Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Oakstead Elementary	District Name: Pasco	School Name:	District Name:
Principal: Tammy Kimpland	Superintendent: Heather Fiorentino	Principal:	Superintendent:
SAC Chair: Joanne Callahan	Date of School Board Approval: October 16, 2012	SAC Chair:	Date of School Board Approval: October 16, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Tammy Kimpland	School Principal (All Levels), Educational Leadership K-12, School Counselor K-12, Psychology	6	19	OES: 2006-07-A, AYP-No (97%) 2007-08-B, AYP-No (97%) 2008-09-A, AYP-No (92%) 2009-10- A, AYP-No (87%)

	Megan Hermansen	School Principal (All	4	10	HES: 2006-07-C, AYP- No (85%)
		Levels), Educational			2007-08-B, AYP-No (97%)
Assistant		Leadership K-12,			OES: 2008-09- A, AYP- No (92%)
Principal		Elementary Education			2009-10- 2009-10- A, AYP-No (87%)
		(Grades 1-6), Primary			
		Education (Grades K-3)			

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Kimberly Rives	Media Specialist (Pre- K-12), Elementary Education (1-6), ESOL Endorsement, Reading (K-12), Specific Learning Disabilities (K-12), Educational Leadership K-12	6	4	OES: 2006-07-A, AYP-No (97%) 2007-08-B, AYP-No (97%) 2008-09-A, AYP-No (92%) 2009-10- 2009-10- A, AYP-No (87%)
	Kelly DeAngelis	BA in Early Childhood (Pre-K- 3), ESOL Endorsed, Masters in Reading (K-12), National Board Certification	0	0	SPES: 2008-2009- AYP- No (97%), 2009-2010-A, AYP-Yes (100%) 2010-2011-B, AYP-No (92%) 2011-2012-A

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1. Hire only highly qualified teachers	Administration	N/A	
2. Monthly meetings will be held before school for new teachers to discuss challenges and concerns.	Assistant Principal	Ongoing	
3.			

4.	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
NA	Administration hire appropriate staff

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages.	, include the number of teacher	s the percentage represents	(e.g., 70% [35]).
	,	~ ···· p ·····	(

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
79	3.7%(3)	55.7%(44)	28% (22)	12.6%(10)	35% (24)	100%	3.7% (3)	1% (1)	43% (34)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kathy Peck-Martin	Tim Hamlin	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings
Kelly Keene	Anik Pepin-Rossow	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings
Natalie Palmer	Annette Armstrong	Guidance Counselor	New Teacher Meetings
Jeannette Evans	Lori Mevers	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings
Joe Watson	Maria Sta Ana	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings
Lisa Johnson	Angela Miller	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings

Annette Armstrong	Loretta Ortiz	Mentor Liaison	New Teacher Meetings
Carol Joe Huffman	Tonya Thornton	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings
Jean Reamer	Kelly Grills	Teammate	New Teacher Meetings

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
-Administration
-Basic and Special Education Teachers
-Literacy Coach
-School Psychologist
-School Social Worker
-Speech Language Pathologist
-Guidance Counselors
-Media Specialist
-Technology Specialist
-School Nurse
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
-Review of Universal screening data
-Review of Progress Monitoring data
-Planning for interventions
-Assessment of RtI implementation progress SAPSI (Self-Assessment of Problem Solving Implementation)
-Assessment of school staff's practices and skill development (RtI Skills and RtI Perception of Practices Surveys
-Development of professional development/technical assistance plan to support RtI implementation

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

-Analysis of relevant demographic/school profile data for the purpose of problem analysis and hypothesis generation

-Identification of critical RtI infrastructure already established and/or in need of development and provide plan for building capacity

-Analysis of school wide and grade-level data in order to identify student achievement trends

-Analysis of disaggregated data in order to identify trends and groups in need of intervention

-Development of assessment strategies and calendars (i.e., Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Diagnostic Assessment)

