Math Standards; 2 <sup>nd</sup> through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade will continue focusing on student success in Math through the Next Generation Sunshine State Math Access Points.	Level of Performance:* 0% [0] made learning gains	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 25% [1] will make learning gains on the FAA Mathematics 2013.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Stratager	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
		Students will	Administrators will monitor SM5		Grade level indicators, Progress		
	continue to have		through reports.	monitored three times a year to	Report and Report Cards		
		concepts at his/	unough reports.	discuss and determine rate of	Report and Report Cards		
			Teachers will administer	progression at each grade level;			
U	they move from		Pre and post assessments of	Classroom walkthroughs and			
	grade level to	Sivi5 dally.	students attending Math tutoring.	informal observations; and teacher			
	grade level. All	After school	Administrators will analyze	observation and assignments.			
			growth.	observation and assignments.			
		Grades $3 - 5$ in	growin.				
			Administrators will check lesson				
	math facts/key		plans weekly.				
		Lesson Planning					
	grade level.	Collaboration					
		within grade					
		levels weekly.					
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
K and 1st Grade will focus	Performance:*	Performance:*					
on student success in Math							
through the Common							
Core Math Standards;							
$2^{nd}$ through $5^{th}$ grade							
will continue focusing							
on student success in							
Math through the Next							
Generation Sunshine State							
Math Access Points.							
	460/ 51201	400/ [1/1]					
	46% [138] made learning	48% [161] will make learning					
	gains on FCAT	gains on FCAT					
	Mathematics.	Mathematics.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
			-				

	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	58% of students scored satisfactory or higher on FCAT Mathematics.	satisfactory or higher on FCAT	satisfactory or higher on	68% of students will score satisfactory or higher on Mathematics state assessment.	satisfactory or higher on Mathematics state assessment.	76% of students will score satisfactory or higher on Mathematics state assessment.
Mathematics Goal #5A: Avon Elementary continues to focus on effective strategies in the area of Math instruction in $K - 5^{th}$ grades. We operate on the belief that every child can learn and we will support and encourage all students to do so. In doing this, we want to develop lifelong learners							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
SD. Student	56.1.	56.1.	56.1.	56.1.	56.1.		
subgroups by	Students will not be prepared	Teachers will expose students to	Teacher	Teacher will plan lessons to	Progress Monitoring three times		
ethnicity (White,	with foundational mathematical	Common Core math standards		ensure Common Core standards	a year and final promotion/		
· · · ·	skills to succeed on the Math	in the primary grades allowing	Administration		retention status.		
Asian, American	portion of FCAT.	students to dig deeper into the		instruction.			
Indian) <b>not making</b>		content.					
satisfactory progress				Administration will conduct			
in mathematics.				classroom walkthroughs, observations and check lesson			
in mathematics.				plans weekly.			
Mathematics Goal <u>#5B:</u> Avon Elementary continues to focus on effective strategies in the area of Math instruction in $K - 5^{th}$ grades. We operate on the belief that every child can learn and we will support and encourage all students to do so. In doing this, we want to develop lifelong learners	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	67% of White students; 46% of Black students; 51% of Hispanic students; Asian – N/A American Indian – N/A made satisfactory progress in mathematics.	We expect 70% of White; 48% of Black; 53% of Hispanic; Asian- N/A; American Indian – N/A to make satisfactory progress in Math on FCAT 2012- 2013.					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
L		ļ	ļ				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	N/A	5C.1. N/A	5C.1. N/A	5C.1. N/A	5C.1. N/A		
Mathematics Goal_ #5C:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		5C.2. 5C.3.				5C.2. 5C.3.	
		DU.3.	DC.3.	DC.3.	DU.3.	DU.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Students will not be prepared with foundational mathematical skills to succeed on the Math portion of FCAT.	Teachers will expose students to Common Core math standards in the primary grades allowing students to dig deeper into the content.	5D.1. Teacher Administration	Teacher will plan lessons to ensure Common Core standards	5D.1. Progress Monitoring three times a year and final promotion/ retention status	
#5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

subgroup SWD made	30% of the subgroup SWD will make satisfactory progress on FCAT Math in 2012 – 2013.					
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

