Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Eccleston Elementary School	District Name: Orange County Public Schools
Principal: Tracy Webley	Superintendent: Barbara M. Jenkins
SAC Chair: Vivian Geary	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: January 29, 2013

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Principal	Tracy Webley	B.S. Elementary Education, M.A. Educational Leadership Certified in 1-12, ESOL, School Principal	2	5	Eccleston Elementary School- 2011 – 2012 – Grade A; 44% meeting high standards in reading, 47% meeting high standards in math, 73% meeting high standards in writing, 23% meeting high standards in science, 78% making learning gains in reading, 81% making learning gains in math, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2010-2011 – Grade C; 85% of AYP standards met, 53% meeting high standards in reading, 63% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 24% meeting high standards in science, 60% making learning gains in reading, 66% making learning gains in math, 50% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 67% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2009-2010 – Grade C; 74% of AYP standards met, 61% meeting standards in reading, 58% meeting high standards in math, 79% meeting high standards in writing, 25% meeting high standards in science, 61% making learning gains in reading, 52% making learning gains in math, 59% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2008-2009 – Grade A; 97% of AYP standards met, 71% meeting high standards in reading, 68% meeting high standards in math, 94% meeting high standards in writing, 37% meeting high standards in science, 75% making learning gains in reading, 73% making learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 73% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 75% of the students in the lowest 25% made
-----------	--------------	--	---	---	---

Assistant Principal	Felecia E. Goodman	BS and Masters in Elementary Education Certification in Educational Leadership 1- 12, ESOL	4	9	2011 – 2012 – Grade A; 44% meeting high standards in reading, 47% meeting high standards in math, 73% meeting high standards in writing, 23% meeting high standards in science, 78% making learning gains in reading, 81% making learning gains in math, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2010-2011 – Grade C; 85% of AYP standards met, 53% meeting high standards in reading, 63% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 24% meeting high standards in science, 60% making learning gains in reading, 66% making learning gains in math, 50% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 67% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2009-2010 – Grade B; 85% of AYP standards met, 60% meeting high standards in reading, 71% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 28% meeting high standards in science, 66% making learning gains in reading, 60% making learning gains in math, 66% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2008-2009 – Grade A; 100% of AYP standards met, 61% meeting high standards in reading, 71% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 22% meeting high standards in science, 73% making learning gains in reading, 82% meeting high standards in science, 73% making learning gains in reading, 82% making learning gains in math, 65% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 65% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 65% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 65% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math, 65% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math.
------------------------	--------------------	--	---	---	---

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Number of Vears Number of Years Statewide Asset

Area		Certification(s)	Current School	Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Bonnie Jack	B.S. Elementary Education; Certification: 1 – 6 Elementary Ed, Reading Endorsed, ESOL	7	5	2011 – 2012 – Grade A; 44% meeting high standards in reading, 47% meeting high standards in math, 73% meeting high standards in writing, 23% meeting high standards in science, 78% making learning gains in reading, 81% making learning gains in math, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2010-2011 – Grade C; 85% of AYP standards met, 53% meeting high standards in reading, 63% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 24% meeting high standards in science, 60% making learning gains in reading, 66% making learning gains in math, 50% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 67% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math.
CRT	Sheleen Burgess	B.S. Elementary Ed 1 – 6 M.A. Educational Leadership Certification: Elementary 1-6	17	11	2011 – 2012 – Grade A; 44% meeting high standards in reading, 47% meeting high standards in math, 73% meeting high standards in writing, 23% meeting high standards in science, 78% making learning gains in reading, 81% making learning gains in math, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 91% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math. 2010-2011 – Grade C; 85% of AYP standards met, 53% meeting high standards in reading, 63% meeting high standards in math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 24% meeting high standards in science, 60% making learning gains in reading, 66% making learning gains in math, 50% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading, 67% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in math.

TIF II	Laura Matthews	B.S. Elementary Ed	3	8	2011 – 2012 – Grade A; 44% meeting high standards in
		Certification Elementary			reading, 47% meeting high standards in math, 73% meeting
		1- 6, Pre K – 3			high standards in writing, 23% meeting high standards in
					science, 78% making learning gains in reading, 81% making
					learning gains in math, 91% of the students in the lowest 25%
					made learning gains in reading, 91% of the students in the
					lowest 25% made learning gains in math.
					2010-2011 – Grade C; 85% of AYP standards met, 53% meeting
					high standards in reading, 63% meeting high standards in
					math, 93% meeting high standards in writing, 24% meeting
					high standards in science, 60% making learning gains in
					reading, 66% making learning gains in math, 50% of the
					students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading,
					67% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in
					math.

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Beginning Teacher Program	Laura Matthews	June 2013
2.	Teacher Mentoring Program – Continue with the school wide teacher mentoring program- new teachers have been paired up with veteran teachers for support and encouragement, this has been an on-going program that will continue	Laura Matthews/Sheleen Burgess	June 2013
3.	Coaching Support Team - Eccleston Coaches are paired up with a teacher to support, monitor, coach throughout the year	Bonnie Jack, Sheleen Burgess	June 2013
4.	Weekly Staff Recognition- Each Friday one teacher is selected by staff as the Gold Medalist of the week	Tracy Webley/Felecia Goodman	June 2013
5.	Book Studies/PLC/Lesson Study	Leadership Team	June 2013
6.	Staff Development – 2012-2013 Moving to Common Core	Leadership Team	June 2013

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
ESOL Classes
ESOL Classes

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
37	8%(3)	8%(3)	68%(25)	16%(6)	24%(9)	0	8%(3)	0	94%(35)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

tor Name Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
--------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

Carlene Honor	Bonnie Jack	Leadership Team member assigned to grade level	New teachers meeting Weekly mentor/mentee meeting Monthly coaching meeting Staff Development Formal/Informal Assessments
Amanda Bohn	Crystal Hart	Team Leader member assigned by Academic Coach	New teachers meeting Weekly mentor/mentee meeting Monthly coaching meeting Staff Development Formal/Informal Assessments

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Title I funds will be used to purchase positions for staff members who service our entire student population, such as (but not limited to) instructional support teachers, paraprofessionals, technology support person and hourly tutors. VPK is provided. SAI funds will be used to provide tutoring and materials for Level 1 Third Grade Students, Level 1 & 2 Fourth and Fifth Grade Students, Summer Reading Camp is available for Grade 3 students who scored Level 1 on FCAT,SES tutoring is funded by the federal government and provides tutoring for students on campus by state approved tutoring providers. This program takes place after school and is coordinated by an SES facilitator.

Title I, Part C- Migrant – N/A

Title I. Part D – N/A

Title II

Title II funds are used to employ Dr. Walters, writing/grammar consultant.

