# Florida Department of Education



2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: James A. Shanks Middle School	District Name: Gadsden
Principal: Mr. Lamar Kirkland	Superintendent: Mr. Reginald C. James
SAC Chair: Mrs. Janey Dupont-Butler	Date of School Board Approval:

### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at		statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest
		Certification(s)	Current School	Years as an	25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
				Administrator	

Principal	Mr. Lamar Kirkland	Certification: Educational Leadership (All levels) & Physical Education (K- 12)	3	5	2010-2012: Principal, Carter-Parramore/HOPE Academy: Non-graded School
		Educational Specialist, Educational Leadership; M.A. Human Resources Development; B.S. Management, Human Resources; B.S. Management			2010-2011: AP for Curriculum James A. Shanks Middle School: Grade: B, Reading Mastery 47%, Learning Gains 60%, 76% of Lowest 25% Students Making Learning Gains, Math Mastery 56%, Learning Gains 68%, 77% of Students in the Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains, Writing 93%, Science 31%. AYP 82%. Black, Hispanic, and ED students did not make AYP in Reading. Black and ED students did not make AYP in Math.
					2009-2010: AP for Curriculum James A. Shanks Middle School: Grade: C, Reading Mastery 48%, Learning Gains 59%, 65% of Lowest 25% Students Making Learning Gains, Math Mastery 54%, Learning Gains 71%, 75% of Students in the Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains, Writing 89%, Science 15%. AYP 97%. Hispanic students did not make AYP in Reading.
					2008-2009: AP for Student Services James A. Shanks Middle School: Grade: C, Reading Mastery 43%, Learning Gains 55%, 73% of Lowest 25% made Learning Gains, Math Mastery 41%, Learning Gains 61%, 69% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains, Writing 91%, Science 15%. AYP 82%. Black, Hispanic, and ED students did not make AYP in Reading. Black and Hispanic students did not make AYP in Math.
					2007-2008: Teacher on Special Assignment St. John Elementary School: Grade: C, Reading Mastery 50%, Learning Gains 58%, 67% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains, Math Mastery 53%, Learning Gains 55%, 63% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains, Writing 91%, Science 53%. AYP 90%. ED students did not make

					AYP in Reading. Black and ED students did not make AYP in Math.
Assistant Principal	Dr. Diane Viegbesie	Certification: Educational Leadership (All levels)	1	5	2010-2012: Assistant Principal of Havana Elementary School, Grade C,
		Masters of Science- Business Education,			Reading Mastery 47%, Math Mastery 34%, Writing Mastery 79%, Science Mastery 37%.
		PH.D. Degree- Educational Leadership & Human Services			2006-2009: Assistant Principal of East Gadsden High School School Grade D Reading Mastery 47%, Math Mastery 59%, Writing Mastery 63%
					2005-2006: Business Ed Teacher. Fairview Elementary School Grade: B Reading Mastery 47%, Math Mastery 78%, Writing Mastery 76%

### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of Years at	Number of Years as an Instructional	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)	Current School	Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Eugenia Combs	M.S. Educational Leadership; B.S. Psychology/ Sociology; Certification in Elementary Education & Reading Endorsed	11	5	Grade C: 81% Learning Gains 18.2% Proficiency in Intensive Reading
Math	Shirley Commodore	B.S. Elementary Education; Certification in Middle Grades (5-9) Math, Science, & Social Studies	11	6	Grade C: 87.1% Learning Gains 13.4% Proficiency in Intensive Math
Reading	Pamela Jones	M.S. Educational Leadership; B.S. Business Administration	10	1	

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Every two weeks meetings with new teachers, mentor teachers, and administrators.	Principal and Assistant Principal for Curriculum	Ongoing
2. Assigning veteran teachers to mentor and coach new teachers as a part of our Beginning Teachers Program.	Assistant Principal for Curriculum	Ongoing
3. Attending job fairs to recruit and hire new faculty members.	Principal	Ongoing
4. Networking with local colleges and universities to recruit new teachers.	Principal	Ongoing

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
effective rating (instructional staff only).	
	The teachers will meet to collaborate on lesson plans
	and strategies, analyze student data, give feedback, and
6	observe lead teachers. An individualized improvement
	plan will be developed.

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Tot	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
al	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of
	fir	tea	tea	tea	tea	te	Re	N	ES
nu	st-	ch	ch	ch	ch	ac	adi	ati	OL
mbe	ye	ers	ers	ers	ers	he	ng	on	En
r of	ar	wi	wit	wi	wi	rs	En	al	dor
Ins	tea	th	h 6-	th	th	wi	do	В	sed
tru	ch	1-5	14	15+	Ad	th	rs	oa	
ctio	ers	ye	ye	ye	va	an	ed	rd	Tea
nal		ars	ars	ars	nc		Te	C	che
Staf		of	of	of	ed	Ef	ac	ert	rs
f		exp	exp	exp	De	fe	her	ifi	
		erie	erie	erie	gre	cti	S	ed	
		nce	nce	nce	es	ve		Te	
						ra		ac	

						ti		he	
						ng		rs	
						or			
						hi			
						gh			
						er			
40	3	27	30	40	40	80	10	3	8%
	%	%(	%(	%(	%(	%	%(	%	(3)
	(1	11)	12)	16)	16	(3	4)	(1	
	)				)	2)		)	

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Eugenia Combs	Allison Bishop (Intensive Reading)	Eugenia Combs has 15 successful years of experience in teaching Reading. She also serves as our Reading Coach.	The teachers will meet biweekly to collaborate on lesson plans and strategies, analyze student data, give feedback, and observe lead teachers.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

C1 · 1	D 1 4	C1 · 1	TPI
Shirley Commodore	Robert Copeland (Math)	Shirley Commodore has 31 successful years in teaching Math. She also serves as Math Coach.	The teachers will meet bi-weekly to collaborate on lesson plans and strategies, analyze student data, give feedback, and observe lead teachers in the areas of mathematic s.
Kysha Hopkins	Robert Philpott (Math)	Kysha Hopkins has 9 successful years in teaching Math. She also served as a Math Coach.	The teachers will meet bi-weekly to collaborate on lesson plans and strategies, analyze student data, give feedback, and observe lead teachers in the areas of mathematic s.

