# Florida Department of Education



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

### **School Information**

School Name: Westview K-8 #274	District Name: Duval
Principal: Michele Floyd-Hatcher	Superintendent: Dr. Nikolai Vitti
SAC Chair: Fern Webb	Date of School Board Approval:

### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Michele Floyd-Hatcher	Bachelor's Degree in Psychology	4	13	2011 – 2012 Westview K-8 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 55%, Science Mastery 50%,
		Markaria Danasa in			Writing Mastery 81%
		Master's Degree in Health Science			2010 – 2011 Westview K-8 School Grade "B"
					Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 71%, Science Mastery 51%,
		Certification(s): Social Science (5-9),			Writing Mastery 72% AYP – No Subgroup made AYP in Reading, In Math, African-
		School Principal &			Americans made AYP
		Educational Leadership			2009-2010 Westview K-8 School Grade "C"
					Reading Mastery 67%, Math Mastery 67%, Science Mastery 34%, Writing Mastery 74%.
					AYP – No Subgroup made AYP
					2008-2009 West Jacksonville Elementary School Grade "A"
					Reading Mastery 58%, Math Mastery 78%, Science Mastery 46%, Writing Mastery 100%.
					AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did not make AYP in
					Reading.
					2007-2008 West Jacksonville Elementary School Grade "A"
					Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 61%, Science Mastery 44%, Writing Mastery 67%.
					AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did not make AYP in
					Reading.
					2006-2007 West Jacksonville Elementary School Grade "C"
					Reading Mastery 51%, Math Mastery 49%, Science Mastery 41%, Writing Mastery 71%.
					AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did not make AYP in
					Reading or Math
					2005-2006 Thomas Jefferson Elementary School Grade "A"
					Reading Mastery 79%, Math Mastery 72%, Writing Mastery 81%. AYP: Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading or
					Math.
					2004-2005 Thomas Jefferson Elementary School Grade "B"
					Reading Mastery 80%, Math Mastery 72%, Writing Mastery 89%.

	İ				AYP: Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math
					2003-2004 Thomas Jefferson Elementary School Grade "B" Reading Mastery 78%, Math Mastery 66%, Writing Mastery 87%. AYP: Black did not make AYP in Math; Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading.
Assistant Principal	Edward Ball	Bachelor's Degree in Secondary Social Science Education  Master's Degree in Educational Leadership  Certification(s): Social Science (6-12), School Principal and Educational Leadership	2	9	2011 – 2012 Westview K-8 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 55%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 81%  2010 - 2011 Kernan Middle School - Grade "B" Reading Mastery 70%, Math Mastery 71%, Science Mastery 47%, Writing Mastery 81%. AYP – No Subgroup, except Asian, made AYP  2009 - 2010 Kernan Middle School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 71%, Math Mastery 73%, Science Mastery 60%, Writing Mastery 92%. AYP – No Subgroup made AYP in Reading, Asian and White did in Math  2008 - 2009 Kernan Middle School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 73%, Math Mastery 77%, Science Mastery 42%, Writing Mastery 98%. AYP – Hispanic, ED and SWD did not meet mastery in Reading, White students met AYP in Math  2007 - 2008 Kernan Middle School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 72%, Math Mastery 77%, Science Mastery 46%, Writing Mastery 98%. AYP: White and Hispanic met AYP in Reading, All groups except SWD met AYP in Math  2006 - 2007 Kernan Middle School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 54%, Writing Mastery 93%. All groups made AYP in Reading and Math

Assistant Principal	Teresa Dowdell-Brown	Bachelor's Degree in Elementary Education  Master's Degree in Educational Leadership  Certification(s): Elementary Education (1-6); Educational Leadership All Levels	3	3	2011 – 2012 Westview K-8 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 55%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 81%  2010 – 2011 Westview K-8 School Grade "B" Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 71%, Science Mastery 51%, Writing Mastery 72% AYP – No Subgroup made AYP in Reading, In Math, African-Americans made AYP  2009-2010 Sallye B. Mathis School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 66%, Math Mastery 74%, Science Mastery 62%, Writing Mastery 62%. AYP: Black and Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading and Math; Economically Disadvantaged did not make AYP in Reading.  2008-2009 Sallye B. Mathis School Grade "C" Reading Mastery 63%, Math Mastery 62%, Science Mastery 26%, Writing Mastery 88%. AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did not make AYP in Reading.  2007-2008 Sallye B. Mathis School Grade "B" AYP - No Reading Mastery 81%, Math Mastery 51%, Science Mastery 44%, Writing Mastery 73%. AYP: All groups made AYP.
---------------------	----------------------	---	---	---	---

Assistant	Daniel Sapp Jr.	Bachelor's Degree in	1	1	2011-2012 S.P. Livingston School Grade – "B"
Principal		Business Administration			Reading Mastery 35%, Math Mastery 40%, Science Mastery 40%,
					Writing Mastery 61%
		Master's Degree in			
		Educational Leadership			2010-2011 S.P. Livingston School Grade – "B"
					Reading Mastery 55%, Math Mastery 56%, 42% Science Mastery,
					Writing Mastery 81%
					2009-2010 R. H. Pearson School Grade – "A"
					Reading Mastery 40%, Math Mastery 72%, Writing Mastery 85%
					AYP – No subgroups made AYP
					In 2008-2009 R. H. Pearson School Grade – "A"
					Reading Mastery 46%, Math Mastery 38%, Writing Mastery 91%
					AYP – No subgroup made AYP

### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math	Robin Renelus	Math (5-9)	3	2	2011 – 2012 Westview K-8 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 55%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 81%  2010 – 2011 Westview K-8 School Grade "B" Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 71%, Science Mastery 51%, Writing Mastery 72% AYP – No Subgroup made AYP in Reading, In Math, African-Americans made AYP
CSS	Rebecca Goldberg	ESE (K-12)	1	1	Ms. Goldberg was a behavior support specialist for CSS population during her last stint with Duval County.
CSS	Crystal Johnston	Elementary Ed. (K-6) ESE (K-12) Autism Endorsement	1	1	2011-2012 Sabal Palm Elementary School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 72%, Math Mastery 73%, Science Mastery 62%, Writing Mastery 88%
ESE	Payton Perez	Educational Leadership Mentally Handicapped (K- 12)	3	3	2011 – 2012 Westview K-8 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 56%, Math Mastery 55%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 81%  2010 – 2011 Westview K-8 School Grade "B" Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 71%, Science Mastery 51%, Writing Mastery 72% AYP – No Subgroup made AYP in Reading, In Math, African-Americans made AYP

## **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	Respond to walk in and faxed resumes	Principal Assistant Principals	This process is ongoing	
2.	Work with Human Resources Department to find quality applicants from college and university informational fairs	Principal Assistant Principals	This process is ongoing	
3.	Provide mentors, counseling, and training opportunities that support the district's standards based implementation design.	Principal PDF – Professional Development Facilitator Mentor Teachers	This process is ongoing and is differentiated depending on the individual and their needs (August 2010-June 2011)	
4.	Teacher Induction Program (TIP) – Assists beginning teachers with meeting the professional requirements of the state statutes.	Principal PDF – Professional Development Facilitator	Mentors will be assigned prior to September 30, 2010 and will be completed by June 2011	
5.	Clinical Educator Trainers (CET) – Observe and help mentor beginning and experience teachers	Principal PDF – Professional Development Facilitator Mentor Teachers	This process is ongoing and is differentiated depending on the individual and their needs (August 2010-June 2011)	
6.	Provide training, modeling and instruction design for classroom teachers surrounding the district's standards based implementation design. Serve as mentor to all teachers, observe and provide feedback to ensure quality classroom instruction.	Principal Assistant Principals Leadership Team	This process is ongoing and is differentiated depending on the individual and their needs	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
34% (30)	Teachers will be provided Professional Development Calendar and opportunities to meet out-of-field requirements Master schedule will be completed to prevent teachers from being out of field

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
87	11.5% (10)	28% (24)	49% (43)	11.5% (10)	40% (35)		5% (4)	1%(1)	40% (35)

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Ms. Spratley	Amanda Holley	Grade level chair who can help with coplanning	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Taylor	Robinann Goodwin	Veteran ESE/CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Renelus	Bittany Eichler	Math Instructional Coach with Middle School experience	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Johnston	Donna Murphy	ESE Autistic Site Coach with experience with that population	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Renelus	Emma Pugh	Math Instructional Coach with Middle School experience	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Hackney	Melanie Swain	Veteran, CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Hackney	Sarah Supcoe	Veteran, CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Johnston	Katherine Brown	ESE Autistic Site Coach with experience with that population	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.

Ms. Montfort	Izabella Mulzet	Veteran ESE/CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. Perez	Sharolynn Savage-Shipman	ESE Lead Teacher with experience with that population	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Mr. Williams	Fonda Pettway	Veteran, CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.
Ms. McCoy	Priscilla Kraut	Veteran, CET certified teacher with experience at that grade level and with that population of students	Classroom Observations and modeling, Mentor/Mentee meetings, assistance with planning, suggestions for training.

## **Additional Requirements**

### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

ile I, Part A
tle I, Part C- Migrant
ile I, Part D
ile II
ile III
tle X- Homeless
pplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
olence Prevention Programs

utrition Programs
ousing Programs
ousing Frograms
ead Start
dult Education
areer and Technical Education
b Training
ther
School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Michele Floyd-Hatcher Assistant Principal: Edward Ball

Assistant Principal Teresa Dowdell-Brown

Assistant Principal & Foundations Team Chair: Daniel Sapp

Math Coach: Robin Renelus

ASD/CSS Site Coaches: Rebecca Goldberg, Crystal Johnston

ESE Lead Teacher: Payton Perez School Counselor: Janet Summers

School Counselor/RtI Facilitator: Lilian Angel

Grade Level Chairpersons: Ronald Williams, Teresa Hackney, Chartis Brown, Shalimar Lane, Jamie Bazinet, Rachel Tomalis, Patrick Roach, Meagan Ricker, Stacy Davis

The team meets monthly to evaluate the data and oversee the academic and behavioral work of the school. Current operating structures within the school are used to provide leadership to the RtI process. These operating structures include current building leadership teams, professional learning communities, and grade level teams. For the most intensive interventions in the 2012-2013 school year, the RtI leadership team will closely monitor the progress of students receiving Tier 3 interventions. Students will then be referred to the Guidance Team who will make the determination if the student's data supports a meeting with the MRT Team.

Principal: Michele Floyd-Hatcher - provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI; conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff; ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation requirements; ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation; and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities. Provides information about school wide and class wide behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; provides professional development principles of Foundations to faculty and staff; and collaborates with staff to implement behavioral interventions. Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Assistant Principals: Edward Ball, Teresa Dowdell –Brown and Daniel Sapp - provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI; conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff; ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation requirements; ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation; and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities. Provides information about school wide and class wide behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; provides professional development principles of Foundations to faculty and staff; and collaborates with staff to implement behavioral interventions. Leads and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Foundations Chair: Daniel Sapp - Provides information about school wide and class wide behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; provides

professional development principles of Foundations to faculty and staff; and collaborates with staff to implement behavioral interventions.

ASD/CSS Site Coaches: Rebecca Goldberg and Crystal Johnston - participate in student data collection; assists in determination for further assessment; integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 instruction; and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching, facilitation, and consultation.

RtI Facilitator: Lilian Angel - participates on Building Leadership Team; acts as liaison for implementation of RtI at the school level; receives ongoing RtI training and delivers information to school; provides direct intervention services to an identified group of students and tracks student progress; guides school in using data to make decisions about interventions and strategies that support RtI.

School Counselors: Janet Summers and Lilian Angel - provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students; link community agencies to schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success; provides consultation services to general and special education teachers, parents, and administrators; provides group and individual student interventions; and conducts direct observation of student behavior. Educates the team in the role that second language acquisition plays in the learning process and collaborates with general education teachers.

Professional Development Facilitator: Peyton Perez - develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to new teachers and staff regarding data management and display

Eighth Grade Chairperson; Stacy Davis, Seventh Grade Chairperson: Megan Ricker, Sixth Grade Chairperson: Patrick Roach, Fifth Grade Chairperson: Rachel Tomalis, Fourth Grade Chairperson: Jamie Bazinet, Third Grade Chairperson: Shalimar Lane, Second Grade Chairperson: Chartis Brown, First Grade Chairperson: Teresa Hackney, Kindergarten Chairperson: Ronald Williams, Resource Chairperson: Frances Kendall - - provides information about core instruction; participates in student data collection; delivers Tier 1 instruction/interventions; collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 interventions; and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Building Leadership Team's meetings focus around the following academic and behavioral questions:

- 1. What do we expect the students to learn?
- 2. How do we know they have or have not learned what was expected?
- 3. What will we do when they do or don't learn?
- 4. What evidence do we have to support our responses to these questions?

The team meets twice per month, and the grade level/departments will meet weekly, to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

In addition to the oversight work of the Leadership Team, other building instructional teams (such as professional learning communities, small learning communities, grade level teams, and/or content area teams) carry the work forward with smaller groups of students. This academic and behavioral work will include the following, beginning with Tier 1 (core/universal instruction) and continuing through Tier 2 (supplemental instruction):

- Identifying and analyzing systematic patterns of student need
- Identifying appropriate evidence-based differentiation and intervention strategies
- Implementing and overseeing progress monitoring
- Analyzing progress monitoring data and determining next steps

For the most intensive interventions at Tier 3 in the 2012-2013 school year, the building instructional teams will provide classroom support for students, document progress accordingly and work in conjunction with the Guidance Department to refer students when that data deems the situation necessary.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Building Leadership Team leads the faculty in a review of the data and, with input from building instructional teams, develops the initial draft of the School Improvement Plan utilizing the template provided by the Department of Education. The draft SIP is then presented to the School Advisory Council for review and recommendations. The Building Leadership Team finalizes the plan.

The School Improvement Plan becomes the guiding document for the work of the school. The Building Leadership Team should regularly revise and update the plan as the needs of students change throughout the school year. The plan includes a formal review process which demonstrates how the school has used RtI to inform instruction and made mid-course adjustments as data are analyzed

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### **Baseline data:**

- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- Curriculum Based measurements
- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Duval County Benchmarks
- Duval County Timed Writing Assessments
- Duval County Math/Science Progress Monitoring Assessments
- K-3 Literacy Assessment System
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2)
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
- Office Discipline Referrals
- Retentions
- Absences

#### Midyear data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2)
- Curriculum Based Measurement
- Duval County Benchmarks
- Duval County Timed Writing Assessments
- Duval County Math/Science Formatives
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- K-3 Literacy Assessment System

#### End of year data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- FCAT Writes
- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2)

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The school's Professional Development plan supports continuous learning for all educators that results in increased student achievement and includes evidence of scaffolded RtI professional learning that is results-driven, standards-based, school-centered, and sustained over time. In addition to Early Dismissal, Faculty Meetings, and Grade Level Meetings, RtI learning will be embedded in classroom observations, collaborative planning, and analysis of student work. Teachers were provided a notebook with RtI strategies and tracking sheets to monitor the progress of their students. We have built into the master schedule RtI time daily for a period of thirty minutes.

Professional development will be offered to RtI school based team by district staff. The school based RtI team will provide in-service to the faculty on designated professional development days (i.e. pre-planning, early dismissal, planning days, and faculty meetings). These in-service opportunities will include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Problem Solving Model
- Consensus building
- Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support
- Data-based decision-making to drive instruction
- Progress monitoring
- Selection and availability of research-based interventions
- Tools utilized to identify specific discrepancies in reading

In addition, RtI learning will be job-embedded and occur during the following:

- Professional Learning Communities
- Classroom Observations
- Collaborative Planning
- Analysis of Student Work
- Book Study

Individual professional development will be provided to classroom teachers, as needed

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Support for MTSS will be provided in various ways. Guidance and administration will monitor student progress to identify those students who may need support. Teachers will receive feedback and professional development opportunities based upon administrative and math coach observations. Early release trainings will focus on strategies and techniques that will support and strengthen the MTSS process. Data collected from these sources will assist in the creation of a focus calendar that will target areas of concern as we approach FCAT.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

- Ms. Floyd-Hatcher, Principal
- Ms. Dowdell-Brown, Assistant Principal
- Ms. Davis 8th Grade
- Ms. Mendez 7<sup>th</sup> Grade
- Ms. Kruck 6<sup>th</sup> Grade
- Ms. Deffes 4th Grade
- Ms. Thomas 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade
- Ms. Brown 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade
- Ms. Hackney 1<sup>st</sup> Grade

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Data assessment notebooks are maintained by all teachers for the collection and efficient analysis of student data and are discussed in bi-weekly grade level meetings. In addition, each school reports FAIR results to the state through the PMRN System. The principal monitors PMRN reports and data notebook usage through classroom observation and conferencing with teachers to ensure that safety nets and intervention programs/instructional materials support students' needs as determined by the data. The LLT meets monthly to review progress and trends in the data as well as discuss effective instruction

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Ensure that identified teachers are participating in literacy related professional development with reading teachers. Establish a Book-of-the-Month program, participate in Read It Forward Jax initiative, participate in community reading programs, distribute reading lists for students and teachers, model effective reading instruction, notify their faculty of professional development opportunities, attend state and national reading conferences, join reading related professional organizations, and encourage those teachers to earn their Reading Endorsement. In addition, middle school Science and Social Studies teachers are trained in CAR-PD.

#### Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

\*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

Teachers who work in grades 6 thru 8 incorporate reading strategies into the content areas of science, social studies, and math using text books and leveled non-fiction books. These materials support the use of "before, during, and after" reading strategies, which result in a deeper understanding of the content. Teacher's model read aloud/think aloud strategies to increase comprehension. Leveled classroom libraries combined with an assigned independent reading time provide students with the opportunity to practice these reading strategies. Teachers also utilize effective strategies for fiction and non-fiction texts including the seven key strategies identified by Harvey and Goudvis in Strategies That Work - making connections, questioning, visualizing, inferring/predicting, determining importance in text, and synthesizing. Furthermore, Bloom's Taxonomy is incorporated into staff development to improve teacher's questioning techniques.

*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

## Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVI	EMENTS			
Reading Goals				
* When using percentages, include the num	nber of students the percent	age represents (e.g., 70% [	35]).	 
Reading Goals Problem- Solving				
Process to Increase				
Student Student				
June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811				

21

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Revised April 29, 2011

	Achievemen					
	t					
Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
group:						

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1. Teacher lesson	
		Distribute			plans	
Achievement Level 3		Common		administration and members		
in reading.		Core	Imelpuis	of leadership team will	Ciussicom comiguration	
		Standards		document implementation		
	Standards in			of common core standards		
	K-2	Levels.		in K-2. Grades 3-8 will		
		20,015.		display standards and be		
		Teachers		familiar with common core		
		in K-2 will		standards language.		
		participate in				
		a book study				
		centered on				
		Common				
		Core				
		Standards.				
		Teachers				
		will attend				
		on-going				
		professional				
		develo				
		pment,				
		during Early				
		Release and				
		grade level				
		meetings,				
		related to				
		Common				
		Core				
		Standards				

Current  Level of  Performance	Expected Level of Performance			
of students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> — 8 <sup>th</sup> grades scored at a level 3 or higher on the 2011	in 3 <sup>rd</sup> –8 <sup>h</sup> grade will			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1A.2	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A	A.2.	1A.2.	
		Principal and Assistar		ocused walkthroughs	Teacher lesson plans,	
		Principals		administration will	Use of daily focus	
	Schedules to teachers during				standards	
	pre-planning to guide			e utilizing standards in		
	instruction.			sson plans as well as		
lesson plan				ily standards posted in		
	Implement a 90 minute			e room.		
	Reader's Workshop in all					
	reading classrooms K-5					
	using the Comprehensive					
	Core Reading Program					
	Develop an Instructional					
	Focus Calendar for Reading					
	and Language Arts to					
	focus on areas of needs					
	improvement: main idea,					
	author's purpose, compare					
	and contrast, and reference					
	and research.					
	Use Benchmark, FAIR,					
	DRA and teacher created					
	test data to analyze student					
	achievement and to create					
	lesson plans to target areas					
	of student weakness.					

1A.:	3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
The	e zone for	F.A.I.R. Assessment will be	Principal and Assistant	Monitoring form, data	Classroom monitoring	
mod	derate	administered three times a	Principals	notebook, focused	forms	
risk	k for the	year and teachers will use		walkthroughs		
F.A	A.I.R	data to guide instruction				
Ass	sessment	Regularly use running				
is bi	oroad.	records and administer				
		DRAs three times per year,				
		analyzing the results				
1 1	ficulty					
	entifying					
	er1, 2, or 3					
stud	dents					

4 D. Ell. 1.1	1.5.1	lin i	lin i	lin i	lin i	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
		Reading		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
	assessment,			document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
reading.	Brigance,	(PCI) based	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
	and		Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorpora	placement		core curriculum		
	ting core	tests				
	Reading,					
	curriculum	Distribute				
	in lesson	Brigance				
	plans	Assessment				
	including	materials				
	availability					
	of materials	Distribute				
	to deliver	login and				
	and	password				
	reinforce	and develop				
	instruction.	student				
		profiles in				
		ULS				
		Teachers				
		will				
		continuously	7			
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance,				
		PCI, ULS).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				

Reading Goal #1B:	2012	instruction aligned with Access Points. 2013 Expected			
22% of students in grade 3 <sup>rd</sup> through 8 <sup>th</sup> will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.	<u>Level of</u> Performance	Level of Performance :*			
	students in grades 3 <sup>rd</sup> through 8 <sup>th</sup> scored a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2012 Florida	22% [8] of students in grades 3 <sup>rd</sup> through 8 <sup>th</sup> will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment			

O fo pr de (ti	rofessional evelopment training) for ew teachers	trainings when offered  Teachers will incorporate	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	1B.2. Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist  Monitoring PCI assessments (based on individual student progression)  Monitoring ULS pre and post checkpoints	IB.2. Monthly ULS pre and post checkpoints PCI assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	
To in in to IE ge	ncluding nstruction o address EP oals and bjectives	objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher	1B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	1B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
group:						

A ECATA	D 1 1 TD 1	D 4 1	0 4 1 61 1	0.4.1.D : 1 1	0 4 1 5 11 (/ 1	
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.Teache		2A.1. Classroom teachers,	1 1	2A.1.Focus walks "Look	
Students scoring	rs including		principal and assistant		For's" to determine the	
at or above		higher order		and will be submitted to	frequency of	
<b>Achievement Levels</b>	questioning	Questioning	Instructional Coach	Principal at a required time.	Higher Order Questions	
4 in reading.		techniques		Implementation of higher		
		in Reading		order questions based on		
		as well as in		professional book study for		
	Pians	the content		"Strategies that Work		
		areas.		Strategies that Work		
		areas.				
		Content				
		Area				
		teachers				
		will infuse				
		reading				
		benchmarks				
		in lesson				
		plans				
		and				
		instructional				
		delivery				
		denvery				
D 1: C 1//24	2012	2012				
Reading Goal #2A:	<u>2012</u>	2013				
L	Current	Expected_				
27% of the students in		<u>Level of</u>				
$3^{rd} - 8^{th}$ grade (179)	Performance	Performance				
will score at a level	·* ·	·*				
4 or 5 on the 2012						
FCAT						

of the students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> — 8 <sup>th</sup> grade scored at a level 4 or 5 on the 2012 FCAT	score at a level 4 or 5					
	Teachers not being able to effectively plan to	with enrichment activities through Houghton Mifflin,		2A.2.Review lesson plans and focused walk- through		
	Students need exposure to more complex vocabulary words		Classroom Teachers, Media Specialist		2A.3.	

an 4.	lan 4	lan 4	lan 4	lan 4	les 4	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:	including	core	Collaborative Teams	using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at	formal	Reading		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
or above Level 7 in	assessment,			document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
reading.	Brigance,			utilizing Access Points in		
	and	on students	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorpora	placement		core curriculum		
	ting core	tests				
	Reading,					
	curriculum	Distribute				
	in lesson	Brigance				
	plans	Assessment				
	including	materials				
	availability					
	of materials	Distribute				
	to deliver	login and				
	and	password				
	reinforce	and develop				
	instruction.	student				
		profiles in				
		ULS				
		Teachers				
		will				
		continuously	/			
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance,				
		PCI, ULS).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				