Title III – N/A

Title X- Homeless

The Homeless Education Program, provided through the McKinney Vento Act, assists our students and their families if they are classified homeless. The School Staffing Coordinator and the Family Outreach Coordinator are the contacts for this program. They ensure parents are aware of services available to them.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) - The school provides free tutoring services and materials for students in first thru fifth grades. The tutoring focuses on Reading and Math. Our 3-5 students are offered tutoring on Saturdays.

Violence Prevention Programs

We have the RTI-B Program school wide. Our school resource officer teaches the Super Kids program to the fifth grade students. We have a teacher/student mentoring program for students who are having social and academic challenges. We have Safe Ambassadors Program though SAFE SCHOOLS, HEALTHY SCHOOL.

Nutrition Programs

Our students are offered free breakfast and lunch. We follow the USDA Program for all public schools. We offer the Fresh Fruit and Vegetables Program 3times per week. Our students are offered free breakfast and lunch. We follow the USDA Program for all public schools. We offer the Fresh Fruit and Vegetables Program 3times per week.

Housing Programs - NA

Head Start

We have a Voluntary Pre K program.

Adult Education

Parent Resource Teacher provides training for parents on skills to help their children at home with school work.

Career and Technical Education - NA

Job Training - NA

Other - Safe Schools, Healthy Schools Grant, Eccleston has two clinical case managers who work with small groups and individual students and their families to identify and coordinate services they may need because they may not qualify for Medicaid or are underinsured. Safe Schools, Healthy Schools provide counselors that work with children who have behavioral/emotional issues. A Devereux counselors comes every day and provides support to children with emotional/behavioral issues. Eccleston Elementary School has a ½ time Parent Resource Teacher who works with the families in need, provides training, job placement, and other resources.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The Principal, Tracy Webley, will provide a common mission and vision for the RtI Leadership Team. Ms. Webley and the Assistant Principal, Felecia Goodman, will ensure that data is collected; analyzed and appropriate plans are put into place to enhance the academic and behavioral growth of all learners.

The Curriculum Resource Teacher, Sheleen Burgess, will assist with the curriculum and assessment needs of the teachers and students. The Reading Coach, Bonnie Jack, and the Academic Coach, Laura Matthews, will help teachers clarify and identify appropriate researched based instructional strategies. The Behavior Dean, Rozene Frett, will coordinate the development of a school wide behavior plan, as well as behavior plans for specific students and collect data on behavior concerns. These support teachers will also assist with the collection and analysis of data reports and provide teachers with the appropriate training on the disaggregation of the data and teaching strategies. They will also assist with the weekly/bi weekly monitoring of student data as well as provide modeling and professional development. In addition they will assist with TIER III interventions.

The Staffing Coordinator, Emmanuela Bough, will assist the teachers with collecting and tracking the data of the exceptional educational students as well as provide resources and materials for students making minimal progress.

The ESOL Compliance Teacher, Emmanuela Bough, will monitor the progress and implementation of interventions and strategies for identified ELL students ensuring that intervention plans remain ESOL compliant.

The School Psychologist, Julie Mejia, will provide historical data on students, various data collection tools, suggestions for intervention techniques and practices as well as assessment support when determined. Ms. Mejia will also be available for class or student observations.

The Social Workers, Karie Johnston and Ana Gonzalez, will provide additional data on students collected through home visits or phone conversations with parents. The Exceptional Education Teachers, Scottie Martin (SLD) and Jennifer Sullivan (Speech & Language) will help integrate instructional strategies and collaborate with the general education teachers to ensure that the exceptional education student needs are being met.

The School RtI Support Coach, Laura Matthews, will schedule and facilitate the RtI meetings. Ms. Matthews will also assist with the completion of the appropriate data collection and paperwork.

The General Education Teachers will provide student data and observations as well as information on core and intervention instruction. They will work collaboratively with their grade level team members to analyze and problem solve issues regarding the effectiveness of the instructional strategies and curricula.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the RtI Leadership Team is to provide a delivery of service model which addresses academic and behavioral concerns of all students. The RtI Leadership Team will meet weekly to address the areas of instruction, curriculum and school/classroom environment. The team will focus on school data, pacing of instruction, prior interventions and current interventions to monitor and increase student achievement. From this information, professional development and other resources will be identified to assist with instruction and behavior management. In addition to these meetings, members of the RtI Team will meet weekly with grade level groups and/or individual teachers to address the specific academic or behavioral concerns of their students. With the use of student data, struggling students will be identified and an intervention plan will be put into place. Further meetings will be held to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention using ongoing progress monitoring data and modifications can be made if necessary.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Principal will meet with the RtI Leadership Team (some of which are members of the School Advisory Council) to discuss and address the focus of the School Improvement Plan. During the meeting, the team will discuss the issues facing students who are not making progress. Based on this discussion, team members will review and address the professional staff development needs to assist teachers with providing rigorous and relative instruction and behavior management techniques to students.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- 1. Tier 1 Analyze data schoolwide to look for patterns and deliver instruction or counseling based on needs.
- 2. Tier 2 Remediate, Enrich according to the needs of the students beyond what is provided during the regular schedule.
- 3. Tier 3 Intensive interventions based on the needs of the students and progress monitor every week to check for mastery or improvement.

Reading - screen students using DRA, After the Bell assessment, Theme Tests and Teacher Made Assessments.

Mathematics – Envision Assessment and Successmaker, Time Math Facts Assessment will be used to monitor the students' progress.

Science – Write Score Science will be used to manage the students' progress.

Writing – A writing consultant and school wide writing assessments will be used to manage the students' progress.

Behavior – RTIB and PBS management systems will be used to monitor and remediate as needed.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The current RtI Leadership Team is trained in the RtI process. For the 2012-2013 school year the RtI Support Coach will provide an review/overview for all teachers. Additional training will be provided for new teachers not familiar with the process. Ongoing professional development and support will also be provided by the RtI Leadership Team in regards to RtI updates on services, instructional strategies, data analysis and progress monitoring for the current school year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

We will meet with the teachers during our Data and CIA Meetings to monitor the progress of the students in all the above areas. Our coaches will push in to assist the teachers with their needs. We will discuss the progress of the students during our RTIB meetings to give suggestions and monitor behavior progress. We will continue to implement staff development based on the needs of the teachers and students.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal – Tracy Webley; Assistant Principal – Felecia Goodman; Curriculum Resource Teacher – Sheleen Burgess; TIF II Support – Laura Matthews; School Dean – Rozene Frett; Reading Coach - Bonnie Jack; Reading Support – Diane Goodson; Parent Resource – Betty Chandler

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Team meets weekly to discuss data, updates, and recent activity reports and interventions. Each team member meets daily with a small group of students for in intensive intervention in reading. The Dean works with the teachers and AR, setting goals and getting the students excited about reading. Awards are given for most books read, highest points in AR, highest scorer in each class and top 10 readers in the entire school. Academic Coaches meet with teachers weekly to discuss assessment results and student progression. The points of discussions during these meetings are curriculum alignment, assessment data, student progress, interventions and rigor and relevance of instruction. The LLT provides teachers with needed resources and assists by modeling whole and small group instruction.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the LLT will be to assist teachers with the 90 Minute Reading Block, AR, differentiating instruction and interventions to meet the students' need. The LLT will also provide staff development throughout the year in these areas.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The Pre-K Program offers students a stimulating environment that provides a well-rounded academic curriculum before entering kindergarten. Parents and incoming pre-kindergarteners are invited to attend "Meet the Teacher" before the first day of school. They are also encouraged to spend the first 15 minutes with their child on the first day of school assisting them with getting acclimated to their new environment. The students also eat their free breakfast and lunch with the K-5 students watch morning announcements, walk in lines in the hallways, participate in circle time lessons to learn basics of literacy and mathematics, participate in learning centers, learn social skills, learn to follow simple school rules, and participate in student celebrations.