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Pamela	Paula Lay	Pamela	The
Jones		Jones has 10	teachers
		successful	will meet
		years in	biweekly to
		teaching	collaborate
		Reading.	on lesson
		She also	plans and
		serves as	strategies,
		a Reading	analyze
		Coach.	student
			data, give
			feedback,
			and
			observe
			lead
			teachers.

### **Additional Requirements**

### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

- 1. Provide extended learning opportunities for low performing students during and beyond the regular school day.
- 2. Provide teachers with training to enhance skills, knowledge, and abilities to increase student achievement and teacher preparedness.
- 3. Provide parent trainings to support active engagement and partnership with James A. Shanks Middle School.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D
Title 1, 1 att D
Title II
1. Provide substitute teachers for individuals and teams who attend staff development.
·
2. James A. Shanks Middle School teachers will be trained in RtI, FCIM, Data Disaggregation, Using Data to Improve Instruction, and PLC.  Title III
TITLE TH
Title X- Homeless
Tide A Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI funds will be used to supplement the instructional program during and beyond the regular school day.
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Head Start
Adult Education
A DOUG ENGINEER
Career and Technical Education

Training	
er	
ti-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)	
School-Rased MTSS/RtI Team	

XI via de la
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
Lamar Kirkland (Principal)
Dr. Diane Viegbesie (Assistant Principal for Curriculum)
Dealer Dage (Assistant Principal for Student Services)
Rocky Pace (Assistant Principal for Student Services)
Jeanne Gunn (Guidance Counselor)
Jeanne Guini (Guidance Counscior)
Rosita Ali (Guidance Counselor)
Sarah Knight (District Reading Coach)
Eugenia Combs (Reading Coach)
Shirley Commodore (Math Coach)
Pamela Jones (Reading Coach)
M 1' 1 $M$ 1 1 (FOR $T$ 1 )
Melinda Michael (ESE Teacher)
Shalandria Jones (Behavior Specialist)
Sharandra Jones (Benavior Specialist)
Damaris Fonticoba (School Psychologist)
Dumans I ondeood (School I sychologist)
Avondika Cherry (Program Specialist)
Thomas energy (170g-unit specimies)
Julia Hilton (Media/Technology Specialist)
Melissa Gudatis (Speech Language Pathologist)

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
The RtI Leadership Team meets monthly to strategize on interventions needed for increased student achievement. The team uses data to identify at risk students as well as those who are performing at or above expectations. School-based teams and departments work collaboratively in making decisions for implementation of appropriate services.
By implementing the RtI model school-wide, we will assure that students receive interventions based on reliable and valid data on a regular basis, identify specific areas of weakness, have greater numbers of level 1 and level 2 students achieve mastery of skills, aspire to meet (AYP and NCLB) federal mandates, and determine if students are achieving mastery of sunshine state standards regardless of whether the student is served in regular education, gifted education, or as a student with a disability.
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?
The RtI Leadership Team provided input and data needed in the development of the plan and serve as monitors throughout the year of the progress made toward meeting overall SIP goals and objectives. The RtI Leadership Team meet with the principal to provide & analyze data for progress monitoring of academic and social/emotional areas; develop clear goals and expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, and Relationship); and develop an action plan for teaching and aligning benchmarks and intervention procedures, as well as implementing researched-based strategies across the curriculum.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### Reading:

Data Source: Baseline Assessments, Teacher-made assessments, Benchmark Assessments, FCAT Simulation (twice a year for all students), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)-3 times a year, all students, SuccessMaker 3 (throughout the year), FCAT 2.0, Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)- 3 times per year

Data Management Systems: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), SuccessMaker 4, Performance Matters, Read 180 software

#### Math:

Data Source: Baseline Assessments (Acaletics), Teacher-made assessments, Benchmark Assessments, FCAT Simulation(twice a year for all students), Acaletics Comprehensive Assessments, FCAT 2.0

Data Management System: SuccessMaker 4

#### Writing:

Data Source: School-wide Writes Upon Request (6-8) 4 times a year

Data Management System: Write Score (Grade 8)

#### Science:

Data Source: Baseline Assessment, FCAT Simulation (twice a year), Study Island (Grade 8)

End of Year: FCAT (math, reading, science, writing)