		~				•	1
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#5E:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Avon Elementary							
continues to focus on							
effective strategies in the							
area of Math instruction							
in K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grades. We							
operate on the belief that							
every child can learn							
and we will support and							
encourage all students to							
do so. In doing this, we							
want to develop lifelong							
learners							
	52% of	We expect 53%					
	Economically	of Economically					
	Disadvantaged students made	Disadvantaged students to make					
	satisfactory	satisfactory					
	progress in math.	progress in math.					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		<b>I-</b>					
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
		JL.J.	JL.J.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
August 2012					1	1	
Anonet 7017							

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	-						
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.		1A.2.		1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
<u>#1B:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	r onormanoe.	r errormanee.					
goal in this box.							
500000000000000000000000000000000000000							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		ID.2.	10.2.	10.2.	10.2.	10.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	tnis dox.		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		211.2.	<i>L</i> 1. <i>L</i> .	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> <b>1</b> . <i>2</i> .	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	2D.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0:</b>	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					-
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		511.2.	571.2.	511.2.		511.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
maintinants.							

#3B <sup>.</sup>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4. 1		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis oox.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1. White:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
sungroups ny	Black:						
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:						
<i>i i i</i>	Asian: American Indian:						
Asian, American	American mutan.						
Indian) <b>not making</b>							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
e et English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5C·	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
				5C.2.		5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress	5						
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5D:		Level of	1				
	Performance:*	Performance:*	4				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			4				
goai in this box.			1				
			4		1		
			1				
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of			'		
	performance in	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		( - · - ·					
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
			·		<u></u> /	L/	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
		- 2.9.					

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### **Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		1
Assessment: Students seering et	1 '	1 '					1
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in	1 '	1 '					Í
mathematics.	1 '						
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			1		
	Level of	Level of Performance:*			!		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					!		
0					!		
!					!		
!					!		
		Enter numerical data for			,		
	current level of	expected level of			!		
		performance in this box.					
1			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
++	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1 '	1 '			!		
/	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	·		<i>'</i>	l!	l

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:							
<b>3. Florida Alternate</b>	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		<u> </u>					
50111 111111111111111111111111111111111							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2	2.2		2.2	2.2	
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### <u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	A (* * ) ( 1	<u> </u>	D D'(			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
3B. Student		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
	Black: Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) <b>not making</b>							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							

Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.				3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### <u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.	2.2			2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						2.2	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L							

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years <b>3A. In six years,</b> school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3B. Student</b> subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3			3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:	25.1	25.1			25.1		
	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
Linci nurranie joi inc	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

### **Mathematics Professional Development**

<b>Professional</b>			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Grade Level Planning/ Collaboration	K - 5	Team Leaders	All classroom teachers	Weekly; ongoing through school year	Administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs, formal and informal observations to ensure effective mathematical strategies are being taught. Lesson plans will be checked weekly by administrators to monitor objectives, strategies and assessments being utilized in the classroom are aligned to standards and are appropriate for the students.	Administrators, Teachers
SM5 Computer Program	K - 5	Instructional Technology Resource Teacher	All classroom teachers	As needed throughout the year	Administrators will analyze SM5 reports of students' growth in math. Teachers will analyze SM5 reports for growth and time on task in the computer program.	ITRT, Administrators, Teachers
Kagan Structures	K – 5	Kagan Consultant	All classroom teachers	October 8, 2012 7:30 – 3:00	Administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs, formal and informal observations to ensure Kagan structures are utilized during math instruction. Lesson plans will be checked weekly by administrators to monitor objectives, strategies and assessments being utilized in the classroom, with Kagan structures embedded throughout lessons.	Administration, Teachers

## <u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

	r			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Effective Planning/Collaboration	MacMillan Math Textbook	Instructional Materials	\$3250.00	
Subtotal: \$3250.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Tutoring in Math instruction for students Grades $3-5$ .	Teacher salaries and materials			
Subtotal: 3250.00				
Total: \$3250.00				