Our Pre-K and Kindergarten teachers are all part of a team. They attend data meetings, CIA meeting, field trips and assemblies together. They are involved in professional development opportunities for instructional strategies to meet the needs of the students.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

NONE

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NONE

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NONE

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

NONE

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.	Parental Support at home.	Parental Involvement plan Continue to have Parent Honor Roll, Parent Inductions Ceremony, Parent Classes to become Power Parent	1A.1. Assistant Principal/Parental Involvement Resource	1A.1. Monitor Parent involvement	1A.1. Sign in sheets, call logs	

reading Sout # 111.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	In 2012 scored 31% (94) Level 3 on FCAT Reading	In 2013 we expect 34% of students to score Level 3 on FCAT Reading					
		I A.2. Consistency of instruction between classrooms within the same grade levels to ensure they have the same rigor and relevance	Implementation of Guided Reading/	1A.2. Principal/Assistant Principal/ Leadership Team		1A.2. FCAT 2012/DRA/HM/FAIR/ SuccessMaker	
		Maintaining student reading	1A.3. School wide AR goals, professional vocabulary PLC and Lesson Study, Media on the wheel.		team, discussion of data with teachers during team meetings, following the PLC guiding questions. Classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan checks.	1A.3. DRA/ Houghton Mifflin	
Alternate	1B.1. N/A	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		

Reading Goal #1B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0:				2A.1.	2A.1.	
Students scoring		Imagine It will be used as the	Principal/Assistant Principal/		Lesson Plan Checks, CWT,	
at or above		core reading	Leadership Team	monitoring of interventions and core instruction. Lesson Study data	student data, RtI	
Achievement Levels	based strategies	program K-2.		will be utilized.		
4 in reading.	for whole and	HM used in 3-5				
		grades. Guided				
	instruction.	Reading groups will be expected				
		at each grade				
		level using the				
		Center Rotation				
D 1: C1 //2 A -	2012 Current	System. 2013 Expected				
Reading Goal #2A: In 2012, 12% (35) scored Level 4 or 5 on FCAT Reading.	Level of	Level of Performance:*				
In 2013, we expect 16% of						
students to score Level 4 or						
5 on FCAT Reading.						
	In 2012, 12%	In 2013, we				
	(35) scored	expect 16%				
	Level 4 or 5 on					
	FCAT Reading.	score Level 4 or 5 on FCAT				
		Reading.				
		Ü				

	•						
		2A.2.			2A.2.	2A.2.	
1		Utilization of	Student school goals for AR	Principal/Assistant Principal/	Classroom Walk throughs will	Student data, CWT, Lesson	
1		differentiated	Quarterly Rewards for Reading	Coaches	be conducted to assess the	Checks	
		instruction.	Success.		interventions, and reading block.		
		moti de tion.	Success.		Review data with teachers,		
					Review data with teachers,		
					monitor and adjust weekly at		
					data meetings.		
		2A.3	2A.3 Student/School goals for AR.	2A.3	2A.3	2A.3	
		Limited time	Quarterly rewards for Reading	Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean/	Track AR goals weekly.	AR Results Reports, student data	
		available for		Parental Resource Teacher	share results on morning		
		enrichment	success.	l archiai Resource Teacher	announcements every Monday.		
		activities.			Awards given to top 10 monthly.		
		2A.4	2A.4	2A.4	2A.4	2A.4	
		Low Level	Webb's Depth of Knowledge	Principal/Assistant Principal/	Classroom Walk throughs will	Lesson Plan Checks, CWT,	
		Questions	Training for teachers (Higher Order		be conducted to assess the	student data, RtI, Guided	
		Questions	Thinking Questions and Strategies)	Codenes	interventions, and reading block.		
			Tilliking Questions and Strategies)			Reading Form	
					Review data with teachers,		
					monitor and adjust weekly at		
					data meetings. Review Guided		
					Reading Group Form.		
2B. Florida	2B.1. N/A	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
ab. I lollan							
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.							
	2012 G	2012 F . 1					
Reading Goal #2B:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
N/A	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
	11/21	1 1/21					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
1							
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
	i e				1		

		Q.		l n vv / ~ ·	T 1 2 T 1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
	JA.1.		Principal/Assistant Principal/		Lesson Plan Checks, CWT,		
Percentage of	Consistent use	Imagine It will	Leadership Team	monitoring of interventions and	student data, RtI		
	of research	be used as the	Loudersomp Tourn	core instruction. Lesson Study data			
	based strategies			will be utilized.			
	for whole and	program K-2.		win be utilized.			
i cauiiie.		HM is used in					
	instruction	3 – 5 grades.					
	monucuon	5 – 5 grades. Guided Reading					
		groups will					
		be expected					
		at each grade					
		level using the					
		Wheel Rotation					
		System.					
Danding Coal #2 A.	2012 Current	2013 Expected				1	
Reading Goal #3A:	Level of	Level of					
III 2012, 7070 (101) OJ IIIC	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students made learning							
gains.							
In 2013, 81% of the							
students will make							
learning gains.							
3							
		In 2013, 81%					
		of the students					
	students made	will make					
	learning gains.						
	3 3	3 3					
	!						