Frequency of Data Days: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.
Professional development is provided during the summer for members of the leadership team. In addition, training will be provided for faculty and staff during faculty meetings, team meetings, and department meetings throughout the year. The RtI team will evaluate additional staff development needs during regular RtI Leadership Team meetings.
Describe the plan to support MTSS.
The Del toom members attended district wide Del training and lear members will provide training to other faculty and stoff members. Del training will be encoung with fidelity to
The RtI team members attended district-wide RtI training and key members will provide training to other faculty and staff members. RtI training will be ongoing with fidelity to identify and implement research based interventions to identify and address student needs both academically and behavioral.
Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
Lamar Kirkland (Principal)
Dr. Diane Viegbesie (AP for Curriculum)
Rosita Ali (Guidance)
Eugenia Combs (Reading Coach)
Pamela Jones (Reading Coach)
Shirley Commodore (Math Coach)
Roosevelt Sea (Science)
Tomeka Lightfoot (Language Arts)
Tawanda Scott (Social Studies)
Melinda Michael (ESE)
Rosa Hudgins (PE)
Rosalyn Thomas (Art)
Julia Hilton (Media)
Stanley Norton (Music)
Kimberly McNeal (ESOL)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The Literacy Leadership Team meets monthly to discuss reading initiatives and to address students' needs. The team provides input and suggestions for effective implementation of reading programs, oral and written communication strategies, class schedules, instructional materials, and resources.
We will assure that students receive interventions based on reliable and valid data on a regular basis, identify specific areas of weakness, have greater numbers of level 1 and level 2 students achieve mastery, aspire to meet (AYP and NCLB) federal mandates, and know if students are achieving mastery of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards regardless of whether the student is served in regular education, gifted education, or as a student with a disability.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
To create a capacity of reading knowledge across the curriculum. The team will assure that every child takes the STAR tests, monitor progress, and assist students with setting reading goals.
Public School Choice  ■ Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification  Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.  *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition  Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
Focus will be across the curriculum on reading as evidenced by lesson plans and school-wide pacing guides. All students will be instructed to use reading strategies such as Read-Think-Explain and UNRAAVEL to assist with comprehension of content area benchmarks being taught. All Social Studies teachers will incorporate a structured 15 minute reading block into their daily lesson. Words of the Day are provided school-wide to increase students' vocabulary. In addition, classroom libraries and technology assisted reading programs will be available for all classrooms.
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personal meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.
<del></del>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1	1	1	1.4.1	1 4 1	
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3						
in reading.	Student	Incorporate	Principal	Observations	FAIR, FCAT,	
		additional	Finicipai	Observations	rain, rca i,	
			A D.C.	D M i	D 1 1- A	
			APC		Benchmark Assessments,	
	instructional				Portfolios, IPDPs, ePDC	
	delivery	techniques	Reading Coach	Conferences	Documents	
	techniques,	using				
			Teachers			
		based .	L			
			LLT			
		provide				
		more				
	development	opportunities	1			
		for staff				
		training, and				
		continue				
		ongoing				
		progress				
		monitoring,				
		mentoring,				
		and				
		coaching				
		through				
		learning				
		communitie				
		s. Modified				
		student				
		scheduling				
		will be				
		implemented				
		[				

Reading Goal #1A:  To increase by 7%, (33% to 40%) the number of students scoring level 3 or above in Reading on the 2012 FCAT 2.0.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
			1A.2.		1A.2.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1A.3. 1B.1.	1A.3. 1B.1.	1A.3.	
Reading Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels</b>			L	L	L		
4 in reading.	Instructional		Principal	Observations	Formal & Informal		
		Best		L	Assessments		
	delivery, lack of		APC	Progress monitoring			
	timely staff	such as	L .				
	dayalanmant		Teachers				
	development	Cooperative	D 1: G 1				
	ŀ	Learning	Reading Coach				
		Strategies,	Danastus aut Chain				
		Higher Order/	Department Chair				
		Critical					
		Thinking,					
		Technology-					
		Assisted					
		Instruction					
		and hands					
		on activities					
		into					
		instructional					
		delivery and					
		participate					
		in training					
		sessions					
		to increase					
		competency					
		level.					
		D:00					
		Differentiate					
		d instruction					
		to meet the					
		needs of all					
1		students.				I	

In 2013, the number of students scoring at level 4 and 5 will increase by 4% on the FCAT Reading 2.0.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	28%	32%					
		2A.2.				2A.2. 2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		

-	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
					-		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	
learning gains in reading.	interventions	Kagan	Principal		Mini Assessments, FAIR, FCAT 2.0	
	differentiate	cooperative learning		lesson plans		
		strategies, best practices, differe ntiated instructions, accommod ations, and modificatio ns across the curriculum.	Teachers	data progress checks		
Reading Goal #3A:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
In 2013, the number of students making learning gains will increase by 5%.						
	55%	60%				

		L	<b>1</b>	<u>i</u>	1	
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
		Inadequate	Provide differentiated	Principal	Classroom observations	Formal & Informal
				Tilicipai	Classicolli observations	
		Vocabulary,	instruction, researched-			Assessments
			based strategies, routine	APC	biweekly data checks	
		Fluency	fluency probes, intensive			
			courses, and school-	Teachers	Department/Literacy	
		G 1	courses, and school-	1 cachers		
		Comprehens	wide reading across the		Meetings	
		ion Skills	curriculum.	Reading Coach		
	1		I			1
	+	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
		DA.3.	DA.3.	DA.3.	DA.3.	pA.s.
2D El 11	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
3B. Florida	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	1
Alternate						
Assessment:						
Percentage of						
students making						
learning gains in						
reading.						
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
reduing Godi #3B.	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
			I			
	1		I			1
						1
		ļ				
	1		I			
	1		I			1
		22.2	lan a	hp a	0.70	20.2
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			l			1
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.
		DB.3.	DB.3.	DB.3.	DB.3.	DB.3.
i		I	I	I	Ī	1

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Trespondiere for Montering	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the following group:						
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
Percentage of						
students in lowest						
25% making	G. 1		n · · · · ·			
learning gains in			Principal	Observations	Formal & Informal	
reading.		intensive			Assessments	
reading.	Inadequate	reading	APC	Progress Monitoring		
	Fluency,	classes,				
	Comprehe	,	Teachers			
	nsion, and	Flexible	Cuchers			
			D 1: 1:			
			Reading Coach			
	Skills.	Provide RtI,				
			21st Century Coordinator			
		d instruction				
		school-wide				
		reading &				
		word of				
		the day.				
		Strengthen				
		our AR				
		Program.				
						1
		Extended				1
		Day				1
		Program				l
		(TCC/21st				l
						l
	I	Century)	1			I

