SCHOOL NAME:

School Based Leadership Team

Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

• Indicate who is on the team and their position. Also explain why they have been included on the team.

Colette Wyant, Principal: Ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI. Plans for and implements meetings and intervention support and documentation. Provides data for team review.

Amy Rugen, Asst. Principal: Attends meetings to plan and provide needed Professional Development to enhance RtI. Assists with communication to parents regarding the development of implementation plans. Provides data for team review.

Tara Browning, ESE Teacher, Collaborates with general education teachers through co-teaching and student data collection for 3rd grade.

Inga Graham, ESE Teacher, Collaborates with general education teachers through co-teaching and student data collection grades 1-2.

Kim Lundy and Vava Barton, Intervention Facilitators: Facilitates data collection activities and analysis of data. Supports implementation of Tier 1, 2 and 3 intervention plans.

Kimm Farwell, District Intervention Coach: Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers and the RtI team.

Jeanette Burley, General Education Teacher: Work closely with ESE teachers by providing information about core curriculum and participates in delivery of Tier 1 and 2 instruction/interventions.

Holly Stewart, General Education Teacher: Work closely with ESE teachers by providing information about core curriculum and participates in delivery of Tier 1 and 2 instruction/interventions.

Carol Sabo, General Education Teacher: Work closely with ESE teachers by providing information about core curriculum and participates in delivery of Tier 1 and 2 instruction/interventions.

Jenny Gamble, School Psychologist: Participates with interpretation of data and development of intervention plans. Provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation of interventions.

Chernell Gillam, General Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection and it's connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction. Works with other staff members to apply the district/school reading plan.

Mary Elrod, General Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection and it's connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction. Works with other staff members to apply the district/school reading plan.

• Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate RtI efforts?

The Leadership Team will focus on one major goal: How to implement and sustain a problem-solving procedure to ensure the best instructional strategies are used for our students and teachers.

The team meets bi-monthly to complete the following tasks: Discuss screening data and the direct connection for instruction. Review our progress monitoring procedures for both the classroom and grade level benchmarks. The team will discuss professional development and instructional needs. The team will work collaboratively to share best practices for student success.

• Describe the role of the school-based RtI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SIP was developed with the input of SAC, RtI Leadership Team and the principal. The RtI team provided data relating to the Tier 1, 2 and 3 levels explaining how this information provides clear expectations for core academic instruction as well as social/emotional areas. The RtI team provided professional development needs to the in-service coordinator to ensure that the needed training will be in place all school year.

RtI Implementation

• Describe the data source(s) and the data management systems(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science writing, and behavior.

Baseline data is gathered from the following sources: K-2nd grade FAIR through the Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Performance Matters Reading grades 3-5, FCAT, Benchmark Tests for grades K-5 through Performance Matters Math and Science.

Data analysis will be monthly.

End of year: FAIR, FCAT, and Performance Matters.

• Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.

For the current school year, training with the RtI process will continue during teachers' planning time and when needed during faculty meetings. The RtI team will present to the instructional staff based on the data results as it relates to instructional needs.

Literacy Leadership

• Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Colette Wyant, Principal: Ensures that the K-12 reading Plan is implemented and assessments are conducted as required. Provides and apply current data to make decisions regarding reading instruction. Meets with parents as needed to explain reading plans and goals.

Amy Rugen, Asst. Principal: Provides for professional development to enhance and support reading implementation. Meets with parents as needed to explain reading plans and goals.

Inga Graham: ESE Teachers: Collaborates with general education teachers to discuss specific student data and to combine core instructional activities with modified strategies. Assists with Tier 3 instruction.

Sue Richens, ESE: Collaborates with general education teachers to discuss specific student data and to combine core instructional activities with modified strategies. Assists with Tier 3 instruction.

Angie Polen: General Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection and its connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction. Works with other

	staff members to	o apply	the district/sch	ool reading plai	n.
--	------------------	---------	------------------	------------------	----

Dawn Bryan, General Education Teacher:	Participates in student data collection and its connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction.	Works with other
staff members to apply the district/school	reading plan.	

Krystal VanKampen, General Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection and its connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction. Works with other staff members to apply the district/school reading plan.

Amanda Heck, General Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection and its connection to the core and supplemental reading instruction. Works with other staff members to apply the district/school reading plan.

• Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets monthly with the RtI team to complete the following tasks:

Discuss screening data and the direct connection for instruction. Review our progress monitoring procedures for both the classroom and grade level benchmarks. The team will discuss professional development and instructional needs. The team will work collaboratively to share best practices for student success.

• What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will work to seamlessly integrate the RtI process so that at risk students in reading receive intervention strategies.

Elementary Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

• Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Oakleaf Village Elementary partners with The Goddard School (a local preschool) to assist the transition by hosting the preschool graduation and tour for the families of incoming kindergarteners. In addition, OVE participates in a staggered enrollment plan for entering Kindergarteners. This allows the Kindergarten teacher to spend one day with half of the class and a second day with the other half of the class. The entire class reports on the third day. All students are assessed within the areas of Basic Skills/School Readiness, Oral Language/Syntax, Print/Letter Knowledge, and Phonological Awareness/Processing. The Florida Kindergarten Reading Screener (FLKRS) will be given within the first 30 days of schools. ECHOS serves as a screener for social/emotional development and overall school readiness. Kindergarten students are assessed utilizing both Florida Assessment in Reading (FAIR) and Performance Matters (PM) to determine their initial success probability in reading.

Upon collection of data, teachers will plan daily academic and social/emotional instruction for students based on their needs. Instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic skills identified by screening data. Social emotional skills instruction will be reinforced through the use of common language, re-teaching, and positive reinforcement of pro-social behavior.

Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

• For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

• How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Additional information: Dropout Prevention

• Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan) When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result.

4 Elements/15 Strategies:

The Basic Core Strategies

- Mentoring/Tutoring
- Service Learning
- Alternative Schooling
- After School Opportunities

Early Interventions

- Early Childhood Education
- Family Engagement
- Early Literacy Development

Making the Most of Instruction

Professional Development
■ Active Learning
Educational Technology
 Individualized Instruction
Making the Most of the Wider Community
Systemic Renewal
School-Community Collaboration
Career and Technical Education
■ Safe Schools
Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
• Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals

Smart

= Specific

Measurable

Attainable

Realistic

Timely

Performance Content Area:_____ <u>Math</u>Goal **3:** Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate. Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 1: By 2013, the number of students (Emphasis SWD, on Economically Disadvantaged, Black and Students) in grades 3-5 meeting not proficiency in reading on FCAT 2.0 will decrease by 12.5%. Students at each grade level.

Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: <u>Reading</u> Goal 2: Student

Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures I. Strategy					
1: Implement					
research-based					
instructional					
strategies					
and learning experiences					
that requires					
students to					
demonstrate					
knowledge					
proficiency as indicated in the					
NGSSS					
*Progress	Progr	Prog	Pr	Prog	Prog
measures are for	ess	ress	ogr	ress	ress
the purpose of		Mea	ess	Mea	Mea
reaching your	Meas	sure	Mea	sure	sure
3-5 year school improvement	ure		sure		
goals AND	A	Aug ust	Aug	Aug ust	Aug ust
AMO's.	Augus t	ust	ust	ust	ust
	ı	2013	2.51	2015	2016
	2012				
			2014		

II. Adult Implem entation Indicato r (s): "CA	40%	50%	75%	90%	100 %
USE DATA "					
100% of teachers will implem ent researc h- based instructi onal strategi es and learning					
experie nces that require students to demons trate knowle dge proficie ncy as indicate d in the NGSSS					

FCAT	FC	FC	FC	FC
/EOC	AT/	AT/	AT/	AT/
	EOC	EOC	EOC	EOC
Augus				
t	Aug	Aug	Aug	Aug
	ust	ust	ust	ust
2012				
	2013	2014	2015	2016

III. STUD ENT	3 rd 74% (26%)	3 rd 77.2 5%	3 rd 80. 5%	3 rd 83.7 5%	3 rd 87%
PERF ORM	4 th 73% (27%)	(22.75 %)	(19.5 %)	(16.25 %)	(13%) 4 th
ANCE INDI	5 th 74%	4 th	4 th	4 th	86.3 5%
CATO R(S):	(26%)	76.3 75% (23.62	79. 7% (20.3	83.0 25% (16.97	(13.65 %)
"EFF ECT		5%)	%)	5%)	5 th 87%
DATA		5 th 77.2 5%	5 th 80. 5%	5 th 83.7 5%	(13%)
		(22.75 %)	(19.5 %)	(16.25 %)	

Students will consistently increase their FCAT Reading scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.

IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Action Steps			-	Reso urces		
	ce/	(s)	enta	Nee	PD	Fun
	Dat	Re	tion	ded:		ding

a	spo nsi	Tim elin	Mate rial/	Source
Sou	ble/	e	Techn	
rces	Gro		ology/	
	up(Train	
	s)		er	

Т e a с h e r S w i Gr Gr20 Levele Diffe PD l oup ade 12d budget renti l rota lev ated 20 reader tion el 13 Inst s, u sche cha Stude sch ruc s dule, irs, nt tion oole les adm Data, Trai year PDson ning inis d pla trat book and (How PL i ns, ion, С, f Run teac to Com f ning hers Differ e Rec entiat mon **r** ords е Core PD **e** , *PM* Instru Trai budget n data ction ning, in the **t** . Mixed i a Ability Adv t anc e Classr Edd SA oom CS/ by i Carol CAS n Ι Ann S Tomli t nson, Accr r FDLR edita u *S*/ tion с Crow Tra t ini i п ng-0

n

Adm

	inist
	rator
Τ	trai
a	ning
S	for
k	data
7	colle
1	ction
:	tools
Т	
e	
a	
c	
h	
e	
r	
S	
W	
i	
1	
1	
u	
t	
i	
1	
i	
Z	
e	
S	
m	
a	
1	
1	
σ	
g r	
0	
u	
þ	
p /	
g	
u	

i d e d i n S t r u c t i 0 n t 0 t а r g e t S p e c i f i c n e e d S o f

i

n d i v i d u а 1 S t u d e n t S b у f 0 r m i n g f 1 e x i b 1 e g r 0 u

p s

- b a s e d o n s t
- u d e n t
- d a t a
- a n
- d o b
- v a
- t i
- o n

s e r

s .

Т					
e					
a					
c					
h					
e					
r					
s Mee					
ting					
w min	Gr				
i utes,	ade				
l les	lev	20	Com	Lang	PD
l son	el	12-	mon	uage	budget
pla	cha	20	plan	Arts	
i ns,	irs,	13	ning	сот	
mobse	adm	sch	time,	mit	
p rvati	inis	ool	Show	tee	
l ons,	trat	year	What	meet	
e	ion,		You	ings	
m	teac		Know		
e	hers		Blac		
n			kline		
t			Maste		
			rs (K-		
v			2)		
0					
c					
a					
b			Rea		
u			ding		
1			Comm		
a			ittee		
r					
У					
			D .		
d			Dis		
e			trict		
V			appr		
e L Wa			oved		
l Wo	C		rea dina		Nor-
ord	Gr		ding		None
$\mathbf{p} wa$	ade Ion		progr	Lana	
mlls,	lev		am	Lang	

ne tr	20 12- 20 13 sch ool year		uage Arts com mit tee meet ings	
1 : T e a c h e r s W i l l		Com mon Plan ning, Com posi tion noteb ooks		
u s e				
c o m m o n				
p l a n i n g				

t 0 р 1 а n 1 e S S 0 n S i n r e а d i n g t h а t i n t e g r а t e t h e

u S e o f e n r i c h e d v 0 с а b u 1 а r у v i а c 0 m m 0 n 1 а n g u а g

- e
- S
- c h
- 0
- 0
- 1
- w i
- d
- e
- .
- T a
- s k
- ĸ
- 2:
- Т
- e a
- c
- h e
- r s
- w i
- i 1
- 1
- i m
- p l
- e m e

n t t h e u S e o f i n t e r а с t i v e W 0 r d W а 1 1 s а n d S t u d e

- n t
- .
- n
- 0
- t
- e b
- 0
- 0
- k
- S
- .

Teache rs will

implem

ent	Les	Ad	20	Com	Lang	PD
	son	min	12-	mon	uage	budget
	pla	istr	20	plan	Arts	
feedbac	ns,	ati	13	ning	сот	
k.	obse	on,	sch	time	mit	
	rvati	teac	ool		tee	
	ons,	hers	year		meet	
					ings	

Task 1:

Teacher

S

will use rubrics

to				Com	
demonst				mon	Lang
rate	Les	Ad	20	Plan	uage
	son	min	12-	ning,	Arts
	Pla	istr	20	Com	com
and	ns,	ati	13	posi	mit
assess	obse	on,	sch	tion	tee
	rvati	teac	ool	noteb	meet
	ons,	hers	year	ooks	ings
academi	stud				
с	ent				
	note				
	book				
expectat	S				
ions.					

<i>Task 2:</i> Teacher s			
will confere nce			
with students			
to provide			
personal direct			
instructi on and			
feedbac k.			

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals

Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: **Science** Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable schoolspecific goal,

Goal 2. By 2013, 78% of students grades 3-5 (Emphasis on SWD, Economically Disadvantaged, and Black students) will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3 or above) in Math and 87% of 4^{th} and 5^{th} grade students will make learning gains compared to the previous year FCAT data.

Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures

 Strategy 2: Implemen t the research- based strategy of higher order questionin g techniques as well as differentia ted instructio n to increase student knowledge proficienc y as indicated in the NGSSS. 					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	prog ress Mea sure Aug ust 2012	Pr ogr ess M eas ure Aug ust 201 3	Pr ogr ess Mea sure Aug ust 201 4	Prog ress Mea sure Aug ust 2015	Pr ogr ess Mea sure Aug ust 2016

ll. Adult Implem entation Indicator					
(s):	45%	60 %	80%	95%	100 %
"CAUSE DATA"					
100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of higher order questioning techniques as well as differentiated instruction to increase student knowledge proficiency as indicated in the NGSSS.					

FC	FC	FC	FC	FC
AT/	AT/	AT/	AT/	AT/
EOC	EO	EO	EOC	EOC
	С	С		
Aug			Aug	Aug
ust	Aug	Aug	ust	ust
	ust	ust		
2012			2015	2016
	201	201		
	3	4		

III. Student					
Perfor					
mance	3 rd 75%	3 rd 78.1	3 rd 81.2	3 rd 84.3	3 rd 87.5%
Indicator	(25%)	25%	5%	75%	(12.5%
(s):	4 th 72%	(21.8 75%)	(18.75 %)	(15.62 5%))
	(28%)	4 th	4 th	4th	4 th
"EFFECT	5 th 65%	4 ^{un} 75.	4 ^m 79%	4 th 82.5%	86% (14%)
DATA"	(35%)	5% (24.5	(21%)	(17.5%)	5 th
Students will consistently		%)	5 th 73.7	5 th	82.5% (17.5%
increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce		5 th 69.3	5% (<mark>26.25</mark>	78.1 25%)
the % of students who are		75% (30.6	%)	(21.87 5%)	
non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.		25%)			

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evid ence/ Data Sour ces	Per son (s) Re spo nsi ble/ Gro up(s)	Imp lem enta tion Tim elin e	Reso urces Nee ded: Mate rial / Techn ology / Traine r	Rel ated PD	Fun ding/ Fun ding Sour ce
-----------------	--------------------------------------	---	--	---	-------------------	---

2 . 1 Т e a с h e r S w i l Lesso Tea 20 District PLC, PD che 12adopte Com budg **l** *n* 201 d Math plans rs, mon et Adm 3 Core **m** , text **o** obser inist Trai d vatio rati Schning e ns, I admi oolon, year nistra h tive i walkg throu h ghs, e meeti r ng minut 0 es, **r** and **d** Data e Note r books . t h i n k i n g

- t h
- r
- 0
- u
- g h
- T h
- i
- n k -A
- 1
- 0
- u d
- S

- T a
- s k
- 1 :
- T e
- а с
- h e
- r S
- w i

1 1 i n t e g r а t e t h e u s e o f h i g h e r 0 r d e r q u e S t i 0 n i

n g t h r 0 u g h а Т h i n k А 1 0 u d t h r 0 u g h 1 e а r n i n g с 0 m

m

- u
- n
- i
- t
- i
- e
- S .

Т e a с h e r S w i l 1 u t i l i Z e р e e r PLC, PD Math c Sign-Теа 20 Com budg **o** in che 12-Miscon n she 201 ception mon et rs, Adm 3 Core f ets, S Trai e stud inist ning, Intel r ent rati Sche work on, ool Math n sam year c ples, i stud Trai ning

n ent

g noteb

2 . 2

ooks, s obser t vatio r ns a t e g i e S i n 0 r d e r a 1 1 0 w S t u d e n t S t 0 e Х р 1 a i n

, S u m m a r i Z e , 0 r c 1 a r i f у t h e i r t h i n k i n g r e

g a r

d i

- n g
- a
- h
- i
- g h
- e
- r
- 0
- r
- d
- e
- r
- q u
- e
- S t
- i
- 0
- n

- T
- a
- s k
- 1 :
- Т
- e а
- с

h e r s w i 1 1 d e v i S e а s c h 0 0 1 -W i d e p r 0 c e S S

o f

s t

r

а

t e g i e S f o r S t u d e n t S t 0 u s e w i t h t h e i r p e e r p a r

t n e r i n 0 r d e r t 0 i n S u r e f 1 u i d i t у а n d c 0 m f 0 r t

0

- f
- t h
- e
- p e
- e
- r
- с
- 0 n
- f
- e
- r e
- n
- с
- i n
- g
- p r
- 0
- с e
- s
- s
- .

. 3

Т						
e I				Diffe	PD	
a				renti	budg	
a c				ated	et	
h				Inst		
e				ruc		
r				tion		
S				Trai		
				ning		
w Les				and		
i son				PLC,		
l pla	Tea	20	PD	Com		
l ns,	che	12-	book	mon		
obse	rs,	201	(How	Core		
u rvati	Adm	3	to	Trai		
s ons,	inist		Differ	ning,	PD	
e small	l rati	Sch	entiate		budg	
gro	on,	ool	Instru		et	
d up		year	ction			
i rota			in the	Adv		
f tion			Mixed	anc		
f sched	d		Ability	Ed-		
e ule,			Classr	SA		
r			oom by	CS/		
e Data			Carol	CASI		
n Note			Ann Tamli	1		
t book i			Tomli nson),	Accr edita		
a			FDLRS	eana tion		
a t			/Crown	Trai		
e			Crown	ning-		
d				Adm		
u				inist		
i	Tea			rator		
n	che	20		trai		
S	rs,	12-		ning	None	
t	Adm	201		for		
r	inist	3	Com	data		
u Sign	rati		mon	colle		
c in	on	Sch	Planni	ction		
t she		ool	ng	tools		

i ets,	year	
o mee		
n ting		
minut		Ma
es		th/
		Scie
		nce
Т		Со
a		mm
S		ittee
k		Meet
_		ings
1		
:		
Т		
e		
a		
c		
h		
e		
r		
S		
W		
i		
1		
1		
u		
u t		
i		
1		
1		
Z		
e		
S		
m		
a		
1		
1		
g		

- r o

u p / g u i d e d i n S t r u с t i 0 n t 0 t а r g e t S p e c i f i c n e e d

S

o f i n d i v i d u a 1 s t u d e n t S b y f o r m i n g f 1 e x i b l e g r

0 u р S b а S e d 0 n S t u d e n t d а t а а n d 0 b S e r v а t

i o n

S .

T a s k 2 : Т e а с h e r S W i 1 1 с 0 n d u с t 1 e а r n i n g с 0 m m

u

n i t i e S 0 n d i f f e r e n t i а t e d i n S t r u с t i 0 n d u r i n g c 0

- m
- m
- 0
- n
- p l
- a
- n
- n
- i
- n
- g
- .

T e a c h e r s					
 w Mee i ting l min l utes, les i son m pla p ns, l obser e vatio m ns e n t 	Tea che rs, Adm inist rati on,	20 12- 201 3 Sch ool year	Buil ding Mathe matical Compr ehensio n	PLC, Com mon Core Trai ning	PD budg et
v o c a b u l a r y Word wa d lls, e stud v ent e noteb	Tea che rs, Adm inist rati on	20 12- 201 3 Sch ool	Com mon Plan ning, Comp osition notebo oks	Ma th/ Scie nce Co mm ittee Meet ings	None

.

1	ooks	year
---	------	------

- 0
- р
- m
- e
- n
- t

- T a
- s k

- 1 :
- Т
- e
- а c
- h
- e r
- S
- w i l
- 1
- u S
- e
- c
- 0
- m m
- 0 n
- р 1

а n n i n g t 0 p l а n 1 e S S 0 n S i n m а t h t h а t i n t e g r а t e

t h e u S e o f e n r i c h e d v 0 с а b u 1 а r у v i а c 0 m m 0 n 1 а n

g

- u а
- g e
- S
- c h
- 0
- 0 1
- w i
 - d
 - e
 - .
- T a
- s k
- 2 :
- Т
- e а
- с h
- e r
- S
- w i
- 1 1
- i
- m р

1 e m e n t t h e u S e o f i n t e r а с t i v e W 0 r d W а 1 1 S а n d

S

- t
- u
- d
- e n
- t
- -
- n
- 0
- t
- e b
- 0
- 0
- k
- S .

2.5		Adm
		inis
	Les	trat
Teacher	son	ion,
s will	pla	teac
	ns,	hers
	obser	
impleme	vatio	
nt	ns,	

feedbac

k.			20 12- 20 13 sch	Com mon plannin g time	Ma th/ Scie nce com	PD budg et
Task 1:		Adm	ool		mit	
Teachers		inis	year		tee	
	Les	trat			meet	
will use	Les son	ion, teac			ings	
rubrics	Pla	hers				
	ns,					
	obse					
to	rvati					
demonst rate	ons, stud					
Tate	ent					
	noteb			Com		
and	ooks		20	mon	Ma	
assess			12-	Plan	th/	
			20	ning,	Scie	
academi			13 sch	Comp osition	nce	
c			ool	notebo	com mit	
C			year	oks	tee	
			<i>y</i>		meet	
expectati					ings	
ons.						

Task 2: Teachers	
will conferen ce	
with students	
to provide	
personal direct	
instructi on and	
feedback	

Smart Goals

Smart = Specific Meas urable Attainable Realistic Timely Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal **3:** Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5:_ Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate. Attendance, other measureable school-specific

<u>goal,</u>

Goal 3: By 2013, grade 4 students not scoring a 4.0 or above on Writing FCAT will decrease by at least 12% compared to the 2011-2012 school year. Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures I. Strate gy 3: Imple ment resear chbased resour ces and articul ation among grade levels and school S within the district •

*Progress measures are for the	Prog ress	Prog ress Mea	Pr ogr ess	Progr ess	Progr ess	
purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school	Meas ure	sure	Me asu re	Measu re	Meas ure	
improvement goals and AMO's.	Augu st	ust	Aug ust	August 2015	Augus t	
	2012	2013	201 4		2016	

 I. Adult Imple ment ation Indica tor (s): "CA USE DATA " 	40%	50%	75 %	95%	100%
100% of the writing teache rs will imple ment resea rch- based resourc es and articu lation among grade levels and schools within the district.					
	FC AT/ EOC Augu st	FC AT/ EOC Aug ust	FC AT/ EO C Aug ust	FCAT/ EOC August 2015	FCAT /EOC Augus t 2016
	2012	2013	201 4		

III. Student Perfor mance Indica tor(S):				
"EFF ECT DATA "	4 th 54. 5% (45.5 %)	4 th 61 % (39 %)	4 th 67.5% (32.5 %)	4 th 74% (26%)
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Writing scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.				

Implementation Details

d c D a S	Evi Per en son e/ (s) Dat Re spo nsi ou ble/ ce Gro up(s)	Imp lem enta tion Tim elin e	Res our ces Ne ed ed: Ma teri al / Tec hn olo gy / Tra iner	R el a te d P D	Fun ding/ Fun ding Sour ce
-----------------------	---	--	---	-----------------------------------	---

Teache rs will utilize com					
mon					
curricul					
um.	Sig	Adm	20	Just	L
	n in	inis	12-	Wr	а
	she	trat	20	ite:	п
	ets,	ion,	13	All	g
Task 1:	mee	tea	sch	Ye	и
Teac	ting	che	ool	ar	а
hers	mi	rs,	year	Lo	g
will use	nut	Gr		ng	е
common	es,	ade		(Na	A
planning	les	Le		rrat	r
to	son	vel		ive,	ts
develop	pla	Cha		Exp	С
lessons	ns,	irs		osit	0
using				ory,	т
the	Cla			Poe	m
Kath	<i>y</i> -			try)	it
erine	Wri				te
Robi	tes				е
nson					т
writing					е
curric					et
ulum					in
in 3 rd					g
and 4 th					S
grades.					

Teache rs will partici pate in articu lation Sig 20 among Adm Со Lnone 12grade n in inis тт а levels she 20 trat on п and ion, 13 Pla ets, g other sch mee tea nni и schools ting cheool ng а within mi rs, year g Grour nut е district. ade A es, les Le r vel ts son pla Cha С Task 1: ns irs 0 Provide т profes т sional it dev te elopm е ent and т support е to all et writing in teachers g in S implem enting instructi on of the Writing NGSSS.

Teache rs will imple ment vocab ulary						
develop	Me	Adm	20	Со	L	None
ment	eti	inis	12-	mm	а	
	ng	trat	201	on	п	
	mi	ion,	3	Pla	g	
	nut	tea		nni	и	
Task 1:	es,	che	Sch	ng	а	
Teac	les	rs,	ool		g	
hers	son	Gr	year		е	
will use	pla	ade			A	
common	ns,	Le			r	
planning	da	vel			ts	
to plan	ta	Cha			С	
lessons	not	irs			0	None
in	ebo				т	
writing	oks				т	
that	and			Со	it	
integrate	obs			тp	te	
the	erv		20	osit	е	
use of	atio		12-	ion	т	
enriched	ns		201	note	е	
vocabu		Tea	3	boo	et	
lary via		che	~ .	ks	in	
common		rs,	Sch		g	
languag	Wo	Adm	ool		S	
e school	rd	inist	year			
wide.	wa	rati				
	lls,	on				
	stud					
	ent				7	
	not				L	
Task 2.	ebo				a	
Task 2:	oks,				n a	
Teache	ad				g	
rs will	mi nist				u	
implem ent the	nist vat				a a	
use of	rat ion				g	
	ion wa				e 1	
interacti	wa				Α	

ve word	lk-	r
walls	thro	ts
and	ugh	С
student		0
noteboo		т
ks.		т
		it
		te
		е
		т

e et in g s

3.4		Adm inis			
Teachers will	Les son	trat ion, teac hers			
implement	pla ns,				
feedback.	obs erv atio ns,				
<i>Task 1:</i> Teachers	113,		20 12- 20 13	Co mm on pla	L a n g
will use rubrics		Adm	sch ool	nni ng	g u a
to demonstrate		inis trat ion, teac	year	time	g e A r
and assess	Les son	hers			ts c o
academic	Pla ns, obs erv				m m it te
expectations.	atio ns, stud ent not ebo		20 12- 20 13 sch	Co mm on Pla nni	e m e et in o
<i>Task 2:</i> Teachers	oks		ool year	nn ng, Co mp	g s
will conference				osit ion note	
with students				boo ks	L
to provide					a n

_	g
personal	и
direct	а
	g
	е
instruction and	A
	r
	ts
feedback.	С
	0
	т
	т
	it
	te
	е
	т
	е
	et
	in
	g
	S

Smart Goals

Smart

= Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal **3:** Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance

Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 4: By 2013, all students in grade 5 will decrease the number of students not meeting proficiency on FCAT Science by at least 12.5% compared to the 2011-2012 school year.

Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures

I.	Strategy 4: Implemen t the research- based strategy of designing and modifying					
	instructio					
	n (with a					
	focus on STEM					
	opportuni					
	ties) to					
	deepen students'					
	understan					
	ding of					
	content area and					
	advance					
	student					
	learning while					
	addressin					
	g					
	misconce					
*Progre	ptions.	Prog	Pr	Pr	Pr	Drog
	es are for	ress	ogr	ogr	ogr	Prog ress
the pur	pose of		ess	ess	ess	Meas
reachin		Meas	Mea	Me	Mea	ure
3-5 year improve		ure	sure	asu	sure	
	nd AMO's.	A	Aug	re	Aug	Augu st
0	/	Augu st	Aug ust	Aug	Aug ust	51
				ust		2016
		2012	201	0.01	201	
			3	201 4	5	
				-1		

ll. Adult Implem entation Indicator (s):					
"CAUSE DATA"	40%	50%	75 %	95%	100%
100% of the science teachers will implement the research- based strategy of designing and modifying instruction (with a focus on STEM opportu nities) to deepen students' understa nding of content area and advance student learning while addressing misconcep tions.					

	FC AT/ EOC	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EOC
	Augu				Augu
	st	Aug ust	Aug ust	Aug ust	st
	2012	201	201	201	2016
		3	4	5	
III. Student Perfor mance					
Indicator (s):	5 th 59% (41%)	5 th 64.1 25% (25.87	5 th 79.2 5% (20.75	5 th 84.3 75% (15.62	5 th 89.5% (10.5%)
"EFFEC T DATA"		5%)	%)	5%)	

Students will consistently increase their FCAT Science scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.

Implementation Details Action Steps Evid Per

Action Steps	Evid	Per	Imp	Re	R	Fun
	ence/	son	lem	SO	el	ding/
	Data	(s)	enta	ur	a	Fun
		Re	tion	ces	te	ding
	Sour	spo	Tim	Ν	d	Sour
	ces	nsi	elin	ee	Р	ce
	ees	ble/	e	de	D	
		Gr		d:		
		oup		Μ		
		(s)		ate		
				ria		
				1/		
				Te		
				ch		
				no		
				log		
				y /		
				Tr		
				ai		
				ne		
				r		

4.1 .

Teac hers engage stude nts in activiti es that require						
comp	Stu	Те	20	Un	Р	None
arison	dent	ach	12-	со	L	
and/or clarific	work sam	ers, Ad	20 13	ver ing	С	
ation	sam ples,	ли min	sch	ing St		
ation	stud	istr	ool	ud		
	ent	atio	vear	ent		
	noteb	n	<i>J</i>	Ide		
Task	ooks,			as		
1: All	proj			in		
science	ects,			Sci		
teachers will	les			en		
incor	son plans			ce, Vo		
porate	piuns			lu		None
inquiry				m		rone
based				es	Sc	
science	Obse			1-	ie	
lessons	rvati		Ma	4	п	
in daily	ons,	Te	rch		се	
instruct	Scie	ach	201		С	
ion that	nce fair	ers,	3		0	
incorpo rate the	fair proje	Ad min			т т	
scien	cts	istr		Di	itt	
tific		atio		str	ee	
process.		п		ict	M	
				Sci	е	
				en	et	
				ce Co	in	
				Co or	gs	
				din		
				ato		
<i>Task 2</i> : Teache				r		

rs will sche dule prelim inary judging and events through out the year to prepare students for the final event (Scienc e Fair).

Teach ers ask stude nts to explain their think ing to deter mine miscon ception s	Scie nce noteb ooks	Te ach ers, Ad min istr atio n	20 12- 20 13 sch ool year	Co m m on pla nni ng	Sc ie n ce C o m m	None
<i>Task 1:</i> Students in grades 3-5 will utilize Science Noteboo ks to organize material s and develop a resource for inquiry projects and vocabul ary develop ment		n			m itt ee M e et in g	

Teache rs will imple ment vocab ulary						
develop	Mee	Ad	20	Re	P	
ment	ting	min	12-	ad	L	
	min	istr	201	ing	С	
	utes,	ati	3	Str		
	les	on,		at		
Task 1:	son	tea	Sch	eg		
Teacher	plans	che	ool	ies		
s will	obse	rs,	year	for		
use	rvat	Gr		Sci		
commo	ions	ade		en		
n	and	Le		се		
plannin	walk-	vel		(re		
g to	throu	Cha		ad		None
plan	ghs.	irs		ing	G	
lessons				an	Sc	
in				d	ie	
science			20	wr	n	
that			20 12-	iti	ce C	
integrat	Woud	T_{α}	12- 201	ng	C	
e the use of	Word	Te	201 3)	0	
enriched	wa lls,	ach	3		m	
ennicheu	ns, stud	ers, Ad	Sch		m itt	
vocabul	ent	ли min	ool	Со	ee	
ary via	noteb	istr	year	mp	M	
commo	ooks	atio	yeur	os	e	
n	001.3	n		iti	et	
languag				on	in	
e				no	gs	
school				teb	0~	
wide.				00		
				ks		

Task 2:	
Teache	Di
rs will	str
implem	ict
ent the	ad
use of	ор
interacti	ted
ve word	Sci
walls	en
and	се
student	tex
noteboo	t
ks	

4.4		Ad
		min
	Les	istr
Teachers will	son	ati
	pla	on,
	ns,	teac
implement	obser	her
	vatio	S
	ns,	
feedback.		

<i>Task 1:</i> Teachers			20 12- 20 13	Co m m on	M at h/ Sc
will use			sch	pla	ie
rubrics			ool	nn	п
4.5		4.1	year	ing	се
to	T	Ad min		tim	со
demonstrate	Les son	min istr		е	m
and	son Pla	ısır ati			m itt
	r ia ns,	on,			ui ee
assess	ns, obse	teac			ee m
	rvati	her			e e
academic	ons,	s			et
ueudenne	stud	5			in
	ent				gs
expectations.	noteb				85
· .	ooks		20	Со	
			12-	т	
			20	т	
			13	on	
			sch	Pl	
Task 2:			ool	an	
Teachers			year	ni	
				ng,	
will				Со	M
conference				тp	at
				OS	h/
with				iti	Sc
students				on	ie
				no	п
to				teb	се
provide				00	CO

	ks	т
personal		т
direct		itt
		ee
		т
instruction and		е
		et
		in
feedback.		gs

Smart Goals

Smart

= Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely

Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area:_____ Math Goal **3:** Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measurable schoolspecific goal, Goal 5: By 2013, Parental Involvement will improve by 12.5% over the previous year as measured by attendance at inschool and afterschool events. Strategies,

Goal 1: Student Performance

Indicators and Progress Measures

I. Strategy 5: Implem ent the research- based strategy of fostering two-way home/ school commu nication with all stakeho lders to support student learning.					
*Progress	Prog	Pr	Pr	Pr	Prog
measures are for the purpose of	ress	ogr ess	ogr	ogr	ress Meas
reaching your	Meas	ess Mea	ess Me	ess Mea	ure
3-5 year school	ure	sure	asu	sure	ure
improvement	ure		re		Augu
goals and AMO's.	Augu	Aug		Aug	st
	st	ust	Aug	ust	
	2012	201	ust	201	2016
	2012	201 3	201	201 5	
		5	4	5	

Adult Implem entation Indicator (s):					
"CAUSE DATA"	40%	60%	80 %	100 %	100%
100% of teachers will implement the research- based strategy of fostering two-way home/ school commu nication with all stakeho lders to support student learning.					
	FC AT/ EOC	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EO C	FC AT/ EOC
	Augu st 2012	Aug ust 201 3	Aug ust 201 4	Aug ust 201 5	Augu st 2016

III. Student Perfor mance					
Indicator	3rd	3rd	3rd	3rd	3rd
(s): "EFFEC T DATA"	5 rd 74% (26%) 4 th 73% (27%)	77.2 5% (22.7 5%) 4 th 76.	80. 5% (19.5 %) 4 th	83.7 5% (16.2 5%) 4 th 83.	87% (13%) 4 th 86.35% (13.65
Students will	5th	375%	79.	025%	%)
consistently increase their FCAT Reading scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.	74% (26%)	(23.6 25%) 5 th 77.2 5% (22.7 5%)	7% (20.3 %) 5 th 80. 5% (19.5 %)	(16.9 75%) 5 th 83.7 5% (16.2 5%)	5 th 87% (13%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evi den ce/ Dat a Sou rce s	Per son (s) Re spo nsi ble/ Gro up(s)	Imp lem enta tion Tim elin e	R es ou rc es N ee de d: M at er ial / T	R el at ed P D	Fun ding/ Fun ding Sour ce
--------------	--	---	--	--	-------------------------------	---

ec hn ol og y/ Tr ai ne

ne r

Teache rs will foster two-way comm unity	C.		20		π
commun	St	Adm	20	Со	Te
ication.	ud	inist	12-	т	ch
	ent	rati	20	т	no
	age	on,	13	on D	lo
Task	nd	Теа	sch ool	P la	gy tu
1 usk 1: Use	as, SA	rea cher			tr ai
student	C	we	year	nn in	ni
agendas,	mee	we h-			nı ng
Tuesday	ting	sites		g. St	ng fo
commu	mi	and		u u	r
nication	nut	Sch		de	w
folders,	es,	ool		nt	eb
Parent	att	we		Pl	sit
Portal	end	<i>b</i> -		an	es
and	anc	site.		ne	
Parent	e at			r	
Link to	eve	(tec			
communi	nts,	hno			
cate with	web	logy			
parents.	site	teac			
	usa	her)			
	ge				
	сои				
Task2:	nts				
Utilize					
and maintain					
class					
websites					
to share					
school					
improv					
ement					
events.					

Task 3: Teachers will promote parental involvem ent in afterscho ol activities (FCAT parent night, Open House, Chorus and Drama, Science Night, Battle of the Books Competit ion, Math Field Day Competit ion, Spring Carnival, campus beautific ation,, and Voluntee r Receptio n).

Smart Goals

Smart

= Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely

Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal **3:** Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal. Goal 6:

> Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures I. Strategy 6:

*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school	Prog ress Meas ure	Pr ogr ess Me asu	Pr ogr ess Me asu	Pr ogr ess Mea sure	Prog ress Meas ure
improvement		re	re		Augu
goals AND AMO's.	Augu st	Aug	Aug	Aug ust	st
		ust	ust		2016
	2012			201	
		201	201	5	
		3	4		
ll. Adult Implem entation Indicator (s) :					

"CAUSE DATA"

Disci	Dis	Dis	Dis	Disci
pline	cipl	cipl	cipl	pline
1		ine	ine	Data
Data	ine	Dat	Data	
	Dat	а		
Augu	а			Augu
, C			Aug	st
st		Aug	ust	
	Aug	ust		2015-
2011-	ust		20	2016
		20	14-	
2012	20	13-	201	
	12-	201	5	
	201	4		
	3			

III. Student	*%
Perfor	of
mance	occu
Indicator	rrenc
(s):	es by
	gra
"EFFEC	de
T DATA"	level

Implementation Details

Action Steps Evi den ce/ Dat a Sou rce s	rs on (s) Re sp	Imp lem enta tion Tim elin e	Re so ur ces Ne ed ed: M at eri al / Te ch no log y / Tr ain er	Re lat ed PD	Fun ding/ Fun ding Sour ce
---	-----------------------------	--	---	-----------------------	---

6.1

6.3

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Y	Ν	Comments
IF IT IS A:				

School-wide Training	Professional Development Details
	Goal the Activity is Supporting <u>Reading (1) & Math (2)</u>
	• Action Step # 1.1 & 2.3
	 Name of Activity: OVE 2012-13: Differentiated Instruction 1 Beginning the Journey
	Dates of Activity – January 8, 2013
	 Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) – Susan Law, FDLRS/Crown
	Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) n/a
	Materials – Professional Book provided by FDLRS/ Crown: How to Differentiate Instruction in the Mixed Ability Classroom by Carol Ann Tomlinson
	Budget Items Required
	 Action Step # 1.1 & 2.3 Name of Activity: OVE 2012-13: Differentiated Instruction 1 Beginning the Journey
	Funding Source – n/a a
	Cost of Consultant – n/a
	Cost of Materials – n/a
	Cost of Substitutes (if applicable) – n/a
Learning Community	Professional Development Details
	Goal the Activity is Supporting <u>Reading (1)</u>

(collaborative teams that gathers	• Action Step # 1.1, 1.2 & 1.3	
research and studies new programs or		
topics and shares their findings-must use Learning Community form)	 Name of Activity: OVE 2012-13: PLC Common Core Reading/LA Implementation 	
use Learning Community form	Reading/LA implementation	
	• Dates of Activity: 9/19/2012 – 5/31/2013	
	• Title of Book or Focus: Books – Comprehension from	
	the Ground Up: Simplified, Sensible Instruction for the K-	
	3 Reading Workshop by Sharon Taberski and Notice and	
	Note: Strategies for Close Reading by Kylene Beers & Robert Probst	
	Budget Items Required	
	• Action Step # 1.1, 1.2 & 1.3	
	Cost of Book/Teacher Materials: \$795.42	
	• Funding Source - 0100.6400.0590.0621.0000	
Learning Community	Professional Development Details	
Learning Community	Professional Development Details	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting <u>Math (2)</u>	
(collaborative teams that gathers	 Action Step # 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 & 2.5 	
research and studies new programs or		
topics and shares their findings-must	Name of Activity: OVE 2012-13: PLC Common Core Math/	
use Learning Community form)	Science Implementation	
	 Dates of Activity: 9/19/2012 – 5/31/2013 	
	• Title of Book or Focus: Books – <i>Teaching Number in</i>	
	the Classroom with 4-8 year olds by Wright, et al. and	
	Developing Number Knowledge: Assessment, Teaching and Intervention with 7-11 year olds by Wright, Collins, Taber	
	Budget Items Required	
	 Action Step # 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 & 2.5 	
	Cost of Book/Teacher Materials: \$1,077.22	
	- Funding Source 0100 6400 0500 0621 0000	
Lesson Study/Action	Funding Source - 0100.6400.0590.0621.0000 Professional Development Details	
Research		
Nesearch	Goal the Activity is Supporting	

(a teacher-driven and student-	Action Step #		
focused team who does research and development by carefully studying what	- Name of Astivity		
actually goes on in the classroom	Name of Activity		
must use Lesson Study form)	Dates of Activity		
	Teaching strategy or method to be researched		
	Budget Items Required		
	Action Step #		
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable)		
Timelines			
Start Date:			
August 7, 2012		_	
End date:			
June 6, 2013			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no			
project)			
	\$2,076.55		
Project -			
Project -			
Drojact			
Project -			

Total Internal PD Budget (no	\$2,076.55		
project & project funds)			

Approvals:	(Signature's re	quired)

Principal:	Date://_
SAC Chair:	Date://_
Hilda Manning:	Date://_
Shannah Kosek:	Date://_

External Checklist Training Not Provided by School/District

School Improvement Plan Supervisor:Shannah KosekProfessional Development Assistant:Hilda ManningApproval:YesNo (For office use only)

Background		

Background:	Pro
	fes
	sio
	nal
	De
	vel
	op
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	gral
	par
	t of
	the
	Sc
	hoo
	Imp
	rov
	em
	ent
	Pla
	n.
	Те
	ach
	ers
	nee
	d
	pro
	ven
	, cur
	ren
	t
	inst
	ruct
	ion
	al
	stra

Objectives How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.	tegi es to imp rov e the per for ma of the stu den ts ass ign ed to the m. Ye s	No	Comments No External Professional Development Planned
Training Details - Consultants	Va	N -	Commente
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization –	3		
Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.)]	

Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Time(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Needs School Board approval			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to	Ye	No	Comments
provide the information requested.	S		
Name of educational organization			
providing the training. Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Timelines			
	Ye	No	Comments
	S		
Start Date			
August 2, 2012			
End Date			
September 21, 2012			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)			\$
Project -			
Project -			
Project -			
Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)			

Approvals: (Signature's required)

Principal:	Date://	—
SAC Chair:	Date://	_
Hilda Manning:	Date://	_
Shannah Kosek:	Date://	