		3A.2. Consistency of instruction between classrooms within the same grade levels to ensure	Implementation of Guided Reading/ Center Rotation Plan school wide. Weekly data meeting with each team to discuss rigor/relevant and to analyze the student data.	3A.2. Principal/Assistant Principal/ Coaches	3A.2. Classroom Walk throughs will be conducted to assess the interventions, and reading block. Review data with teachers, monitor and adjust weekly at data meetings	3A.2. Lesson Plan Checks, CWT, student data, Rtl
		they have the same rigor and relevance.				
		differentiated instruction.	Pre-Planning Professional Development for fine-tuning the center rotations to target the different levels of students, Higher Order Questions, PLC, and Vocabulary Word of the Week.	3A.3. Principal/Assistant Principal/ Coaches	3A.3. Classroom Walk throughs will be conducted to assess the interventions, and reading block. Review data with teachers, monitor and adjust weekly at data meetings	3A.3. CWT, Student Data
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1. N/A	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	IVA	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

D 1		α.	- · · ·	D 41 : 5 :		•	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	and teacher resources to target skills.	Double reading blocks, push in ESE instructor to monitor and adjust curriculum to best meet the needs of ESE population. After school tutoring, Saturday School.	Principal/ESE Instructor/SES Coordinator	RTI Team/Progress Monitoring/ Data Meetings	CWT, Student Data		
Reading Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
2012, 91% (53) of the lowest 25% made learning gains.	-	r criormanee.					
2013, 94% will make learning gains in reading							
		will make learning gains					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		due to high	Target students for intervention as soon as they arrive at school, monitor and adjust instruction accordingly.	Reading Coach, CRT, RTI team	RTI/Progress Monitoring/Data Meetings	Outcome assessments/data review	

4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
differentiated		Coaches	Classroom Walk throughs will be conducted to assess the interventions, and reading block. Review data with teachers, monitor and adjust weekly at data meetings		

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data	AMO Target: 40%	AMO Target: 45%	AMO Target: 51%			AMO Target: 67%
school will reduce	2010-2011					0270	0/70
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A: Eccleston Elementary School will increase the percentage of students scoring satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT Reading							
subtest from 44% to 45%.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
SD. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1	5B.1.		
ethnicity (White,	Utilization of Reading core curriculum with fidelity and differentiated instruction.	Professional Development training on core curriculum, center rotation training to address differentiated instruction.	Principal/Assistant Principal/ Coaches	CWT, Lesson Plans, review data with teachers at weekly data meetings, monitor data to adjust interventions groups monthly	CWT, Student assessment data		

Reading Goal #5B: 2012, 42% (93) of the Black students were proficient in reading. 2013, 45% will be proficient in reading.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: :NA Black: 42%(93) Hispanic:N/A Asian:N/A American N/A Indian:N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: 45% Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:				
		5B.2. Student Mobility	5B.2. Collaborate with neighboring schools, assess student as soon as they enter the school, place into appropriate intervention group.	Principal/Assistant Principal/ Coaches	5B.2. Student assessment data	
		5B.3. Lack of Parental Involvement	School will be implementing	Assistant Principal/Parent Involvement Teacher	5B.3. Sign-in sheets	

					1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:	501	50.1	lea i	50.1	50.1		
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
-012, 1070 (7) 03 1110 2222	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students were proficient in							
reading. 2013, 48% of the ELL							
students will be proficient							
in reading.							
		2013, 48%					
		of the ELL students will					
	students were proficient in	stuaents will be proficient in					
	proficient in reading.	reading.					
	· cuming	· cuming.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
		50.5.	DC.3.	DC.3.	JC.J.	oc.s.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							

with Disabilities	Students making limited progress in Targeted	Meet bi-weekly			5D.1. Progress Monitoring Probes		
Reading Goal #5D: 2012, 12% (1) of the students (SWD) were proficient in reading. 2013, 20% of the students will be proficient in reading.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	(AMO)(1) of the students (SWD) were proficient in reading.			5D.2.		5D.2. 5D.3.	
		50.3.	JD.J.	JD.J.	D.J.	JD.J.	

				1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5.E.1	5E.1	5E.1	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged			Principal/Assistant Principal/			
students not making	Utilization of	Professional	Coaches		CWT, Student assessment data	
students not making	Reading core	Development		with teachers at weekly data		
satisfactory progress		training on core		meetings, monitor data to adjust		
in reading.	fidelity and	curriculum,		interventions groups monthly.		
, and the second	differentiated	center rotation	l			
	instruction.	training				
		to address				
		differentiated				
		instruction.				
Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
In 2012, 31% (94) scored						
Level 3 on FCAT Reading						
Zerei o on i chi nemmg			l			
In 2013, we expect 34% of						
students to score Level 3on						
FCAT Reading.			l			
a characteris.						
			l			
			l			
			l			
	Y 2012 212/	Y 2012				
		In 2013, we				
	(94) scored	expect 34%				
		of students to				
	FCAT Reading.	score Level 3on	l			
		FCAT Reading.				

Stu	udent obility		Coaches	5E.2. Attendance Clerk informs administration when new student arrives, student is assessed and placed in group that best fits his/her needs.		
La	ack of Parental volvement.	School will be implementing			5E.3. Sign-in sheets	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Grammar/Writing	K - 4	Dr. Walters	K - 4	9/10/12, 9/14/12, 10/8/12,11/5/12, Writing Buddies Throughout School Year	Writing Samples turned in to Leadership Team Weekly	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT
Marzano New Teacher Evaluation Training	K – 5, Special Area	Leadership Team	K – 5, Special Area	9/19/12,10/17/12,11/28/12, 1/16/13, 2/20/13, 3/20/13, 4/17/13 5/15/13	Walkthroughs, iObservation Data	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT
IMS Training	K – 5, Special Area	Leadership Team	K – 5, Special Area	9/26/12	Data Collection	Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team

Common Core Training	K - 2	Leadership Team	K - 2	10/3/12, 11/7/12, 12/5/12, 2/6/13, 3/6/13,4/10/13,5/1/13	Walkthroughs, iObservation Data	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT
Webb's Higher Order Training	3 - 5	Leadership Team	3 - 5	10/3/12, 11/7/12, 12/5/12, 2/6/13, 3/6/13,4/10/13,5/1/13	Walkthroughs, iObservation Data	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT
Destination College	3-5	3-5 Reading Coach 3-5		2nd Wednesday of each Month	Binder Checks	Reading Coach
Lesson Study	K - 3	CRT	K – 2 Instructors	TBA	Walkthroughs, iObservation Data	Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team
RtI	K - 5	Principal, RtI District, Leadership Team	p School-wide	chool-wide On-going Progress Monitoring data, RtI Weekly meetings to analysis data		Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team
			1			

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reading Intervention	Reading Ready 3 - 5	Fund 176	2,670
Reading Intervention	Coach Reading 3 - 5	Fund 001	5,000
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Success Maker	FCAT Practice	Title One	16,000
AR Enterprise			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Grammar/Writing	Dr. Walters Consultant Trainer	Fund 115	12,000
Imagine It Consumables	Core Program K - 2	Fund 115/001/176	11,000
Write Score	Assessment	Fund 001	5080
			Subtotal: 50,670
		Fund 115/001/176	11,000
		Fund 001	5080

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	parents correctly.	will provide interventions four times a week in reading to support classroom teachers.	1.1. Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team	1.1. Monitor and adjust according to data, CWT data	1.1. FAIR, Benchmark Exam	
	2012, 80% (4) of the ELL students were proficient in listening and speaking.					
			1.2. School will be implementing Parent Honor Roll, Power Parents program. Parents will receive a report card focusing on student achievement. Induction ceremony will be held and parents will attend workshops designed to help them with their children's school success		1.2. Monitor and adjust according to data, CWT data	1.2. FAIR, Benchmark Exam