Reading Goal #4:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
In 2013, the number of students making learning gains in the lowest 25% will increase by 6%.							
	740/	900/					
	74%	80% 4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
			Develop a student compact, set academic and attendance goals, and increase parent communication.	Principal APC Teachers		FAIR, FCAT 2.0, Mini Assessments	

4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
Inadequate	Provide	Principal	Observations,	FCAT, FAIR	
	RtI, differentiated instruction, school-wide	APC	Progress Monitoring		
Comprehens		Teachers	Data Chats		
	**************************************				
Vocabulary Skills					

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
reading and mathematics							
performance target for the							
following years							
5A. In six years	Baseline data						
school will reduce							
their achievement	2010-2011						
gap by 50%.	2010-2011						
8.1							
Reading Goal #5A:	†						
reducing Goul Wolls.							
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data							
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of				-			
improvement for the							
following subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.						
subgroups by							
ethnicity (White,	White:						
Black, Hispanic,	DI I						
Asian, American	Black:						
Indian) not making	Hispanic: Student						
satisfactory progress	<b>1</b> -						
in reading.	Readiness						
	Asian:						
	4 101W11.						
	American Indian:						

Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 crson or 1 osition	Flocess Osca to Determine	Evaluation 1001	1	
and reference to "Guiding	1	1 '	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		1	1
Questions," identify and define areas in need of	1	1 '	Responsible for Montering	Effectiveness of Strategy		1	1
improvement for the	1	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	1
following subgroup:	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>(                                    </u>		<u> </u>	
5C. English	(	<u> </u>					
Language Learners	1 '	1 '	1 '	1		'	1
(ELL) not making	1	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	1
satisfactory progress	1 '	1 '	1 '	1		1	1
in reading.	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Reading Goal #5C:		2013 Expected	<u> </u>	<u>( '</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*	1 '	1	1	1	[
	remormance.	Periormanee.	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1	1
'	1	1 '	1 '	1		1	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1	'	
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1		1	1
	<b>└──</b> '	<b>↓</b>	<b></b> '	<b></b> '	<u> </u> '	<b></b> '	
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1		1	1
	<u>'</u>	1'	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	7	7					
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
	1	1 '	1	1	!	1	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	<del>                                     </del>	
student achievement data	Barrier	Suaregy	1 dison of 1 conton	Trocess esca to Betermine	Dyuluution 1001	'	1
and reference to "Guiding	1	1 '	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		'	1
Questions," identify and define areas in need of	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	'	1
improvement for the	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	1
following subgroup:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
c z v z v u u v u v	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	<u> </u>	
with Disabilities	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1	1	1
(SWD) not making	1	1 '	1 '	1		'	1
satisfactory progress	1	1 '	1 '	1		1	1
in reading.	<u>(         '</u>	<u> </u>	<u>(                                    </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>(                                    </u>

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
_	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

D 1 4 1 C	1	Cr. i	B B 32	D II II D	E 1 : E 1		
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5E:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	ļ						
			ĺ	l			
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		[ <u>-</u> .	[·	[·	[·	T	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

### **Reading Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

### or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content/Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/of PLC Focus			(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level,			for Monitoring
Effective implementation of the	6-8/	PLC Leader APC	or school-wide) Reading/Language Arts Teachers	August 2012	Lesson plans	Principal
instructional pacing guide	Reading & Language Arts	Reading Coach			Classroom Visits	Assistant Principal for Curriculum
_					Department Meetings	
Effective use of the Reading Coach	Teachers					
Effective Reading Strategies/Best Practices, Reading across the curriculum						
READ 180 Training,						
Successmaker4, Edge Training						
Glencoe Training Effective use of the Reading Coach  Effective Reading Strategies/Best	6-8 6-8	District Reading Coordinator Reading Teachers	All Teachers All Teachers	August – September 2012 September 2012	The reading coach's data logs will be shared with the Principal and Assistant Principal.  Focused walkthroughs with Principal to observe the frequency	Principal, Assistant Principal or Curriculum, District Reading Coordinator Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum
Practices, Reading across the curriculum		Reading Coach			and effectiveness of shared activities.	Constant

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

6-8 District All Teachers September 2012 Classroom walkthroughs and Principal, Assistant Principal for READ 180 Training, Resource monitoring students' data Curriculum, District Reading Coach Successmaker4, Edge Training Glencoe Training 6-8 Glencoe Reading/ September 2012 Lesson plans and classroom Principal, Assistant Principal, Representative walkthroughs will document use of Reading Coach core resources. Language Arts Teachers

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

eeded)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources  Description of Resources  Description of Resources  Description of Resources	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Funding Source	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount

TF 4 1		
Total:		
1 0 0 11 11		

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Students read grade-	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL	.[	1	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	1	
students.	<b></b> '	<u> </u>				
		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	[ ]
proficient in reading.			<u> </u>			
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students	4 '	ſ <u></u>			
	Proficient in Reading:	1 '	1	1	1	[ ]
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	[ ]
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1 '	1	1	1	[ ]
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
	1	1 '	1	1	1	[ ]
	1	1	1	1	1	[
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
		<u>'</u>				
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
	<b></b> '	<u> </u>	<del>L.</del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	1	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	1	1 '	1	1	1	1
<u> </u>		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
	1	1	1	1	1	1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>	<u>1                                    </u>		
Students write in English	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
at grade level in a manner	1	1	1	1		1
similar to non-ELL students.	1	1	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
	2.1.	2.1.			2.1.	<del>                                     </del>
		F.1.	f	2.1.	2.1.	1
proficient in writing.	1	1	1			
					-	

CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:	1				
	Proncient in writing.	1	!			
		1	!			
		1	!			
		1	!			
		1	!			
		1				
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		l!				
	!	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
		1				

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

iddle S		iPs (Blanks- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at		171.1.	171.11.	171.1.	171.1.	
Achievement Level 3						
in mathematics						
	Student	Provide a	Principal	Analyze data from	District Benchmark	
	Readiness/	variety of			Assessments, Acaletics	
	Prior	grade level		Assessments, Acaletics, and		
	knowledge			SuccessMaker3	SuccessMaker4, & FCAT	
	Kilowieuge	grade level	Math Coach		2.0	
		math and	Wath Coach		2.0	
			Dept. Chair			
		activities.	Dept. Chun			
		detivities.	Teachers			
		Utilize	- Cachord			
		SuccessMak				
		er4 to target				
		areas of				
		difficulty for				
		differentiated				
		instruction.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#1A:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
To increase by						
To increase by						
5% (40% to 45%)						
the number of						
students scoring						
level 3 or above in						
math on the 2013						
FCAT.						
ITCAT.						

