FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Toussaint L'Ouverture High School for Arts & Social Justice	District Name: Palm Beach
Principal: Mandy Freedman	Superintendent: Bill Malone
SAC Chair: Adlet Similien	Date of School Board Approval: November 9, 2011

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Chief Academi c Officer (CAO)	Diane Allerdyce	Ph.D.	11	11	Diane Allerdyce holds a Doctorate Degree in English. TLHS utilizes the Florida State of Florida's Continuous Improvement Model to continue improving upon learning gains across the board.
Chief Operatin g Officer (COO)	Major Joseph M. Bernadel, US Army (Ret.)	M.Ed.	11	2	Major Bernadel holds a Bachelor Degree in Business Administration and has over 22-year s of military experience.

Principal (P)	Mandy Freedman	M.Ed.	11	10	Mandy Freedman holds the M.Ed. in Educational Leadership with ESOL endorsement.
Assistant Principal (AP)	Walner Joseph	M.Ed.	10	2	Walner Joseph holds the M.Ed. in Varying Exceptionalities in ESOL and is also Certified to teach K-12 Foreign Language.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
n/a					

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
TLHS will continue to employ proven strategies to ensure a strong teacher recruitment and retention policy.	CAO	Ongoing
2. Mentoring Opportunities for new teachers.	CAO	Ongoing
3.		
4.		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
n/a	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
16	0	25%	43.8%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
n/a			

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Funds are used to support Instruction, Professional Development, and Family Involvement Trainings.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
T.1 m
Job Training
Other
TLHS encourages student participation in the District Sponsored SES program, encourages family involvement for at home ongoing learning, makes tutoring opportunities
available by appointment, and involves both parent and student in decision making processes of education goals both on an individual and school-wide basis.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Leadership team includes an administrator, instructional staff, and parents involved in the SAC committee.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team works to facilitate RTI and formalize state/district-wide efforts to promote school wide practices that support student achievement in both academics and behavior.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

TLHS will use evidence-based practices to identify and ensure all students (including those who are disabled or considered exception or those who do not speak English as their first language) receive appropriate instruction and apply the principles of problem solving (PS)/RtI to all school wide academic and behavior efforts.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Implementation of the RtI model as a multi-tiered approach to providing high quality instruction and systematic use of assessment data to allocate resources efficiently in order to improve learning for all students.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

TLHS uses resources provided by the FLDOE and the PBSCD to train its RtI support team.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

LLT will consist of an Administrator and Instructional Team Leaders.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT will create and integrate literacy initiatives, programs, using student performance data.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To ensure that all stakeholders support the work of the schools' LLT through a school-wide approach.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

n/a

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

LLT will review strategies and use data based on data assessments to improve upon goals.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Create relevance-bearing lessons that guide and make connections to student between school and outside lives. School wide integrated themes across disciplines.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Each student is personally engaged to select courses that align with his or her personal goals to promote meaningful course selections.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

TLHS provides postsecondary learning opportunities by providing courses that are challenging and prepatory, additional assistance in heritage language for those who do not speak English as their first language, remedial reading and writing instruction, workforce preparation for those who do not plan to attend college, as well as career resources and decision making to assist individuals selection process for their postsecondary transition.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readi	ing Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Treating Cour # 1111	in reading. 2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	IA.1. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	IA.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	IA.1. CAO	1A.1. Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1A.1. Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	and 6 in rea		IB.1. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level	IB.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	IB.1. CAO	1B.1. Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1B.1. Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-	2012-2013	School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Fo	orm SIP-
---	-----------	-----------	----------------	----------	----------

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.			2A.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow	2A.1. CAO	2A.1. Assessment Based Data	2A.1. Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)
tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L			2B.1. Our population of predominately	2B.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow	2B.1. CAO	2B.1. Assessment Based Data	2B.1. Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
reading Cour #251	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quareas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percer	3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making		3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
	earning gains in reading.		Our population of predominately	100 Minute Blocks to allow	CAO	Assessment Based Data	Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
TLHS does not have a Level of Performan	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 25	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making lea			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
reading Cour mean	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percent	age of studer	nts in lowest	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
	25% making learning gains in reading.		Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below	100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO		Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
reading Goar II 11	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	grade level.	intensive remedia instruction.		comparison (FCFFF, CC)	ca,
significant number of accountability students	86	100					
tested. Our population of predominately ELL			44.2	44.2	44.2	44.2	44.2
students test at levels below grade level. However, our			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.
gains of 20%.							

Objectives (AMOs), iden	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	20	<mark>25</mark>	30	35	40	<mark>45</mark>
Reading Goal #5A: TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.							
reference to "Guiding Qu	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:* White: 10 White: 20 Black: 20 Black: 10 Black: 20 Hispanic: 20 Asian: n/a American American Indian: n/a I		5B.1. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	5B.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	5B.1. CAO	5B.1. Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	5B.1. Assessment Bas etc)	ed Data (FCAT,
grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
gains of 20%.		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identify and o	define	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p	5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5C.1. 100 Minute Blocks to allow	5C.1. CAO	5C.1. Assessment Based Data	5C.1. Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
	Level of Level of Performance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level. 86	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identify and o	define	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	2012 Current	Expected ELL students test at levels below	100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
grade is that students will goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Dis	advantaged s	students not	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
making satisfactory p	rogress in re	eading.	rr		CAO	Assessment Based Data	Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
reading Sour web.		2013 Expected Level of	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)
TLHS does not have a	Performance:*	Performance:*					
significant number of	24	80					
accountability students tested. Our population of							
predominately ELL			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
students test at levels below			5E.2.	5E.2.	SE.2.	DE.Z.	SE.2.
grade level. However, our							
goal is that students will make individual learning			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.
gains of 20%.							

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	BOCKE BCIENCE TERM/BCHOOL	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded act	ivities/materials and exclude district funded activ	rities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Training	Travel	6402-533600	350.22	
Supplies	Materials	6402-551100	71.00	
			<u> </u>	Subtotal: \$ 421.22
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Instructional Support	Additional Instructional Support	5150-518400	376.78	
	·			Subtotal: 376.78
				Total: 798.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELI	LA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
	and understand spoken Englisher similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2012 Current Percent of Students CELLA Goal #1: CLHS does not have a ignificant number of ccountability students ested. Our population of redominately ELL tudents test at levels below grade level. However, our total is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		Our population is predominately ELL students who test at low levels	well as 100 minute blocks of	1.1. CAO	1.1. Assessment based data comparison (CELLA) 1.2.	1.1. Assessment based data (CELLA) 1.2.	
	el text in English in a manner on-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:		well as 100 minute blocks of	2.1. CAO	2.1. Assessment based data comparison (CELLA)	2.1. Assessment based data (CELLA)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr	roficient in writing.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	2012 Current Percent of Students	Our population is predominately ELL students who test at low levels of proficiency in listening/speaking.	well as 100 minute blocks of	CAO	Assessment based data comparison (CELLA)	Assessment based data (CELLA)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Chillip Dauget (III)	ert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s))/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	1	1	-	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School M	Sathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas nt for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:*		1.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	I.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	·	1.2.	1.3.	1.3.	1.2.	1.3.	
reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas nt for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	bbebbilient. Students	2.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

goal is that students will	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
make individual learning					
gains of 20%.					

reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students		Our population of predominately	3.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	3.1 CAO	3.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	3.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
		3.2.			3.2.	3.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1	1 EOC Goa	ıls		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Algebra 1		1.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	1.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)			
tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			1.3.	1.2.	1.2.	1.3.	1.2.		
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Leve		2013 Expected	2.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)		
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-	2012-2013	School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Fo	orm SIP-
---	-----------	-----------	----------------	----------	----------

Objectives (AMOs), idea	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra 1 Goal #3A: TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		20	25	30	35	40	45
reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data and duestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Level of TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our state of the state		3B.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	3B.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction. 3B.2.	3B.1 CAO 3B.2.	3B.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc) 3B.2.	Assessment Base etc)	ed Data (FCAT,
make individual learning gains of 20%.			DD.2.	50.2.	DD.2.	DD.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1
making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3C: TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	grade level.	100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1
making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Level of Performance:* TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	grade level.	100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		ehra 1		3E.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow		3E.1 Assessment Based Data	3E.1
rigora r coar neza	Level of Level	013 Expected evel of erformance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

• When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry	<u> </u>	oals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Geometry 1.	Achievemen	nt Level 3 in	1.1 Our population of predominately	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow	1.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT,	
Geometry 1 Goal #1:	·		ELL students test at levels below grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		Comparison (FCAT, etc)	etc)	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2010-2011	20	25	30	35	40	45
Geometry 1 Goal #3A:							
TLHS does not have a significant number of acc students tested. Our population of predominatel students test at levels below grade level. Howe is that students will make individual learning ga	y ELL ver, our goal						
Based on the analysis of student achievement reference to "Guiding Questions," identify areas in need of improvement for the following	and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Ind making satisfactory progress in Get Geometry 1 Goal #3B: TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominataly ELL American	(White, ian) not ometry 1. 1013 Expected evel of erformance:* Thite: 20 lack: 20 isipanic: 20 sian: n/a merican dian: n/a	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	3B.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	3B.1 CAO	3B.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	3B.1 Assessment Base etc)	ed Data (FCAT,
grade level. However, our goal is that students will		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
make individual learning gains of 20%.		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1	3C.1
making satisfactory progress in Geometry 1		100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT,
#3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	grade level.	intensive remedial instruction.		companison (Ferri, etc)	etc)
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students					
tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1	3D.1
making satisfactory progress in Geometry 1 Geometry 1 Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students					
tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3E. Economically Dis				3E.1	3E.1	3E.1	3E.1
Geometry 1 Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected		100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	CAO	Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL		
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL		
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL		
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	BOOK IE BOIENCE TENTIFICATIONE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL		

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fun	ded activities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	faterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School	l Science Go	oals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning	and 6 in scien 2012 Current Level of Level of	013 Expected evel of erformance:*	1.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. 1.2.	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction. 1.2.	1.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc) 1.2.	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc) 1.2.	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identify ment for the follow	and define ving group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	evel 7 in science 2012 Current 20 Level of L	ce.	2.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.	•		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1	EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level.	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	1.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)
students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ment for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Levels 4 and 5 in Biol Biology 1 Goal #2: TLHS does not have a	t or above Achievement ogy 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)

students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
make individual learning gains of 20%.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

belefice budget (mse	it lows as needed)			
Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•			Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	•	·	Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form S	IP-	1
--	-----	---

Total:

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writi	ng Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in to the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Level 3.0 and higher in Writing Goal #1A:	scoring at Achievement in writing. 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:*	I.AI Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	I.A1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	I.AI CAO	I.A1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1.A1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
Writing Goal #1B: TLHS does not have a significant number of accountability students tested. Our population of	Assessment: Students in writing. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	I.B1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level.	1.B1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction.	I.BI CAO	I.B1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc)	1.B1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc)	
predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. However, our goal is that students will make individual learning gains of 20%.		1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL	

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:
Total:

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

II C III:stor	wy EOC Cools	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
U.S. Histor	ry EOC Goals						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	1.1 Our population of predominately ELL students test at levels below grade level. 1.2.	1.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow intensive remedial instruction. 1.2.	1.1 CAO	1.1 Assessment Based Data Comparison (FCAT, etc) 1.2.	1.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT, etc) 1.2.	
reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data and uestions," identify and definement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 in U.S.		2.1 Our population of predominately	2.1 100 Minute Blocks to allow	2.1 CAO	2.1 Assessment Based Data	2.1 Assessment Based Data (FCAT,	
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	ELL students test at levels below grade level. 2.2.	intensive remedial instruction. 2.2. 2.3.	2.2.	Comparison (FCAT, etc) 2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-	2012-2013	School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Fo	orm SIP-
---	-----------	-----------	----------------	----------	----------

U.S. History Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL_W/IDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER		EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	<u>'</u>		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	'	•	Subtotal:
Professional Development	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	<u> </u>		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	,	-	Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form S	IP-	1
--	-----	---

Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of a "Guiding Questions," iden imp			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Attendance Rate:* 85 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*	predominately between the ages of 18-21 and most of these students hold full time jobs after school. The barrier for these students in	individual basis to identify barriers that may cause him or her to be	1.1. CAO	1.1. Follow-up with student/parent	1.1. Attendance recording system. Engrade Reports	
	<u>more)</u> 30	<u>more)</u> 30	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Attendance Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	CIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL					

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:
Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			,	Problem-solvi	ng Process to De	ecrease Suspension	
	Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Our goal is to use suspension as a last resort.	of In –School Suspensions 5 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 5 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions 5 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Total Number of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 5 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School 5 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 5 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 5 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School	resort, we will determine the need if necessary.	We will work with students, parents, and staff to mediate and discuss concerns and attempt all possible outlets to come up with a strategy to keep a student in school and to only suspend a student when absolutely necessary.	CAO	Follow-up	Follow-up
1			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL				

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	(Insert 10 ws as needed)			
Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district funded	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	,	•	Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form	SIP-	-1
--	------	----

Total:

End of Suspension Goals

<u>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</u> Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and r "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in r improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: Our current drop our rate is predominately due to students aging out of our program. Our goal is to graduate students prior to aging out of our program and	Rate:* predominately due to student aging out of our program. Our goal is to graduate students prior to aging out of our program and to those who		CAO	Mentoring and Follow-up	Follow-up	
to those who drop out for	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
other reasons to mediate and work with those to keep them from leaving our program. *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 Lyrade L Person of Position Responsible for								
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL			

STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Parent Involvement	1. Parent Involvement			1.1. We work with every student to		1.1. Follow-up	1.1. Follow-up	
#1.	Level of Parent Involvement:* 60	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*	without parents and live with extended family, friends of	strategize a plan to get someone who they are involved with involved in their education. Whether that person is a friend or family member or parent.	CAG	ronow up	ronow up	
*Please refer to the			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	READING	TEAM LEADER	READING TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL			
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	MATH	TEAM LEADER	MATH TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL			
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	L SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL-WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL			
STUDENT IMPROVEMENT	SOCIAL SCIENCE	TEAM LEADER	SOCIAL SCIENCE TEAM/SCHOOL- WIDE	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT TEAM MEETINGS	EVERYOTHER WEDNESDAY AT FACULTY MEETINGS	CAO/ PRINCIPAL			

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based f	funded activities/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/I	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Training	Supplies	6152-551100	250.00	
Awareness	Postage & Freight for Information Flyers	6152-537100	250.00	
				Subtotal: \$500.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC school-wide) PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants Release) and Schedules (e.g., Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring frequency of meetings) Person or Position Responsible Monitoring									

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>,</u>	•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject FD Participants And/or PLC subject, grade level, or PLC subject, grade level, or PLC leader PLC Leader PLC Leader PD Facilitator And/or PLC focus Frequency of meetings) Person or Position Responsible Monitoring Person or Position Responsible Monitoring									

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :* Enter numerical data for current	2013 Expected Level:* Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			_			

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	TT . 1 #00 00
	Total: 798.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
W.:4: D 1 4	10001
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
A44	1 otai.
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
D (T I (D I (10tai.
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$500.00
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
	10tai:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: \$1298.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

	School Diff	ferentiated Accountal	oility Status	1	
	Priority	Focus	Prevent	X N/A	
			_	_	
Are you reward school? Yes (A reward school is any school tha Upload a copy of the Diffe	_	_	-		
	are not employed by ents (for middle and l	high school only), pare	nts, and other busines	ss and community m	an appropriately balanced number of teachers, nembers who are representative of the ethnic,
If No, describe the measures being	taken to comply with	n SAC requirements.			
	-		-		
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.					
SAC meetings are held to discuss areas of improvement and to try to create a school-wide improvement plan that will facilitate improvement across the board.					
Describe the projected use of SAC	funds.				Amount