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.	2.1. Students are not able to articulate the schools expectations to the parents correctly.	2.1. ELL Para and Staffing Specialist will provide interventions four times a week in reading to support classroom teachers.	2.1. Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team	2.1. Monitor and adjust according to data, CWT data	2.1. FAIR, Benchmark Exam	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	2012, 60% (3) students scored proficient in reading.					
		2.2. Parents not able to communicate their needs and wants in English.	2.2. School will be implementing Parent Honor Roll, Power Parents program. Parents will receive a report card focusing on student achievement. Induction ceremony will be held and parents will attend workshops designed to help them with their children's school success	2.2. Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team	2.2. Monitor and adjust according to data, CWT data	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.		2.1. Interventions in writing, weekly writing prompts turned into the leadership team to monitor progress		2.1. Weekly writing samples turned in the Leadership Team	2.1. Writing Samples	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	2012, 20% (1) student was proficient in writing.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

CEEE/I Budget (Insert to wa us net			1	
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
N/A				
Subtotal:				
Total:				
1 1 1 2 2 2 1				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	Consistently using Math (Envision) core program.	IA.1. Instructional staff will receive handson training in identifying the components of effective lessons using Envision. Coaches will model lessons for teachers. Coaches will monitor and adjust math intervention groups to make sure all students are getting what they need.	Teachers, Leadership Team		1A.1. Benchmark Exam, Envision Math Assessments	

N 1 1 C 1	2012 Current	2013 Expected	Г	Г	ı	<u> </u>	
Mathematics Goal #1A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
2012, 29% (86) students scored Level 3 on the FCAT Math. 2013, 32% of the students will score Level 3 on the FCAT Math.							
real mun.							
	Level 3 on the	the students will					
		Differentiated instruction in math.	Math support will have a professional development workshop on differentiated math skills. Math support will model whole group block and small group centers for math.	1A.2. Assistant Principal, Principal, Teachers, Math Coach	together to clarify exactly what each student must learn, monitor each student's learning on a timely basis, provide systematic interventions that ensure students receive additional time and support for learning.	1A.2. Student assessment data, CWT, data meeting discussions	
			and Focus Calendars.	1A.3. Assistant Principal, Principal, Teachers, Math Coach		1A.3. Student assessment data, CWT, data meeting discussions	
		Lack of time on Computers	Add Computer Lab to focus	1A.4. Math Coach, Special Area Teachers, Classroom Teachers		IA.4. Moby Math, SuccessMaker Data Reports	
	1B.1. N/A			1B.1.	1B.1.		

	1B·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
1	N/A							
ľ		N/A	N/A					
Ī			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
Ī			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

D 1 4 1 :	1 4	C.	n n ::	D 11 1 D -			
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
			Assistant Principal, Principal,	CWT, student data, data meetings	Student assessment data, CWT,		
Students scoring			Teachers, Resource Teachers	with teachers	data meeting discussions		
at or above	using Math core						
Achievement		to include 30					
Levels 4 and 5 in		minutes of					
		whole group					
mathematics.	small groups in						
		60 minutes of					
		small group					
		instruction					
		to focus on					
		enrichment					
		and technology					
		applications.					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
2012, 17% (51) students							
scored 4 or 5 in							
mathematics.							
2013, 20% of the students							
will score 4 or 5 in							
mathematics.							
	2012, 17%	2013, 20% of					
		the students will	4				
	scored 4 or 5 in	score 4 or 5 in					
	mathematics.	mathematics.					
		<u> </u>					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		Changing to	Professional development in the	Assistant Principal, Principal,	CWT, student data, data	Student assessment data, CWT,	
		CCSS	math CCSS	Teachers, Resource Teachers	meetings with teachers	data meeting discussions	

			Implement content area vocabulary PLC.	2A.3. Assistant Principal, Principal, Teachers, Resource Teachers, Team Leaders	2A.3. CWT, student data, data meetings with teachers, PLC	2A.3. Student assessment data, CWT, data meeting discussions	
Alternate	2B.1. N/A	2B.1.			2B.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

				N VV / ~ .	n 1	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0:				3A.1.	3A.1.	
Percentage of			Assistant Principal, Principal,			
students making	Consistently using Math	ongoing in depth training,		Review data with leadership team, discussion of data with teachers	Classroom Walkthroughs, lesson plans, student data	
learning gains in	(Envision) core	coaching		during team meetings and data	pians, student data	
mathematics.		modeling,		meetings. Lesson Plans reviewed		
inacircinacies.	Differentiated	monitoring,		and monitored, Common Board		
		and feedback		reviewed during walkthroughs		
	small groups in math.	on teaching strategies during				
		Data, RtI,				
		Faculty and				
		Team Meetings.				
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected				
#3A:	Level of	Level of				
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
2012, 81% (188) of the						
students made learning						
gains in mathematics.						
2013, 84% will make learning gains in						
mathematics.						

	2012, 81%(188)	2013, 84%					
	of the students made learning gains in mathematics.	will make					
		3A.2. Exposure to math concepts and language	3A.2. Continue weekly math vocabulary and concepts through skill blast.		3A.2. Review data with leadership team, discussion of data with teachers during team meetings and data meetings. Lesson Plans reviewed and monitored, Common Board reviewed during walkthroughs		
		3A.3. Parental Involvement	3A.3. All Educators at Eccleston Elementary along with volunteers will work rigorously to improve our students' math deficiencies and enhance their strengths. We will assess regularly, tutor according to needs and re-teach when necessary. Parents will become involved in FCAT Math Night.			3A.3. Classroom Walkthroughs, lesson plans, student data	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1. N/A	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3B: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					

	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.		4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of	Consistently	Implement the daily 90 minute	Assistant Principal, Principal,	Classroom walkthroughs will be conducted to assess the	Student data, lesson plan review,		
students in lowest	using Math core	math block,	CRT/LRT,	intervention/enrichment block.	CWT		
			Resource Teachers	Review of data with the teachers			
rear ning gams in		minutes of whole group		during data meetings, weekly.			
		instruction and					
	math.	60 minutes of					
		small group instruction to					
		focus basic					
		math skills and					
		technology applications.					
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
2012 010/ (#2)	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
2012, 91% (53) of the lowest 25% made learning		r criormanee.					
gains in math.							
2013, 95% of the lowest							
25% will make learning gains in math.							
5							
	2012, 91% (53)	2013, 95%					
	of the lowest	of the lowest					
	25% made learning gains	25% will make learning gains					
	in math.	in math.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		Time to focus	Provide tutoring for students to	SES, Principal, Assistant Principal,	Classroom walkthroughs will	11.1.2.	
		on math	increase math skills before school,	Instructors, Coaches	be conducted to assess the	Student data, lesson plan review,	
			after school and on Saturdays.		intervention/enrichment block. Review of data with the teachers	CWT	
					during data meetings, weekly.		