				•	•		
	40%	45%					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		on, problem solving, and critical thinking skills	real world situations in order to help students make connections. Advanced math classes and after school tutorials (extended day programs) will be provided.	Coach, TCC/21st Century Coordinator	Classroom visits Progress Monitoring SuccessMaker 3	District Benchmark Assessments, Acaletics Assessments, SuccessMaker4, & FCAT 2.0	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

			•	•	•	•	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
	<b>!</b>	24.2	h. 2	24.2	24.2	h + 2	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

#2B·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	
Percentage of	DA.1.	DA.1.	DA.1.	5A.1.	DA.1.	
students making						
learning gains in						
mathematics.	L.					
matnematics.		Math	Principal, APC, Teachers,		Formal & Informal	
	reading,	teachers will	Math Coach	Assessments	Assessments	
		continue to				
		incorporate				
	skills among	Acaletics,				
	students	computer-				
		assisted				
		instruction,				
	1.	word				
		problems,				
		higher-order				
		questioning,				
		critical				
		thinking, and	4			
		problem-				
		solving				
		strategies in				
		their daily				
		lessons.				
		Displaying				
		an				
		Interactive				
		Word Wall				
		in each				
		class and				
		improving				
		upon the				
		students'				
		Math				
		terminology.				
		Teachers				
		will model				
		unravel				
		strategies				
		daily.				

Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
To increase by 7%, the number of students making learning gains.							
	53%	60%					
		reading, problem- solving	Intensive Math teachers will use Glencoe McGraw- Hill Math Triumphs with all Level 1 students. (Math	Administration,		3A.2. Formal & Informal Assessments	
		students scoring level 1.	Triumphs will be used with other math students as needed.). Displaying an Interactive Word Wall and improving upon the students' Math terminology. Teachers will model UNRAAVEL strategies daily.				
		3A.3.	daily. 3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	<u>'</u>	
Alternate	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	( )
Assessment:	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
Percentage of	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	(
students making	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	(
learning gains in	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
mathematics.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b></b> '	<b></b>	<b></b> '	<b></b> '	
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected	1		1	1 '	1
		Level of Performance:*	1		1	1 '	1
	r el formance.	renormance.	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	( )
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	( )
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
<u> </u>	'	1'	1		1	1'	
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
<u> </u>	<b></b> '	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4
	1	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	<del>                                     </del>	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	<del></del>
	1	βΒ.3.	рв.з. ,	DB.3.	PB.3.	pb.3.	1
	1	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	1	
student achievement data	Barrier	Sualcgy	1 CISON OF 1 OSTUON	Flocess Osca to Determine	Evaluation 1001	1	1
and reference to "Guiding		'		F. C		1	1
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and		'	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		1	1
define areas in need of		'	1			1	1
improvement for the		'	1			1	1
following group:			<u> </u>				

L BOLDA	1	1	Lea	L	la a la	
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
Percentage of						
students in lowest						
25% making						
learning gains in	Student	Math	Principal and Assistant	Acaletics Assessments	Formal & Informal	
mathematics.				Acaietics Assessments		
mathematics.	Readiness	teachers	Principal for Curriculum		Assessments	
		will create				
	scoring level	assessments				
	2 and above.	using				
		examples				
		from FCAT				
		2.0 Item				
		Specs				
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Wathernatics Goal #4.	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
To increase by						
10 ilicicase by						
4%, the number of						
students making						
learning gains on the						
2012 FCAT 2.0.						
	76%	80%				

		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.		
		Student	Intensive Math teachers	Math Teachers	SuccessMaker 4	Formal & Informal		
			will use Glencoe McGraw-	Tylath Teachers	Successivianci 4	Assessments		
			Hill Math Triumphs with			1 155 45511141145		
			all Level I students. (Math					
		1.	Triumphs will be used					
			with other math students as					
			needed.)					
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.		
Based on ambitious	2011	-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives								
(AMOs), identify								
reading and mathematics performance target for the								
following years								
	Baseline dat	a 2010-2011						
school will reduce								
their achievement								
gap by 50%.								
Mathematics Goal								
#5A:								
					1			
				•				

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data							
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by				<i>DB</i> .11.			
	White:						
Black Hispania							
Asian, American	Black:						
T 1' \ 4 I.	Hispanic:						
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
in mathematics.	Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of					
#5B:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	White:	White:					
	WILLE.	winte.					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

D 1 41 1 C	A (* * / 1	Ct. t	n n 27	D II I D :	F 1 ( T 1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
7 1 1 1 1 2		g	2 2 2	D 11 1 2	5151		
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and	I		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
m mamemanes.	L		Į	l .	<u> </u>	l	

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	_	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5E:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	SE 2	SE 2	5E 2	5E.2.	5E 2	
					5E.2.	
	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			
	ent			
	1 1			

at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	1.1.		Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring  1.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1.	Evaluation Tool		
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

		1	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	i		1		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		
		<u> </u>						
Based on ambitious but achievable Annual	2011-2012		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years								
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the		ta 2010-2011						
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years  3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement		ta 2010-2011						
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years  3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		ta 2010-2011						