Reading Goal #2B:  46% of students in grades 3 <sup>rd</sup> through 8 <sup>th</sup> will score a level 7 or higher on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment	Level of Performance	instruction aligned with Access Points.  2013 Expected Level of Performance .*			
	of students in grades 3rd through 8th scored a level 7 or higher on the 2012 Florida Alternate	will score			

2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
Teachers	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Monthly ULS pre and	Monthly ULS pre and	
				post checkpoints	
district PCI	ESE Lead Teacher			PCI assessments based	
and ULS	CSS Site Coaches		on individual student	on individual student	
curriculum		Monitoring PCI assessments		progression	
trainings	Principal	(based on individual student		Lesson Plans	
when				Quality Program Indicator	
offered			Checklist	Checklist	
		Č 1	Classroom Observations	Classroom Observations	
Teachers		post checkpoints			
will					
incorporate					
PCI and					
ULS					
curriculum					
in lessons					
to enhance					
instruction					
and					
reinforce					
Access					
Points					

			Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	2B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	Checklist Classroom Observations	2B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	consistent use of data and observations to drive guided reading groups. In addition, Guided Reading being implemented with fidelity	will explicitly teach Guided Reading groups and cooperative learning groups to provide	Instructional Coach	through, lesson plans,	3.1. Scrimmage Data, Lesson plans and classroom observation	
Reading Goal #3A:  75% of the 4 <sup>th</sup> -  8 <sup>th</sup> grade students demonstrate learning gains in Reading on the 2011 FCAT	Level of Performance: *	Expected_				

of the 4 <sup>th</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students demonstrated learning gains in Reading on the 2012	75% (439) of the 4th - 8th grade students will demonstrate learning gains in Reading on the 2013 FCAT					
	and students having an understa nding of what data is and how to use the data to guide instruction and learning.	monitoring, FAIR, FCAT and District Benchmark assessments to create Instructional Focus Calendars Generate and utilize class monitoring forms and data	Instructional Coach	3.2. Classroom walk- through, monitoring forms, lesson plans, and results from bi-weekly scrimmages.	3.2. Scrimmage Data, Lesson plans and classroom observation and updated monitoring forms	
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:	including	core	Collaborative Teams	using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal	Reading		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students making		Curriculum	CSS Site Coaches		Classroom observation	
learning gains in	Brigance, and		Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in	Classicolii oosei vatioli	
reading.	incorporating		Principal Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
reading.	core Reading,		Imelpai	core curriculum		
	curriculum in			core curricurum		
	lesson plans	Costs				
		Distribute				
		Brigance				
	of materials	Assessment				
		materials				
	and reinforce					
		Distribute				
		login and				
		password				
		and develop				
		student				
		profiles in				
		ULS				
		Teachers				
		will				
		continuously	7			
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance,				
		PCI, ULS).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				

Reading Goal #3B: 72% of the students will make learning gains on the 2013 FAA.	2012 Current Level of Performance:	instruction aligned with Access Points. 2013 Expected Level of Performance :*					
		will show learning gains on the 2013 FAA.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		Opportunity for professional development (training) for	Teachers will attend district PCI and ULS curriculum trainings when offered	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist  Monitoring PCI assessments (based on individual student progression)  Monitoring ULS pre and post checkpoints	Monthly ULS pre and post checkpoints PCI assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Teachers	Incorporate IEP goals and	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations,	Quality Program Indicator	
including	objectives in small group	Collaborative Teams	use of Quality Program	Checklist	
instruction	instruction, individual	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicatory Checklist, and	Classroom Observations	
to address	instruction, and independent	CSS Site Coaches	IEP progress reports	IEP progress reports	
IEP		Assistant Principal			
goals and		Principal			
objectives	Data collect systems that				
	target IEP goals				

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
group:						

4A. FCAT 2.0:	1.	Teac	4.1.	4.1. Principal	4.1.Focused Walk-through	4.1. Scrimmage Data,	
Percentage of	'·	hers	Implement		Lesson plans, evaluation	Lesson plans, classroom	
students in lowest		inclu		Instructional Coach	tools, and	walk-through and	
25% making		ding	READ		Data from scrimmages	updated monitoring forms	
learning gains in		differe	180 block				
reading.		ntiated	daily to all				
reading.		instru	Level 1 and				
		ction	disfluent				
			Level 2				
		lesson	6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> and				
		nlan for	8 <sup>th</sup> grade				
			students				
		Worksh	Situacints				
		op	Provide				
		op	immediate				
			intervention				
			for students				
			showing				
			need of				
			remediation				
			for a specific				
			skill or				
			strategy				
			taught.				
			Interventions	s			
			will include				
			tutoring,				
			small				
			grouping,				
			extended				
			time, and re-				
			teaching.				
			L				
			Level 1 and				
			2 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> and				
			8th grade				
			students				
			will enroll				
			in Team Up				

	Program and Saturday school as a Reading Safety Net  3rd, 4th and 5th grade students will be targeted for RtI instructional time.  SOAR to Success will be implemented			
Lev	in K-2  12 Current 2013  vel of Expected  formance: Level of  Performance  **			

of the students in the Reading bottom quartile demonstrated	bottom quartile will demonstrate learning gains on the					
	access to technology at home.	6th, 7th and 8th grade students in the bottom quartile will use Compass Odyssey as a Safety Net during before school RtI time, scheduled computer lab time and at Team-Up.  Provide Florida Achieves and Compass Odyssey passwords to students in 3rd-5th grades for use at home to enhance growth and test-taking skills	Assistant Principals; Instructional Coach	through Lesson plans, and Data from scrimmages	4.2. Scrimmage Data, Lesson plans and classroom observation, classroom walk-through updated monitoring forms	
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

	L= .	L	T.= .	T.= .	1	
4B. Florida		4B.1.		4B.1.	4B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:	including	core		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal	Reading		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students in lowest	assessment,			document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
25% making	Brigance,	(PCI) based		utilizing Access Points in		
learning gains in	and	on students	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
reading.	incorporating	placement		core curriculum		
	core	tests				
	Reading,					
	curriculum	Distribute				
		Brigance				
	plans	Assessment				
	including	materials				
	availability					
	of materials	Distribute				
	to deliver	login and				
	and reinforce	password				
	instruction.	and develop				
		student				
		profiles in				
		ULS				
		Teachers				
		will				
		continuously	,			
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance,				
		PCI, ULS).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				

Reading Goal #4B: 70% of students in the lowest quartile will make reading gains on the 2013 FAA	2012 Current Level of	Expected					
		the students in the lowest quartile will make reading gains on the 2013 FAA 4B.2. Opportunity for professional development (training) for	4B.2. Teachers will attend district PCI and ULS curriculum trainings when offered	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	4B.2. Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist  Monitoring PCI assessments (based on individual student progression)  Monitoring ULS pre and post checkpoints	4B.2. Monthly ULS pre and post checkpoints PCI assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	
Teachers	Incorporate IEP goals and	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations,	Quality Program Indicator	
including	objectives in small group	Collaborative Teams	use of Quality Program	Checklist	
instruction	instruction, individual	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicatory Checklist, and	Classroom Observations	
to address	instruction, and independent	CSS Site Coaches	IEP progress reports	IEP progress reports	
IEP	work activities	Assistant Principal			
goals and		Principal			
objectives	Data collect systems that				
	target IEP goals				

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A:  Westview will continue to reduce the achievement gap by 50% each year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5A.1. Ethnic subgroups: Teachers including differentiated instruction in their lesson plan for Reader's Workshop  Teachers will use data from District Benchmark tests, FAIR testing, DRA results and teacher generated assessments to drive instruction.	5A.1. Provide immediate intervention for students showing need of remediation for a specific skill or strategy taught through the use of exit slips and informal assessments.  Interventions will include tutoring, small grouping, extended time, and reteaching.	5A.1. Principal and Assistant Principals; Instructional Coach	5A.1. Classroom monitoring forms will reflect scores in each area and lesson plans will document intervention strategies for students who are under performing	5A.1. Lesson plans, updates on classroom monitoring forms		
Reading Goal #5B: 80% of White, Black, and Hispanic students will make adequate progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	White:42% (74) Black:50% (123) Hispanic:55% (37)	White: 47% (92) Black:55 % (136) Hispanic:60 % (40)  5A.2. Implementing the eight step model with fidelity and using the data from  5B.3.	5A.2. An Instructional Focus Calendar will be developed to focus on areas that students are not mastering. 5B.3.	5A.2.Assistant Principals, Instructional Coach and classroom teachers 5B.3.	5A.2. Data from bi- weekly scrimmage 5B.3.	5A.2. Updated monitoring forms and classroom observations 5B.3.	
		05.5.	05.5.	J.J.	D.J.	J.J.	

						•
Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
subgroup:						
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1. Classroom monitoring	5B.1. Updated monitoring	
		Provide	Principal and		forms and classroom	
		immediate			observations	
satisfactory progress				will document intervention		
	d instruction			strategies for students who		
		showing		are under performing		
	lesson plan			1 &		
	for Reader's					
		for a specific				
		skill or				
		strategy				
		taught.				
		Interventions				
		will include				
		tutoring,				
		small				
		grouping,				
		extended				
		time, and re-				
		teaching.				
		~				

Reading Goal #5C:  20% of English Language Learners will make adequate progress on the 2013Reading FCAT.	Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*					
	of English Language Learners did not make adequate progress on the 2012 Reading	will make adequate					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
subgroup:						
	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1. Classroom monitoring	5C.1. Updated monitoring	
with Disabilities		Provide	Principal and		forms and classroom	
(SWD) not making	including	immediate	Assistant Principal;	each area and lesson plans	observations	
satisfactory progress	differentiate	intervention	Instructional Coach	will document intervention		
in reading.	d instruction	for students		strategies for students who		
	in their	showing		are under performing		
	lesson plan	need of				
	for Reader's					
	Workshop	for a specific				
		skill or				
		strategy				
		taught.				
		Interventions				
		will include				
		tutoring,				
		small				
		grouping,				
		extended				
		time, and re-				
		teaching.				

79% of Students with Disabilities will make adequate progress on the 2012 Reading FCAT.	Current Level of Performance :*	.*					
	progress on the 2012 Reading FCAT.	of Students with					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
subgroup:						
				5D.1. Classroom monitoring		
		Provide			forms and classroom	
students not making					observations	
satisfactory progress			Instructional Coach	will document intervention		
	d instruction			strategies for students who		
		showing		are under performing		
		need of				
	for Reader's					
		for a specific				
		skill or				
		strategy				
		taught. Interventions				
		will include				
		tutoring,				
		small				
		grouping,				
		extended				
		time, and re-				
		teaching.				

53% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make adequate progress on the 2012 Reading FCAT	Current Level of Performance :*	<u>.*</u>					
	(166) of Econo mically Disadvanta ged students made adequate progress on the 2012 Reading FCAT.	ged students will make adequate progress on the 2011 Reading FCAT					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

## **Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reader's Workshop and the Learning Schedule		Principal, Asst. Principals Inst. Coach	Reading Teachers	September 2012	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs, Classroom Monitoring forms	Leadership Team
FAIR Assessments	K-8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Assistant Principals	Reading Teachers	August 2011- May 2012	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs, Classroom Monitoring forms	Leadership Team
Reading Journals	K-8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Principal, Asst. Principals Inst. Coach	Reading Teachers	November 2012		Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coach
Scrimmages as a form of Ongoing Progress Monitoring	K-8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Principal, Asst. Principals Inst. Coach	Reading Teachers	October 2012	Classroom Monitoring Forms, Data Notebooks	Leadership Team

Effective Implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar	K-8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Principal, Asst. Principals Inst. Coach	Reading Teachers	October 2012	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs, Classroom Monitoring forms, Leadership Teams Data Notebooks	Leadership Team
Differentiated Instruction	K-8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Principal, Asst. Principals Inst. Coach	Reading Teachers	October 2012 –May 2013	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs	Leadership Team

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement a 90 minute Reader's Workshop in all reading classrooms K-5	Substitutes for TDE Opportunities for teacher training.	10000	\$15,600.00
using the Comprehensive Core Reading			
Program			
Common Core Standards Training			
Subtotal: 15, 600			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$15, 600.00			

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1. Lack of cultural understanding and inability to communicate with students.	1.1. Provide cultural awareness training when needed.  Using slow, but natural levels of speech.  Use clear enunciation  Use short, simple sentences and clear directions	Diversity coordinator Guidance Counselors	<ul><li>1.1.</li><li>Monitor Out-of-field status for teachers</li><li>Offer professional development opportunities for teachers</li></ul>	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1:  31% (10) of students will score at the proficient level on the 2013 CELLA test.						
	26% (8) of ELL students are proficient in listening/speaking					

		1.2. Time for students to practice	1.2. Use audio resources for ELL	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		listening and speaking skills	students when possible			
			Encourage students to speak in class			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.	Limited knowledge and exposure to academic vocabulary.	2.1. Visual reinforcement through the use of gestures, props, pictures, films, demonstrations and hands- on activities.  Frequent comprehension checks.	2.1. Classroom Teachers Guidance Counselors	2.1.	2.1.	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	19% (6) of students are proficient in Reading					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

	ı	1	1	I	la a	2 2	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
students.							
	Understanding of English	2.1. Allow students to write in short phrases and simple	2.1. Classroom Teachers	2.1.	2.1.		
	manual conventions.	sentences.	Guidance Counselors				
		Be specific when commenting on written work.					
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:						
	9% (3) of students scored proficient on the writing component of the 2012 CELLA test.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	•	•	ļ			•	

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ELLETT Dauget (moet 10 ws as nee	<i>(</i> ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. 50.50		1			La principal de la companya de la co	1		la a va a va va va	
	1.	Infusing	1.	Implem	1.1. Principal, Assistant	1.1 Focused wa	lkthroughs	1.1 Administration	
Students scoring at		Envisio		ent a 60	Principals, Math Coach,	by administrati	on will	visitation logs and teacher	
Achievement Level 3		ns and		minute	Classroom Teachers	document that		lesson plans	
in mathematics.		Investi		Math		are implementi	ng the		
		gations		Worksh		curriculum and	program		
		curricul		op (K-5)		with fidelity an	d rigor.		
		ums		all math			C		
				classroo					
				ms using					
				the Math	7				
				Inve	1				
				stigatio					
				ns and					
				Envi					
				sions					
				curriculu					
				ms	1				
				1115					
				G 1 1					
				Calendar	η				
				Math					
				will be					
				implem					
				ented in					
				all K-5					
				classroo					
				ms					
				Impleme					
				nt Math					
				centers					
				during					
				instru					
				ction					
				in K-5					
				classroo					
				ms					

#1A: Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *				
of students in Grades 3-5 scored a Level 3 or higher on the 2012 Mathematic s FCAT.		Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	1.2 Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are implementing the fluency	visitation logs and teacher	

1.3	1.3. Implement Math	1.3 Focused walkthroughs	1.3. Principal, Assistant	1.3 Administration	
Students'	notebooks / journals	by administration will	Principals, Math Coach,	visitation logs, math	
lack of	to document students'	document that teachers	Classroom Teachers	notebooks, journals, and	
exposure	responses to short and	are utilizing appropriate		teacher lesson plans	
to higher	extended response questions	journaling methods.			
order	that utilize higher order				
questioning	questioning				

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math	Collaborative Teams	using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
	formal	Curriculum	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
	assessment,		CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
mathematics.		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
	and	based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorporatin			core curriculum		
	g core Math,					
		tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which	Distribute				
	includes	Brigance				
	availability					
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
	and	Teachers wil	1			
	reinforce	continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		Taaahama				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				
		μ omus.				

Mathematics Goal #1B: 35% of elementary students will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.	Current Level of Performance .*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	elementary students scored a level 4, 5, or 6 on	35% [13 ] of elementary students will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment					
		professional development (training) for new teachers	support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Indicator Checklist  Monitoring Number	1B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

1B.	3.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
Tea	eachers	Incorporate IEP goals and	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations,	Quality Program Indicator	
incl	cluding	objectives in small group	Collaborative Teams	use of Quality Program	Checklist	
inst	struction to	instruction, individual	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicatory Checklist, and	Classroom Observations	
add	ldress IEP	instruction, and independent	CSS Site Coaches	IEP progress reports	IEP progress reports	
goa	als and	work activities	Assistant Principal			
obje	jectives		Principal			
		Data collect systems that				
	ļ	target IEP goals				

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
group:						

Students scoring at or above	Students' lack of exposure to higher order questioning	Implement Math notebooks / journals to	Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	document that teachers	2.1. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/journals and teacher lesson plans	
Mathematics Goal #2A: 23% (80) of all 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including all AYP subgroups, will score a Level 4 or 5 on the SSS component of FCAT Mathematics	Performance	Expected				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

student Grades 5 score Level 4 on the	33- Grades 3-5 Id a will score a I of 5 Level 4 or 5 2012 on the 2013 matics Mathematics FCAT.		2.2. Principal, Assistant	2.2. Focused	2.2. Administration	
	Teachers lack of understan ding of the depth of the Common Core standards and curriculum gaps between Investiga tions and Envisions	needing to attend Math Training and offer the opportunity for them to attend.  Teachers will participate in vertical planning at various times during the school year.	Principals, Math Coach	walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are implementing the strategies learned. Assessments will also be monitored for progress.	visitation logs, math notebooks/journals and teacher lesson plans	
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	bp 1	2B.1.	2D 1	bp 1	2D 1	
			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at	formal	Curriculum		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
or above Level 7 in	assessment,	(Number	CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
mathematics.		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using	i <b> </b>	
	incorporatin			core curriculum		
	g core Math,					
		tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which					
	includes	Brigance				
		Assessment				
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
	and reinforce					
		will				
		continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

#2B:	Performance :*	Expected_					
	elementary students scored a level 7 or	35% (13)] of elementary students will score a level 7 or higher on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment					
		Opportunity for professional development (training) for new teachers	Teachers will receive support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist Monitoring Number	2B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

		including instruction to address IEP goals and objectives	objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities	ESE Lead Teacher	2B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	2B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	Insufficient resources for remediation of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	students who scored a Level 1 or Level 2 into an RtI block.	- 5th Grade Mathematics Teachers	Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and	3A.1. Access report showing the number of students that have utilized the programs	
Mathematics Goal #3A:  67% (233) of all 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including all AYP subgroups, will make learning gains in Mathematic to meet adequate progress as defined by the state		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

62% (147) of students in Grades 3-5 made learning gains on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT.	67% (233) of students in Grades 3- 5 will make learning gains on the 2013 Mathematics FCAT.					
	Students' lack of exposure to higher order questioning	Utilize RtI block within daily schedule to differentiate remediation/enrichment needs of individual students	Principal, Assistant Principals, Classroom Teachers	Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing appropriate journaling-methods.	3A. 2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals and teacher lesson plans	
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal			Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students making		(Number		document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
learning gains in		Worlds)		utilizing Access Points in	Classicolli observation	
mathematics.		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
mathematics.	incorporatin		Тіпсіраі	core curriculum		
	g core Math,			core curriculum		
	P .	tests				
	in lesson	lesis				
	plans which	Diatributa				
		Brigance				
		Assessment				
	of materials					
	to deliver	illateriais				
	and reinforce	Tagahara				
		will				
		continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		w origs).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

Mathematics Goal #3B: 72% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 FAA	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	made	72% (29) of the students will make learning gains on the FAA					
		for professional development (training) for	support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist  Monitoring Number	3B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	
		including instruction to address IEP goals and objectives	3B.3. Incorporate IEP goals and objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher	3B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	3B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	

		_		<del> </del>	•	i	i
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:	4.4.1	4.4.1	4 4 1	44.1	44.1		
			4A.1.		4A.1.		
			Principal, Assistant	Achievement on progress	Access report showing		
students in lowest	resources for		Principals, 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade	monitoring assessments and			
25% making	remediation	Destination	Mathematics Teachers	Benchmark Assessments	that have utilized the		
-0 / 0 mmming		Success to			programs		
rear ming games in		enhance			1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
indenentation.							
		students'					
	various skills						
	and <b>that</b>	ng of Math					
	teachers are	concepts.					
	utilizing the	r ara ap ion					
	Focus for						
	Instruction /						
	or concepts						
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#4 <b>A</b> ·	Level of	Level of					
71% (62) of all	Performance:*	Performance:*					
` /							
students in the							
lowest 25% in							
grades 3 -8, will							
make learning gains							
in Mathematics							
to meet adequate							
progress as defined							
by the state.							

students in the lowest 25% made learning gains in Grades 3-5 on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT.	71% (62) of students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains in Grades 3-5 on the 2013 Mathematics FCAT.					
	Students' lack of exposure to higher order questioning	Implement Math notebooks / journals to document students' responses to short and extended response questions that utilize higher order questioning	Principal, Assistant Principals, Classroom Teachers	document that teachers are utilizing appropriate journaling - methods.	4A.2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/journals and teacher lesson plans	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	
				Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute	Classroom Teachers			
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal	Curriculum		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students in lowest	assessment,	(Number	CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
25% making		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
learning gains in		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
mathematics.	incorporatin			core curriculum		
	g core Math,					
		tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which					
	includes	Brigance				
		Assessment				
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
	and reinforce	Teachers				
	instruction.	will				
		continuously	,			
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		<b> </b>				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				
		ր Ծուն.				

Mathematics Goal #4B: Level of 20% of the lowest quartile will make learning gains in mathematics	Expected	k.				
10% of elementary students in the lowest quartile madearning gains.	20% (2) of the students in the lowest quartile will e make learning gains in mathematics					
	for professional development (training) for	support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist Monitoring Number	4B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	
Teachers	Incorporate IEP goals and	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations,	Quality Program Indicator	
including	objectives in small group	Collaborative Teams	use of Quality Program	Checklist	
instruction to	instruction, individual	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicatory Checklist, and	Classroom Observations	
address IEP	instruction, and independent	CSS Site Coaches	IEP progress reports	IEP progress reports	
goals and		Assistant Principal			
objectives		Principal			
I I	Data collect systems that				
	target IEP goals				

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years							
5A. In six years	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement	<u>55</u>						
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
#5A:							
Westview will							
continue to reduce							
the achievement gap							
ine achievement gap							
by meeting the goals							
outlined by the state							
each year.							
[							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White,	various prerequisite skills and concepts needed	5B.1. Focus for Instructional calendars will be utilized to ensure exposure to all strands. Scrimmage assessments will be given to assess mastery of concepts and skills addressed on the Focus for Instruction Calendar.	Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	5B.1. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document calendars. Teacher lesson plans	5B.1. Scrimmage assessment scores and administration visitation	
Mathematics Goal #5B:  3rd -5th grade students tested, including all AYP ethnicity subgroups, will score a Level 3 or higher on the FCAT.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

F P SI h V E	CCAT the following sercentage of subgroups cored a Level 3 or sigher; White: 50% (36) Black: 51% (58) Hispanic: 55% (22)	On the 2013 Mathematics FCAT the following percentage of subgroups will score a Level 3 or higher; White: 70% (92) Black: 65% (100) Hispanic: 60% (43) SB.2.		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			students' responses to short and extended response questions that utilize higher order questioning and require the use of content based vocabulary  Provide training on research based reading strategies that help students determine meaning of high frequency content based vocabulary	Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/ journals appropriately.  Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans and classroom charts highlighting the strategies used	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			i		i	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English		5C.1. Utilize Content Based		5C.1. Focused	5C.1. Administration	
			Principals, Math Coach,	walkthroughs by	visitation logs, math	
(ELL) not making		student understanding	Classroom Teachers	administration will	notebooks/journals,	
satisfactory progress	words	and use of high frequency		document that teachers	teacher lesson plans and	
in mathematics.		content based vocabulary		are utilizing word walls	classroom word walls	
				appropriately.		
				A 1 '		
				Achievement on progress		
				monitoring assessments and Benchmark		
				Assessments		
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of		Assessments		
#5C:		Performance:*				
<u> </u>						
50% of all 3 <sup>rd</sup> -						
5 <sup>th</sup> grade students						
tested, including						
the AYP ELL						
subgroup, will score						
a Level 3 or higher						
on the FCAT.						
on the PCA1.						
•	On the 2012 Mathematics					
		FCAT 50% (6) of the				
•		students in the ELL				
		subgroup will score a Level				
	or higher.	3 or higher.				

		understanding of key content based vocabulary words		Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	sc.2. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/ journals appropriately.  Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5C.2. Administrati on visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress	remediation of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	5.D.1 Utilize Math Gizmo, Compass Odyssey and Destination Success to enhance students' understanding of Math concepts.  Implement Math Centers during independent learning time.	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics Teachers	Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark	5.D.1 Access reports showing the number of students that have utilized the programs		

Mathematics Goal #5D:  40% of all 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including the AYP Students with Disabilities subgroup, will score a Level 3 or higher on the FCAT.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored a Level 3 or	On the 2013 Mathematics FCAT 40% (16) of the students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup will score a Level 3 or higher.					
		5D.2. Students lack of understanding of key content based vocabulary words	Implement Math Notebooks / journals to document	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/ journals appropriately.	5D.2. Administrati on visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans	

			SD.3. Students lack of understanding of key content based skills and strategies	5D.3. Teachers will implement effective charting of strategies, concepts and skills	5D.3. Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	5D.3. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math charts appropriately.  Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5D.3. Administrati on visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, charts and teacher lesson plans	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			

5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged	Insufficient	Utilize Math	Principal, Assistant		Access reports showing	
students not making	resources for	Gizmo,	Principals, Math Coach,	monitoring assessments and		
satisfactory progress	remediation	Compass	3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics	Benchmark Assessments	that have utilized the	
in mathematics.		Odyssey and	Teachers		programs	
		Destination				
	not master	Success to				
		enhance				
	skills and/or					
		understandi				
		ng of Math				
		concepts.				
		Allow				
		students the				
		opportunity				
		to use these				
		programs				
		before,				
		during and				
		after school.				

#5E:  60% of all 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including the AYP Economically Disadvantages subgroup, will score a Level 3 or higher on the FCAT.	Current Level of Performanc e:*	<u>e:*</u>			
	Mathemat ics FCAT 52% (129) of the students in the Econo mically Disadvantag ed subgroup scored a	On the 2013 Mathematics FCAT 60% (140) of the students in the Econo mically Disadvantag ed subgroup will score a Level 3 or higher.			

5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
Students	Implement Math notebooks	Principal, Assistant	Focused walkthroughs	Administration visitation	
lack of	/ journals to document	Principals, Math Coach,	by administration will	logs, math notebooks/	
understa	students' responses to short	Classroom Teachers	document that teachers are	journals, and teacher	
nding of	and extended response		utilizing Math notebook/	lesson plans	
	questions that utilize higher		journals appropriately.	1	
	order questioning and				
vocabulary	require the use of content		Achievement on progress		
words	based vocabulary		monitoring assessments		
			and Benchmark		
			Assessments		
5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
Students	Teachers will implement	Principal, Assistant	Focused walkthroughs	Students lack of	
lack of	effective charting of	Principals, Classroom	by administration will	understanding of key	
understa	strategies, concepts and	Teachers		content based skills and	
nding of	skills		are utilizing Math charts	strategies	
key content			appropriately.		
based					
skills and			Achievement on progress		
strategies			monitoring assessments		
			and Benchmark		
			Assessments		

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Iiddle S		Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A. 1.	1A.1	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at	New Math	Attend	Principal, Assistant	Informal and formal	Lesson Plans	
Achievement Level 3		CHAMPs	Principals, Math Coach	observations, classroom	Classroom configuration	
in mathematics.	in 7 <sup>th</sup> , 8 <sup>th</sup>	training		visits		
	grade and					
	Intensive	Attend				
	Math	professional				
		development				
	and learning					
	curriculum	knowledge				
		Modeling by				
		Math Coach				
		6th, 7 <sup>th</sup>				
		and 8th				
		grade math				
		teachers will				
		participate				
		in District				
		level PLC				
		training,				
		and develop				
		assessments,				
		lessons and				
		exit slips				
		(informal				
		assessments)				
		to use				
		in their				
		classrooms				

#1A: 60% (188) of all 6 <sup>th</sup>	Current Level of Performance  **	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *			
	of students in Grades 6-8 scored a Level 3 or higher on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT.				

Sila ex hi	ack of / journals to document students' responses to slangher and extended response	1A. 2.  Pocused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing appropriate journaling methods.	1.A 2. Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	1A 2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks, journals, and teacher lesson plans	
H st pa b ai m	IA.3. Having students between the perfect and after school math tutoring tool to notify parents via phone and email of upcoming school tutoring activities  Keep marquee updated dates and times of tutorisessions  Have school website updated with dates and times and have teachers update their websites to reflect enrichment opportunities	Administration er g	1A.3. Tracking of attendance during before and after school remediation activities	1A.3. Attendance logs Teacher commentary	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math	Collaborative Teams	using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
	formal	Curriculum	ESE Lead Teacher	Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
	assessment,		CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
mathematics.		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
	and	based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorporatin			core curriculum		
	g core Math,					
		tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which	Distribute				
	includes	Brigance				
	availability					
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
	and	Teachers wil	1			
	reinforce	continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		Taaahama				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				
		μ omus.				

Mathematics Goal #1B: 42% of students in middle school will score a 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.	<u>·*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	students	42% [14] of students in middle school will					
	scored a 4, 5, or 6 on	score a 4, 5, or 6 on the					
	the 2012 Florida	2013 Florida Alternate					
	Alternate Assessment	Assessment					
		professional development (training) for new teachers	support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Indicator Checklist  Monitoring Number	1B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist	
			in lessons to enhance instruction and reinforce Access Points		on individual student progression)	Classroom Observations	

		IB.3. Teachers including instruction to address IEP goals and objectives	IB.3. Incorporate IEP goals and objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities  Data collect systems that target IEP goals	IB.3. Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	1 '	IB.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	higher order questioning	document students' responses to short and extended response questions that utilize higher order questioning as well as high complexity test items	2A.1. Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	Pocused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing appropriate journaling- methods. Assessments will also be monitored for progress.	2A.1. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals and teacher lesson plans	
Mathematics Goal #2A:  23% (72) of all 6 <sup>th</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including all AYP subgroups, will score a Level 4 or 5 on the SSS component of FCA Mathematics	2012 Current Level of Performance *	Expected				

	of 6th – 8th grade students scored a Level 4 or 5 on the 2012 FCAT.	all AYP subgroups, will score a Level 4 or 5 on the SSS component of FCAT Mathematics					
		Teachers lack of understandin	Identify teachers needing to	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach	Focused walkthroughs by administration will	2A.2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals and teacher lesson plans	

ſ		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at	formal	Curriculum		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
					Classroom observation	
		(Number			Classroom observation	
mathematics.		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorporating			core curriculum		
		placement				
		tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which					
		Brigance				
	availability	Assessment				
		materials				
	to deliver					
		Teachers will				
		continuously				
		assess				
	I .	students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		_				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
	I .	data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

Mathematics Go #2B: 12% of students middle school w score a 7 or high on the 2013 Flor Alternate Assess	Level of Performance: * in Il er da	Expected					
	8% [1] of students in middle school scored a 7 or higher on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment	12% (3)] of students in middle school will score a 7 or higher on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment					
		2B.2. Opportunity for professional development (training) for	Teachers will receive support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist Monitoring Number	2B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

		instruction to address IEP goals and objectives	Incorporate IEP goals and objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities	ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches	2B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	2B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	
Percentage of		Provide	Principal, Assistant	Achievement on progress	Access report showing the	
students making	resources for			monitoring assessments and		
learning gains in		achieving 6 <sup>th</sup>	- 8th Grade Mathematics	Benchmark Assessments	have utilized the programs	
mathematics.	of students	– 8 <sup>th</sup> grade	Teachers	Benefinark Assessments	liave utilized the programs	
mathematics.		students the	reactions			
		opportunity				
	various	for learning				
	skills and/or	ioi iearning				
	concepts	using				
		Compass				
		Odyssey				
		during Team				
		Up.				
		Schedule				
		students				
		who scored				
		a Level 1 or				
		Level 2 into				
		an Intensive				
		Math course.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current					
#3A:	Level of	Expected				
#3A.	Performance:					
	*	Performance:				
67% (210) of all 6 <sup>th</sup>	-	*				
- 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students		_				
tested, including						
all AYP subgroups,						
will make learning						
gains in Mathematic	)					
to meet adequate						
progress as defined						
by the state						

62% (194) of students made gain on the 2017 FCAT in mathemati .	8th grade students					
	3A.2. Insufficient resources for remediation of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	3A.2. Utilize Math Gizmo, Destination Success and Compass Odyssey to enhance students' understanding of Math concepts.	Principals, Math Coach, 6 <sup>th</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics	assessments and Benchmark Assessments	3A.2. Access report showing the number of students that have utilized the programs  Administration visitation logs and teacher lesson plans	

	3A. 3.	3A.3	3A.3	3A.3	3A.3	
	Students'	Implement FCIM model	Principal, Assistant	Focused walkthroughs	Administration visitation	
	lack of	during Intensive Math	Principals, Classroom	by administration will	logs, math notebooks/	
	exposure to	classes	Teachers	document that teachers	journals and teacher	
	higher order			are utilizing appropriate	lesson plans	
	questioning	Use effective journaling		journaling-methods.		
		techniques to provide				
		students with instructional				
		strategies that will result				
		in increased student				
		performance.				

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal	Curriculum		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students making		(Number	CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
learning gains in		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
mathematics.		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	incorporating	students		core curriculum		
	core Math,	placement				
	curriculum	tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which					
		Brigance				
		Assessment				
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
		Teachers will				
		continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance				
		and Number				
		Worlds).				
		(101105).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

Mathematics Goal #3B: 72% of students will make learning gains in math	Performance:  *  66% (12)  of students  in middle  school made  learning  gains in  math	Expected Level of Performance: *  72% (14) of middle school					
		professional development (training) for	support/training as needed for Number Worlds curriculum	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Indicator Checklist  Monitoring Number	3B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

		instruction to address IEP goals and objectives	Incorporate IEP goals and objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities	3B.3. Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	3B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	3B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	Insufficient resources for remediation of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	Provide under- achieving	Principal, Assistant Principals, 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and	4A.1. Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	4A.1. Access report showing the number of students that have utilized the programs		

Mathematics Goal #4A: 71% (55) of students scoring in the lowest 25% in grades 6-8 will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT in math.	Performance: *	Expected			
	of lowest 25% made learning gains	80% (61) of students scoring in the lowest 25% in grades 6- 8 will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT in math.			

I r r c v r r v a a t	Insufficient resources for remediation of students who do	Utilize Math Gizmo Agile Minds, Compass Odyssey and Destination Success to enhance students' understanding of Math concepts.	Principal, Assistant Principals, 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics Teachers	Achievement on progress monitoring assessments	4A.2. Access report showing the number of students that have utilized the programs	
	Students' ack of exposure to nigher order	Implement Math notebooks	Principal, Assistant Principals, Classroom Teachers	4A.3 Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing appropriate journaling - methods.	4A.3 Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals and teacher lesson plans	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	
Alternate		Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:		core Math		using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Percentage of	formal	Curriculum		Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
students in lowest	assessment,	(Number	CSS Site Coaches	document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
25% making		Worlds)	Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
learning gains in		based on	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
mathematics.	incorporating	students		core curriculum		
		placement				
	curriculum	tests				
	in lesson					
	plans which					
		Brigance				
		Assessment				
	of materials	materials				
	to deliver					
		Teachers will				
		continuously				
		assess				
		students				
		using a				
		variety of				
		assessments				
		(Brigance and Number				
		Worlds).				
		worlds).				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

Mathematics Goal #4B: 30% of the lowest quartile students will make gains in math on the 2013 FAA	Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*				
	the lowest quartile made learning gains in math	30% (4) of the lowest quartile students in math will show gains on the 2013 FAA				
		4B.2. Opportunity for professional development (training) for	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist Monitoring Number	4B.2. Number Worlds assessments based on individual student progression Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	

	4B.3. Teachers including instruction to address IEP goals ar objectives	4B.3. Incorporate IEP goals and objectives in small group instruction, individual instruction, and independent work activities. Data collect systems that target IEP goals	4B.3. Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	4B.3. Classroom observations, use of Quality Program Indicatory Checklist, and IEP progress reports	4B.3. Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations IEP progress reports		
Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>55</u>						
Mathematics Goal #5A:  Westview will continue to close the achievement gap by making adequate progress on the FCAT mathematics test.							

Based on the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student			Responsible for Monitoring	Determine		
achievement data				Effectiveness of Strategy		
and reference				es .		
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
subgroups:						
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by	Lack of exposure to	Focus for Instructional	F	Focused walkthroughs	Scrimmage assessment	
ethnicity (White,		calendars will be utilized			scores and administration	
Black, Hispanic,				document calendars.	visitation	
Asian, American		all strands. Scrimmage	Clussicom reachers	document carendars.	V ISTACTOTI	
		assessments will be given to		Teacher lesson plans		
Indian) not making		assess mastery of concepts		reacher lesson plans		
satisfactory progress		and skills addressed on				
in mathematics.		the Focus for Instruction				
		Calendar.				
		Calellual.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#5B:	Performance:*	Performance:*				
$75\%$ of all $6^{th} - 8^{th}$						
grade students tested,						
including all AYP						
ethnicity subgroups,						
will score a Level 3 or						
higher on the FCAT.						

FCAT the following percentage of subgroups scored a Level 3 or higher;  White: 21% (61) Black: 25% (71)	On the 2013 Mathematics FCAT the following percentage of subgroups will score a Level 3 or higher; White: 26% (75) Black: 30% (86) Hispanic: 11% (32)					
	SB.2. Students lack of understanding of key content based vocabulary words	Implement Math notebooks	Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/journals appropriately.  Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5B.2. Administrati on visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans and classroom charts highlighting the strategies used	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipate d Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool		
	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013					
		Expected					
<u> </u>	Performance						
		Performance					
		.*					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipate d Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	Gizmo, Compass Odyssey and Destination Success to enhance	3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics	monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5.D.1 Access reports showing the number of students that have utilized the programs	

#5D:	Performance :*	Expected			
	Disabilities subgroup scored a Level 3 or	Mathematics FCAT 46% (28) of the students in the Students with			

lack of understa nding of key content based vocabulary words 5D.3.	SD.2. Implement Math Notebooks / journals to document students' responses to short and extended response questions that utilize higher order questioning and require the use of content based vocabulary  Implement Math Centers during independent learning time.	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	5D.2. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/journals appropriately. Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5D.2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans	
	Principals, Math Coach, Classroom Teachers	5D.3. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math charts appropriately.  Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5D.3. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, charts and teacher lesson plans	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
	Insufficient		Principal, Assistant		Access reports showing	
students not making			Principals, Math Coach,	monitoring assessments and		
satisfactory progress			3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics	Benchmark Assessments	that have utilized the	
in mathematics.		Odyssey and			programs	
		Destination			p10g141115	
		Success to				
		enhance				
	skills and/or					
		understandi				
		ng of Math				
		concepts.				
		Allow				
		students the				
		opportunity				
		to use these				
		programs				
		before,				
		during and				
		after school.				

Mathematics Goal #5E:  49% of all 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8th grade students tested, including the AYP Economically Disadvantages subgroup, will score a Level 3 or higher on the FCAT.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Mathematics FCAT 44% (61) of the students in the Economically Disadvantag ed subgroup scored a Level	Disadvantaged subgroup will					
		5E.2. Students lack of understa nding of key content based vocabulary words	Implement Math notebooks	Principals, Math Coach,	5E.2. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document that teachers are utilizing Math notebook/journals appropriately. Achievement on progress monitoring assessments and Benchmark Assessments	5E.2. Administration visitation logs, math notebooks/ journals, and teacher lesson plans	

5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
Student	rs Teachers will impler	nent Principal, Assista	ant Focused walkthrough	hs Students lack of	
lack of	effective charting of	Principals, Class	room by administration wi	ll understanding of key	
underst	a strategies, concepts a	and Teachers	document that teache	ers content based skills and	
nding o	f skills		are utilizing Math ch	narts strategies	
key cor	ntent		appropriately.		
based					
skills a	nd		Achievement on pro-	gress	
strategi	es		monitoring assessme	ents	
			and Benchmark		
			Assessments		

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

School Mathemat Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent  Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference is destribly and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  I. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1 2012 Current Evel of Performance.*  Mathematics Goal #1 2012 Current Evel of Performance.*  Distribution of the following and performance is a control of the performance is performance.  Distribution of the following group:  I. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1 2012 Current Evel of Performance.*  Performance.*  Distribution of Performance is performance.  Distribution of Performance is performance is performance.	• •	•		•				
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  1. Florida Alternate Assessment:  Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*    Performance:*   Performance:*		Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem						
Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1: Level of Performance:*  Performance:*  1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2.	of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy			Evaluation Tool		
Performance:*   Performance:*	Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Mathematics Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of					
1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3.			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	<i>C3</i>	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			 	 	<u> </u>		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	1	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
• •	3.1.	3.1.	5.1.	5.1.	5.1.		
Assessment: Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #3:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
iviationation Goal 113.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
4. Florida Alternate	4.1	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
	T.1.	7.1.	T.1.	T-1.	7.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
		Attend			Observation feedback	
Level 3 in Algebra 1.					forms	
	teaching the	development	1		Lesson Plans	
	Algebra 1	centered on	Assistant Principals			
	Course	curriculum	_			
		Math coach	Math Coach			
		to model				
		instruction				
		in Algebra				
		classrooms				
		Implement				
		the Algebra				
		1 course				
		with fidelity				
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012	2013				
		Expected				
60% of Algebra 1		Level of				
students will score	Performanc	Performanc				
	<u>e:*</u>	<u>e:*</u>				
Algebra 1 EOC.						
	50% (24)	60% (30)				
	of students	of Algebra				
	scored a 3	1 students				
		will score a				
	on the 2012					
		on the				
	EOC.	Algebra 1				
		EOC.				

		foundational knowledge for some	8 <sup>th</sup> grade students who did not pass the Algebra 1 EOC	Assistant Principals  Math Coach	1.2. Classroom observations Tutoring logs	1.2. Observation feedback forms Lesson Plans Student performance	
			tutoring will be offered for students				
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
at or above	New	Attend		Classroom observations	Observation feedback	
<b>Achievement Levels</b>					forms	
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.	teaching the	development			Lesson Plans	
g		centered on	Assistant Principals			
	Course	curriculum	1			
		Math coach	Math Coach			
		to model				
		instruction				
		in Algebra				
		classrooms				
		Implement				
		the Algebra				
		1 course				
		with fidelity				
11 1 0 1/10	2012	2012				
Algebra Goal #2:		2013				
150/ - 6 - 4 - 1 - 4 - 1 -		Expected_				
15% of students in	Level of	Level of				
Algebra 1 will score a 4 or 5 on the 2013	Performance	Performance .*				
Algebra 1 EOC.	<u>.                                    </u>	<del>Ľ</del>				
Algebia i EOC.						
	10% (2) of	15% (8) of				
		students				
		will score a				
		Level 4 or 5				
		on the 2013				
	Algebra 1	Algebra 1				
	EOC	EOC				

	i		·	İ	1	i	i .	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
		Lack of	8 <sup>th</sup> grade students who did	Principal	Classroom observations	Observation feedback		
		foundational	not pass the Algebra 1 EOC	_	Tutoring logs	forms		
		knowledge	are in Intensive Algebra	Assistant Principals		Lesson Plans		
		for some				Student performance		
		students	8th grade Algebra 1 students			1		
			who scored a level 3 on the	Math Coach				
			2012 SSM are enrolled in					
			an Intensive Algebra course,					
			using Agile Minds	1				
			doing right winds					
			Student journaling will be					
			implemented in all math					
			classes					
			Before and after school					
			tutoring will be offered for					
			students					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		
			1		1	1		
Based on ambitious	2011-	2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable								
Annual Measurable								
Objectives								
(AMOs), identify								
reading and								
mathematics								
performance target								
for the following								
years								
3A. In six years,	Baseline dat	a 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Dascinic dat	. 2010-2011	<b> </b>					
their achievement	50	0/0	54%	58%	62%	66%	70%	75%
gap by 50%.	1	/ 0					1	
								l

		î	1			
Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Westview will reduce the achievement gap by 50% each year.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	and concepts needed	3B.1. Focus for Instructional calendars will be utilized to ensure exposure to all strands. Scrimmage assessments will be given to assess mastery of concepts and skills addressed on the Focus for Instruction Calendar.	Classroom Teachers	3B.1. Focused walkthroughs by administration will document calendars. Teacher lesson plans	3B.1. Scrimmage assessment scores and administration visitation	
Algebra 1 Goal #3B: 55% of all subgroups will make satisfactory progress on the Algebra 1 EOC.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	White: 50% (10) Black: 49% (11) Hispanic: N/A – no subgroup	White: 55% (13) Black: 55% (14) Hispanic:55% (5)				

	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	Students lack of	Implement Math notebooks	Principal, Assistant	Focused walkthroughs	Administrati	
	understanding of key	/ journals to document	Principals, Math Coach,	by administration will	on visitation	
	content based vocabulary	students' responses to short	Classroom Teachers	document that teachers are	logs, math	
	words	and extended response		utilizing Math notebook/	notebooks/	
		questions that utilize higher		journals appropriately.	journals,	
		order questioning and			and teacher	
		require the use of content		Achievement on progress	lesson	
		based vocabulary		monitoring assessments	plans and	
				and Benchmark	classroom	
		Provide training on research		Assessments	charts	
		based reading strategies			highlighting	
		that help students determine			the strategies	
		meaning of high frequency			used	
		content based vocabulary				
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
achievement data						
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for						
the following						
subgroup:						
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	
Language Learners						
(ELL) not making						
satisfactory progress						
in Algebra 1.						

<u>N/A</u> – no subgroup	Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance					
	<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance					
2 v/2 = no subgroup							

	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	
			Principal, Assistant		Access reports showing	
students not making			Principals, Math Coach,	monitoring assessments and		
satisfactory progress	remediation	Compass	3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Mathematics		that have utilized the	
		Odyssey and			programs	
<b>9</b>		Destination				
		Success to				
		enhance				
	skills and/or					
		understandi				
		ng of Math				
		concepts.				
		Allow				
		students the				
		opportunity				
		to use these				
		programs				
		before,				
		during and				
		after school.				

Algebra 1 Goal #3E:  60% of all 7 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students tested, including the AYP Economically Disadvantages subgroup, will score a Level 3 or higher on the Algebra 1 EOC.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Econo mically disadvantag ed students made progress on the 2012 Algebra 1 EOC	ed students will make progress on the Algebra 1 EOC					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	New	Attend			Observation feedback	
Level 3 in Geometry.	teacher is	professional	Principal		forms	
		development			Lesson Plans	
		centered on	Assistant Principals			
	Course	curriculum				
		Math coach to model instruction in Geometry classroom Implement the Geometry course with	Math Coach			
		fidelity				
Geometry Goal #1:		2013				
1.50( (0) 0.1	<u>Current</u>	Expected_				
45% (9) of the		Level of				
students will score a 3 or higher on the 2013	Performance .*	Performance .*				
Geometry EOC.	<u></u>	<del></del>				
Geometry Loc.						
	N/A –	45% (9) will				
		score a 3 or				
	taught	higher on the 2013				
		Geometry				
		EOC				

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1					
				1	Classroom observations	Observation feedback	
			not pass the Algebra 1 EOC		Tutoring logs	forms	
			are in Intensive Algebra	Assistant Principals		Lesson Plans	
		for some				Student performance	
		students	Student journaling will be				
				Math Coach			
			classes				
			Before and after school				
			tutoring will be offered for				
			students	-	-		
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring				
achievement data	a Barrier		responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions,"							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for							
the following							
group:							

New teacher is teaching the	Attend professional development centered on curriculum Math coach to model instruction in Geometry classroom	Principal Assistant Principals	Classroom observations	2.1. Observation feedback forms Lesson Plans	
2012 Current	Implement the Geometry course with fidelity  2013  Expected				
Performance ·*	Level of Performance .*				
N/A – course not taught	20% (4) of the students will score a 4 or 5 on the 2013 Geometry EOC.				

2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
Lack of	8 <sup>th</sup> grade students who did	Principal	Classroom observations	Observation feedback	
foundationa	not pass the Algebra 1 EOC		Tutoring logs	forms	
knowledge	are in Intensive Algebra	Assistant Principals		Lesson Plans	
for some				Student performance	
students	Student journaling will be				
	implemented in all math	Math Coach			
	classes				
	Before and after school				
	tutoring will be offered for				
	students				
2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
mathematics performance target for the following years						
school will reduce	Baseline data 2011- 2012					
	N/A – not offered					
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Westview will reduce the achievement gap by 50% each year.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:						
	Black:						
Black, Hispanic,	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
	American						
satisfactory progress	Indian:						
in Geometry.							
		2013					
		Expected_					
		<u>Level of</u>					
	<u>Performance</u>	<u>Performance</u>					
	·* 	<u>·*</u>					
N/A – no subgroup							
		White:					
•		Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	d Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation 1001		
achievement data	d Barrier		Responsible for Womtoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions,"							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for							
the following							
subgroup:							
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners	JC.1.	JC.1.	JC.1.	56.1.	56.1.		
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
	2012	2013					
Geometry Goar #3C.		Expected Expected					
		Level of					
		Performance					
	·*	·*					
N/A – no subgroup	<u>.                                    </u>						
							_
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
		l					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for							
the following							
subgroup:	25.1	ap 1	an 1	an i	ap 1		
	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
	2012	2013					
		Expected					
		<u>Level of</u>					
	<u>Performance</u>	<u>Performance</u>					
	·* <del>·</del>	·* <del>·</del>					
N/A – no subgroup							
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	d Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation 1001		
achievement data	u Dairiei		Kesponsible for Worldoning	Effectiveness of Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions,"							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for							
the following							
subgroup:							
	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012	2013					
	Current	Expected _					
	Level of	Level of					
	<u>Performance</u>	<b>Performance</b>					
	·*	·*					
N/A – no subgroup							
		25.2	DE 0	25.2	25.2	25.2	
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

#### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math Centers/Journals	K-5	Math Coach, Assistant Principal (Mr. Ball)	Grade Levels	Early Release, Teacher Resource Training	Classroom Observations	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach

Middle School PLC – MJ Math II	6-8	Math Coach, Assistant Principal (Mr. Ball)	Ms. Renelus, Mr. Smith, Ms. Eichler, Mr. Ball, Ms. Floyd Hatcher	Weekly	Classroom Observations, Completion of Assignments	District Personnel, Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach
Effective Questioning	K-8	Mr. Sapp	Grade Levels	On-Going	Classroom Observations	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach
Technology/Data	K-8	Ms. Renelus	Grade Levels	On-Going	Data Notebooks, Classroom Observations	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach
Using Data Effectively	K-8	Data Team	Grade Levels	On-Going	Instructional Focus Calendars, Classroom walk-throughs, lesson plans	Principal, Data Team

## **Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide resources for remediation of students who do not master various skills and/or concepts	GIZMOs, Destination Success, Compass Odyssey	District, 10000	N/A
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement a 60 minute Math Workshop (K-5) in all math classrooms using the Math Investigations and Envisions curriculums and a 45 minute Math Workshop (Grades 6, 7 and 8) using the Connect Plus curriculum	Substitutes for TDE Opportunities for teacher training.	10000	\$15,600.00
Subtotal: 15, 600			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total \$15,600:			

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
	Lack of	Teachers	Principal, Assistant	Focused walkthroughs by	Student Work and	
Achievement Level 3		will choose	Principals, Instructional	administration to ensure	Portfolios	
		<b>I</b>			Portionos	
in science.		appropriate	Coach, Classroom Teachers	that teachers are using		
		literature		appropriate literature to	Classroom walkthroughs	
	area	to enhance		enhance instruction and	logs and documentation	
		instruction		understanding	notes by Administration	
		and				
		comprehensi		Achievement on GIZMO	Teacher lesson plans, use	
		on in science		experiments	of daily focus standards	
					and 5E model	
		Utilize the		Classroom monitoring		
		5E Model of	]	forms will reflect scores in	Classroom monitoring	
		instruction,		each area and lesson plans	forms reflecting student	
		science		will document intervention	growth	
		laboratory		strategies for students who		
		activities		are under-performing	Improvement on science	
		and			progress monitoring	
		experiments			assessments	
		at least once				
		a week				
		Utilize				
		the use of				
		GIZMO				
		technology				
		to enhance				
		lab				
		experiments				
		F				
		Focus				
		instruction				
		in K-8				
		on the				
		Scientific				
		Process				
		1.00000				
		Plan science				
		instruction				
		pristruction				

		using the FCAT Next Generation SSS Science Strands which aligns with the NGSS			
56% combined of	Current Level of Performance :*	2013 Expected Level of Performance			

of all 5th and 8th grade students tested, including students with disabilities scored a level 3 or higher on the SSS component of FCAT 2012 Science	56% (117) combined of all 5th and 8th grade students tested, including students with disabilities will score level 3 or higher on the NGSSS component of FCAT 2013 Science					
	Availability of additional class time to the curricular guides	Construct 5E Lesson Plans demonstrating high order	Principals, Instructional Coach, Classroom Teachers	1A.2. Classroom observation, class participation, group discussions, informal assessments	1A.2. Students will construct their own high order questions based on Webb's Depth of Knowledge Monitor student growth based on differentiated instruction	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
The science	Incorporate Benchmarks and	Principal, Assistant	Teacher and student	LSA and 5QA data	
FCAT and	formative assessment data	Principals, Instructional	generated reports from	through Pearson	
Benchmark	using Pearson to gather an	Coach, Classroom Teachers	Compass Odyssey and	_	
testing are	accurate depiction of student		Gizmos	Formative and summative	
only given	mastery			assessment data	
to 5 <sup>th</sup> and			Continuous student		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	New technology in the		monitoring	Gizmos assessment data	
students.	classroom (i.e. portable				
	hand-held interactive white		Teacher/student	Teacher monitoring	
	tablets) to gain instant data		conferences to create		
Science data	using FCAT bell ringers,		awareness of student		
	daily essential questions,		progress and for students		
	quizzes and exit slips		to gain responsibility for		
			their learning		
			Integrate self-assessment		
			and students reflections		
			into weekly science		
			lessons/assessment		

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute		Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:			Collaborative Teams	using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at					Checklist	
	Science			document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
science.	curriculum,			utilizing Access Points in		
	ULS, in	profiles in	Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	lesson	ULS		core curriculum		
	plans which					
	includes	Teachers				
	availability	will				
		continuously				
	to deliver	assess				
	and	students				
		using				
	instruction.	informal				
		assessments				
		and data				
		collection				
		- 1				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				
		ր Ծունչ.				

at a level 4, 5, or 6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*					
elementar	elementary					
and middl school	and middle school will					
earned a	earn a level					
4, 5, or 6	4, 5, or 6					
in science on Florida	in science on Florida					
Alternate	Alternate					
Assessmen	t Assessment					
	for professional development (training) for		Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	1B.2. Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist  Monitoring PCI assessments (based on individual student progression)  Monitoring ULS pre and post checkpoints	1B.2. Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	reading ability levels among students		Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coach, Science Team	Utilize ancillary materials from the current textbook	2A.1. Ancillary materials from the textbook series; LSAs, journals, lab reports	

15% combined of	Current Level of Performance .*	2013Expect ed Level of Performance :*			
	all 5th & 8th grade students tested, including students with disabilities scored a level 4 or higher on the SSS component of 2012 FCAT Science	grade students tested, including students with disabilities will score level 4 or			

	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		Recruit students who scored		Use Compass Odyssey	Student computer lab	
					attendance log	
				progress, growth and		
		Saturday School, in which		weakness		
	nome which	they will receive teacher				
	limits access	tutoring, as well as, access				
l t	to Compass	to Compass Odyssey.				
	Odyssey,					
		Allow students use of				
		student desktops in				
		classroom and/or utilize				
		MAC or PC labs when				
	$\mathcal{C}$					
		applicable				
		Computer Lab Open				
		during Team Up to provide				
		students access to Compass				
		Odyssey, Gizmos, and the				
		assistance of a certified				
		instructor				
	2A.3		2A.3	2A.3	2A.3	
	Transition			Comparison of lab reports		
		better thinkers by providing		and journals over time and		
I I				continual dialog with the	workbooks and Pearson	
		that permit students to apply		teacher via the journals;	workbooks and i carson	
		what they know to their		district Essential Labs	Classroom Walkthrough	
		own investigation and to			by administration	
		communicate their results		Classroom Walk throughs		
		in form of journals, graphs,		by administration		
		and oral presentations				
	of scientific			Review of Data notebook		
	vocabulary	Weekly vocabulary quizzes		and classroom monitoring		
	-			forms		
		Utilizing an active student				
		Word walls				

2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Alternate	Teachers	Distribute	Classroom Teachers	Classroom observations	Lesson Plans	
Assessment:				using Quality Program	Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at	core			Indicator Checklist will	Checklist	
or above Level 7 in	Science				Classroom observation	
science.	curriculum,		Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
			Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	lesson	ULS		core curriculum		
	plans which					
	includes	Teachers				
	availability	will				
	of materials	continuously	,			
	to deliver	assess				
	and	students				
	reinforce	using				
	instruction.	informal				
		assessments				
		and data				
		collection				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

Science Goal #2B:  40% of students in 4th grade will score a level 7 or higher on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.	2013Expect ed Level of Performance :*					
17% [1] elementa and mide school students earned a or higher on the 20 Florida Alternate Assessm	ry elementary and middle school students will earn a 7 or higher on the 2013 Florida Alternate					
	2B.2. Opportunity for professional development (training) for new teachers	Teachers will incorporate ULS curriculum in lessons to enhance instruction and reinforce Access Points	Classroom Teachers Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	2B.2. Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist	2B.2. Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>8</i> 1 ( <i>8</i> ) E			
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Science Goal #2:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

## Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	<b>Process to</b>			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Bielegy I Com H I.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

## **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Academy of Science	K-5	District	Grade Level Representatives	Monthly	Classroom Observations, Completion of Assignments	District Personnel, Principal, Assistant Principals
Grade Level PLC's	4th – 5th	District	Ms. Rogers, Ms. Kirouac, Ms. Mattox, Mr. Stiles	Weekly	Classroom Observations	Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coach
Middle School PLC – Science	6-8	Ms. Ricker	Ms. Gilley, Ms. Stallworth, Ms. Ricker, Mr. Ball, Ms. Floyd-Hatcher	Bi-Weekly	Classroom Observations, Completion of Assignments	District Personnel, Principal, Assistant Principals

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

20101100 2010800 (11120110110 ) 2012 1100			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Implement dedicated science teachers in grade 4 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> and offer targeted training	Substitutes for TDE Opportunities for teacher training.	10000	\$15,600.00	
for these teachers as well as others				
throughout the various grade levels.				
Subtotal: \$15, 600.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				_
Subtotal:				
Total: \$15,600.00				

End of Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form S
--

#### **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1A 1.		1A 1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at	All teachers		Principal		Teacher lesson plans, use	
Achievement Level		a 60 minute	Assistant Principal		of daily focus standards	
3.0 and higher in	ng Writer's		Instructional Coach	Instructional Coach will		
writing.	Workshop	Workshop	Classroom Teachers	document that teachers		
	with fidelity			are utilizing standards in		
	1	classroom K		lesson plans as well as daily		
	Teachers	– 5 <sup>th</sup>		standards posted in the		
	having			room.		
	difficulty					
	locating	Grades 3-				
		8 will use				
		Performanc				
	specific	e/ Sunshine				
	author's	State				
	craft	Standards				
		and				
		Learning				
		Schedules				
		when				
		implement				
		ing writing				
		instruction				
		instruction				
		K-2 will				
		implement				
		Writer's				
		Workshop				
		using the				
		Common				
		Core				
		Standards				
		Students	1			
		will use				
		the writing	1			
		process	1			
		daily; all	1			
		writing will	1			

		be dated, and recorded in a journal, notebook, or work folder for monitoring of growth across time.  Teachers will share students' writing in grade level meetings and discuss practices for teaching author's craft.			
Writing Goal #1A:  76% (90) of the 4 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade students will achieve a score of 3 or higher on the 2011 Florida Writes.	Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

the 4 8 <sup>th</sup> G stude achie score	ieved a re of 4or her on the 0 Florida tes.  75%(100) of the 8th Grade students will achieve a score of 4or higher  1A.2. Teachers using data to guide instruction.	1A.2. Develop and administer school-wide benchmark samples three times per year.	Instructional Coach Classroom Teachers	1A.2. Classroom monitoring forms will reflect scores in each area and lesson plans will document intervention strategies for students who are under-	1A.2. Lesson plans, updates on classroom monitoring forms	
		3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers will administer and analyze district writing prompt to guide instruction		performing		
	8 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers	development opportunities related to new writing rubric	8 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA teacher	1A.3. Student writing samples and practice will be graded using the new rubric	1A.3. Student writing samples	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
			Classroom Teachers		Lesson Plans	
			Collaborative Teams		Quality Program Indicator	
Students scoring at 4			ESE Lead Teacher		Checklist	
or higher in writing.				document that teachers are	Classroom observation	
			Assistant Principal	utilizing Access Points in		
			Principal	lesson plans as well as using		
	plans which		·	core curriculum		
	includes					
	availability	Teachers				
	of materials	will				
	to deliver	continuously				
	and	assess				
	reinforce	students				
		using				
		informal				
		assessments				
		and data				
		collection				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		assessment				
		data to				
		develop				
		instruction				
		aligned				
		with Access				
		Points.				

5% of 4 <sup>th</sup> grade students will score	Current Level of Performance *	2013 Expected Level of Performance					
s 1 1 2 4	scored a level 4 or higher on 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment	1B.2. Opportunity for professional development (training) for new teachers	1B.2. Teachers will attend district ULS curriculum trainings when offered	Collaborative Teams ESE Lead Teacher CSS Site Coaches Assistant Principal Principal	1B.2. Classroom observations using Quality Program Indicator Checklist Monitoring PCI assessments (based on individual student progression) Monitoring ULS pre and	1B.2. Lesson Plans Quality Program Indicator Checklist Classroom Observations	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	post checkpoints  1B.3.	1B.3.	

## **Writing Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Effective Implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar	K-8th	Principal Assistant Principals	All ELA Teachers	September 2012 – May	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs, Classroom Monitoring forms, Data Notebooks	Principal, Assistant Principals and Instructional Coach
Differentiated Instruction	K-8th	Principal Assistant Principals	All ELA Teachers	September 2012 – May 2013	Lesson Plans, Administration visitation logs	Principal, Assistant Principals and Instructional Coach

#### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities/materials.		

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement a 60 minute Writer 's Workshop in every classroom K – 5th	TDE opportunities for teacher's professional development	10000	\$15,600.00
Subtotal: 15, 600			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$15,600.00			

End of Writing Goals

#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen t					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
		Use data	7th Grade Social Studies	Focused walkthroughs	Observation feedback	
		from EOC	Teacher		forms	
 	Knowledge			document that teacher		
		to drive	Administration	is utilizing standards in		
		instruction.		lesson plans as well as daily		
		L		standards posted in the		
		Participate			Student assessment results	
		in 		Teacher generated		
		professional		assessments.		
		development opportunities				
		related				
		to Civics				
		content.				
Civics Goal #1:	2012	2013				
		Expected_				
		Level of				
score a 3 or above on I	<u>Performance</u>	<u>Performance</u>				
the Civics EOC.	·* ·	<u>:*</u>				
	NT/A	450/ (41)				
		45% (41)				
l l		of seventh grade				
		students				
		will pass the				
		Civics EOC.				

		true PLC to work on common	1.2. Allow opportunities for the 7th grade Social Studies teacher to collaborate with grade level teachers from neighboring middle schools		by administration will document that teacher is utilizing standards in lesson plans as well as daily standards posted in the room.	1.2. Observation feedback forms Student assessment results	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
at or above	Lack of	Use data			Observation feedback	
Achievement Levels		from EOC			forms	
		pre-test		document that teacher	1011110	
		to drive	Administration	is utilizing standards in		
		instruction.		lesson plans as well as daily		
				standards posted in the		
		Participate		room.		
		in			Student assessment results	
		professional		assessments.		
		development				
		opportunities				
		related				
		to Civics				
		content.				
Civics Goal #2:	2012	2013				
	Current	Expected				
25% of students will	Level of	Level of				
score a Level 4 or 5	Performance	Performance				
on 2013 Civics EOC	·*	·*				
	N/A –	25% (23)				
		of seventh				
	offered	grade				
		students will				
		score a 4				
		or 5 on the				
		2013 Civics				
		EOC				

2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
Lack of a	Allow opportunities for		Focused walkthroughs	Observation feedback
	the 7 <sup>th</sup> grade Social Studies		by administration will	forms
to work on	teacher to collaborate with		document that teacher	
common	grade level teachers from		is utilizing standards in	
lessons/	neighboring middle schools		lesson plans as well as	
assessments			daily standards posted in	
			the room.	
			Teacher generated	Student assessment results
			assessments.	
2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

## **Civics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		FLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

#### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels</b>							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

**U.S. History Professional Development** 

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

#### **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis	Anticipate	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of attendance data and	d Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
reference to "Guiding						
Questions," identify						
and define areas in						
need of improvement:						

Family and economic factors including lack of parental involvement, domestic violence, affordable transportation, and varied educational priorities.	Meet with parents to discuss strategies to improve attendance  A focused emphasis on collaboration with community organizatio	1	Consistent enforcement of clear procedures and meaningful consequences for dealing with truancy among students	Reduction in absences Parent surveys and analysis  Climate surveys  Documented attendance	
	in the education				

Attendance Goal #1:  Reduce the number of excessive student absences by 10% tardies by 10%.	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*			
	95.6%	97.0%			
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
	332 students were absent 10 or more days in 2012	year.			
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			

wei exc	5 Students ere ccessively rdy in 2012.	No more than 130 students will have 10 or more tardies in 2013.					
		related to school		Principals, Teachers, Students, School Counselors, Safety and Discipline Committee	1.2. School climate surveys, Character education activities, and classroom instruction by Guidance Counselors, Rate of reported incidences of bullying, etc	1.2. Monthly review of student mobility rate report, documented student participation in after school programs	
		1.3Student factors including limited social and emotional competence, physical health, lack of familiarity with school attendance laws.	1.3. Mentoring by highly effective teachers, Before and after school tutoring	1.3. School Counselors, teachers, Students	1.3. Rate of reported incidences of bullying	1.3. Documented number of referrals to agencies, Assessment data indicating student achievement growth.	

#### **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			·
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension  1. Teachers lack the strategies to effectively manage the diverse student population	opportunities Foundations Team,		
---	---------------------------------	--	--

The total number of out of school suspensions will decrease by 20%	Number of In —School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions			
	In-School	Students will serve 140 days of In-School			
	Suspension	Suspension			
	Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School			
	117 students were assigned In-School Suspension	105 students will be assigned In-School Suspension			
	2012 Total Number of Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			

	Students will be suspended out-of-school no more than 143days					
1/111 / 10191	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
109 students	No more than 89 students will be suspended out- of- school					
	interventions for students struggling with	county programs for	Principals, Guidance Counselors	1.2. Monthly and quarterly racking of number and type of referral data, classroom observations	1.2. Collect and analyze referral data	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Suspension Professional Development** 

	1		
Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CHAMPs training/ Retraining	All	Mr. Ball, CHAMPs Train the trainers	Identified Teachers	l ()n_(;oing	Administrator observations, Guidance referrals	Administration, Foundations Team

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CHAMPs training for teachers	District provided CHAMPs/Foundations training materials		
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Suspension Goals

## **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* when using percei	itages, include	the number of s	students the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	0 (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data,	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
and reference to "Guiding	Burner		Responsible for Womtoring	Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of							
improvement:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
Dropout Prevention	Diopout Rate.	Diopout Rate.					
Goal #1:							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during							
the 2011-2012 school vear.							
yeur.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Graduation Rate:*	Graduation Rate:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				_		
				_		

## **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

4 5		1 1	<u> </u>			
1. Parent Involvement		1.1. Calcada	1.	1.1	1.	
	Incr	Schedu	Principal, Assistant	Collection of attendance	Data from Parent	
	ease	ling of	Principals.		Surveys Documented	
	perc	activities	Teachers, School	Collect feedback	attendance (sign in	
	enta	at a	Counselors, PTA	from parents as to the	attendance (sign in and participation	
	ge of	variety of	Liaison	effectiveness of the	data)	
	Pare	times to		program		
	ntal	accomm				
	par	odate the		Parent Surveys		
	tici	different		_		
	patio	schedules				
	n in	of				
	the	families				
	plan	and to				
	activ	support				
	ities.	the Read				
		Forward				
		Jackso				
	De	nville				
	liver	Initiative				
	y of					
	infor					
	mati					
	on	incenti				
	about various	ves for				
	parent	attend				
	involvement	ance at				
	opportunities	school				
	at the	events				
	school and	CVCIIIS				
	within the					
	community	•				
	Community					

Parent Involvement Goal #1:  Westview will have 200 or more volunteer hours completed by the PTA and/ or business partners.	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	membership	PTA membership will increase to include 140 members					
		1.2.	1.2. Offer various activities that include child care component	School Counselors, PTA Liaison	Parent Surveys	1.2. Documented attendance (sign in and participation data)	
		telephone	1.3. Communicate with parents using Parent Link of all parent night activities, Important Dates ,	1.3. Principal and Assistant Principals	1.3. Data from Parent Link Log	1.3. Documented report of Parent Link report	

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent FCAT Night	3-8	Grade Level Teachers, Administration	Parents	Monthly	Sign-In Sheet	E. Ball
Ready to Learn	K-2	T. Brown, PBS	Parents	Monthly (2 <sup>nd</sup> Tuesday)	Sign-In Sheet	T. Brown

#### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  Westview will begin to integrate Science, Technology,	knowledge		Ms. Stallworth	1.1. Follow classroom observations Teacher implementation of learned strategies	1.1. Observation feedback forms Teacher lesson plans
Engineering, and Mathematics in all classrooms.					
		1.2. Grade level field trips centered around STEM concepts	1.2. Grade level chairs	1.2. Feedback from field trips	1.2. Proof of field trips
		Science Fair participation Use of Gizmos in core classes	Science teachers	Judging of Science Fair projects	Displaying of student work
		Vertical planning	Grade level teachers	Common lessons and assessments across grade levels	Teacher lesson plans
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **STEM Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
	I.		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
States	Description of Resources	1 driving bource	1 mount
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	D it is CD	D 1: 0	<u> </u>
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of STEM Goal(s)

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	Stratogy	Dayson or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001
CTE Goal #1:  100% of 8th grade students will be aware of the various options available to them after graduating from high school.		1.1. College fair  8th grade students completing Career Planning component in Social Studies class  High schools invited to showcase their offerings	1.1. Guidance department Mr. Vidales (8 <sup>th</sup> grade history teacher)	1.1. Attendance at college fair events Guidance talks in middle school classrooms about options for post high school graduation	1.1. Feedback from students Career planning data
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

which using percentag	cs, merade un	e number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ciccinage (c.g. 707)	) ( <i>33))</i> .	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	will lead to the potential of more cases of bullying and teasing.  Determining true bullying	students will receive bullying lessons via the guidance		1.1. Genesis discipline reports, climate surveys	1.1.  Zero (0) bullying cases, Climate survey results		

relating to bullying	<u>Level :*</u>	2013 Expected Level :*					
	bullying during the 2011 - 2012	There will be less than .5% (5) of bullying referrals during the 2012- 2013 school year.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	1 unumg source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)  Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$15,600
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$15, 600
Science Budget	
	Total:\$15, 600
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
C1D Buuget	Total:
Additional Goals	10tai.
Additional Goals	m . I
	Total:
	Grand Total:\$46, 800

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Review of the School Improvement Plan; Recruit and retain Business Partners for our school; Brainstorm ideas to address the improvement of our schools reading, math, writing, and science programs; Brainstorm ideas to make improvements with school discipline.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
No funds given this year.	0.00