	4A.3.	4.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
	Parental	All Educators at Eccleston		Call parents to invite, monitor		
	Involvement	Elementary along with volunteers	Principal, Assistant Principal,	attendance	Student data, lesson plan review,	
		will work rigorously to improve	Parent Resource Teacher		CWT, attendance sign-in sheet	
		our students' math deficiencies and				
		enhance their strengths. We will				
		assess regularly, tutor according to				
		needs and re-teach when necessary.				
		Parents will become involved in				
		FCAT Math Night.				
		_				

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011	48%	53%	57%	62%	67%	72%
school will reduce	43%						
their achievement	43/0						
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A: Eccleston Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT Math subtest from 47% to 53% (AMO).							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	(Envision) core program. Differentiated instruction in small groups in math.	Professional Development and modeling of Math Core and math center rotations.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers, CRT/LRT, Resource Teachers	Weekly data meetings to closely monitor growth. Identify students at risk and place in interventions groups. Continue Lesson Study process. Lesson Study is a Professional Development process that is teacher driven and student focused.	CWT, Student data, teacher discussions at data meetings		
Mathematics Goal #5B: 53% of the Black students were not proficient in math. 2013, 53% will be proficient in math.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2012, 53% of the Black students were not proficient in math. White:N/A Black:53% Hispanic:N/A Asian:N/A American Indian:N/A	2013, 53% will be proficient in math. White:N/A Black:53% Hispanic:N/A Asian:N/A American Indian:N/A 5B.2 Parental Involvement	5B.2 All Educators at Eccleston Elementary along with volunteers will work rigorously to improve our students' math deficiencies and enhance their strengths. We will assess regularly, tutor according to needs and re-teach when necessary. Parents will become involved in FCAT Math Night.	5B.2 Principal, Assistant Principal, Parent Resource Teacher	5B.2. Call parents to invite, monitor attendance	5B.2 Student data, lesson plan review, CWT, attendance sign- in sheet	

	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
	Expectation, goals for each student	Utilize Computer Lab software	Principal, Assistant Principal,	Classroom walkthroughs will		ı
		Moby Math, Success Maker, FCAT	Coaches, Computer Lab teacher	be conducted to assess the	Student Data,	ı
		Explorer, student data chats	_	intervention/enrichment block.	CWT	ı
		_		Review of data with the teachers		ı
				during data meetings, weekly.		

D 1 4 1 :	1 4 4	Ct t	p p '/	D II 1/ D/	Г 1 4: Т 1	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
c cv zngnon	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
			Assistant Principal	Collect weekly math data	Minute Math Sheets	
(ELL) not making		basic math				
		incentive,				
satisfactory progress		each week the				
in mathematics.		students are				
		giving basic				
		math skill				
		sheet, they have				
		one minute				
		to complete.				
		The AP will				
		announce				
		weekly the				
		top scorers				
		on morning				
		announcements				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#5C:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
2012, 60% (12) ELL						
students did not make						
progress in mathematics.						
2013, 46% will make						
progress in mathematics						
y. 051 coo in intinentinto						
	2012, 60% (12)					
	ELL students	make progress				
	did not make	in mathematics.				
	progress in					
	mathematics.					

		Time to focus on math	Provide tutoring for students to increase math skills before school, after school and on Saturdays.	5C.2. Assistant Principal, Principal, Teachers, CRT/LRT, Resource Teachers, SES Coordinator	5C.2. Tutoring data, intervention data, Conferences with parents and students	5C.2. Student data, CWT	
		5C.3.	based programs for identified students during the regular 5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
						50.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities		5D.1. School wide basic math incentive, each week the students are giving basic math skill sheet, they have one minute to complete. The AP will announce weekly the top scorers on morning announcements	5D.1. Assistant Principal	5D.1. Collect weekly Math data	5D.1. Minute Math sheets		

Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012, 80% (24) SWD students were not proficient in Math. 2013, 28% will be proficient in math.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	(24) SWD	2013, 28% will be proficient in math.					
		Time to focus on math	Provide tutoring for students to increase math skills before school, after school and on Saturdays. Provide Intensive interventions	Assistant Principal, Principal, Teachers,	5D.2. Tutoring data, intervention data, Conferences with parents and students	5D.2. Student data, CWT	
		5D.3.		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Suuces	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5E.1.			5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged			Assistant Principal, Principal,	L		
students not making	Time to focus	for students to	Teachers,		Student data, CWT	
satisfactory progress	on math	increase math	CRT/LRT,	Conferences with parents and		
satisfactory progress			Resource Teachers, SES Coordinator	students		
in mathematics.		school, after school and on	Coordinator			
		Saturdays.				
		Provide				
		Intensive				
		interventions				
		using				
		scientifically				
		researched				
		based programs				
		for identified				
		students during				
		the regular				
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected				
#5E:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
2012, 53% (127) of the						
ED student did not make						
satisfactory progress in						
math.						
2013, 53% will make						
progress in math.						

of the ED	2013, 53% will make progress in math.					
	(Envision) core	5E.2. Professional Training for Envision Consultant, Modeling from Math Coach, walk throughs from district math specialist for feedback	Principal/Assistant Principal/ Coaches	5E.2. Meet with District math specialist, meet with Envision Math Consultant to discuss strategies to assist teachers with math core and small groups	5E.2. Student data, CWT	
	Parental Involvement, help with homework	Parent Report Card, Honor roll	Coaches	5E.3. Parent Workshops attendance, Connect Ed to invite parents to participate in effort	5E.3. Student data, CWT	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				· · · · · · ·			
chool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.		
#1 A ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	IA.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

12011011	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
	r criormanee.	r criormanec.					
N/A							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Ctrotogra	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance.	Performance.					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2 4 2	2 4 2	2 4 2	2 4 2	2 4 . 2	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal	Level of	Level of					
#3A:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r crrormance.	r criormanee.					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	inis box.	inis box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		2	h				
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
o D. I Torran	1						
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	-	•		•	•		

Mathematics Goal #3B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
						4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
#5A:							
N/A							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	-		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 (3371.)	Black: Hispanic:						
Black, Hispanic,	Asian:						
Asian, American	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#5B:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
<u> </u>							
N/A							

Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level					
level of performance in this box.	of performance in this box.					
White:	White:					
Black:	Black:					
Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
Asian:	Asian:					
American Indian:	American Indian:					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following							
subgroup:							
oc. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
1130.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		JC.2.	DC.2.	DC.2.	JC.2.	JC.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroup:							
subgroup.							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
N/A	r orrormanoo.	r crivimance.					
1 1/21							
	E4	Enter numerical					
	Enter numerical data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		JD.J.	اد.ط. ا	5.U.S.	DD.J.	J.J.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
#5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years							
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Basciiiie data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Entan namatina fon 41-							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Sou in inis oon.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
- 41 2 24 (3371- 14	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.			ļ	l	l .		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for europerted level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress	6						
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r criormanec.	r crivimanec.					
8							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	25.2	25.2	25.2	2F 2	
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	
			!		l		