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding							
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of improvement for the							
following subgroups:							
3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by							
(	White:						
Asian, American	Black:						
Indian) <b>not making</b>	Hispanic:						
satisfactory progress	Asian:						
in Angebra 1.							
	American Indian:	h010 F					
Algebra 1 Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
	•				•		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	3			3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		

2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of					
	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

PD Content/Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level,

meetings)

for Monitoring

PLC Leader

or school-wide)

#### **Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

#### End of Mathematics Goals

### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1	1	L	L	L	
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3						
in science.						
	Lack of	Utilize	Principal	Lesson plans reviewed	Bi-weekly assessments,	
		hands-on		weekly,		
	applications.	experiments	APC		FCAT Explorer and Study	
	appirousis.	to illustrate	[ " "	test data reviewed bi-	Island	
			Science Dept. Chair	weekly,		
		ideas and	Belefice Bept. Chair	weekly,		
			Science Teachers	classrooms monitored		
		Students	Selence reactions	continuously by		
		will also use		administration.		
		a common		aummstration.		
				Science department will		
		lab report				
		format to		meet monthly to analyze		
		document		instructional focus.		
		hands-on				
		investigation				
		S.				
Science Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
	r criormance.	r criormanee.				
To increase by 6%						
(26% to 32%) the						
number of students						
scoring level 3 or						
above in science on						
the 2013 FCAT 2.0.						
	269/	220/				
	26%	32%	1			

			<u> </u>	i	i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
			L	L	L		
					Follow-up discussions,	Pearson Science	
		reading	experiences, higher-order		activities, projects, and	assessments and bi-	
		comprehens	questioning, and engaging	APC	homework logs will	weekly assessments	
		ion problem	activities through labs, field		be used to monitor		
					appropriate engagement		
		501VIIIg	assisted instruction and		of learners.		
					of fearners.		
			reading comprehension				
			activities. Improving				
			students' scientific				
			vocabulary.				
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
Science Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Level of Performance:*					
	Performance.	Performance.					
		ĺ					
		ĺ					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data						
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	
	2A.1.	2A.1.	ZA.1.	ZA.1.	2A.1.	
Students scoring						
at or above						
Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in science.	Readiness	Re-teach	Science Dept. Chair,	Test data reviewed bi-	Bi-weekly assessments,	
	Level	skills,		weekly classrooms		
			Principal,	monitored by school	FCAT Explorer, FCAT	
		utilize		administration.	2.0, and Study Island	
		graphic	APC,			
		organizers,	<b>,</b>	Science department will		
		,	Science Teachers, and	meet weekly to analyze data		
		integrate		problem solve, and redirect	1	
			RtI Team	the instructional focus		
		strategies	icti i cuiii	the instructional focus		
		into the				
		science				
		curriculum,				
		small group				
		instruction,				
		computer-				
		assisted				
		instruction,				
		1				
		written				
		responses-				
		3-2-1				
		method (List	t l			
		3, Explain				
		2, give 1				
		reason).				

At least 5% of students will achieve a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Science FCAT.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
			2A.2. 2A.3.			2A.2. 2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Science Goal #2B:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	

ſ		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
-						
-1						

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### **Science Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	1 , 0 ,		
NIGGGG I	0.1./0.:	PLC Leader		4 37 2012		D
NGSSS Item Specifications Training,	8th/Science	DOE Specialist,	All Science Teachers	AugNov. 2012	Common planning minutes will be reviewed to ensure data trends	Principal
FCAT Strategies,		Specialist,			are discussed and lesson plans are	APC
Common Core, STEM,		District			developed.	Aic
and Lesson Study		Supervisor,				Department Chair
		Science Dept. Chair, PAEC Facilitator				
Data Analysis Training, Development of science	8th/Science	Principal, APC, Science Chair	All Science Teachers	AugSept. 2012	Classroom visits, lesson plans, focus calendars, biweekly progress	Principal
focus calendars		Science Chan			monitoring	APC
					=	-