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1	i		la	i	1	
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring at	Students will	Administrators					
Achievement Level 3	not have the	arranging for	Teachers, Administrators	Teachers will monitor students'	Assessments given in classroom;		
		on-site training		progress through Pinnacle grading	Performance Matters Baseline		
	knowledge needed to be	for teachers		system; administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs, informal	Science tests; pre and post test of Science tutoring students		
		on the newly purchased		and formal observations	Science tutoring students		
		Science series –		and formal observations			
		Scott Foresman/					
	Science test.	Pearson to assist					
	Lack of	in an aligned					
	professional	instruction and					
	development	curriculum					
		program.					
	in the use and	program					
	understanding	Supplemental					
		materials					
	adopted Science	- Options;					
	textbooks and	Comprehensive					
	materials.	Science					
		Assessment –					
		purchased for					
		extra support					
		in Science					
		curriculum					
		After school					
		tutoring in					
		Science for 5 <sup>th</sup>					
		Graders.					
Gairman Carl #1 A	2012 Current	2013 Expected				P	
	Level of	Level of					
Avon Elementary strives	Performance:*	Performance:*					
for quality instruction in	r errormance.	r criormanee.					
Science and an aligned							
curriculum that best meets							
the needs of our students.	49% [49] scored	520/ [52]					
	at Level 3 on	52% [52] will score at Level 3					
	FCAT Science in						
	2011 - 2012.	in 2012 – 2013.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	needed to be successful on the FAA Science test.	Ensure students have instruction in the Access Points of the NGSSS. Hands on activities to promote an interest in Science	1B.1. Teachers, administrators	1B.1. Teacher will monitor students' progress through Pinnacle grading system and by student observation; administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs, informal and formal observations	1B.1. Assessments in the classroom, student observation, pinnacle		
Science Goal #1B: Avon Elementary strives for quality instruction in Science and an aligned curriculum that best meets the needs of our students	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	50% [1] will score at Levels 4, 5, 6 on FAA Science.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	Students will not have the background cnowledge needed to be successful on the FCAT Science test. Lack of professional development for teachers n the use and understanding of the newly idopted Science extbooks and materials	Administrators arranging for on-site training for teachers on the newly purchased Science series – Scott Foresman/ Pearson to assist in an aligned instruction and curriculum program.	2A.1. Teachers, administrators	Teachers will monitor students' progress through Pinnacle grading system; administrators will conduct		

Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Avon Elementary strives for quality instruction in Science and an aligned curriculum that best meets the needs of our students		Performance:*					
	12% [12] scored at or above Level 4 on FCAT Science.	15% [15] will score at or above Level 4 on FCAT Science.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science	Students will not have the background knowledge needed to be successful on the FAA Science test.	Ensure students have instruction in the Access Points of the NGSSS. Hands on activities to promote an interest in Science	Teachers, administrators	Teacher will monitor students'	2B.1. Assessments in the classroom, student observation, pinnacle		
Science Goal #2B: Avon Elementary strives for quality instruction in Science and an aligned curriculum that best meets the needs of our students	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	100% [3] scored at or above Level 7 on FAA Science.	100% [2] will score at 7 or above on FAA Science.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	4 1 1 1		D D C	D III D			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		<i>2.2</i> .	<u> </u>	<i>2.2</i> .	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

**Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Biology 1 EOC</b>	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

				•	•	•	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

### Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup>	Pearson Consultant	4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers	September 26, 2012	Teachers will collaborate when writing Science lesson plans; administrators will check lesson plans weekly; administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs and informal observations	Teachers, Administrators
Training on Pearson textbook materials	K – 3	Pearson Consultant	K – 3 Classroom teachers		Teachers will collaborate when writing Science lesson plans; administrators will check lesson plans weekly; administrators will conduct classroom walkthroughs and informal observations	Teachers, Administrators

#### Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

		-	
Purchase newly adopted Scott Foresman/	District orders all newly adopted textbooks	Instructional Materials	\$26,962.00
Pearson Science materials			
Purchase Options supplemental materials	Comprehensive Science Assessment –	Instructional Materials	\$ 1398.00
	Options is supplemental materials to assist		
	with test taking skills.		
Subtotal: \$28,360.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

#### <u>Writing Goals</u>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1 4 1	1 4 1	1 4 1	1 A 1	1 A 1	
1A. FCAT:		1A.1. Teachers will	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at	Increased expectations		Teachers, Administrators, Reading	Analysis of monthly Writing	The numbers of students scoring	
Achievement Level	of the state	in preparing	Coach	samples.	satisfactorily on the new FCAT	
3.0 and higher in	that students	students in	Coach	samples.	2.0 Writing test.	
writing.		more rigorous		Pre and post tests in after school	2.0 Withing test.	
witting.	a complete	Writing		tutoring.		
	composition	curriculum and		<u>C</u> -		
	in 60 minutes	instruction to		Teachers will collaborate to score		
	with basic	prepare for the		writing papers on a regular basis.		
	conventions	new FCAT 2.0				
		Writing test.				
	support being					
	scored more	After school				
	stringently.	tutoring				
	Students having	for Writing				
	the stamina	instruction.				
	and maturity to	District office				
	endure writing	personnel to				
		train teachers in				
		the new scoring				
	editing and	rubric for the				
		FCAT 2.0				
	same sitting.	Writing test.				
		Writing				
		samples will				
		be submitted monthly in				
		grades K – 5.				
Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current	grades it 5.				
Our goal for our students	Level of					
at Avon Elementary	Performance:*					
is to develop writing						
skills in the primary		2013 Expected				
grades that align with		Level of				
Common Core standards;		Performance:*	1			
and ensure students are			1			
prepared for the FCAT			1			
Writing assessment in the			1			
intermediate grades.	0.60/ 1553		<b> </b>			
	85% [75] scored a Level 3 or higher	87% [76] of the students will				
	on the FCAT	score a Level 3				
	Writing test in	or higher on the				
	2011 – 2012.	FCAT Writing tes	t			
		in 2012 -2013.				

		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
	ļ	1.4.2	1.4.2	1.4.2	1 4 0	1 4 2	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
	/	1					
			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate		Teaches will					
A	not have the basic writing	incorporate writing into	Teacher, Administrators	Teacher will gather materials and collaborate with others to	Writing Samples, Lesson Plans, Informal Observations,		
Students scoring at 4	skills necessary	the Reading		brainstorm ideas on integrating	Walkthroughs		
or higher in writing.	to be successful	program when		Reading and Writing.	() anton o agins		
	on the FAA	appropriate.					
	Writing test.			Teacher will plan and prepare			
		Teacher will model		meaningful lessons for the students.			
		proper writing					
		responses for					
		students.					
		Teacher					
		will monitor					
		students'					
		writing closely					
		to determine proper					
		instruction.					
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current						
Our goal for our students	Level of						
taking the Florida Alternate	Performance:*	2013 Expected					
Assessment at Avon		Level of					
Elementary is to develop writing skills in students to		Performance:*					
benefit them in real world							
settings.							
		100% [2] students will score at 4 or					
	higher on the FAA		4				
	Writing test in	Writing test in					
		2012 – 2013. 1 <b>B.2.</b>	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1.D.2.	10.2.	10.2.	1D.2.	10.2.	
		l'					
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
		1					
	<u>ا</u> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	!					

# Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Scoring FCAT 2.0 Writing Test	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Kim Irwin	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Teachers	November 7th	Teachers will submit monthly writing samples to administration	Administration

#### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Writing Tutoring for 4 <sup>th</sup> grade students			
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

#### **<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)</u></u>**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for womening	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	l	1			
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.				2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L					ļ		

### **Civics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **Civics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

erries Duugee (miserere us need	/		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Civics Goals

#### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	1	1					
Achievement Levels	1	1					
4 and 5 in U.S.	1	1					
History.	2012 Current	2013 Expected		ł			
U.S. History Goal #2:		Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		1					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1	'					
	L'	Ĺ′					

<u>0.5. Ilistor y 1 101</u>		eropinene				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **U.S. History Professional Development**

### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

#### Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	is a barrier we anticipated in parents adhering to attendance policies. Recognition of classes that have "Perfect Attendance" during any given week.	upon the child's return to school and the importance of attending class to ensure children receive adequate instruction.	Administrators, Guidance Counselor, School Attendance Review Committee	Review attendance data monthly and annually to identify students with excessive absences and tardies.	Genesis databas	
Attendance Goal #1: We continue to encourage parents to adhere to the attendance policies set by the district.	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	Avon Elementary's attendance rate was 93% [582].	Avon Elementary's expected attendance rate for 2012 – 2013 is 95% [594]/				

