Florida Department of Education



1

School Improvement Plan (SIP) For Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012-2013

2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Hastings Youth Academy	District Name: St Johns County School District
Principal: Dr. Paul Vivian	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Ms. Michelle Montgomery	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

Administrators

List your school's on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of

Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Principal	Dr. Paul Vivian	Ed.D. Educational Leadership	4 years	35 years	Dr. Vivian has been in school administration for over 35 years and has served at all administrative levels from principal to superintendent as well as experience at the higher education level.
Lead Educator					

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
			Current School	Instructional Coach	along with the associated school year.
	HYA does not have any instructional coaches other than Dr. Vivian who serves as the chief administrator as well as the instructional coach.	Ed.D. School Leadership	4	35	Strong success in past school districts in increasing student achievement. Success in increasing the graduation rate here at HYA.

_			0	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. *Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.*

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
			Current School	Instructional	along with the associated school year.
				Teacher	
Social					Part of the instructional team efforts
Science	Ryan Montgomery	BA / Social Science (5-9) ESE (k-12)	4	4	
					Integral part of the instructional team particularly for ESE
ESE	Chandra Knighten	BA /ESE (k-12)	4	4	students
					Part of the instructional team effort
Science	John Thomas	BA / PE(k-12) and Science(5-9)	4	4	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Utilization of district PACTS program	Principal	Upon posting	
2. Regular Meetings With New Teachers	Principal	On-going	
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
21% (3)	G4S, the parent company of HYA, provides funding to teachers to obtain any needed courses or tests they may need to take to achieve full certification.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
7	14% (1)	86% (6)	None	None	None	81% (5)	0%	0%	43% (3)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
The SJSD provides mentoring assistance to teachers at HYA	ТВА	Subject Area Specialty	Based on SJSD Calendar

*Grades 6-12 Only- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future? May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Student course selection encompasses all core courses that integrate reading and real life application and relevance of each other. The school also offers students career courses in business technology. These courses focus on job skills and students also have the opportunity to complete work experience courses in culinary arts and general maintenance.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

The school offers students career courses in business technology. This course focuses on job skills and students have the opportunity to complete work experience courses in culinary arts and general maintenance.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

READING GOALS	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Imp					i		
1. Percentage of students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1		
making learning gains-			~				
1	The influx of	Utilize strategies	Reading	Tracking of assessment results	WIN, FAIR, and FCAT.		
_	students in		Teachers				
	and out of the	a Reading					
Reading Goal #1:	program makes	partnership with					
	it difficult to take	the University of					
	full advantage	Florida (Project					
	of the reading	Liberate)					
	program						
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Students will be expected to make	Level of Performance:*	Level of					
gains in reading skills during their	Performance:*	Performance:*					
residence at HYA which typically							
lasts 8 to 10 months.							
lasts 8 to 10 months.							
	71% of students	73% of students					
		will make learning					
		gains in reading during the 2012-					
	2012 school year.	2013 school year.					
	The average gain	=010 School yelli.					
	was.7 grade levels						
	U U	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.2.	1.5.	1.2.	1.5.	

Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
i							

	i ovement i iun ouvenne o			-	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading					
and Math Performance Target					
	Baseline data 2010-2011	<mark>71%</mark>			
Achievable Annual	600/				
Measurable Objectives	<u>60%</u>				
(AMOs). In six year					
school will reduce their					
achievement gap by 50%.					
Reading Goal #2:					
Enter narrative for the goal in					
this box.					

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Reading progress measured by Read 180 vs. New Century Learning	6-12	PD is provided by UF staff	Reading Instructors	Monthly	Results are monitored and measured as part of a federal grant supervised by the University of Florida	Dr. Vivian

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

May 2012			
Enroll teachers in Reading courses	State and District reading classes	PD budget	\$6,000.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal: \$10,000			
interence, and vocaounary.	bortware.		
such as reading comprehension, inference, and vocabulary.	Scholastic's Read 180 and System 44 software.	Project Liberate Grant funding through the University of Florida.	
Focus on needed areas of improvement,	New Century Education Reading and	Title 1 and	\$10,000.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Technology			
Subtotal \$500:			
materials			
Library books and other reading	High interest reading materials for library and student use	School budget	\$500.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Include only school-based funded			

Subtotal: \$6,000			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:\$16,500			

End of Reading Goals

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

Problem-			
Solving			
Process to			

2012-2013 School Improvement Pla	n Juvenile Justice Education Programs
----------------------------------	---------------------------------------

MATHEMATICS GOALSIncrease Student Achieveme ntIncrease Student Achieveme ntIncrease Student Achieveme ntIncrease Student Achieveme ntBased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:Anticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for Monitoring StrategyProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation ToolI. Percentage of students1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
Achievemen ntAchievemen ntAchicipated Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:Anticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for Monitoring Responsible for Monitoring StrategyProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation Tool	
ntntBased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:Anticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for Monitoring following group:Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation Tool	
Image: Non-state of the state of the stat	
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:BarrierResponsible for Monitoring StrategyEffectiveness of Strategy	
1. Percentage of students 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1.	
making learning gains in mathematics. The student length of stay as well as the ongoing entrance to monitor the The school uses New Century Technology Math instructor Tracking of assessment results FCAT and EOC exams	
and exits of students creates a barrier.	
Students will be expected to make 2012 Current 2013 Expected gains in mathematics during their Level of Level of Level of residence at HYA which typically Performance:* Performance:* lasts from 8 to 10 months. We Performance:* Performance:*	
so they are either on grade level or near grade level in math.	

aoia aoie Senooi impio			e oustice Budention	1105rams			
stud	dents tested						
	ide a learning a in based on						
per	rformance on						
mai	<i>ithematics</i>	assessment					
pos	st-test gains	mathematics pre and post (gains)					
	mparisons. – o erage gain	comparison.					
	s 1.0 grade el.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	-	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),Reading							
and Math Performance Target							
2. Ambitious but	Baseline data 2010-2011	<mark>77%</mark>					
Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives	<u>65%</u>						
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #2:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	es, merude m	e number of stud	ients the percentage	Tepresents (e.g., 7070 (2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Algebra EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	who are eligible and required to take the EOC Algebra 1 course are often not prepared due to having been	The math instructor will focus on teaching skills that will help students who must take the Algebra EOC to be successful using resources provided by the district and the state.		Evaluation of student scores on	1.1. Algebra 1 EOC exam.	

<u>2012-2015 School Imp</u>				1 rograms			
Algebra Goal #1: 50% of the students enrolled in Algebra 1 will pass the End of Course Assessment in order to earn a credit in Algebra.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	who took the	50% of the students taking the Algebra 1 EOC will pass 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.	The length of stay and ongoing	2.1. Provide access to Algebra 2 through Virtual School access	Math Instructor	2.1. Tracking assessment results from EOC	2.1. FCAT and EOC for Algebra		

2012-2013 School Imp				110grams			
Algebra Goal #2: Students who have achieved levels 4 and 5 in Algebra will have access to upper level Algebra courses via Virtual School classes if they have completed Algebra 1 prior to their arrival at HYA and have passed the Algebra 1 EOC exam they will be enrolled in Algebra 2 via virtual school access.	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	No data at this time	99% of Achievement Level 4 and 5 students will have passed the Algebra 1 EOC.					
		2.2.				2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010- 2011	N/A Not Tested					
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	N/A Not Tested						
Algebra Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Geometry.	Few students enter our program with the their school attendance up to date.	Strategy 1.1. Provide the Math instructor with staff development and resources to provide Geometry instruction to help student become successful when taking the Geometry EOC.	•	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1. Teacher enrolled in PD and becoming fully certified in Math 6-12.	Evaluation Tool 1.1. EOC results in Geometry.	

<u>2012-2013 School Imp</u>				1 TUgi anis			
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
50 % of Students enrolled in	Performance:*						
Geometry will pass the EOC in							
Geometry.							
	25% passed the	50%					
	Geometry EOC						
	exam.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~85	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in							
need of improvement for the							
following group:	0.1	0.1	2.1	2.1	2.1		
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	N/A						
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
Provide accelerated learning	Performance:*						
in Geometry for students who							
have achieved level 4 and 5 in							
geometry. Currently, we do not							
have any students in this category							

	No Data	No data					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		h 2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							
	Baseline						
	data 2010-						
		N/A no data					
(AMOs). In six year	IN/A no uata	available					
school will reduce their	available						
achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			

May 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

	· ·		ne oustiee Education 110			
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Integrating small group and individualized computer-based mathematic s instruction	6-12	Math Instructor	Math Instructors	Early Release dates each month for teacher planning	Review the teacher reports on student data assessment for each trimester.	Principal
District and state standards in Math	6-12	Math Instructor	Academic Teachers of Math	Monthly Meetings	Classroom Observations	Principal

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Purchase high interest math materials	Scholastic Math	General Education Budget	\$250
Purchase Algebra 1 EOC resource	State/district approved materials	Instructional Materials	\$500

Subtotal:\$750			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Focus on each student's weakness in mathematics and provide opportunities for them to improve their math knowledge and skills.	New Century Education mathematics	Title 1	\$3,000
Subtotal:\$3,000			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
District in-service/courses for teachers to improve their understanding of and ability to teach higher level math courses	Fund teacher participation	PD	\$500
Subtotal:\$500			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:\$4,250			

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	s, menuae me	inumber of s	indents the percentage	represents next to the pe	icemage (c.g. 7070	(55)).	
Biology EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Achievement Level 3 in Biology.	Lack of laboratory facilities and length of stay are barriers.	Learning as a remediation tool for students.		Assessment Data	1.1. FCAT and EOC for Biology		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

2012-2013 School Imp			e Justice Education				
	who took the Biology EOC	50% of all students who are eligible to take the Biology EOC will pass and receive credit in Biology.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels	Length of student	To use technology	Science instructor	Assessment Data	FCAT and Biology EOC		
	as lack of lab equipment are barriers.	such as Ignite Learning to help with remediation efforts.					
Biology Goal #2: 99% of student in level 4 and 4 who take the Biology EOC will pass. We currently have very few students who would fall in to the level 4 and 5 category.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	No Data Available	99% of students who take the Biology EOC exam will pass.					
May 2012	•	•	•	•		•	24

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District PD on Biology curriculum/standards for EOC		District PD Coordinator	Science instructor	Early release dates monthly.	Classroom observations	Principal

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed) NA

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Biology Materials	State/district approved materials	Instructional Materials	\$400	
Subtotal: \$400				

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Biology Software	Software that can enhance the learning of Biology to pass the EOC	General Education Budget	\$200
Subtotal:\$200			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
District/State Meetings on Biology Curriculum and EOC	District Professional Development Meetings	PD	\$150
Subtotal:\$150			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$750			

End of Science Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs							
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	stay and ongoing entrances and exits are barriers.	Ignite Learning is used as a remediation tool and civic instruction is also addressed through the Reading/LA curriculum.	Civic Instructor	Assessment Data	FCAT and Civic EOC		
Civics Goal #1: Currently HYA does not have anyone enrolled in Civics. Should we get a level 3 civics student, our goal would be that they pass the EOC exam by the required timeframe.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	No data available	99% of the students who are required to take the Civics EOC will do so with a passing score.					
		1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Impr				. 8			
2. Students scoring at or 2	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels			Social Science Instructor	Successful completion of the	Civics EOC		
above Achievement Levels	Fexts and	Assure state approved		Civics course.	CIVICS LOC		
4 and 5 in Civics.	Materials may	texts and materials					
	not be available	are available					
		are available					
Civics Goal #2: 2	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
<u> </u>	Level of	of Performance:*					
Currently no one at level 4 or 5 is	Performance:*						
enrolled in Civics. In the event we							
have level 4 and 5 students taking							
<i>Civics, our goal will be for them to</i>							
Civics, our goai will be for inem to							
successfully pass the Civics EOC							
exam.							
7	No data available	99% of level 4 and 5					
		students will pass the					
		Civics EOC exam					
		2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
ļ							
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	L			
Professional				
Learning				
Community				

(PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District PD on Civics EOC	9-12	District SS PD member	Social Science Instructor	In-service Day	Classroom Observations	Principal

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Make texts and materials available	District provided	Instructional Materials	\$150
Subtotal:\$150			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
EOC software packet	Internet based	Instructional Materials	\$200
Subtotal:\$200			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide Social Science instructor with district provided Civics EOC training	District PD	Professional Development	\$200
Subtotal:\$200			
Other			
AF 4014			30

Strategy Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:		
Total:\$550		

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	The length of	used for remediation	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1.1. U.S. History Instructor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1. Assessment Data	Evaluation Tool 1. FCAT and EOC exam1.	

2012-2013 School Imp	rovement P	ian Juvenne J	ustice Education	Programs			
U.S. History Goal 70% of Achievement Level 3	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		~~~~			
	No data available	70%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Civics Goal #2: 99% of the level 4 and 5 students taking the US History EOC will pass.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	No data available	99% will obtain a passing score.					

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
US History course standards and EOC exam	9-12	District personnel	Social Science Instructor	Fall In-service Date	Meeting with Principal for follow- up	Principal

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Not applicable yet			

Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
PD for the SS teacher about course	District PD	PD	\$200	
standards and the EOC exam.				
Subtotal: \$200				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$200				

End of U.S. History Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Im	51 Ovement	i lan buven	ic Justice Educatio	n i i ogi anis			
	Problem-						
	Solving						
	U U U						
CADEED	Process to						
CAREER	Increase						
EDUCATION	Student						
GOAL(S)							
GO/IL(5)	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of school	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data, identify and define	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy			
1. Career Education Goal	1.1. St. 1. t	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Students sometimes do	Provide outside	Transition Specialist	Evaluating the student	Percentage of students		
	not remain in	employment role	Tansition Specialist	completion of career courses	who earn industry		
	a career class	models to assist		r	certification		
	long enough to						
	obtain a career						
	certification						
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level :*	Level :*					
HYA is a type 2 career program							
with technical training in office							
occupations and career education.							
<u>^</u>							
	25% of our	50% of the students will earn industry					
	students obtained industry	will earn industry certifications					
	certification						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Career Education Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Webinar on WIN curriculum which will be used to increase the number of students who achieve industry certification	M_1 /	Ms. Montgomery	Career Instructor and Para's	August 31, 2012	Monitor the use of WIN software	Principal

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Desc
Implement Information Technology	Impl
Subtotal: \$52,0	00
Technology	
Strategy	Desc
MIS software	Need
Subtot	al:
Professional Development	
Strategy	Desc
Training on MIS	MIS
Subtotal: \$2,5	00
Other	
Strategy	Desc
Grand Total: \$54,5	00

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to	Inform the	Problem-Solving Process
-----------------------------	------------	--------------------------------

- How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?
- How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	12-2015 School III	proveniene.	i lan Juven	le Justice Educatio	li i i ugi allis		
		Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1.		plan in place; however we now try to make the plan much more specific to the needs of the student leaving.	provide a more systematic plan that provides specific and detailed reentry plan for school and or work.	1.1. Transition Specialist	Evaluation of the Transition Plan	1.1. Transition Plans: Report on student's successful reentry into their home community.	
a ex pli or	l students are expected to have ransition plan in place prior to iting the HYA program. The in identifies next school and work placement and long term als.	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				

100 % of	100% of students					
the students	who exit the HYA					
completing the	program will have					
HYA program have	a transition plan in					
a transition plan.						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Transition Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Transition	9-12	Dr. Vivian	Ms. Montgomery	Hall In comuce meeting	Review of Transition Plans for 2012-2013 students	Dr. Vivian

Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Descr
None needed	
May 2012 38	

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Subtota	l:
Technology	
Strategy	Desc
Utilize the internet to access ADAPT exam	Desk
Subtotal: S	50
Professional Development	
Strategy	Desci
Transition Specialist attends district meetings	Distr
Subtotal: \$10	0
Other	
Strategy	Desci
Grand Total: \$10	0

End of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?
- How many students had excessive tardiness (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardiness?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardiness for 2012-2013?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANC E GOAL(S)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance Goal # 1	1.1.					
HYA is a residential DJJ program	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	Number of Students	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				

2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$16,500
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$4,250
Science Budget	
	Total:\$ 750
Civics Budget	
	Total: \$550
May 2012	42
Rule 6A-1.099811	

Revised May 25, 2012

U.S. History Budget	
	Total: \$200
Career Budget	
	Total:\$54,500
Transition Budget	
	Total: \$100
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$0
	Grand Total: \$76,850

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

 $X \square Yes$

 $\Box No$

If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
HYA does not receive SAC funds	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year. The SAC will meet monthly for updates and to advise the school leadership team on learning needs of the students.