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

their achievement	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.			ĺ			
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
					3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Stomeny Commercia	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
gour in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
F4		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
8							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
					1		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profes	ssional			
Develo	pment			
(PD) alig	ned with			
Strategie	s through			
	ssional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Envision Math	K - 5	District Support	School-wide (New Teachers)	TBA	Classroom Walkthroughs/teacher evaluation	Leadership Team
Math Block	K - 5	Math Coach	School-wide	TBA	Classroom Walkthroughs/teacher evaluation	Leadership Team

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Coach Math Consumables	Math Intervention	Fund 001	4933.71
Everglades Math	Math Interventions	Fund 001	2600.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
			•

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	Consistently teaching benchmarks and implementing essential science labs using instructional materials.	Train teachers	1A.1. Principal, Assistant Principal,	Each classroom is required to teach one science lab per week,	1A.1. CWT, observation of labs, lesson plans, student data, on write score, Science assessments, and through boot camp	

Science Goal #1A: 2012, 22% (20) of the students scored Level 3 in science. 2013, 25% will score a Level 3 in science.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2012, 22% (20) of the students scored Level 3 in science.	score a Level 3					
		1A.2. Limited amount of time available for science labs and instruction.	Monthly science day where each grade level rotates through different science experiments.	1A.2. Principal	1A.2. Science assessments, lesson plans.	1A.2. CWT, observation of lessons, student data, and lesson plans.	
		1A.3. Student Attendance	Afterschool science club.	1A.3. Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Leadership Team	1A.3. Science assessments by administrations	1A.3. Student assessment results, classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans review, common board reviewed	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1. N/A	1B.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.		
Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Wollitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
	Limited amount	Enrichment			Student assessment results,		
	of time for	group of 5th	Classroom Teachers, Leadership	Benchmark Data reports analyzed	classroom walkthroughs, lesson		
at or above	enrichment	grades working	Team	during data meetings. Classroom	plans review, common board		
Achievement Levels		during the		walkthroughs will be conducted to	reviewed		
4 and 5 in science.		intervention		determine the use of the labs.			
		block with					
		the Assistant					
		Principal.					
		Assistant					
		Principal will					
		develop a					
		Science Team					
		of 5th graders to					
		do experiments					
		in primary					
		classrooms					
		and help					
		teacher with experiments.					
		Hands on					
		Science Night					
		with parents.					
Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013Expected				<u> </u>	
Science Goal #2A.	Level of	Level of					
2012, 1% (1) student score	Performance:*	Performance:*					
a Level 4 or 5 in science.							
2013, 5% of the students							
will score Level 4 or 5 in							
science.							
	2012, 1% (1) of	2013 5% of the					
	student scored						
	a Level 4 or 5	score Level 4 or					
	in science.	5 in science.					
	seemet.	o on provide					

		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.5.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Science Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			_			•	·
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	0104	1	T				
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.							

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
OCPS Essential Labs Training	K - 5	District Support	K - 5	ТВА	CWT, Lesson Plan Review	Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Progress Monitor Science	Write Score - Science	Title One	
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3.0 and higher in	Adequate time to practice writing across the content areas.	Continue to	CRT, Classroom Teachers, Writing Team	Follow-up Trainings Data Meetings Bi-weekly Student Conferences Writing Samples turned in Weekly to Leadership Team Members	School-Wide Writing Prompts Write from the Beginning Rubrics	

Writing Goal #1A: 2012, 73% (39) of the students scored 3.0 or higher in writing. 2013, 76% of the student will score 3.0 or higher in writing.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	or higher in						
		Increase interest in writing	IA.2. Utilize the District's Order of Instruction for writing, address writing using differentiated instructional strategies	IA.2. Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers, Parents Peer Groups	PLC-to collaborate instructional strategies Lesson Plan Review Continue 'Writing Boot Camps' Present writing in various forms (lyrics, journals, poetry, etc.) PLC-to collaborate instructional strategies Lesson Plan Review Continue 'Writing Boot Camps' Present writing in various forms (lyrics, journals, poetry, etc.)	IA.2. Write from the Beginning Rubrics FCAT Writes	
		writing needs, students enter 4 th grade with different skill sets in writing	IA.3. Dr. Walters writing consultant and CRT will work with on grade level and higher students monthly, classroom teachers will work with below grade level student. Afterschool writing tutoring for student who teachers recommend for extra support and enrichment.	1A.3. Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers, Dr. Walters	IA.3. Data Meetings, CWT, tutoring results, monthly prompts	IA.3. WFTB Rubrics Monthly Writing Prompt	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at 4							
or higher in writing.							
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
					1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Grammar/Writing Training	Process of Writing K - 4	Dr. Walters			Weekly Writing Samples K – 5 turned in to Leadership Team	Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team
Write From the Beginning Training/ new Teacher	WFB Program K - 3	Leadership Team	K - 3		Monthly Writing Prompts, writing samples turned in to Leadership Team	Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Writing Grammar	Dr. Walters Consultant	Title One	12,000
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

S = 5 -:							
Civics EOC	Problem-						
Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		FLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

TIO TI	D 11				· T	T	
U.S. History	Problem-						
EOC Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in U.S.							
History.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in ints box.							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	<u> </u>	L			l .	l .	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional		•				
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			_			
Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	support	Parents will be contacted by the student's teacher, once the student has accrued 5 unexcused absences. Child Study Meetings will be scheduled with the school social workers and parents of students who have excessive tardies or unexcused absences. During these meetings, parents will be required to verify their addresses	1.1. Principal, Assistant Principal, Attendance Clerk, Classroom Teachers		1.1. Student data, SMS reports, Social Worker reports	

2013 the average daily	Attendance	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*			
л. г 2 а а	to the EDW report, in 2011-2012, the average daily attendance rate was 95.36%.	In 2012- 2013, the average daily attendance rate will be at least 96% or more.			
E E	Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) In 2012-2013,the			
0 S I a	in 2011-2012, here were 135 tudents with 10 or more days ubsent.	percentage of students with excessive absences will be decreased by 10% (approximately 120 student if enrollment remains stable).			
1 2 1 1	Number of Students with Excessive Fardies (10 or	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			

th.	n 2011-2012, nere were 144 udents with 10 r more tardies.	In 2012-2013,the percentage of students with excessive tardies will be decreased by 10% (approximately 130 student if enrollment remains stable).					
		motivation to get to school on		Principal, Assistant Principal,	1.2. Monthly attendance data meetings	1.2. Attendance reports	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		the number of s	iddents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercemage (e.g. 707)	0 (<i>33))</i> .	i
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Goar(s)							
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	_						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
•							
C : C 1 //1	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
Suspension Goal #1:	of In –School	Number of					
		In- School					
were 3 students who received		Suspensions					
in school suspension in							
2011-2012.							
	According to EDW,	In2012-2013, there were					
	there were 3 students who received in school	be 10% fewer in school suspensions.					
	suspension in 2011-						
	2012.	2012 5					
		2013 Expected					
		Number of Students Suspended					
	In-School	In -School					
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data					
		for expected number of					
	students suspended in-school	students suspended in- school					
		2013 Expected					
	Number of Out-of-	Number of					
	School Suspensions	Out-of-School					
		<u>Suspensions</u>					

107	0.5			i		i
107	73					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
59	53					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	can be handled in the classroom as well as which behaviors should be handled by Administration.	implementation of Response to Intervention Behavior school wide. Eccleston uses the system to reward students for positive behavior. We will also provide staff review of the process for teachers at Pre-Planning as well as three times per school year with students.	Dean Assistant Principal	RTI-B Team Meetings; review of monthly classroom and referral data. RTI-B teacher survey.	EDW, SMS Reports, Classroom Referrals	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	awareness and involvement with students' behavior.	keep updated phone numbers for parents. When writing a referral, teacher will contact parents to inform them of the behavior. Provide parents with school RTI-B pamphlet explaining how it is being used at school and ways it can be used at home. Provide parents with school RTI-B pamphlet explaining how it is being used at school and	Classroom Teacher Dean	RTI-B Team Meetings; review of monthly classroom and referral data. RTI-B teacher survey, RTI-B parent survey.	EDW, SMS Reports, Classroom Referrals	
	that have difficulties with behavior shown by discipline referrals	ways it can be used at home. 1.4 Provide students with teacher/ staff member who will review school wide expectations school rules and reward positive behavior.	1.4 Teachers, staff members, principal, AP	1.4 Teachers and staff members communicate with RTI B rep on student progress.	1.4 EDW, SMS Reports, Classroom Referrals	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Tole						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
RTI-B Professional		Assistant	School-wide)			
Development	K-5		School- Wide	On-going (Leadership	RTI B monthly team meetings	Principal, Assistant Principal,
		Principal		Team Meetings)		· r ···,
		·				
		!				

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percer	itages, ilicitude	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	cicciliage (c.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Diopout	1.1. Excessive	1.1. Implement RtI		1.1. RtI Problem Solving Model	1.1. On-going mini		
	amount of student		Team		assessments		
		2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
	In 2012, (10) students were retained in third grade.	In 2013, 10% fewer students will be retained in third grade.					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					

graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* When using percentage	s, include the	e number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%	o (35)).	
Parent Involvement	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Goal(s)							
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
involvement data, and reference	Barrier	CJ	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions," identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement:	1 1	1 1	1 1		1 1		
	1.1. Many of our	1.1. Flexible times	1.1. Title 1 school coordinator	1.1. Survey will be given and the	1.1. A survey on School		
	Many of our parents are	offered to attend		results will be analyzed by the	Effectiveness will be		
	considered at	functions. Parent		SAC members.	given to parents, teachers		
		Newsletter		5. TO 1	and students in 3 rd -5 th		
		will share tips			grades.		
		for parents to					
		use at home.					
		Selected Staff					
		will use Connect					
	time left over for academic needs.	Orange to share information with					
	The time they	our families.					
	do have to spend	Dr. Walters will					
		provide Parent					
		Workshops.					
	by the lack of						
	knowledge how						
	best to help their						
	children.						

Parent Involvement Goal #1: 60 parents were inducted into the Eccleston Parent Honor Society in 2012.	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	60 parents were inducted into the Eccleston Parent Honor Society in 2012.	will be inducted					
		grandparents are raising the children, which creates a burden for them to come to many of the events we offer. Their health and energy levels constraints them from attending evening functions.	with the Parenting Person once a month during the day.		be available at every function.	1.2. Sign in sheets	
		1.3. Language barrier with our Haitian Creole parents	Staffing Coordinator can	1.3. Staffing Coordinator	1.3. Feedback from parents to the Staffing Coordinator will shared with others.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

			·	
Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through				
Professional				

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
4 Power points from Title 1 Dept. on Parental Involvement		Title 1 Coordinator	School-wide	*	Sign-in sheets and follow-up on questions or comments	Title 1 Coordinator

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Communicates with families, offers	Part-time Parenting Resource Teacher	Title 1	
assistance in supplying academic	Shoes, food, book bags		
concerns and physical needs.			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers learn techniques for open	4 power points	Title 1 Department	0
communication with parents			
Cubtotal.			
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Addressing concerns, offering tips, and informing parents about the school	Parent Newsletter	Title 1	200.00
Parent Resource Teacher	Assist with Parent training, honor row		
Total:	-		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Increase the percentage of students participating in STEM Clubs.	background knowledge and	Provide Professional Development in the area of STEM.	Assistant Principal	CWT, lesson plans	Write Score Science results
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
,	*		•	

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

					i .		
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Increase the percent of VPK							
students who will enter							
elementary school ready based on FLKRS Data							
PLAKS Data							
#2 Increase the							
percentage of students							
who read on grade level							
by age 9 by 10%							
#3 Increase the							
percentage of students							
who are fluent in math							
operations							
1	0% of the	10% of the VPK					
		students will enter school in 2012					
		school in 2012 ready for school.					
	school.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	Goal #1A See Math Goal						
	#2A						
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
#4 Decrease the							
achievement gap for each	See subgroup						
identified subgroup by	goals listed above						
10% by June 30, 2013	above						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	In 2011-2012,						
	there were 50						
	students enrolled						
#5 Maintain High	in after school arts programs. In						
rine Arts Enronment	2012-2013, there						
percentage	will be at least 75						
	students enrolled						
	in after school						
	arts program.						

	In 2011-2012,	Teachers have	Meet monthly to go over	Reading Coach, District Support	Binder check monthly by	
	100% of the	not completed	binder requirements.		Reading Coach	
	teachers in	the Destination	-			
	grades 4-5	College course.				
	completed the					
	Destination					
#6 Increase college and	College Course.					
I	2012-2012,					
career awareness	all third grade					
	teachers will					
	complete the					
	Destination					
	College course					
	and begin					
	implementation.					
	In 2011-2012,	Lack of			Weekly reports from AR,	
		independent		Academic Coach, Computer Lab	monitored by Principal	
	special education	reading		Instructor		
	were initiated,					
#7 Decrease the	following Tier					
uisproportionate	III interventions					
classification of minorities	in reading. In					
	fewer academic					
in special culcation	and /or behavior					
	referrals for					
	special education					
	will be initiated.					

Additional Goals Professional Development

			i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through				
Professional				
Learning				
Community (PLC)				
or PD Activity				
Please note that each				
Strategy does not require a				
professional development or				
PLC activity.				

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
		1	

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
2 reposit 1 reposit 2 staget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	10000
Tarene involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total.
STEW Buuget	Total:
CTE D. L. A	1 Otar:
CTE Budget	m
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:

2012-2013 Sc	hool Improvement	Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes	□ No
□ Yes	□ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The SAC will meet monthly to review progress towards goals developed in the School Improvement Plan.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Additional AR books	