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)  Strategy  Description of Resources  Study Island, FCAT Explorer, Pearson Science  Title I  Tochnology  Subtotal:  Technology  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvemen	The budget (misert rows as nee	T	T	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	Include only school-based funded			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)  Strategy  Description of Resources  Study Island, FCAT Explorer, Pearson Science  Science  Title 1  Title 1  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  Title I  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TItle II  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TITLE II  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Title I funds School Improvement Funds  TCC Grant (DOE) Titl				
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Students will utilize computer-assisted instruction and researched-based materials to gain comprehension skills and knowledge in science.  Utilize researched -based materials, hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy Description of Resources  Subotal:  Technology  Strategy Description of Resources  Subotal:  Forfessional Development  Strategy Description of Resources  Subotal:  Other				
Students will utilize computer-assisted instruction and researched-based materials to gain comprehension skills and knowledge in science.  Utilize researched -based materials, hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island, Computer-based progress monitoring program), Achievement Series, Document Projectors (2)  Subtotal:	Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
instruction and researched-based materials to gain comprehension skills and knowledge in science.  Utilize researched – based materials, hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-based progress monitoring program), Achievement Series, Document Projectors (2)  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Tochnology  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title I  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title I  Subtotal:  Title II  NGCAR-PD  Subtotal:  Subtotal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
materials to gain comprehension skills and knowledge in science.  Villilze researched –based materials, hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-based progress monitoring program), Achievement Series, Document Projectors (2)  Subtotal:  Subtot	Students will utilize computer-assisted		Title 1	
and knowledge in science. Utilize researched – based materials, hational Geographic Magazines, Pearson Science Series MAD Science TCC/21st Century Tutorial Program  Subtotal: Technology Strategy Description of Resources Grouptter-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, emhancement, and student motivation. Subtotal:  Subt		Science		
Utilize researched –based materials, hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Subtotal:  National Geographic Magazines, Pearson Science TCC/21st Century Tutorial Program  Funding Source  Amount  Title 1  Title 1  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Amount  Title II  MGCAR-PD  Subtotal:  NGCAR-PD  Other				
hands-on experiences, lab experiments, extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Funding Source  Title I  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-based progress monitoring program), Achievement Series, Document Projectors (2)  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source  Funding Source  Amount  Title I  Title II  Title II  Subtotal:  NGCAR-PD  Subtotal:  Other				
extended day programs, and projects to remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title 1  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Frofessional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title 1  Subtotal:  Title 1  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title II  Title II  Title II  NGCAR-PD  Other				
remediate and enhance learning.  Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Title I  Title I  Totle II  Totl			Improvement Funds	
Subtotal:  Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Title I  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-based progress monitoring program), Achievement Series, Document Projectors (2)  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Description of Resources Funding Source Title I  Title I  Subtotal:  Title I  Subtotal:  Title I  Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Title II  Title II  Title II  Subtotal:  NGCAR-PD  Other		Century Tutorial Program		
Technology  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  GLOBE instrument kits, Study Island (computer-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source  Title 1  Title 1  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Title II  Title II  Title II  Subtotal:  Other	8			
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Frovide computer-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy Description of Resources  Funding Source Amount  Title 1  Title 1  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy Description of Resources  Funding Source Title 1  Title 1  Title 1  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy Description of Resources  Funding Source Amount  Title II  Title II  Subtotal:  Other	Subtotal:			
Provide computer-assisted instruction for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Amount  Title II  Subtotal:  Reading Strategies Training and training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Other	Technology			
for skill differentiation, remediation, enhancement, and student motivation.  Subtotal:  Professional Development Strategy Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Reading Strategies Training and Subtotal:  Reading Strategies Training and Subtotal:  Other	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
enhancement, and student motivation.  Projectors (2)  Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Amount  Title II  Subtotal:  Other	Provide computer-assisted instruction		Title 1	
Subtotal:  Professional Development Strategy Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  Reading Strategies Training and Title II  NGCAR-PD  Other				
Subtotal:  Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Other	enhancement, and student motivation.			
Professional Development  Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal: Other		Projectors (2)		
Professional Development  Strategy  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Other				
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Subtotal:  Other	Subtotal:			
Provide technology and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.  Reading Strategies Training and Title II  NGCAR-PD  Subtotal:  Other	Professional Development			
training to increase teacher competency level.  NGCAR-PD  Subtotal:  Other	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
level. NGCAR-PD Subtotal: Other	Provide technology and core materials	Reading Strategies Training and	Title II	
Subtotal: Other	training to increase teacher competency			
Subtotal: Other	level.	NGCAR-PD		
Other				
	Subtotal:			
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount	Other			
	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1A.1.	1A.	.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scorin			· · ·				
Achievement L							
3.0 and higher							
writing.	Time/	Lar	nguage I	Principal	Classroom Observations,	Weekly Writing	
	Schedu		s teachers			Assessments, Biweekly	
	Constra			APC	FCAT Writing Process	reading assessments,	
			writing		practice, FCAT Release Test	FCAT 2.0	
				Language Art Teachers	Practice		
			h week				
		(W	ednesday				
		and					
			ursday).				
			Monday,				
			dnesday				
			l Friday,				
			ding				
		is tl					
Writing Goal #1	1A: 2012 Cu	rrent 2013	3 Expected				
	Level of	Leve	el of				
	Performa	ince:* Peri	ormance:*				
	40.7						
LIIC ZOIS I CAI	2.0.						
Writing Goal #1  To increase by 4 (88% to 92%) the scoring level 4 cabove in writing the 2013 FCAT	Level of Performs  4% he ents or g on	foci grai med for will bell acti rrent 2013	us, and mmatical chanics writing I be the I ringer ivity.				

	1	92%	1	1	1	i	
		92%					
	88%						
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		Limited	Writing is taught school	Principal	Classroom Observations	Weekly Writing	
			wide beginning at the 6th		Classicolli Gosel vations	Assessments	
				APC	FCAT Writing Process	Assessments	
			Arts teachers will be		Practice-Writes Upon		
				I an arraga Amta Taaahana			
			provided with the guidelines	Language Arts Teachers	Request		
		grammatical	to teach the writing process,				
			as well as information on				
			what students should know				
			prior to 8th grade. Students				
			will also do school-wide				
			Writes Upon Request once				
			during each nine week				
			period.				
		1A.3.		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at 4							
or higher in writing.							
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Trining Goul II ID.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
1		I	I		ĺ		

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

### **Writing Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
		PLC Leader				
	6-8	Language Arts Teachers	School-wide	October 2012	Observations will be conducted to ensure implementation of strategies	s.
FCAT Process Writing Strategies					Data trends are discussed and lesso plans are developed.	<sup>on</sup> Assistant Principal

6-8 FLDOE

School-wide

October 2012

Data check meetings & Professional Principal

Learning Communities will be utilized to monitor progress.

