SCHOOL NAME: RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY

School Based Leadership Team

Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

• Indicate who is on the team and their position. Also explain why they have been included on the team.

Mr. Dana Archibald (Principal): Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervene support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teacher: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instruction activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

District Intervention Specialist: Facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Assists grade levels in the development of Professional Learning Communities and/or Lesson Study.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Technology Specialist: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data management and display.

Speech/Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systematic patterns of student need with respect to language skills.

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate RtI efforts?

The SBLT meets at least monthly with the Literacy Leadership Team. These teams analyze student data and recommend interventions to help the struggling child succeed.

• Describe the role of the school-based RtI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SBLT analyzed school-wide standardized test scores identifying strengths and weaknesses. The areas in need of improvement were targeted in the SIP's smart goals. Once these goals were established, data from the Universal Screener's from each grade level will be monitored to ensure the teachers and students are staying on track.

RtI Implementation

• Describe the data source(s) and the data management systems(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: FAIR via Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Assessment and Information Management System (DataStar), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Running Records, Performance Matters in all grades for math and science, Clay BUS for behavior, Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DAR), and Compass Learning. End of Year: FAIR, and FCAT.

• Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.

Our Intervention Specialist, Jody Tennant, and Intervention Team Facilitator, Stephanie Moran will train the faculty and staff at Ridgeview Elementary. Ms. Tennant and/or Ms. Moran meet with each team every other week during the teams' common planning time to analyze individual student data and recommend specific interventions. Ms. Moran and Ms. Tennant provide individual teacher training as the need arises.

Literacy Leadership

• Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Mr. Dana Archibald (Principal): Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is the K-12 reading Plan, ensures assessments are conducted as required, ensures implementation of reading intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support effective reading implementation, and communicates with parents regarding reading plans and activities.

General Education Teachers: Provides information about core and supplemental reading instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers instruction/intervention, and collaborates with other staff to implement K-12 Reading Plan.

Exceptional Student Education teacher (ESE): Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through activities such as co-teaching, PLC's and Lesson Study.

District Intervention Coach: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

Technology Specialist: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data collection from the PMRN and other on-line assessments.

• Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly with the School Based Leadership Team. These teams analyze student data and recommend interventions to help the struggling child succeed.

• What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

This year the LLT will concentrate on assisting the lower 25% of readers in grades 4-6 as identified on the 2012 FCAT.

Elementary Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

• Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Upon entering Ridgeview Elementary, each student is screened to assist the teachers in to plan the most appropriate curriculum based on each students' need. FLKRS, ECHOS and FAIR are administered to each child on a one-on-one basis to determine their probability of initial reading success. Data from these screenings will be used to plan daily academic instruction for all students. It students are identified as need assistance outside of the core curriculum; their names will be given to the SBLT.

FAIR will be re-administered mid-year and at the end of the year to determine student learning gains and determine the need for changes to the instructional/intervention programs

Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

• For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

High Schools Only Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Additional information: Dropout Prevention

• Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan) When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result.

4 Elements/15 Strategies:

The Basic Core Strategies

■ Mentoring/Tutoring
■ Service Learning
■ Alternative Schooling
■ After School Opportunities
Early Interventions
■ Early Childhood Education
■ Family Engagement
■ Early Literacy Development
Making the Most of Instruction
 Professional Development
 Active Learning
■ Educational Technology
■ Individualized Instruction
Making the Most of the Wider Community
■ Systemic Renewal
■ School-Community Collaboration
■ Career and Technical Education
■ Safe Schools
Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
 Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report.</u>

School District of Clay County

School District of Clay County									
Smart Goals									
Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable									
Realistic Timely									

Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal,					
Goal 1: Goal 1: By 2013, K-2 students will increase the percentage of students meeting the RVE end-of-year recommended Reading goals by 10 percent. Students in grades 3-6 will decrease the number of non-proficient readers on FCAT 2.0 by 10 percent at each grade level. Grades 3-6 will also increase the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient on FCAT 2.0, equal to or beyond the performance levels of the highest-performing districts. Grades 4-6 will also increase the percentage of students making learning gains on FCAT 2.0 Reading.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 1: Implement the research-based strategy of developing learning experiences utilizing a variety of instructional practices and resources, including appropriate technology that requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II.Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of developing learning experiences utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology that requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies.	*Grades K-3 50% *Grades 4-6 40%	Grades K-3 62.5% Grades 4-6 55%	Grades K-3 75% Grades 4-6 70%	Grades K-3 87.5% Grades 4-6 85%	Grades K-3 100% Grades 4-6 100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

III. STUDENT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(S):	3rd 83% (17%)	3rd 85.13% (14.88%)	3rd 87.26% (12.76%)	3rd 89.39% (10.64%)	3rd 91.5% (8.5%)
Based on DOE requirements, we will reduce the percent of	4 th 63% (37%)	4 th 67.63% (32.37%)	4 th 72.26% (27.74%)	4 th 76.89% (23.11%)	4 th 81.5% (18.5%)
non-proficient students in reading by 50% by 2016.	5 th 69% (31%)	5 th 72.88% (27.12%)	5 th 76.76% (23.24%)	5 th 80.64% (19.36%)	5 th 84.5% (15.5%)
	6 th 76% (24%)	6 th 79% (21%)	6 th 82% (18%)	6 th 85% (15%)	6 th 88% (12%)

IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
Task 1: Teachers will establish a common ritual of using graphic organizers in a pre-reading experience during independent reading time for students each time they begin a new story. This will allow students to use prior/background knowledge and make predictions.	Graphic organizers, student responses, meeting minutes	Grade level chair, principal	2012-2013 school year	Copies, <u>The Daily Five,</u> Time for Kids, Weekly Reader Graphic organizer training for teachers as needed	Possible PD for staff on using graphic organizers Book Study: <u>The</u> <u>Daily Five</u>	Building budget Professional Development

Teachers will utilize enhanced classroom technology Task 1: Teachers will receive training (if needed) on using the smart board/projector in their classroom. Task 2: During common planning time, teachers will plan consistent quarterly lessons in reading that integrate the use of the enhanced technology during	Sign-in sheets, feedback Meeting minutes, Lesson plans, observation	Principal Teachers, grade level chairs and principal	Pre-planning, On-going October 2012 - ongoing	Appropriate functioning technology in all classrooms Common planning time schedule	IS dept. for training on smart board and projector Possible demonstrations for students needing assistance	Professional Development, School Improvement
the Reading Block.						
I.3 Teachers will match students to "just right" books by using leveled readers. Task 1: Teachers will check out bags of books from the Literacy Lab for students to use as independent readers.	Googledoc log of Literacy Lab book bag check outs	Principal, Reading Assistant	August 2012- ongoing	High interest leveled readers	Training on how to administer the DRA to find student reading levels	School Improvement
Teachers will utilize a running record of student performance. Task 1: Teachers will use Unique Learning to track student progress.	Unique Learning Checklists	Administration/ InD Teachers	August 2012- ongoing	Access to Unique Learning Resources	Unique Learning Lesson Study	Professional Development

I.5 Teachers will utilize Common Core ELA						
Standards. Task 1: Teachers will design lessons that align with the Common Core Standards.	Lesson Plans	Teachers, grade level chairs and principal	September 2012- ongoing	Common Core Standards, Common Planning Time	Common Core Training and lesson observations	Professional Development

School District of Clay County

School District of Clay County		•	•		
Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable					
Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate. Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal.					
Goal 2. By 2013, 100% of students will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3 or above) in Math and 75% of 4-6 grade students will make learning gains compared to the previous year FCAT data.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 2: Implement the researched-based strategy of using higher order questioning techniques in order to increase the higher order thinking of students in Math.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the researched-based strategy of using higher order questioning techniques in order to increase the higher order thinking of students in Math.	Grades K-3 50% Grades 4-6 50%	Grades K-3 60% Grades 4-6 60%	Grades K-3 75% Grades 4-6 75%	Grades K-3 85% Grades 4-6 85%	Grades K-3 100% Grades 4-6 100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

. Student Performance Indicator (s):					_
Based on DOE requirements, we will reduce the percent of non-proficient students in math by 50% by 2016.	3 rd 78% (22%) 4 th 70% (30%) 5 th 64% (36%) 6 th 63% (37%)	3rd 80.75% (19.25%) 4th 73.75% (26.25%) 5th 68.5% (31.5%) 6th 67.63% (32.37%)	3rd 83.5% (16.5%) 4th 77.5% (22.5%) 5th 73% (27%) 6th 72.26% (27.74%)	3rd 86.25% (13.75%) 4th 81.25% (18.75%) 5th 77.5% (22.5%) 6th 76.89% (23.11%)	3 rd 89% (11%) 4 th 85% (15%) 5 th 82% (18%) 6 th 81.5% (18.5%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
2.1 Teachers will model higher-level thinking through Think-Alouds						
Task 1: Teachers will attend an Exemplars training on higher level thinking and questioning techniques during common planning time.	Sign-in, evaluation forms, and feedback from grade level team meetings	Principal, Grade Level Chair persons	September 19 and January Date TBD	Exemplars, notebooks, Exemplars Trainer	Initial Exemplars training	Professional Development
Teachers will utilize peer conferencing strategies in order to allow students to explain, summarize or clarify their thinking to a higher-order question. Task 1: Teachers will devise a gradelevel wide "model" or process of strategies for students to use with their peer partner in order to ensure fluidity and comfort of the peer conferencing process.	Use of the appropriate model of strategies in math classrooms; meeting minutes	Grade Level Chairs, Principal, Teachers	September 19 - ongoing	Projector, copies, math journals	Follow-up Exemplars training	

2.3 Teachers will utilize Common Core Math Standards.						
Task 1: Teachers will design lessons that align with the Common Core Standards.	Lesson Plans	Teachers, grade level chairs and principal	September 2012- ongoing	Common Core Standards, Common Planning Time	Common Core Training and lesson observations	Professional Development

	School I	District of Clay Cou	ınty		
Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal,					
Goal 3: By 2013, students in grade 4 will increase the number of students scoring a 4.0 or above on Writing FCAT by at least 5% over the previous year. Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
1. Strategy 3: Implement the researched-based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter with other disciplines during instruction.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals and AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016

II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the researched-based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter with other disciplines during instruction.	50%	62%	75%	88%	100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
III. Student Performance Indicator(S): Based on DOE requirements, we will reduce the percent of non-proficient students in writing by 50% by 2016.	66% (34%)	70.25% (29.75%)	74.5% (25.5%)	78.75% (21.25%)	83% (17%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
3.1 Teachers demonstrate knowledge of research-based best practices of writing across the content areas Task 1: Provide job-embedded professional development and support to staff on how to implement the use of writing across the content areas by holding 3 hours of training s for teachers of Math.	Extended Learning Opportunity Documentation	Classroom Teachers; Administrator	September 2012- March 2013	Technology; Performance Matters; Assessment Tools	Exemplar s Training	Professional Development
3.2 Students are organized into groups to practice skill, strategy or process Task 1: Provide systemic and systematic interventions for students failing or at-risk of academic failure. Focus: K-6 Compass Focus: 5-6 Study Island	SAC Documentation; Staff Meeting Documentation; Extended Learning Opportunity Documentation	Classroom Teachers; Special Education Teachers/Admin	September 2012- March 2013	Technology; Performance Matters; Assessment Tools; Training for identified staff in the implementation of systemic and systematic interventions K- 12;		Technology Budget

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal. Goal 4: By 2013, students' academic performance in Science					
will improve by 10% in 5th grade over last years' results as measured by the FCAT Science. Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
Strategy 4: Implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals and AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
I. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers K-6 will implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions.	25%	40%	50%	75%	100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

II. Student Performance Indicator (s): Based on DOE requirements, we will reduce the percent of non-proficient students in science by 50% by 2016.	42% (58%)	49.25% (50.75%)	56.5% (43.5%)	63.75% (36.25%)	71% (29%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
Teachers engage students in activities that require comparison and/or clarification. Task 1: Incorporate inquiry project based learning in daily instruction by students participating in the Science Fair.	Weekly schedule, progress checks through weekly grade level meeting minutes, participation numbers in the Science Fair	Principal, Teachers		Science project boards, schedule		
Task 2: Schedule preliminary judging and events throughout the year to prepare students for the final event (Science Fair	Science Fair Projects, feedback, observation	Principal, Teachers	August 2012 – January 2013			
 4.2 Teachers ask students to explain their thinking to determine misconceptions. Task 1: Students in grades 2 – 5 will utilize Science Notebooks to organize materials and develop a resource for inquiry projects. 	Observation, progress checks through weekly grade level minutes	Principal, Teachers	2012-2013 school year	Notebooks		Professional Development & School improvement

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable					
Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate. Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 5: By 2013, Parental Involvement will improve by 10% over the previous year as measured by attendance at after-school and community events.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
Strategy 5: Implement research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals and AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning.	50%	70%	80%	90%	100%

	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
. Student Performance Indicator (s):	KG 60% (40%)	KG 65% (35%)	KG 70% (30%)	KG 75% (25%)	KG 80% (20%)
Grade levels will consistently increase parental involvement	1 st 60% (40%)	1st 65% (35%)	1st 70% (30%)	1st 75% (25%)	1 st 80% (20%)
until we reduce the percent of non-participation by 50% by	2 nd 60% (40%)	2 nd 65% (35%)	2 nd 70% (30%)	2 nd 75% (25%)	2 nd 80% (20%)
2016. Improvement in attendance at all events, will positively	3 rd 48% (52%)	3rd 54.5% (45.5%)	3rd 61% (39%)	3 rd 67.5% (32.5%)	3 rd 74% (26%)
impact academic achievement in all tested grades and content	4 th 48% (52%)	4th 54.5% (45.5%)	4th 61% (39%)	4 th 67.5% (32.5%)	4 th 74% (26%)
by 2016.	5 th 40% (60%)	5 th 47.5% (52.5%)	5th 55% (45%)	5 th 62.5% (37.5%)	5 th 70% (30%)
	6 th 40% (60%)	6 th 47.5% (52.5%)	6 th 55% (45%)	6th 62.5% (37.5%)	6 th 70% (30%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
--------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------	---------------------------

5.1 Teachers volunteer resources and guidance as needed					
Task 1: Staff will create a yearly calendar of events to add to newsletters and electronic calendar informing parents of upcoming events.	Newsletters, Improvements in Parent Involvement	Principal, Teachers	Summer 2012 and each month after through May 2013	Paper, copies	School Budget
Task 2: Grade Levels will divide up responsibilities for events to attract parents from various grade levels to attend events.	Meeting Agendas and Minutes	Principals, Teachers	September 2012		
Task 3: Staff will communicate with parents via homeschool agendas (planners) for daily needs and the Thursday folder.	Improvements on parent communication, Increased Parent Involvement	Principals, Teachers	August 2012 – June 2013	Agendas (planners), Thursday Folders	School Improvement

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer		d activities /iliaterials.	
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Funding Source Complete Budget Strip	Available Amount 2010-11 SIP Proj 1181-\$1,007.41 2012-13 SIP Proj 1183-\$2,750.00 Total SIP Available: \$3,757.41
Goal – Reading – Action Step 1.1.1	Weekly reading periodical for students to improve their skills in reading - \$1,136.96	0100.5100.0510.0401.1183	\$1,136.96
Goal – Math – Action Step 2.2.2 Goal – Parent Involvement – Action Step 5.1.3	Weekly reading periodical for students to improve their skills in math - \$1,613.04 Student planners and weekly folders to	0100.5100.0510.0401.1183	\$1,613.04
Godi Tarent involvement Tretion step 5.1.5	foster school/home communication - \$1,007.41	0100.5100.0510.0401.1181	\$1,007.41
Subtotal: \$3,757.41			
Professional Development			
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Funding Source Complete Budget Strip	Available Amount FTE – No Proj 0000 - \$1,347.50 Total PD Available: \$1,347.50
Conference/Workshop/Seminar/Institute/Online PD Goal and Action Step #(s) NA Title: NA	Mileage Meals Room NA	Budget Strip	
Location: NA Dates: NA Sponsoring Educational Institution: NA	Registration Substitute(s)	NA	NA
Professional Learning Community	Materials List and Cost:	Budget Strip	
Goal and Action Step #(s) – Reading /Action Step 1.1.1 Navigator Plus Activity Title: RVE 12-13 – PLC—The Daily Five	Professional Book (The Daily Five) for each participant - \$20 X 5=\$100.00	0100.6400.0590.0001.0000	\$100.00
Lesson Study Goal and Action Step #(s) –Reading/Action Step 1.4.1	Materials List & Cost: Substitutes for Lesson presentation and	Budget Strip	#100.00
Navigator Plus Activity Title RVE 12-13 – Lesson Study – InD Unique Learning	observation $-4 \times \$25 \times 1 \text{ hr} = \100.00	0420.6400.0140.0401.4013	\$100.00

School Workshop Goal and Action Step #(s) – Math/Action Step 2.1.1 Navigator Plus Activity Title: RVE 12-13 – Exemplars by Connie Lee, Curriculum Coach Goal and Action Step #(s) – Reading/Action Step 1.5.1 & Math/Action Step 2.1.2	Materials List and Cost: Math training materials - \$297.50 Consultant Fee: NA Consultant Travel Expenses: NA Substitutes: NA Stipends: 29 participants for 2 hours (29 x \$24 = \$696plus SS & Workmen's Comp) Materials List and Cost: Math training materials:	Budget Strip 0100.6400.0510.0401.0000 0100.6400.0120.0401.000	\$297.50 \$725.00
Navigator Plus Activity Title: Title I RVE 12-13 Common Core by Steve Doughty, Chris Roberts, Sue Tullous & Kristin Makar	Consultant Fee: NA Consultant Travel Expenses: NA Substitutes: Substitutes for lesson observations – 5 x \$25 x 1 hr = \$125 Stipends:	0420.6400.0140.0401.4013	\$125.00
Subtotal: \$1,347.50			
Other			
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Budget Strip	Available Amount
Subtotal: \$.00			
Grand Total: \$5,104.91			

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Υ	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting Math 2 & Writing 3			
	 Action Step # 2.1.1 & 3.1.1 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – Exemplars Dates of Activity: 9/19/2012 through ongoing Name of Consultant or Facilitator: Connie Lee Consultant Services Agreement - No Materials – Exemplar Notebooks & Copies 			
	Budget Items Required			
	 Action Step # 2.1.1 & 3.1.1 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – Exemplars Funding Source: 0100.6400.0510.0401.0000 (\$297.50) 0100.6400.0120.0401.000 (\$725.00) Cost of Consultant - NA Cost of Materials - \$297.50 Cost of Substitutes NA Cost of Stipends: \$725.00 			
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting <u>Reading 1 & Math 2</u>			
	 Action Step # 1.5.1 & 2.1.2 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – Common Core Dates of Activity: 9/25/2012 through ongoing Name of Consultant or Facilitator: Steve Doughty, Chris Roberts, Sue Tullous & Kristin Makar Consultant Services Agreement - No Materials – NA 			
	Budget Items Required			

Learning Community	 Action Step # 1.5.1 & 2.1.2 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – Common Core Funding Source: 0420.6400.0140.0401.4013 (\$125) Cost of Consultant - NA Cost of Materials - NA Cost of Substitutes \$125 Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting Reading 1 Action Step # 1.1.1 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – PLC – The Daily Five Dates of Activity: 10/1/2012 – 4/9/2013 Title of Book: The Daily Five
	Budget Items Required ■ Action Step # 1.1.1
	 Action Step # 1.1.1 Cost of Book: \$100.00 Source of Funding: 0100.6400.0590.0001.0000
Lesson Study	Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting Reading 1
	 Action Step # 1.4.1 Name of Activity: RVE 12-13 – Lesson Study InD Unique Learning Dates of Activity: 9/19/2012 – 9/20/2012 Teaching strategy or method to be researched: Unique Learning
	Budget Items Required
	 Action Step # 1.4.1 Cost of Teacher Materials: NA Substitutes: \$125.00 Funding Source: 0100.6400.0140.0401.0000
Timelines	

Start Date: August 2, 2012			
End date: May 15, 2013			
Way 13, 2013			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	\$ 1,347.50		
Project - Project - Project -			
Total Internal PD Budget (no project & project funds)	\$1,347.50		
Approvals: (Signature's required) Principal: Date://_ SAC Chair: Date:// Hilda Manning: Date:// Shannah Kosek: Date://			

External ChecklistTraining Not Provided by School/District

School Improve	ement Plan Supervisor:	Shannah Kosek	
Professional D	evelopment Assistant:	Hilda Manning	
Approval:	Yes No (For	office use only)	
Background			

Background:	Pro fes sio nal De vel op me nt is an inte gra I par t of the
	Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,

	cur		
	ren		
	t		
	inst		
	ruc		
	tion		
	al str		
	ate		
	gie		
	S		
	to		
	imp		
	rov		
	е		
	the		
	per		
	for		
	ma		
	nce of		
	the		
	""		
	stu		
	de		
	nts		
	ass		
	ign		
	ed		
	to		
	the m.		
Objectives	111.		
Objectives			
	Ye s	No	Comments

How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			
Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Time(s), Location			
Total Cost	0		
Needs School Board approval	0		
Complete budget line for expenses	0		
Name of facilitator/person responsible	0		
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Name of educational organization providing the training.			
Who will be trained?	0		
Date(s), Location	0		
Total Cost	0		
Complete budget line for expenses	0		

Name of facilitator/person responsible				
Timelines				
	Ye s	No	Comments	
Start Date August 2, 2012				
End Date September 21, 2012				
Budget				
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	0		\$	
Project -				
Project -				
Project -				
Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)				
Approvals: (Signature's required)				
Principal:			Date://	
SAC Chair:			Date:/	
Hilda Manning:			Date://	
Shannah Kosek:			Date://	