Florida Department of Education

School Improvement Plan (SIP) for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2011-2012

2011 – 2012 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Collier Juvenile Detention Center	District Name: Collier
Principal: Bill Spano	Superintendent: Dr. Kamela Patton
SAC Chair: Efrain Valdez	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals.

Highly Qualified Administrators

List your school's on-site highly qualified administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data (learning gains). The school may include the history of Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data (learning gains). The school may include AYP information along with the associated school year.
Principal	Bill Spano	Masters Degree		27	According to the statute, the Superintendent has the authority to strategically place administrators within the school district
Lead Educator	Joe Romero	Masters Degree Reading Endors	<u> </u>	30	While serving as Lead Teacher, the program has earned exemplary status as determined by the last Quality and Assessment review.

Highly Qualified Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly qualified instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data (tearning gains). The school may include the history of Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data (learning gains. The school may include AYP information
			Current School	Instructional Coach	along with the associated school year.
	Donna Landes	Masters Degree	17	4	History of academic excellence and past work experience with
Reading		Reading Endorsement			Students in alternative programs

Highly Qualified Teachers

List your school's highly qualified teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data (learning Gains). The school may include the history of

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data (learning gains). The school may include AYP information
			Current School	Instructional	along with the associated school year.
				Teacher	

Reading Lang. Arts	Joe Romero	Masters degree Reading Endorsement	9	30	Lead Teacher during the last Quality & Assurance Review where the program received exemplary status and a number one rating.
Math Phys. Ed.	Tony Kamen	Masters Degree	9	15	Member of the instructional team during the last Quality & Assurance Review where the program received exemplary status and a number one rating.
Social Studies	Nicholas Sweat	Masters Degree	4	4	Member of the instructional team during the last Quality & Assurance Review where the program received exemplary status and a number one rating.

Highly Qualified Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)
1. NA			No new teachers
2.			

Non-Highly Qualified Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly qualified.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Qualified
NA			

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Qualified Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers

											_
ſ	3	0	33% (1)	0	66% (2)	100%	100%	33% (1)	0	100%	
										1	

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
NA			

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Authentic and content specific literacy is the responsibility of all teachers. Although not every teacher is a reading teacher per se, all teachers are indeed comprehension teachers who convey information to their students via the written word. In the effort to support literacy across disciplines, all secondary teachers in Collier County Public Schools utilize Collaborative Comprehension Strategies that guide students in pre-reading, comprehension monitoring, and summative question generating when encountering text. In addition, CCPS offers CAR-PD courses in order to build teachers' capacity to provide reading interventions to striving readers. This year emphasis will continue with differentiation in the classrooms, by using accommodations in process, content, or product. Modeling and observation by the reading coach will continue throughout the year.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students have the opportunity to earn the Florida Ready To Work Credential which is designed to demonstrate to future employers the reading and mathematics skills of the students. The purpose of this credential is to integrate real world skills and abilities to the instructional objectives for both career and academic courses.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

Teachers encourage all students to complete or update the FACTS. org planning document each year. Career guidance academic counseling provides access for students (and parents as appropriate) to information regarding career awareness and planning with respect to an individual occupational and academic future. This counseling also provides information with respect to career options, financial aid, and postsecondary options including college, technical, and post secondary educational opportunities. All seniors are encouraged to earn a Florida Ready to Work certificate at the highest possible level. Students are also encouraged to take the appropriate pre-assessments in applied reading, applied math, and locating information tests which are a component of the Florida Ready to Work program.

Postsecondary Transition

April 2011 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 18, 2011

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Planning for postsecondary participation is a critical activity that must begin as a student enters the ninth grade. Students and parents are supported by the Detention Center program and the Alternative schools by placing an emphasis on the following:

- . Improving and maintaining reading and mathematics achievement scores;
- . Counseling to take college placement exams such as CPT, SAT, and/or ACT;
- . Counseling to enroll seniors in college level remedial English and Mathematics courses;
- . Full use of FACTS.org as a planning tool for college and technical school enrollment;
- . Increasing utilization of technical school dual enrollment as a stepping stone to other postsecondary programs; and
- . Encouraging students to earn Florida Ready to Work certificates and utilize career and college planning on-line assistance.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2009-2010 common assessment data and 2010-2011 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
READING GOALS	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

2011-2012-School Imp	rovement P	ian Juvenii	e Justice Education	Programs			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #1:	Most students enter program below grade level in reading	Implement FAIR assessments to monitor student progress	Principal Reading Coach	Review Fair data reports	Fair assessments		
Percentage of students making Learning gains in reading this year will be at least 5 percentage points higher than the percent of the same students making learning gains last year in their home schoo	2011 Current Level of Performance:*	2012 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			Explicitly infuse reading benchmarks in lesson plans and instructional delivery of all content areas	Reading Coach Lead Teacher		Classroom walkthrough data	
			Engage and monitor all students in daily independent reading	Reading Coach Lead Teacher	Observe students reading	Students reading logs	

2011-2012 SCHOOLI	mprovement	t I lan Juven	ne Justice Education Pro	grains		
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Reading Budget

reading Dauger		•	
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2009-2010 common assessment data and 2010-2011 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

MATHEMATICS GOALS	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student			
	Student Achieveme nt			

2011-2012-School Imp	rovement P	<u>ian juvenn</u>	e Justice Education	i Programs			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1:	Most students enter program below grade level in math	Benchmark	Lead Teacher		Progress of all students on assessments		
Percentage of students making learning gains in math this year will be at least 5 percentage points higher than the percent of the same students making learning gains las year in their home school.		2012 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			Increase the use of manipulatives and hands-on activities to reinforce math	Lead Teacher	Observe activities	Classroom walkthrough data	
			concepts				

ZOTT ZOTZ SCHOOLT	inprovemen.	t ittii ou teii	ne sustice Education 1 10	5' 41119		
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal:	_		
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CAREER EDUCATION GOAL(S)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2011-2012 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs 1. Career Education Goal 1.1. 2011 Current Level :* 2012 Expected Level :* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected goal in this box. goal in this box. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. .2. 1.2. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. Professional **Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community** (PLC) or PD **Activity** Please note that each

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

				.8		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Career Education Goal(s) Budget	
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Desc
Subtot	al:
Technology	
Strategy	Desc
Subtot	al:
Professional Development	
Strategy	Desc
Subtot	al:
Other	
Strategy	Desc

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

April 2011 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 18, 2011 **Grand Total:**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?
- How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

TRANSITION GOAL(S)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Transition Goal	credits	Utilize computer based courses, home school assignments to target specific needs for intervention	Computer lab teacher	Upon entry into the program, requests for student grades are made by the program secretary. The Alternative Education Counselor determines the student course schedule. The Lead Teacher goes over the schedule with each new student. Exit interviews are conducted within two days of their release for long term students(over 21 school days) Reviews include a review of transcripts, student schedule, assessment scores and student goals. Students are also given the opportunity to complete an assessment of the school program at the Detention Center	1End of Year Report	

•	2011 Current	2012 Expected					
ne expected outcome of this dicator is that the program ill assist students with reentry to community, school, work ttings and/or post commitment ograms.	Level :*	Level :*					
			Infuse computer lab instruction and Skills Tutor activities in daily class activities.	Lead Teacher Computer Lab Teacher	Classroom walkthroughs	. Teacher lesson Plans	
			Monitor all students using the computers for course work and Skills Tutor	Lead Teacher Computer Lab Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs	Classroom Walkthroughs	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		
Strategy		Desc
	Subtotal:	-
Technology		
Strategy		Desc
	Subtotal:	
Professional Development		
Strategy		Desc
	Subtotal:	
Other		
Strategy		Desc
	Grand Total:	

Ena of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What was the attendance rate for 2010-2011?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2010-2011 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2010-2011 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2011-2012?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANC E GOAL(S)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance			represents next to the p	(v.g. / v.	
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance Goal # 1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the	2011Current Attendance Rate:*	2012 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
		Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.				
	2011 Current Number of Students	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
		Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.				
	2011 Current Number of	2012-Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				

 ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20 2 20022 3 02 7 02222	c oustice Budention	1108141110			
Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data					
for current number of	for expected number of					
students tardy in this	students tardy in this					
box.	box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

FINAL BUDGET (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Desc
Subtotal:	
Technology	
Strategy	Desc
Subtotal:	
Professional Development	
Strategy	Desc

2011 2012-C I II		
2011-2012-School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice	Education Programs	
	Subtotal:	
Other		
Strategy		Des
	Grand Total:	
teachers, education support employees, students (for middle ar	nool district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of ad high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of bol. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.	
X Yes	$\Box N_{0}$	

If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
NA	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.

The Alternative Schools SAC meets four times per year to review and discuss academic progress and strategies. Other issues pertaining to school improvement are addressed as needed.