2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
In 2011 – 2012 there were 163 students with 10 or more (excessive) absences.	3 we expect there to be 155 students with 10 or more (excessive) absences.					
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 o more)	Number of					
In 2011 – 2012 here were 94 students with excessive tardi (10 or more.)	2, In 2012 – 2013 we expect there to be 90 students with ies excessive tardies (10 or more.)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

### **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Data Analysis	Curriculum Leadership Team	Administrators	Team Leaders, Reading Coach, Guidance Counselor, Administrators	Ungoing and at the end of the year	Administration will continue to monitor attendance rates and share with Team Leaders.	Administrators

#### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

				Í	Í	
	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
-	Students do not have					
	skills and knowledge	implement the Leader	Teachers, Administrators	Analysis of Discipline data with	Genesis discipline data,	
	to follow the rules	In Me – Covey's		Team Leaders	parent and student	
	and procedures.	7 Habits of Highly			surveys, and general	
	1	Effective Students.		Monthly meetings with CLTs to	culture of school	
	Students not having			share and check in on Leader in		
	the motivation to	Ongoing recognition		Me happenings around campus		
	follow rules and			me nappenings around campus		
	procedures.	of students following		A		
	procedures.	and displaying the 7		Administrators conducting		
		Habits.		classroom walkthroughs		
				and informal and formal		
		Lighthouse Team		observations.		
		established to guide				
		school in sustaining				
		the program and				
		making it visible				
		around campus.				
		1				
		Parent Night to				
		involve parents				
		in Leaders In Me				
		and the impact on				
		students and school.				
		students and school.				
		Implementation				
		of Classroom				
		Greeters, all students				
		having jobs in				
		classroom to promote				
		responsibility.				
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected				
<u>Supprision Gour #1.</u>	of In-School	Number of				
	Suspensions	In- School				
2 i von Enementary		Suspensions				
continues to work		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
collectively and						
cooperatively with						
parents, teachers, and						
students to ensure that						
the suspension rate is						
monitored and needs						
addressed through						
communication and						
consistent rules, policies,						
and procedures.						
*						

were a total of 119 In- School Suspensions	In 2012 – 2013 we expect to have a total of 110 In-School Suspensions.					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
were a total of 56 students who received in-school suspension.	In 2012 – 2013 we expect a total of 50 students receive in- school suspension.					
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
In 2011 – 2012 there were a total of 22 Out of School Suspensions.	In 2012 – 2013 we					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
who received out-of-	expect a total of 12 students to receive Out of School Suspensions.					
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

Suspension 1 101e	SSIOIIAI Dev	ciopinent				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through	L					
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
Vision Day		Connley			Implementation of strategies	
	School Wide		All Faculty and Staff	June 7, 2012	discussed at Vision Day by	Administration
		Consultant			classroom walkthroughs and observations.	
Implementation Day					Implementation of strategies	
	School Wide	Connley Skeen, Covey	All Faculty and Staff	August 17, 2012	discussed at Implementation Day	Administration
		Consultant	in rucarty and Surr	- Ingust 17, 2012	by classroom walkthroughs and	
Lighthouse Team					observations. Monthly meetings whereas	
	Select	Connley				Administration, Team
	Teachers	Skeen, Covey Consultant	School Leaders	September 28, 2012		Members
		Conocitant			discipline will guide monitoring.	

#### **Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Covey Train the Trainer	This will allow for AES to build capacity among faculty members and ensure longevity of implementation of the Leader in Me.	Title 1 – Staff Development	\$7384.00
Lighthouse Team Meeting	This will allow for AES to build capacity among faculty members and ensure longevity of implementation of the Leader in Me.	Title 1 – Staff Development	\$3840.00
Subtotal: \$11,224.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Leader in Me Symposium	Administration and 2 teachers to attend to learn strategies to implement the Leader in Me and visit schools that are implementing the Leader in Me successfully.	Title 1 – Staff Development	\$1650.00
Subtotal: 1650.00			
Total: \$12,874.00			

End of Suspension Goals

# **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout Prevention			1.1. N/A	1.1. N/A	1.1. N/A		
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: N/A		2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
		N/A					
	Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A						

## Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
N/A			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
		Strategy 1.1. Provide alternate times for parents to be	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1.1. Administration	Strategy 1.1. If all duties are fulfilled in a timely manner.	Evaluation Tool 1.1. If the duties are fulfilled, then the organization is successful and will	
	to participate in traditional parent	involved, such			continue to implement program.	

Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
64% of our parents involved at some level in a variety of parent involvement activities at Avon Elementary.	We expect 67% of our parents to be involved at some level in a variety of parent involvement activities at Avon Elementary. 1.2. Parents are	1.2. Utilization of Connect Ed,	1.2. Administration, Guidance	1.2. If we have an increased	1.2. Sign in sheets	
	not aware of upcoming events that include parental involvement opportunities.	an automated call-out system to alert parents of upcoming events. These are done both in English and Spanish. Notices are sent home through the students in a timely manner, both in English and Spanish.	Counselor	number of parents attending the events at school.	Sign in silects	
		Morning announcements which are televised each morning to all classrooms to remind students/teachers and any parent that may be on campus of upcoming events and encourage participation.				
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Student Planners	2 <sup>nd</sup> – 5 <sup>th</sup> Graders agendas	Title 1	3237.00
Home to School Folders	Daily folders	Title 1	172.50
Subtotal: \$3409.50			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Family Night Materials	Items for the variety of activities during parent nights, flyers, postage	Title 1 parent involvement	\$7000.00
Subtotal: \$7000.00			
Total: \$10,409.50			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Students will have opportunities to participate in experimental and investigatory learning in the STEM subject areas.	instruction for moderate to high problem solving	series component that focuses on inquiry based learning.		1.1. Progress Monitoring of students three times a year, teacher feedback on new Science series	1.1. Administration
	NGSSS.	1.2. Complete STEM activities that will focus on hands on integration using a variety of disciplines in math and science.	1.2. Classroom teacher and Administration	1.2. Administration will check lesson plans for STEM activities	1.2. Administration
		1.3. Complete STEM activities that will focus on hands on integration using a variety of disciplines in math and science	1.3. Classroom teacher and Administration	<ol> <li>1.3.</li> <li>Administration will check lesson plans for STEM activities.</li> </ol>	1.3. Administration

## **STEM Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> N/A		1.1.			1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### Additional Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:       Anticipated Barrier       Strategy       Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy       Evaluation Tool         1. Additional Goal       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.         Additional Goal #1:       2012 Current Level.*       2013 Expected Level.*       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.		Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Additional Goal #1:     2012 Current Level :*     2013 Expected Level :*       Enter narrative for the goal in     Level :*	data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
Enter narrative for the goal in				1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Enter narrative for the goal in		Level :*				
Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.		data for current	data for expected goal in this box.				
1.2.         1.2.         1.2.         1.2.           1.3.         1.3.         1.3.         1.3.							

## Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	ļ			
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$9818.99
CELLA Budget	
	Total: N/A
Mathematics Budget	T / L 03370.00
	Total: \$3250.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$28,360.00
Writing Budget	
	Total: N/A
Civics Budget	
	Total: N/A
U.S. History Budget	
	Total: N/A
Attendance Budget	
	Total: N/A
Suspension Budget	
	Total: \$12,874.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total: N/A
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$10409.50
STEM Budget	
	Total: N/A
CTE Budget	
	Total: N/A
Additional Goals	
	Total: N/A
	10tai, 1\/A

Grand Total: \$64,712.49

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

Are you reward school? Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

SAC will meet four times a year to discuss general operations of Avon Elementary. SAC will review and analyze academic data in the following areas: Reading, Mathematics, Science and Writing. The SAC will advise the school on our School Improvement Plan. In addition, discussion and input will be sought from members and the general attendees with regard to the following: student attendance, discipline, and family involvement. Along with earlier data analysis the SAC will contribute to the development of the Mid-year narrative report to analyze progress from the baseline to mid-year assessment that is reported to the Department of Education to identify strategies for student achievement.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Title 1 Budget consists of 1 teacher unit; 1 paraprofessional; 1% parent involvement, staff development, travel, subs, supplies	\$145,741.00