-Development of data review plans, support systems and calendars

-Development of processes to ensure intervention fidelity

-Review of Progress Monitoring data

-Planning for interventions

-Assessment of RtI implementation progress SAPSI (Self-Assessment of Problem Solving Implementation)

-Assessment of school staff's skill development (RtI Skills Survey)

-Development of professional development/technical assistance plan to support RtI implementation

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

-Data will be monitored through grade level meetings held bi-weekly, weekly SBIT's, bi-weekly TBIT's and quarterly through Student Reviews

-Tier I data will be assessed in reading through FAIR, writing will be assessed through prompt writing, math and science will be assessed through CORE K-12, behavior will assessed through written discipline referrals

-Tier II and Tier III will be monitored more frequently based on student need using an assessment that matches the student's identified area of concern through the problem solving process

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

-Our leadership team will be responsible for training our staff and implementing the process throughout the school year. We will do the following: -Staff training will begin in September

-The staff will be trained on the RtI overview, site-based forms and the progress monitoring/student reviews database

-The RtI leadership team will attend the RtI trainings offered by district staff

Describe the plan to support MTSS. -Our RtI team will continually look for ways to support the students needing Tiered support. -During our Student Reviews, students will be targeted for tiered support and plans will be developed to meet their needs.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
-Administration
-Literacy Coach
-Media Specialist
-Basic and special education teachers
-Technology Specialist
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
Analysis of relevant demographic/school profile data for the purpose of problem analysis and hypothesis generation
-Identification of critical infrastructure already established and/or in need of development and provide plan for building capacity
-Analysis of school wide and grade-level data in order to identify student achievement trends
-Analysis of disaggregated data in order to identify trends and groups in need of intervention
-Development of assessment strategies and calendars (i.e., Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Diagnostic Assessment)
-Development of data review plans, support systems and calendars
-Development of processes to ensure intervention fidelity
-Review of Progress Monitoring data
-Planning for interventions
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
-Become familiar with the Common Core Standards
-Focus on explicit instruction and gradual release
-Review walkthrough data
-Share information about literacy with the school
une 2012

Public School Choice

• **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification** Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at	may plan in isolation	la.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year	1a.1. Classroom Teacher	(Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	^{1a.1.} Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	

Reading Goal #1A: By June 2013, at least 30% of our students will score a level 3 in reading as evidenced on the 2013 FCAT reading assessment.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	25% (128)	30%					
		^{1a.2.} Teachers are unfamiliar with the Common Core Standards	1a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly			1A.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
		1a.3. Teachers don't always include writing during the reading block	1a.3. Teachers will have students write when responding to text		(Oct., Jan., and	1A.3. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		

<u> </u>	Level of Performance:*						
	data for current level of performance in						
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	may plan in isolation	la.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year		TBIT's and SBIT's	1a.1.Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
rteading Obur #211.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

		Teachers are	1a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly		la.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	2A.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
		don't always include writing during the reading block	1a.3. Teachers will have students write when responding to text		la.3. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	2A.3. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Reading Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier 1a.1. Teachers	Strategy 1a.1. Teachers	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Percentage of	may plan in isolation	will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year	1a.1. Classroom Teacher	TBIT's and SBIT's	Ia.1.Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
rteaming of an in the	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 80%				

		Teachers are	1a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly	1a.2. Classroom Teacher	la.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	3A.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
			la.3. Teachers will have students write when responding to text	1a.3. Classroom Teacher	la.3. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	3A.3. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance.** 2013 Expected Level of Performance.** 2013 Expected Level of Performance.** Iowest quartile students will make learning gains and increase their performance to 75% as evidenced by the 2013 Reading FCAT Assessment. 2013 Expected Level of Performance.** Image: Performance.**	Percentage of	nay plan in solation	Strategy la.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1a.1. Classroom Teacher	TBIT's and SBIT's	Evaluation Tool 1a.1.Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
00/0(3+0) $(3+0)$ $(3-0)$	By June 2013, our lowest quartile students will make learning gains and increase their performance to 75% as evidenced by the 2013 Reading FCAT Assessment.	Level of	Level of				

		Teachers are	1a.2 Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly		la.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4A.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
		1a.3. Teachers don't always include writing during the reading block	1a.3. Teachers will have students write when responding to text		1a.3. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4A.3. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		ΗD.2.	HD.2.	пD.2.	HD.2.	HD.2.	

	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data	77%	79%	81%	84%	87%	89%
school will reduce	2010-2011	/ / / /0					
their achievement gap by 50%.	89% Proficient						
Reading Goal #5A: In June 2013, the number of level 1 and 2 students will decrease by 2% each year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	Hispanic: Language Asian: Background knowledge American Indian: NA	5B.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year		5B.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	5B.1. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments		

Reading Goal #5B: By June 2013, our students in each of our subgroups will increase their score in reading by 5%, as evidenced on the 2013 FCAT reading assessment.		2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: 78 Black: 71 Hispanic: 68 Asian: 92 American Indian: NA	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: 80 Black: 73 Hispanic: 67 Asian: 92 American Indian: NA					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u>Reading Goal #5C:</u> No data is available at this time.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

ob. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities	1						
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5D:		2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance [*]					
No data is available at this time.	renormance.	r enormance.					
557756-4							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
satisfactory progress in reading.							
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Core and	Special Area	Literacy Coaches and PLC Leaders	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration
Practices	K-5/All, including Special Area Teachers	Administrati on and	i School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration
				!		

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/				
materials and exclude district funded				
activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$0.00				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	with the Common Core Standards	4a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly		^{4a.2.} Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4a.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
CELLA Goal #1: By June 2013, the number of students proficient in Listening/Speaking will increase by 10% in grades K-5, as evidenced by the 2013 CELLA Assessment.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Grade K-33% Grade 1- 71% Grade 2-91% Grade 3-29% Grade 4- 20% Grade 5- 50%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.	4a.2. Teachers are unfamiliar with the Common Core Standards	4a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly		4a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4a.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
CELLA Goal #2: By June 2013, the number of students proficient in Reading will increase by 10% in grades K-5, as evidenced by the 2013 CELLA Assessment.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	Grade K- 0% Grade 1- 57% Grade 2-73% Grade 3- 14% Grade 4- 40% Grade 5- 50%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
at grade level in a	Anticipated Barrier	Buttegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
manner similar to non-			y	8,		
ELL students.						
3. Students scoring	3a.3. Teachers don't always	3a.3. Teachers will have students	3a.3. Classroom Teacher	3a3. Progress	3a.3. Reading- Unit	
proficient in writing	include writing during the	write when responding to text			Assessments, FCAT	
-	reading block					
					Weekly Assessments,	
				April), TBIT's and	Benchmark	
				SBIT's	Assessments	
	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Writing :					
By June 2013, the number of students proficient in						
writing will increase by						
10%, as evidenced by the						
2013 CELLA Assessment						
	Grade K- 7%					
	Grade 1- 36%					
	Grade 2- 64%					
	Grade 3- 0% Grade 4- 0%					
	Grade 5- 25%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
L		I	I	L		

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

8 \				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$0.00				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School M0.00athematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at	Teachers may plan in isolation	2a.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year			^{2a.1.} Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	

#1 Δ ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	31% (160)	36%			

Teachers may plan lessons that do not t differen tiate for	l'eachers may plan	2a.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students	2a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1A.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
^{2a.3} Teachers may not have their students write about the processes they are learning in math					

	^{2a.3} Teachers may not have their students write about the processes they are learning in math			(Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1A.3. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
1B. Florida ^{1B.1.} Alternate	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Assessment:						
Students scoring at						
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal 2012 Curre #1B: 2012 Curre Performance	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Enter numer data for current level performance this box.	in performance in this box.					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at	isolation	la.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year	1a.1. Classroom Teacher	la.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1a.1. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	

#1 A ·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		^{1a.2.} Teachers may plan lessons that do not differen tiate for students	1a.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students		1a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1a.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring	Teachers may plan in isolation	will plan with	2a.1. Classroom Teacher		2a.1. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
#2A: By June 2013, 43% of students will score a level 4 or 5 in math as evidenced by the 2013 FCAT math assessment.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 43%				

		Teachers may plan lessons	2a.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students		2a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	2A.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
		2a.3 Teachers may not have their students write about the processes they are learning in math	write about what they are learning in math	2a.3 Classroom Teacher	and SBIT's	2A.3. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Doroontogo of	Teachers may plan in isolation	will plan with			2a.1. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
#3A: By June 2013, the percentage of students will make learning gains will increase to 75%, as evidenced by the 2013 FCAT math assessment.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	68% (346)	75%				

		Teachers may plan lessons	2a.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students		2a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	3A.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
			2a.3 Teachers will have students write about what they are learning in math		2a.3 Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	3A.3. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
#3B.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	Teachers may plan in isolation	will plan with	2a.1. Classroom Teacher		2a.1. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
Mathematics Goal #4A: By June 2013, our lowest quartile students will make learning gains and increase their performance to 68%, as evidenced by the 2013 FCAT math assessment	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 68%				
	0470 (314)	0070				

		Teachers may plan lessons	2a.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students		2a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4A.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
		^{2a.3} Teachers may not have their students write about the processes they are learning in math	write about what they are learning in math	2a.3 Classroom Teacher	2a.3 Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	4A.3. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4B:	4B.1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	4B.1. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		

data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data 2010-2011	70%	74%	78%	82%	86%	90%
school will reduce	820/						
their achievement	82%						
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
In June 2013, the number of level 1 and 2 students will decrease by 4%.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following subgroups:							
ethnicity (White,	White: Background knowledge Black: Background knowledge Hispanic: Language Asian: Background knowledge American Indian: NA	5B.1. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year		5B.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	5B.1. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12		

Mathematics Goal #5B: By June 2013, the students in each subgroup will increase their scores by 5%, as evidenced by the 2013 FCAT math assessment.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: 72 Black: 68 Hispanic: 61 Asian: 88 American Indian: NA	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: 70 Black: 61 Hispanic: 56 Asian: 79 American Indian: NA					
		5B.2.	5B.2. Teachers will use the data spreadsheet and planning form each chapter to meet the needs of their students		5B.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	5B.2. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
		5B.3.	5B.3. Teachers will have students write about what they are learning in math	5B.3. Classroom Teacher	5B.3. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	5B.3. Math Chapter tests, CORE K-12	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5C:</u> No data is available at this time.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	5C.3. Strategy	5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	5C.3. Evaluation Tool	5C.3.	

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
with Disabilities		1 '	1	1	1	1 '	1
(SWD) not making	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 '	1	1	1	1 '	1
satisfactory progress	/	1 '	1	1	1 /	1	1
in mathematics.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L'	
		2013 Expected	4	1 '	1	1	1
<u>#5D:</u>		Level of Performance:*	4	1 '	1	1	1
No data is available at this			4	1	1	1	1
time.			/	1	1	1	1
			4	1	1	1	1
			4	1	1	1	1
			/	1	1	1	1
		Enter numerical	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		data for expected level of	1	1	1	1	1
	performance in	performance in	1	1	1	1	1
		<i>this box.</i> 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	l
		, j.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ſ ^{sb.} ź.	1	1
		4′	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ļ′	(
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	1
	· ·	1 '	1	1	1	1 '	1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of					
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
No data is available at this							
time.							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
		56.5.	56.5.	52.5.	56.5.	JE.J.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	-						
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.		1A.2.		1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

B.1. 1	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1 '	1
ļ	· · ·	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
ļ	۱	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 [
ļ	۱	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 [
ļ	· · ·	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
ļ	ز'	('	1 ''	1 /	1 /	
		<u>،</u> '	· ['	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	í '
<u>evel of</u>	Level of Derformance:*	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
Hormance.	<u>renormance.</u>	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
		1 '	1 '	1	1	1
J	()	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
		1 '	1 '	1	1	1
		1 '	1 '	1	1	1
	Forten como este el	←−−−−− ′	└──── ′	└──── ┘	<u>←−−−−−</u> ′	←]
ata for d	data for	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
urrent level of e	expected level of	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
erformance in p his box. ti	performance in this box.	1 '	1 '	1	1	1
ļ.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	· '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1
	1.D.2	110.2	10.2	110.2	10.2	↓
ľ	1B.3.	1 ^{1B.3.}	(^{1B.3.}	(^{1B.3.}	1B.3.	1
ļ	۱	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1
	D12 Current excl of erformance:* Iter numerical ta for trent level of to for formance in ts box.	D12 Current 2013 Expected excl of Level of erformance:* Performance:* nter numerical Enter numerical ta for expected level of rformance in performance in box. 1B.2.	D12 Current 2013 Expected exel of Level of performance:* Performance:* tter numerical Enter numerical ta for data for expected level of performance in performance in box. 1B.2. 1B.2.	D12 Current 2013 Expected excl of Level of performance:* Performance:* tter numerical Enter numerical ta for expected level of rrent level of expected level of performance in box. IB.2. IB.2.	12 Current evel of performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Image: Construction of the second performance:* Image: Construction of the second performance:* Image: Construction of the second performance in this box. Image: Image: Construction of the second performance in this box. Image: Image: Image: Image: Image: Construction of the second performance in this box. Image: Image: Image: Construction of the second performance in this box. Image: Image: Image: Image: Construction of the second performance in this box. Image:	Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Image: Non-State N12 Current excl of performance.* 2013 Expected Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Iter numerical to for expected level of performance in physics. Image: Non-State Image: Non-State Image: Non-State IB.2. IB.2. IB.2. IB.2. IB.2. IB.2. IB.2.

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	L'unution 1001		
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:	2.4.1	2.4.1	24.1	24.1	24.1		
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
		this box.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	b	24.2	24.2	24.2	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 0	2012 5 4 1					
Mathematics Goal #3A:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

#3B [.]	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

		<u> </u>	D D				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
4A. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					L
Mathematics Goal	Level of	Level of					
<u>#4A:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i chomanee.	r erformance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	14.2	44.2	44.2	14.2	
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4 4 2	4 4 2	4 4 2	4.4.2	4 4 2	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
	1.2.1.						
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
maintance.		1					

#4B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis oox.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1. White:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
sungroups ny	Black:						
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:						
<i>i i i</i>	Asian: American Indian:						
Asian, American	American mutan.						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following							
subgroup:	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
CCT Linghish	JC.1.	50.1.	50.1.	50.1.	50.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5C:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<u>π3C.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress	5						
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5D:		Level of	1				
	Performance:*	Performance:*	4				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			4				
goai in this box.			1				
			4		1		
			1				
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of			'		
	performance in	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		(- · - ·					
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
			·		<u></u> /	L/	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.	2012 0	2012 5 (1					
#5E·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5E.2.	
				5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	renormance.	renormance.					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
						3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	4.1	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	la 1	1	
4. Florida Alternate	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
0							
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
			4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
•	-						

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	A (* *) (1	<u> </u>	D D'(i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
3B. Student		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							

Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.				3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

<u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.	2.2			2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						2.2	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L							

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years 3A. In six years,	2012-2013 Baseline	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	data 2011-					
	2012					
gap by 50%.						
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroups:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
3B. Student	White:	JD.1.	55.1.	50.1.	00.1.	
	Black:					
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:					
Black, Hispanic,	Asian: American					
Asian, American	Indian:					
Indian) not making						
satisfactory progress						
in Geometry.						

 Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano's Best Practices	K-5/All, including all Special Area Teachers	Administrati on	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
	Description of Processor	Eurodina Course	Amount	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source		
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$0.00				
			8	

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier 1a.1.Teachers	Strategy 1a.1.Students	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	may not have the time or materials to	will participate in hand on experiments 1-2 times a month		1a.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1a.1. Science Chapter tests, CORE K-12	

	2012 Current	2012 Europeter				[
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
By June 2013, 45% of students will score a level	renormance.	r eriormanee.					
3 in science as evidenced							
by the 2013 FCAT science							
assessment.							
1							
1							
4	40% (65)	45%					
		1a.2. Teachers	1a. Teachers will plan with the	1a.2. Classroom Teacher	1a.2. Progress Monitoring	1A.2. Science Chapter tests,	
1		may plan in	end in mind within grade level			CORE K-12	
1		isolation	teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year		and SBIT's		
			moughout the year				
		1a.3. Teachers	1a.3. Teachers will have students	1a.3. Classroom Teacher	1a.3 Progress Monitoring	1A.3. Science Chapter tests,	
		may not have	respond to the text they read and to		(Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's	CORE K-12	
		students write	experiments they conduct		and SBIT's		
		about what they					
		are learning in					
		science					
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
L	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Science Obai #1B.	Level of	Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
0							
1							
1							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for expected level of					
	current level of performance in	performance in					

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	the time or materials to	1a.1.Students will participate in hand on experiments 1-2 times a month		1a.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	1a.1. Science Chapter tests, CORE K-12		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		27% 1a.2. Teachers may plan in isolation	1a.2. Teachers will plan with the end in mind within grade level teams and map out the curriculum throughout the year	1a.2. Classroom Teacher	1a.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	2A.2. Science Chapter tests, CORE K-12	

		may not have students write about what they are learning in science			la.3. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	2A.3. Science Chapter tests, CORE K-12	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.			07.0		
					2B.2. 2B.3.	2B.2. 2B.3.	
		<u> </u>					

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	4 1 1 1		D D C	D III D			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		<i>2.2</i> .	<u> </u>	<i>2.2</i> .	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

				•	•	•	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano's Best Practices	K-5/All, including Special Area Teachers	Administrati on	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			500.00
Subtotal: \$500.00			

Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$500.00				

End of Science Goals

<u>Writing Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at Achievement Level	with the Common Core Standards	3a.2. Teachers will unpack the common core standards and plan accordingly	3a.2. Classroom Teacher	Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April), TBIT's and SBIT's	3a.2. Reading- Unit Assessments, FCAT Weekly Assessments, Benchmark Assessments	
ECAT writing assossment	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	88% (146)	93%				

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			i	Î	î .		
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at 4							
or higher in writing.							
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current						
-	Level of						
Enter narrative for the	Performance *						
goal in this box.		2013 Expected					
0		Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	K-5/All, including Special Area Teachers	Literacy Coaches and PLC Leaders	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration
Marzano's Best Practices	K-5/All, including Special Area Teachers	Administratio n and	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			0.00

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			0.00
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			0.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			0.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)</u></u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for womening	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	l				
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.				2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L					ļ		

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school based funded			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	1	1					
Achievement Levels	1	1					
4 and 5 in U.S.	1	1					
History.	2012 Current	2013 Expected		ł			
U.S. History Goal #2:		Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		1					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1	'					
	L'	Ĺ′					I

<u>0.5. Ilistor y 1 101</u>		eropinene				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Professional Development

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	or not students come to school or if they are	who are absent or tardy 10 or	1.1.Administration and Social Worker	1.1. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April)	1.1. TERMS Attendance Report	
Attendance Goal #1: By June 2013, students with 10 or more absences in a school year will decrease by 50%.	2012 Current Attendance	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences	98% (1027) 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				

01	268 students have been absent 10 or	We would like to have only 200 students absent 10 or more days					
N S E T	Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
be	/1 students have been tardy 10 or	We would like to have only 40 students tardy 10 or more days					
				Counselors	1.2. Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April)	1.2. TERMS Attendance Report	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Postive Behavior Support	K-5	Principal and Guidance Counselors	School-wide	On-going	Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April)	Administration and guidance counselors

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Incentives for students who meet with the guidance counselor and meet their attendance goal	Prizes	Internal/PTA	\$100.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using	percentages, meruda	e the number of s	iddents the percentage	represents next to the p	ereentage (e.g. 707	(<i>JJ</i>)).	
Suspension							
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
Based on the analy of suspension data, reference to "Guid Questions," identify define areas in nee- improvement:	and Barrier ing and	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Suspension	1.1.Students are unmotivated to follow school rules regularly	1.1.Guidance counselors will meet monthly with students who are suspended more than 3 or more times		1.1.Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., and April)	1.1.TERMS Discipline Reports		
Suspension Goal By June 2013 students who are suspended 3 or more times a year will dect the amount of times t are suspended by 50%	ease 2012 Total Number hey of In-School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions					

an sus	spension sis school	We are going to try to lecrease that number o zero in school suspensions.					
9 out suspe this s	pensions were issued	We are going to try to lecrease the number of out-of-school suspensions to 5					
	1	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 11010	solution Deve	eropmene				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
	Subject	PLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Fonow up/firomtoring	Monitoring
Positive Behavior	K-5	Guidance	School-wide	On-going	Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan.,	Administration
Support	`` `	Counselors		on going	and April)	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		0.00
	Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Image: Source state stat

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.				
		2013 Expected				

data for graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt			Propresents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy 1.1. Teachers and administration	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	activities and programs that are offered during	will utilize Home Room Moms	administration	events will be given 2 times a year and the	of events and Parent Satisfaction	

Parent Involvement Goal #1: By June 2013, 85% of the families will participated in school activities at a minimum of one time per year.	Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	one time during the	to increase that					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
	J	PLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		8

Parent/ Volunteer/ Business partner training	K-5/All subjects	Principal and	School-wide and interested parents	September 2012	Meeting Agenda/Sign in sheets	Administration

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$0.00				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s) Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
STEM Goal #1: Intermediate students and teachers will participate with hands-on science experiments at a minimum of 1 time a month between the months of September and May.	for classrooms to participate in hands-on science experiments.	model for classrooms	1.1. Administration	(Oct., Jan., and April)	1.1. Lab Sign-In Sheets
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	K-5/All, including all Special Area Teachers	Administratio n	School-wide	On-going	Teacher Evaluations, Grade Level Meetings and Data review meetings will be held throughout the year to monitor progress	Administration

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Conducting hands-on experiments	Material for experiments	Administrative	500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	ļ			
Subtotal:				
Total: \$500.00				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Students will participate in at least 1 assembly program during the Great American Teach In (GAT) in November 2012.	1.1. Schedules may not allow for students to participate in the Great American Teach-In programs	1.1. Each classroom will sign up for at least 1 program during the GAT.	1.1. Administration and GAT Coordinator	1.1.Progress Monitoring (Oct., Jan., April)	1.1. GAT Sign-In Sheets
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)	4		

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent/ Volunteer/ Business partner training	K-5/All subjects	Assistant Principal and PTA Board	School-wide	September 2012	Meeting Agenda/Sign in sheets	Administration

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	1			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$0.00				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		1.2. 1.3.		1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed) Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total: \$0.00
	10tal. 30.00
CELLA Budget	Total: \$0.00
Mathematics Budget	10tai. 50.00
	Total: \$0.00
Science Budget	10111. 0000
	Total: \$500.00
Writing Budget	10tai. \$500.00
writing budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Civics Budget	T . 100.00
	Total:\$0.00
U.S. History Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total: \$100.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: 0.00
STEM Budget	
	Total: 500.00
CTE Budget	
CTD Duuget	Total: 0.00
Additional Goals	10tai: 0.00
Auditional Goals	
	Total:

Grand Total:1100.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

 \Box Yes \Box No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The main purpose of the School Advisory Council is to assist in the preparation of the School Improvement Plan. Input will be based on data collected from the previous school year and the perspectives of the stakeholders involved in the process. In addition, if the SAC receives lottery funds, they will determine how the money should be used in the school.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount