# Brevard County Public Schools School Improvement Plan <br> 2012-2013 

## Name of School:

Central<br>Sherwood Elementary

## Principal:

# Area Superintendent: 

Sandra Demmon

Cynthia Wilson

## SAC Chairperson:

Diana Schollmeyer

## Superintendent: Dr. Brian Binggeli

## Mission Statement:

Sherwood will be known for its emphasis on community relations, excellence in education, student service and our positive responsiveness in which everyone is treated with respect.

## Vision Statement:

The Sherwood Community will become responsible and self-confident lifelong learners that challenge themselves to reach their goals through academic and personal growth.
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# Brevard County Public Schools School Improvement Plan <br> 2012-2013 

## RATIONALE - Continuous Improvement Cycle Process

Data Analysis from multiple data sources: (Needs assessment that supports the need for improvement)
In 2010 FCAT Reading scores showed that 90 percent of students were at or above grade level, 73 percent made a year's worth of progress, and 63 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth. In Mathematics, scores showed that 83 percent of students were at or above grade level, 61 percent made a year's worth of progress, and 50 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth. In Science and Writing, 71 percent and 88 percent of students respectively met state standards. In 2011 FCAT Reading scores showed that 94 percent of students were reading at or above grade level (an increase of 4 percent), 74 percent made a year's worth of progress (an increase of 1 percent), and 74 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth (an increase of 11 percent). In Mathematics, scores showed that 88 percent of students were at or above grade level (an increase of 5 percent), 65 percent made a year's worth of progress (an increase of 4 percent), and 59 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth (an increase of 9 percent). In Science and Writing, 81 percent (an increase of 10 percent) and 79 percent (a decrease of 9 percent) of students respectively met state standards.
In 2012 FCAT Reading scores showed that 78 percent of students were reading at or above grade level (a decrease of 16 percent), 74 percent made a year's worth of progress (no change), and 60 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth (a decrease of 14 percent). In Mathematics, scores showed that 74 percent of students were at or above grade level (a decrease of 14 percent), 76 percent made a year's worth of progress (an increase of 11 percent), and 65 percent of the lowest 25 percent made a year's worth of growth (an increase of 6 percent). In Science and Writing, 66 percent (a decrease of 15 percent) and 90 percent (an increase of 11 percent) of students respectively met state standards. Twenty-two percent of the 64 students that attended our ASP program were retained or received Good Cause Exemptions from Mandatory Retention.
All this data shows that while Sherwood does well, there is still room to grow. We need to become a High Performing Learning Culture that promotes collaboration among teachers and that makes students more accountable for their own learning.

Best Practice: (What does research tell us we should be doing as it relates to data analysis above?)
The best practices that we have researched were ones from our training, Creating a High Performing Learning Culture, B. E. S. T., and in our book study of Marzano's Classroom Instruction that Works. In his article, The Key to Improved Teaching and Learning, Dr. Rick DuFour restated that "After synthesizing over 800 meta-analyses on the factors that impact student achievement, John Hattie concluded that the best way to improve schools was to organize teachers into collaborative teams that clarify what each student must learn and the indicators of learning the team will track, to gather evidence of that learning on an ongoing basis, and to analyze the results together so that they could learn which instructional strategies were working and which were not. In other words, he urged schools to function as Professional Learning Communities. Robert Marzano came to a similar conclusion when he described the PLC concept
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as "one of the most powerful initiatives for school improvement I have seen in the last decade." The quality of the individual teacher remains paramount in student learning, and the PLC concept is our best strategy for creating the system that ensures more good teaching in more classrooms more of the time". In Classroom Instruction that Works: Research-based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement, Robert Marzano (2001) and his colleagues identify nine high-yield instructional strategies through a meta-analysis of over 100 independent studies. They determined that these nine strategies have the greatest positive affect on student achievement for all students, in all subject areas, at all grade levels.

Analysis of Current Practice: (How do we currently conduct business?)
At Sherwood Elementary, we currently conduct individual grade level and faculty meetings on a regular weekly basis. Here, policies, procedures, student data, and curriculum ideas are shared. Sherwood's teachers understand that we perform well in FCAT and that the majority of our students are meeting proficiency based on our current FCAT data and trends.
Sherwood's students with disabilities and English Language Learners participate in the inclusion model. Sherwood's gifted students participate in a one day a week enrichment program. Our school also provides several before and after school learning opportunities and clubs. Sherwood teachers, administration and guidance counselor meet every three weeks as Teacher Data Teams to discuss the progress of our students in reading and math intervention. We determine if they are making progress or we need to proceed to Tier III or I.P.S.T. Sherwood's teachers are committed to student success. Therefore, we believe that our school can improve our BEST practices, collegiality, and our student achievement by improving the effectiveness of PLCs and increasing the use of Marzano’s High Yield Instructional Strategies.

|  | Page 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

CONTENT AREA:

| Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Parental <br> Involvement | Drop-out Programs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Language <br> Arts | Social <br> Studies | Arts/PE | Other: |  |  |

School Based Objective: (Action statement: What will we do to improve programmatic and/or instructiona/ effectiveness?)
Sherwood Elementary will continue to develop a High Performing Learning Culture by increasing effectiveness of our Professional Learning Communities and by using Marzano's High Yield Instructional Strategy, Summarizing and Notetaking.

Strategies: (Small number of action oriented staff performance objectives)

| Barrier | Action Steps | Person <br> Responsible | Timetable | Budget | In-Process <br> Measure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Some <br> teachers were <br> not trained <br> in and some <br> teachers need <br> refreshers <br> Marzano's <br> What Works in <br> Schools. | 1. Train teachers <br> in Marzano's <br> What Works in <br> Schools. | Administration and <br> teachers | August-December <br> 2012 | $\$ 300.00$ | In-service records |
|  | 2. Teachers <br> will model <br> summarization <br> techniques, <br> identify key <br> concepts, bullets, <br> outlines, clusters, <br> narrative <br> organizers, <br> journal <br> summaries, <br> quick writes <br> and graphic <br> organizers. | Teachers | August 2012-May <br> 2013 |  | Classroom <br> observation |
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## EVALUATION - Outcome Measures and Reflection

Qualitative and Quantitative Professional Practice Outcomes: (Measures the level of implementation of the professional practices throughout the school)
We will administer a survey of teachers regarding a High Performing school at the beginning of the year and again at the end of the year to see if their attitude has improved on the effectiveness of our work toward becoming a Professional Learning Community.
Lesson plans, classroom observations, FCAT scores, FAIR information, and district required assessments will be used to monitor professional growth.

Qualitative and Quantitative Student Achievement Expectations: (Measures of student achievement)
A survey will be given to students in the Fall and the Spring to about the summarizing techniques they have learned.
Data notebooks, FCAT scores, FAIR information, and district required assessments will be used to show academic growth.

## APPENDIX A

## (ALL SCHOOLS)

|  | 2012 Current Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects i.e. $\mathbf{2 8 \%}=129$ students) | 2013 Expected <br> Level of <br> Performance <br> (Enter percentage <br> information and the number of students that $31 \%=1134$ students) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Barriers: $1 .$ |  |  |
| Strategies: $1 .$ |  |  |
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| FCAT 2.0 <br> Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 <br> Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and note-taking. <br> Strategies: <br> 1. Ensure that students maintain their own data logs and track their progress. <br> 2. Share data log examples. <br> 3. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques. <br> 4. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Note-taking techniques. | 29\% (85) | 36\% (99) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in Reading <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| FCAT 2.0 <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Reading <br> Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and Note-taking. <br> Strategies: <br> 1. Instruct students how to choose appropriate materials (AR Level or SRI Lexile Levels). <br> 2. Incorporate more interesting non-fiction as a choice in the reading curriculum. <br> 3. Monitor student selection of non-fiction through entries in their data notebooks. <br> 4. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques. <br> 5. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Note-taking techniques. | 47\% (138) | 50\% (138) |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Reading <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students making learning gains in Reading <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
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## FCAT 2.0

Percentage of students in lowest 25\% making learning gains in Reading
Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and Note-taking.

Strategy(s):

1. Utilize student data notebooks in the classroom and teach students to be more accountable for their learning. Ensure the students note their target goals for each grading period.
2. Offer reading ASP to eligible students.
3. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques.
4. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Note-taking techniques.

Florida Alternate Assessment:
Percentage of students in Lowest 25\% making learning gains in Reading
Barrier(s):
Strategy(s):
1.

Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50\%:

## Baseline data 2010-11:

Student subgroups by ethnicity NOT making satisfactory progress in reading :

| White: <br> Black: <br> Hispanic: <br> Asian: <br> American Indian: | performance <br> NA <br> 50\% (7) <br> 67\% (31) <br> 85\% (12) <br> NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & 81 \%(12) \\ & 78 \%(37) \\ & 92 \%(12) \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Reading Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. | NA | NA |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Reading Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. | 40\% (19) | 58\% (15) |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in Reading <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. | 68\% (77) | 73\% (80) |
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## Reading Professional Development

| PD Content/Topic/Focus | Target Dates/ <br> Schedule | Strategy(s) for follow-up/monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Performing Learning Culture | Preplanning, <br> October 12, and <br> Training | Inservice Records <br> Grade Level Committee Meetings |
| Summarizing and Note-taking <br> training/refresher | Review Marzano's | Classroom observations |
|  | High Effect <br> Strategies <br> By October 2012 |  |


| CELLA GOAL | Anticipated <br> Barrier | Strategy | Person/Process/ <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students <br> Proficient in Listening/ <br> Speaking: | Students <br> reticent about <br> speaking <br> English. | Provide students with more <br> opportunities to listen/speak during <br> class time. | Teacher |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students <br> Proficient in Reading: | Students not <br> practicing <br> reading at <br> home. | Provide families with 100-Book <br> Challenge materials on a more <br> frequent basis. | Teacher |
| $31 \%$ (5) | Students not <br> having enough <br> writing practice. | Provide more time for writing across <br> all curriculum areas. | Teacher |
| $38 \%$ (6) Current Percent of Students |  |  |  |


| Mathematics Goal(s): | 2012 Current <br> Level of <br> Performance <br> (Enter | 2013 Expected <br> Level of <br> Percentage <br> Pnformation and <br> the number of <br> students that <br> percentage <br> reflects) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Enter percentage <br> information and <br> the number of <br> students that <br> percentage <br> reflects) |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
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| FCAT 2.0 <br> Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 <br> Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and Note-taking. <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. Identify and analyze data to identify students' strengths and weaknesses. <br> 2. Provide remediation and enrichment for students during math class and Tier II time. <br> 3. Offer ASP Math to eligible students. <br> 4. Utilize student data notebooks to teach students to be more accountable for the own learning. For each grade period, ensure that students note their target goals and their progress toward these goals <br> 5. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques. <br> 6. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Notetaking techniques. | 35\% (103) | 43\% (117) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in Mathematics <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| FCAT 2.0 <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Mathematics <br> Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and Note-taking. <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. Analyze data to identify areas of weakness. <br> 2. Provide enrichment for students during math class and Tier II time. <br> 3. Utilize student data notebooks to teach students to be more accountable for the own learning. For each grading period, ensure that students note their target goals and their progress toward these goals. <br> 4. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques <br> 5. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Notetaking techniques | 43\% (126) | 51\% (140) |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Mathematics Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students making learning Gains in Mathematics <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
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| FCAT 2.0 <br> Percentage of students in lowest 25\% making learning gains in Mathematics <br> Barrier(s): We have not used student data notebooks in the past nor have we put an emphasis on Summarizing and Note-taking. <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. Identify these students. <br> 2. Offer ASP or Morning Math Help to these students. <br> 3. Utilize student data notebooks to teach students to be more accountable for their won learning. For each grading period, ensure that students note their target goals and their progress towards these goals. <br> 4. Teach students various Summarizing and Note-taking techniques <br> 5. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Notetaking techniques | 65\% (25) | 70\% (27) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students in Lowest 25\% making learning gains in <br> Mathematics <br> Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50\%: <br> Baseline Data 2010-11: |  |  |
| Student subgroups by ethnicity NOT making satisfactory progress in math : <br> White: <br> Black: <br> Hispanic: <br> Asian: <br> American Indian: | NA <br> 58\% (8) <br> 63\% (30) <br> 77\% (11) <br> NA | NA <br> 68\% (5) <br> 69\% (33) <br> 83\% (11) <br> NA |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics | NA | NA |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics | 33\% (16) | 48\% (13) |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics | NA | NA |

## Mathematics Professional Development

| PD Content/Topic/Focus | Target Dates/ <br> Schedule | Strategy(s) for follow-up/monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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High Performing Learning Culture Training

Summarizing and Note-taking training/refresher

Preplanning,<br>October 12, and<br>February 22<br>Review Marzano's<br>High Effect<br>Strategies<br>By October 2012

Inservice Records
Grade Level Committee Meetings

Classroom observations

| Writing <br> Students will communicate effectively through written expression. | 2012 Current Level <br> of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrier(s): Weakness in the use of writing conventions and enriched vocabulary <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. Unpack Common Core State Standards in Writing during Collaborative Team meetings. <br> 2. Implement new instructional techniques to enrich vocabulary and reinforce proper use of conventions. <br> 3. Increase the use of writing across the curriculum. <br> 4. Teach students various Summarizing and Notetaking techniques <br> 5. Give students time to practice Summarizing and Note-taking techniques |  |  |
| FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement level 3.0 and higher in writing | 85\% (62) | 96\% (65) |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing |  |  |
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| Science Goal(s) <br> (Elementary and Middle) <br> 1. To improve our students' scientific knowledge through hands on exploration | 2012 Current Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the that percentage reflects) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Science Goal(s) (High School) <br> 1. | 2012 Current Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 <br> in Science |  |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Science |  |  |
| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> White: <br> Black: <br> Hispanic: <br> Asian: <br> American Indian: |  |  |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
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## APPENDIX B

## (SECONDARY SCHOOLS ONLY)

| Algebra 1 EOC Goal | 2012 Current Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Students scoring at Achievement level 3 in Algebra: |  |  |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra: |  |  |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50\%: Baseline Data 2010-11 |  |  |
| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> White: <br> Black: <br> Hispanic: |  |  |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra |  |  |
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| Geometry EOC Goal | 2012 Current Level of Performance(Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrier(s): <br> Strategy(s): <br> 1. |  |  |
| Students scoring at Achievement level 3 in Geometry: |  |  |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry: |  |  |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50\%: Baseline Data 2010-11 |  |  |
| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. <br> White: <br> Black: <br> Hispanic: |  |  |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry |  |  |
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\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Biology EOC } \\
\text { Goal }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2012 Current } \\
\text { Level of } \\
\text { Performance } \\
\text { (Enter } \\
\text { percentage } \\
\text { information } \\
\text { and the } \\
\text { number of } \\
\text { students that } \\
\text { percentage } \\
\text { reflects) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2013 } \\
\text { Expected } \\
\text { Level of } \\
\text { Performance } \\
\text { (Enter }\end{array}
$$ <br>
percentage <br>
information <br>
and the <br>
number of <br>
students that <br>
percentage <br>

reflects)\end{array}\right] |\)| Students scoring <br> at Achievement <br> level 3 in Biology: |
| :--- |
| Students scoring <br> at or above <br> Achievement <br> Levels 4 and 5 in <br> Biology: |


| Civics EOC | 2012 Current <br> Level of <br> Performance <br> (Enter | 2013 <br> Expected <br> Level of <br> Performance <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> percentage <br> information <br> and the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Enter <br> number of <br> information <br> atudents that | and the <br> number of <br> percentage <br> students that <br> reflects) | percentage |


|  |  | reflects) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students scoring <br> at Achievement <br> level 3 in Civics: |  |  |
| Students scoring <br> at or above |  |  |
| Achievement |  |  |
| Levels 4 and 5 in |  |  |
| Civics: |  |  |


| U.S. History EOC | 2012 Current Level of Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) | 2013 <br> Expected Level of <br> Performance (Enter percentage information and the number of students that percentage reflects) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students scoring at Achievement level 3 in U. S. History: |  |  |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U. S. History: |  |  |


| Science, Technology, <br> Engineering, and <br> Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s) | Anticipated <br> Barrier | Strategy | Person/Process/ <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Based on the analysis of school data, <br> identify and define areas in need of <br> improvement: <br> Goal 1: |  |  |  |
| Goal 2: |  |  |  |


| Career and Technical <br> Education (CTE) Goal(s) | Anticipated <br> Barrier | Strategy | Person/Process/Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Goal 1:

Goal 2: $\square$

| Additional Goal(s) | Anticipated <br> Barrier | Strategy | Person/Process/Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Based on the analysis of school data, <br> identify and define areas in need of <br> improvement: <br> Goal 1: |  |  |  |
| Goal 2: |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

## (TITLE 1 SCHOOLS ONLY)

## Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.
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|  |  | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-offield and/or who are not highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are <br> teaching out-of-field/and who are not highly <br> effective | Provide the strategies that are being <br> implemented to support the staff in becoming <br> highly effective |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

For the following areas, please write a brief narrative that includes the data for the year 2011-12 and a description of changes you intend to incorporate to improve the data for the year 2012-13.

MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS)/RtI (Identify the MTSS leadership team and it role in development and implementation of the SIP along with data sources, data management and how staff is trained in MTSS) Our MTSS school based leadership team consists of our principal, assistant principal, literacy coach,
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teachers specializing in our content areas, and our guidance counselor. Our school psychologist, behavior analyst, and speech/language pathologist are also part of our team when an area being assessed involves their area of expertise.
The school based MTSS leadership team uses the problem solving process to make informed decisions concerning school wide implementation and changes to instruction, curriculum, and environment based on data. This team meets monthly to discuss current trends seen among assessments given. The leadership team then works with the teacher data team to utilize the problem solving process to meet academic and behavioral needs of students. Teacher Data Teams meet every three weeks to discuss the progress of students based on assessments and their intervention data. Students who are still not responding are sent to the Individual Problem Solving Team, which focuses on problem solving for the individual learner.
The MTSS Leadership Team is involved with the school improvement plan in addressing the needs of our students who fall in the lowest $25 \%$ in reading and math. The goals of our school improvement plan deal directly with how our MTSS process works which is to look at our data, assess our areas of need, and determine ways to intervene and meet the needs of our students.

## PARENT INVOLVEMENT:

Ninety-four percent of parents who responded stated that the best way to communicate with them is through email. Many of our teachers have their students' parents' email addresses. We will investigate the possibility of setting up a school-wide distribution list with the aid of our technology associate.

ATTENDANCE: (Include current and expected attendance rates, excessive absences and tardies) The principal monitors attendance daily. Every $41 / 2$ weeks parents are notified of excessive tardies and absences. We have been above $95 \%$ every year until last year when we fell to $94.92 \%$. This year the principal will bring students to the attention of our MTSS team in addition to her parent notifications.

## SUSPENSION:

Sherwood recorded 135 discipline incidents last year that involved 53 students. Of those we had 20 suspension days which involved 13 students. Most of these were referred to IPST to write a discipline plan specific for the students' needs. We also referred students to our Behavior Analyst for additional assistance.

## DROP-OUT (High Schools only):

POSTSECONDARY READINESS: (How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.)
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