Assistant Principal for Curriculum

Teachers

#### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Performance Task

Scoring

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide at least 4 Writes Upon Request writing assessments.	Sample FCAT prompts, writing folders and Write Score Program	School Improvement	
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
The school will use a web-based program to assist with scoring writing samples.	Write Score program	Title I	
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide technology-based and core materials training to increase teacher competency level.	FCAT Writing Process and Performance Task Scoring Training(using the 6 point rubric)	Title II	
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Writing Best Practices, Nonfiction	School Improvement funds	
Writing, and Modeling through		
Language Arts Department and District		
Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Writing Goals

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

	r			•	•	i	
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier			1			
and reference to "Guiding			D 11 C M 1/2 1	Ecc. 4: CC4 4			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
1							
1							
1							
1							
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

ſ		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
-							
- 1							

### **Civics Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. Hi	story Problem	1-			
EOC (					
	Process	to			
	Increas	e			
	Studen	t			
	Achieve	n			
	ent				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S.  History.  U.S. History Goal #1; 2012 Current Level of Performance.*  2013 Expected Level of Performance.*
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S.  History.  U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Le
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S.  History.  U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Le
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Level
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Level of
U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Level of
Level of Level of
Performance:*  Performance:*
1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2.
1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data Strategy Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool
and reference to "Cviding
Questions," identify and  Responsible for Monitoring  Effectiveness of Strategy
define areas in need of
improvement for the
following group:
<b>2. Students scoring</b> 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1.
at or above
Achievement Levels

U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	·	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

### **U.S. History Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school based funded				
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of U.S. History Goals

### **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1. 	
	Hava aarraat	During Open	Principal	Parent link communication	Daily attendance reports.	
	nave confect	During Open	Timeipai	a arent mik communication	Daily attendance reports.	
	parent	House have	A i - 4 - u 4 Duiu - iu - 1 -			
	contact	registration	Assistant Principals	system		
	information.	cards				
		completed at	Teachers			
		that time.				
	Student					
	mobility.					
		Teachers				
		building				
		relationships				
		to				
		communi				
		cate with				
		parents				
		ſ				
		Parent link				
		access				
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Attenuance Goal #1.	Attendance Rate:*	Attendance Attendance				
	Rate:*	Rate:*				
We will increase its						
attendance rate to						
90%.						
[						
	85%	90%				

Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
Number of Students with Excessive	40 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or					
	20 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	student	Monitor tardies and call parent when 3 or more occur.	Assistant Principals	Parent link communication system	Daily attendance report.	

erease monitoring through ministrator offices	Analyze weekly attendance records to make sure proper tracking takes place.	Attendance reports	

### **Attendance Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

and/or PLC Focus

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Leader

and/or

## Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

## **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Suspension	increase during	1.1. Teachers will teach behavioral expectations	1.1. Assistant Principals Team Leaders	1.1. Review of suspension data (grade level, team)	1.1. Referral and suspension rates	

Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School					
Reduce the number of suspensions by 50%.		<u>Suspensions</u>					
	0	0					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		motivation.	scheduled with specific academic support to meet or exceed			Referral and suspension rates	
			identified needs.	Assistant Principals			
				RtI Team	Review of academic growth as evidenced by (progress reports, report card grades, assessments).		

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		Implement school wide	Administration	Discipline data	Discipline data	
		behavior management				
		program.				
	expectations					
	school-wide.					

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

and/or PLC Focus

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ Subject

rel/ PD Facilitator

PD Participants

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or
PLC Leader

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded	inceded)			
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Strategy	Bescription of resources	Tanding Source	Timount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Ability to keep parents involved due to outside scheduling and work issues.	Connect with parents through email, interest groups and school website	School Volunteer	Parent Surveys and signin sheets	1.1. Parent Surveys and Sign-in sheets	
Parent Involvement Goal #1:  We had about 30% of our parents involved.	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*				

30%	50%					
	timing of meeting	Continue to increase the number of parents involved at the school level	1.2. Principal	1.2. Parent link system	Parent surveys	
			Assistant Principals	Parent surveys		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

and/or PLC Focus

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/

Subject

PD Facilitator

and/or

PD Participants

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or

school-wide)

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Leader

## **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement.				Strategy	

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.4.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **STEM Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or (e.g

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

#### STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Subtotal:			
	-		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology	D : ( CD	T. I' G	
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
funded activities /materials.			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			5.55.55.55	Strategy	

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
	1.5.	1.3.		1.5.	1.5.

## **CTE Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

and/or PLC Focus

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

and/or

PD Participants

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Leader

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

The budget (moet tows as needed	. <i>)</i>			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* when using percentages	s, include the	number of st	udents the percentage	represents next to the per	centage (e.g. 70%)	(33)).	
Additional Coal(a)	Problem- Solving						
Additional Goal(s)	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy			
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		

Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	ECVET.	Dever.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Additional Goals Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic

and/or PLC Focus

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

## Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	2000

			Grand T
Differentiated Ac	ecountability		
lease choose the sch	ntiated Accountabilit nool's DA Status. (To a this will place an "x"	activate the checkbox	1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select <i>Checked</i> under "Default value"
School Differentiated Accountability Status			
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent	
•			
•		proved their letter grad	le from the previous year or any A graded school.)
	ny school that has imp		le from the previous year or any A graded school.) klist in the designated upload link on the <i>Upload</i> page
<ul> <li>Upload a cop</li> <li>Chool Advisory</li> <li>AC Membership Cor</li> <li>The majority of the Solucation support em</li> </ul>	ny school that has imp y of the Differentiated Council (SAC) mpliance AC members are not of ployees, students (for	d Accountability Chece employed by the school middle and high school	
<ul> <li>Upload a cop</li> <li>Chool Advisory</li> <li>AC Membership Cor</li> <li>The majority of the Solucation support em</li> </ul>	ny school that has imp y of the Differentiated Council (SAC) mpliance AC members are not of ployees, students (for	d Accountability Chece employed by the school middle and high school	klist in the designated upload link on the <i>Upload</i> page old district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers old only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic,

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
The School Advisory Council meets monthly to discuss the advancement of the school toward its specific goals. The Council provides support and input in the operation of the school. In addition, the Council serves to approve budget expenditures of the School Improvement Funds. The members of the SAC are representative of the student body makeup of the school and its community. The Council's primary goals are to assist in developing plans for increasing achievement, as well as monitoring students' progress and initiatives for continued school improvement.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount