Florida Department of Education



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Arlington Middle School	District Name: Duval
Principal: Linda Lisella	Superintendent: Ed Pratt Dannals
SAC Chair: Glenn Mitchell	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Linda Lisella	 BA English MA English Education Ed. Leadership, all levels 	6	16	Was principal of Windy Hill Elementary School for two years. The school grade improved from a C to B with a 41 point gain. Did not meet AYP for the 2007-2008 school year. Became principal of Arlington Middle school in the 08-09 school year. The school grade increased by 25 during that year. During the 2009-2010 school year the following groups made gains: 54% meeting high standards in math, 70% making gains in math, 67% BQ making gains in reading and 70% of BQ making gains in math. Raised school grade by 18 points to a B for 2010-2011. School grade dropped to a C for the 2011-2012 school year.
Assistant Principal	Tracy Carter	 Social Studies, 6-12 Ed. Leadership, all levels 	19	10	Helped Arlington Middle show a steady increase in state scores with at 25 point gain on the 2008-2009 FCAT. Did not meet AYP for the 2008-2009 school year. During the 2009-2010 school year the following groups made gains: 54% meeting high standards in math, 70% making gains in math, 67% of BQ making gains in reading and 70% of BQ making gains in math. Raised school grade by 18 points to a B for 2010-2011. School grade dropped to a C for the 2011-2012 school year,
Assistant Principal	Alesha Seabrooks	 ESE K-12 Ed. Leadership, all levels K-12 	16	8	Helped Arlington Middle show a steady increase in state scores with at 25 point gain on the 2008-2009 FCAT. Did not meet AYP for the 2008-2009 school year. During the 2009-2010 school year the following groups made gains: 54% meeting high standards in math, 70% making gains in math, 67% of BQ making gains in reading and 70% of BQ making gains in math. Raised school grade by 18 points to a B for 2010-2011. School grade dropped to a C for the 2011-2012 school year,
Assistant Principal	Jason Bloom	 English 6-12 Ed. Leadership, all levels K-12 	6	2	Was standards coach Helped Arlington Middle show a steady increase in state scores with at 25 point gain on the 2008-2009 FCAT Did not meet AYP for the 2008-2009 school year. During the 2009-2010 school year the following groups made gains: 54% meeting high standards in math, 70% making gains in math, 67% of BQ making gains in reading and 70% of BQ making gains in math. Raised school grade by 18 points to a B for 2010-2011. School grade dropped to a C for the 2011-2012 school year.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math	Selena Bryant	B.S. in Business Management and a M.S. in Education Certified Mathematics 5-9	1st year	2 years	In the 2009-2010 school year, 66% of students made gains in mathematics and 66% of bottom quartile made gains. In the 2010-2011 school year, 63% of students made gains in mathematics and 71% of bottom quartile students made gains. Both years the school grade was a D but adequate yearly progress was made in mathematics.
Reading	Jennifer Crespo	English 6-12	1	1	Taught ELA in a turn-around high school
Standards Coach	Mark Chatmon	Educational Leadership- All Levels	1	1	Math coach n a turn-around elementary school for six years

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. On-site, quality professional development	Administrative team Academic Interventionists Academic Content Coaches	6-30-2013
2. An effective foundations team that provides assistance	Foundations Team	6-30-2013
3. Critical friend mentors are assigned before preplanning	Administrative team	8-20-12012

4. Regular visits from district core coaches	Administrative team	6-30-2013
5. Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in all Core	Administrative team	6-30-2013
6. A course master that supports the PLC work	Administrative team	6-30-2013
7. Onsite core academic coaches	Administrative team	6-30-2013

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
54	9% [5]	16% [9]	16% [9]	13% [7]	63% [29]	NA	16% [9]	1	22% [12]

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
-----------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

Jennifer Crespo	Kaci Tyler	Teacher will be in MINT for a minimum of 2 years, with four observations each year. The Reading Coach, Mrs. Crespo, has the flexibility to mentor and observe and the content experience to support Mrs. Tyler's development.	Accomplished Practices documentation, Classroom Mgmt., Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling by Mrs. Crespo and observations of other experienced teachers.
Stephanie DeVoe	Kari Huwel	Teacher will be in MINT for a minimum of 1 year, with two observations for the first year. Ms. DeVoe is a specialist with Day-treatment students and will be the best fit for observations and assistance with supporting Ms. Huwel, Ms. Huwel will also have a co-teacher with CET training to assist where needed.	Accomplished Practices documentation, Classroom Mgmt., Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling by Mrs. Abella and Mrs. DeVoe and observations of other experienced teachers.
Mark Chatmon	Micholette Perry	Ms. Perry will be in MINT for a minimum of 2 years, with four observations each year. The Sixth Grade Interventionist, Mr. Chatmon, will have the flexibility to provide the required observations and feedback Ms. Perry will need as a novice teacher. He also has experience in teaching Science, and so will be able to provide content support as well.	Accomplished Practices documentation, Classroom Mgmt., Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling by Mr. Chatmon and observations of other experienced teachers.
Catrice Thomas	Michael Hays	Mr. Hays will be in MINT for a minimum of 2 years, with four observations each year. Ms. Thomas is CET trained, has served as PDF in the past, and has the content experience to provide supportive feedback to Mr. Hays regarding the learning environment and content. Ms. Bryant will be able to provide additional support as needed through the Math Coach position.	Accomplished Practices documentation, Classroom Mgmt., Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling by Ms Thomas and Mrs. Bryant, observations of other experienced teachers, training with the PLC model of planning

Gary Mericle	John Booth	Mr. Booth will be in MINT for a minimum	Accomplished Practices
		of 1 year, with two observations each year.	documentation, Classroom Mgmt.,
		Mr. Mericle is CET trained and a content	Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling
		specialist with Day-treatment students;	by other EBD teachers and
		thus best able to provide observations and	observations of other experienced
		feedback that would be beneficial to Mr.	teachers.
		Booth as a teacher for students with special	
		learning needs.	
Susan Wyly	Alison Lino	Ms. Lino will be in MINT for a minimum	Accomplished Practices
		of 1 year, with two observations each	documentation, Classroom Mgmt.,
		year. Ms Wyly has the content experience	Curriculum and Strategies, Modeling
		and flexibility to provide the needed	by Mrs. Crespo and observations of
		observations and feedback that would the	other experienced teachers.
		most beneficial for Ms. Lino.	

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Money funded 6 academic coaches
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
The I, Part D
Title II
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
violence rrevention rrograms
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
W 100
Head Start
Adult Education
Addit Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training

Other

Free and Reduced and Breakfast in the Classroom

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The RtI Team consists of the following members:

Assistant Principal(s) of Alpha and Omega House

Math Coach

Instructional Coach/Interventionist

Reading Coach

School Counselors

General Education and ESE Teachers of current and potential RtI students

Parent/Guardian

Other support team members include the school psychologist, speech and language pathologist (SLP), school social worker, and ESE support staff will attend on an as needed basis.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Referral Process

Teachers, school staff, and/or parents may refer the students to the RtI Team with an academic and/or behavioral issue

Meeting Process

The school counselor will meet with the student's grade level team with the assistant principal to determine the main problem areas and develop an appropriate plan of action. The plan should be reviewed every 2 weeks with documentation to support whether the plan is effective. If the plan is effective, the interventions will continue and the RtI process will eventually be phased out. If ineffective, the plan will be revised and the process continues until successful or requires further action through the ESE process.

Roles/Functions

Assistant Principal(s) of Alpha and Omega House will assist the team in gathering documentation from the student's team of teachers.

Math Coach will assist the team in developing appropriate math goals and strategies.

Instructional Coach/Interventionist will assist the team in developing appropriate behavioral goals and strategies.

Reading Coach will assist the team in developing appropriate reading/language arts goals and strategies.

School Counselors will assist the team in creating the agenda, scheduling the meetings, and maintaining the supporting documentation.

General Education and ESE Teachers of current and potential RtI students will implement the plan and report the results.

Parent/Guardian will assist the team in providing insight regarding the student's past behaviors and interventions used in the past that were successful.

Other support team members include the school psychologist, school social worker, speech and language pathologist (SLP), and ESE support staff will attend on as needed basis.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

MTSS Implementation

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI team members all have a integral roles that encompasses the workings of the entire school in that the majority of the members are on the school wide Leadership Team and are currently assessing the effectiveness of the curriculum, safety nets, and school discipline in regards to enhancing academic achievement.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI team members all have a integral roles that encompasses the workings of the entire school in that the majority of the members are on the school wide Leadership Team and are currently assessing the effectiveness of the curriculum, safety nets, and school discipline in regards to enhancing academic achievement.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Progress will be reported to the leadership team lead by the principal during the weekly Leadership meetings on Mondays.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Reading Coach

7th Grade Intensive Reading Teacher

6th Grade Intensive Reading Teacher

8th Grade Intensive Reading Teacher

Reading Interventionist

Exceptional Student Education Intensive Reading Teacher

Advanced Reading Teacher

6th Grade ELA

6th Grade ELA

Social Studies Teacher

Principal

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

- Meeting time: 3rd Friday of the month at 8:45 AM
- Reviews school-wide reading data: FAIR, QAR, Running Records
 - a. Monitors the implementation of Running Records for all students in Intensive and Advanced Reading classes. Confirms reflection data keeping of students regarding performance with each fluency assessment.
 - b. Monitors positive treatment of FAIR testing and testing of all students in the school.
 - c. Confirms that all contents and grades are utilizing school-wide reading strategies and student monitoring of incoming data.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

- Meeting time: 3rd Friday of the month at 8:45 AM
- Reviews school-wide reading data: FAIR, QAR, Running Records
 - a. Monitors the implementation of Running Records for all students in Intensive and Advanced Reading classes. Confirms reflection data keeping of students regarding performance with each fluency assessment.
 - b. Monitors positive treatment of FAIR testing and testing of all students in the school.
 - c. Confirms that all contents and grades are utilizing school-wide reading strategies and student monitoring of incoming data.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S
For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personall meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1	1	r	T	_		1	Ĭ
1A. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.Stud		1a.1.Assistant Principal	1a.1Progressive increase	•	Bi-weekly PLC data		
	ents need		Curriculum and Reading	in student achievement		chats		
Achievement Level 3			Coach	scores on the following	•	Student portfolio		
in reading.	assistance in			assessments:		reviews		
	reading and	reading class		 District 	•	LSA data		
	higher order	per the Just		Benchmarks	•	FAIR data		
		Read Florida		 School-based 	•	Performance task		
	skills.	scheduling		monitoring plan		reviews		
		guide.		• LSAs	•	Mastery of 25-book		
		Students in		• FAIR.		standard		
		7 th and 8 th				2 111 1111- 1		
		grade will						
		be placed						
		in standard						
		or advanced						
		ELA classes						
		that increase						
		the rigor						
		of the						
		content and						
		emphasize						
		higher order						
D 1: C 1//14	2012 Current	thinking. 2013 Expected			┿			
Reading Goal #1A:	Level of	Level of						
39% of students	Performance:*	Performance:*						
achieved proficiency								
on the FCAT2.0								
test during the 11-								
12 testing year. It is								
expected that for the								
12-13 testing cycle, that at least 46% of								
					1			
students will achieve								
proficiency.								

	39% [284]	46% [316]					
		not maintain	1a.2. Teachers will maintain the level of rigor needed in the classroom to achieve well on more rigorous assessments like the FCAT 2.0 assessment.	1a.2. Reading Coach and Administration	assessments: District Benchmarks School-based monitoring plan	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	
				(DATA).	teacher instructional	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1B:	1B.1. NA NA 2012 Current	1B.1. 2013 Expected	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		4
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					

data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
this box.	this box.					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.	classes do not provide the	2a.1. Schedule into Honors ELA and US History classes.	2a.1. Assistant Principal for Curriculum	2a.1. Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments:	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	
12% of students achieved a 4 or higher on the Reading FCAT 2.0 during the 11-12 testing cycle. For the 12-13 testing cycle, 25% need to achieve a 4 or higher and students already scoring 4 or higher need to make gains	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	12% (97)	25% (200)				

	2a.2. Students need support outside of their ELA classes with Reading and Writing strategies.	content	2a.2 Reading Coach and Administration.	2a.2.Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments:	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard Completion of NGCAR-PD certificate and use of Comprehensive Instructional Sequence (CIS) lesson plans contentarea teachers) 		
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1. NA	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Sualegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Wollitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1. Students	3a.1.Students	3a.1. Assistant Principal for	3a.1. Progressive increase in	Bi-weekly PLC data chats	
		will be	Curriculum	student achievement scores on the	· ·	
Percentage of		scheduled		following assessments:	Student portfolio reviews	
students making		according to		District Benchmarks	LSA data EALB 1	
learning gains in	comprehension	their needs and		 School-based 	• FAIR data	
reading.	and skill	assessment		monitoring plan	Performance task reviews Mantager of 25 hards	
- cuding		scores. All		Running records	 Mastery of 25-book standard 	
	that cannot be	Level 1s and		• FAIR.	Standard	
	provided in the					
	ELA classroom.					
		in an Intensive				
		Reading				
		program while				
		all fluent Level				
		2s and above				
		will be placed				
		with Social				
		Studies teachers				
		who have				
		been CAR-PD				
		trained.				
Reading Goal #3A:		2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
60% of students made gains	Performance:*	Performance:*				
during the 11-12 testing						
cycle. Next year, students						
will receive support in						
reading in all their contents						
so that we may achieve						
85% of students achieving						
learning gains		0.507.57.507				
	72% [586]	85% [670]				

	literacy plan. Teachers will work on fluenc strategies and testing skills to help students with successful assessment completion.	Administration.	3a.2.Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments:	 Student portion reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 		
	3a.3.Teachers will examine curriculum use with students already at proficiency and will increase th rigor as needed to help move students to thei next learning level.	i e	3a.3. Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments: District Benchmarks School-based monitoring plan FAIR. District Timed Writes	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	3A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	rrent 2013 Expected Level of		3B.1.	3B.1.		

current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
4A. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1. Past	4a.1 Teachers	4a.1.District Executive Director for		 Bi-weekly PLC data chats 	
Percentage of		will use a	reading	student achievement scores on the	Student portfolio reviews	
U		more rigorous		following assessments:	LSA data	
students in lowest	curriculum was		School-based Reading coach	 District Benchmarks 	FAIR data	
25% making	not rigorous	modify current		 School-based 	Performance task reviews	
learning gains in	enough to assist			monitoring plan	Mastery of 25-book	
reading.		when needed		 Running records 	standard	
cading.		to increase the		• FAIR.	Sulluaru	
	higher difficulty					
		will provide				
		on-going				
		professional				
		development				
		throughout the				
		school year				
		in addition to				
		the training				
		provided by the				
		district during				
		the summer and				
		preplanning on				
		how to best use				
		the provided				
		curriculum.				
Reading Goal #4A:		2013 Expected				
		Level of				
Increase rigor by changing	Performance:*	Performance:*				
to the Edge Curriculum; a						
research-based program that	t					
promotes reading fluency as						
well as basic skill building.						
To increase the percent of						
students making learning						
gains in reading, all content	t					
area teachers will adhere to						
school-wide literacy plan						
				•		

	128 total students: 6 th grade-	6 th grade- 86[85%] 7 th grade -72					
	41(79%)	[85%]					
	7th grade -	8 th grade					
	38(79%)	65 [85%]					
	8 th grade- 49(60%)						
	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2. Progressive increase in	Bi-weekly PLC data chats	4A.2.	
	Students need	Professional		student achievement scores on the	Student portfolio reviews		
	reading support	development for	School-based reading coach	following assessments:	LSA data		
	in all their	entire staff on all components	School-based standards coachAdministration	District BenchmarksSchool-based	FAIR data		
	content classes.	of the school-	Administration	monitoring plan	Performance task reviews		
		wide literacy		 Running records 	Mastery of 25-book standard		
		plan		• FAIR.	Statiuaru		
		Monitor					
		implementation					
		of reading					
		strategies in					
		content classes					
		for student					
		achievement not compliance					
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
	HD.1.	4D.1.	HB.1.	AD.1.	HD.1.		
Alternate	NA						
Assessment:							
Percentage of						l	
students in lowest						l	
25% making						l	
learning gains in						l	
reading.	2012.0	2012 F					
Reading Goal #4B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
0							

data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 46%	51	55	60	64	69	73
Reading Goal #5A: At the end of the 2010-2011 school year, 46% of our students scored proficiency on the FCAT Reading Assessment. It is our goal that by the end of the 2016-2017 school year, that 73% of our students will score proficient.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5B.1. Students need extended time to complete tasks and other assignments that will help improve their performance.	5B.1 Provide additional time on task for reading through content classes and after school programs. Teachers will use the school-wide reading plan. CHAMPs will also be enforced to maximize instructional time.		4a.2. Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments: District Benchmarks School-based monitoring plan Running records FAIR.	 4 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 		

Reading Goal #5B: The expectation is the same for the subgroup as it is for the predominate group: students will receive support in reading in all their content-area classes so that we may achieve 85% of students achieving learning gains	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: 31% [137] Hispanic: 34% [21) Asian: 50% (8) ELL: 3%	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White:63% [145] Black: 43% [191] Hispanic: 48% [30] Asian: 58% [9] ELL: 26% [7] SWD:32% [42] ED: 46% [292]					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
Language Learners	Students do not	ELL atudomta	Guidance counselor	Progressive increase in student	Bi-weekly PLC data chats	
(ELL) not making	have a sufficient	will be paired		achievement scores on the	Student portfolio reviews	
satisfactory progress	level of English	with one of 8	ELL and the paraprofessional		LSA data	
in reading.			Reading coach	District Benchmarks	FAIR data	
		have received their ESOL	Administration	 School-based monitoring plan 	Performance task reviewsMastery of 25-book	
		certification or		Running records	standard	
		endorsement to		• FAIR.		
		best supplement their curriculum				
		with ESOL				
	assessment.	appropriate				
		strategies.				
Reading Goal #5C:		2013 Expected Level of				
ELL students at reading		Performance:*				
proficiency levels 1-4 have						
yet to develop sufficient						
academic language required to fully comprehend the						
FCAT 2.0."						
	77% [104]	82% [110]				

		of Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency	Teachers and parents will have access to online resources designed for ELL students which includes the following: Universal Design for Learning (multiple means of representation, engagement and expression), WIDA Can-Do descriptors, and SIOP based teaching/learning strategies.	 Parents Guidance counselor responsible for monitoring ELL and the paraprofessional Reading coach Administration 	SC.2 Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments: District Benchmarks School-based monitoring plan Running records FAIR.	SC.2 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	intensive reading curriculum was not rigorous enough to assist students with performing on higher difficulty tests.	will use a more rigorous curriculum and modify current curriculum when needed to increase the	School-based Reading coach	5D.1.Progressive increase in student achievement scores on the following assessments: District Benchmarks School-based monitoring plan Running records FAIR.	•	Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard		
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*						
					5D.2		5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3	3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Lvaluation 1001	
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:	5D 1	5D 1	en i	5D 1	5D 1	
e z v z comonneum j	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged	Extended time	Provide	Reading Coach	Progressive increase in student	Bi-weekly PLC data chats	
students not making	on task	additional time	Achievers for Life Advocate	achievement scores on the	 Student portfolio reviews 	
satisfactory progress			Administration	following assessments:	LSA data	
in reading.		reading through		 District Benchmarks 	 FAIR data 	
8		content classes		 School-based 	 Performance task reviews 	
		and after school		monitoring plan	 Mastery of 25-book 	
		programs		Running recordsFAIR.	standard	
		School-wide		▼ FAIK.		
		literacy plan				
		interacy plan				
		Provide access				
		to technology				
		before and after				
		school				
Reading Goal #5E:		2013 Expected				
		Level of				
80% of the school	Performance:*	Performance:*				
population is economically						
disadvantaged. This is the						
largest sub-group.						
It is expected they						
will make gains equal						
to students not in the						
subgroup.						
	41%(335)	75%[600)				
				l		

5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
					SE.4.	
Students	are School has	Administration	Progressive increase in student	Bi-weekly PLC data chats		
unable to	gone to a	Guidance	motivation and classroom success	Student portfolio reviews		
come pro	pared uniform policy		on teacher and District created	LSA data		
physical	y and that will help		assessments.	• FAIR data		
emotion				 Performance task reviews 		
in a man				 Mastery of 25-book 		
	itable burden of			standard		
for acade						
achiever						
	unable to					
Students						
some of						
technolo	gy been referred to					
resource	SAC and the					
available				1		
to studer						
who are	not program that					
consider	ed will be helping					
economi	cally to supplement					
disadvar	taged uniform					
	purchase.					
	Breakfast in the	: 				
	classroom will					
	help ensure that	:				
	all students eat					
	an acceptable					
	breakfast in the					
	morning.					
	Students					
	without					
	supplies have					
	been referred to					
	Communities					
	in the School					
	for school					
	supplies and					
	TEAM-UP is					
	helping support			1		
	students in the					
	afternoon.					
	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
	12.5.				[
				1		
		L	1		l	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Edge Reading Program	6-8	School and district Reading coach	6-8 Reading Teachers	August 1-20, 2012 On-going throughout the year	ECD (: : C 1	Principal Reading Coach
Use of school-wide reading strategies: 25-book standard SRE DRAW(Drop Read and Write)	6-8	Reading Coach	All teachers and subjects	August 13-17, 2012 then ongoing throughout the year		Principal Reading Coach
Professional Development through Curriculum Connections.	6-8 all Subjects	School academic coaches	All teachers and subjects	Every other Wednesday and Thursday till the end of the year.	 Examination of modifications to lesson plans and lesson delivery. PLC data feedback forms and Visiting Viking feedback. 	Principal Reading Coach

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provided by the district or in-house	None required	None required	None required
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provided by the district or in-house	None required	None required	None required
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Professional Development			
	Description of Description	From Even Common	A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provided by the district or in-house	None required	None required	None required
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provided by the district or in-house	None required	None required	None required
Subtotal: \$00.00			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA C. I	Develolere Celer		ı			
CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in	1.1. It takes 5-7 years to develop a sufficient level of Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency required to fully comprehend the FCAT 2.0, and parents of these students may not be able to adequately assist their academic progress.		1.1. Assistant Principal for Curriculum		 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	
CELLA Goal #1: ELL students need consistent exposure to the oral and written language in order to work at the proficiency level of FCAT 2.0. With effective instruction, it will take 5-7 years to develop this competence.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					

	25% (8).	ı	I		1	
	23% (8).					
		1.2. Extended time on task	1.2. ESOL certified teachers use ESOL strategies like: Think-Write-Share-Pair Think-alouds	1.2. ESOL/ESE guidance counselor	Repeat language surveys	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.		Schedule students with ESOL endorsed teachers	2.1 Assistant Principal for Curriculum	collection instrumentsStudent learning reflections	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard 	

ELL students at reading proficiency levels 1-4 have yet to develop sufficient academic language required to fully comprehend the FCAT 2.0.						
	85%(25).					
		Extended time on task	ESOL certified teachers use ESOL strategies like: Think-Write-Share-Pair Think-alouds	ESOL Guidance Counselor	Collects additional language survey information	 Bi-weekly PLC data chats Student portfolio reviews LSA data FAIR data Performance task reviews Mastery of 25-book standard
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing	2.1 Lack of familiarity with expository and persuasive formats.	2.1 Explicit instruction in writing that includes a focus in developing arguments and supporting details.	Reading Coach Administration		2.1 District Timed Writes FCAT Writes	
CELLA Goal #3: Students need consistent exposure to the English language. They need to read and write well and they need opportunities to practice the language	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	85%(25)					
		essay structure	Explicit instruction on essay	2.2 Reading Coach Administration	Progress monitoring that	2.2 District Timed Writes FCAT Writes
		2.3 Lack of stamina in writing	2.3 Continued practice in all content	2.3 Reading Coach Administration		2.3 District Timed Writes FCAT Writes

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

EDDLIT Dauget (misere tows as no	T		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Edge Reading program is funded by the district	None is required	None is required	None is required
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
None is required	None is required	None is required	None is required
Subtotal: \$00.00:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provided by the district	None is required	None is required	None is required
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
None is required	None is required	None is required	None is required
Subtotal: \$00.00	1	1	1
Total: \$0 0.00			
	l .		I

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary	Problem-	1					
Mathematics	Solving						
Goals	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level 3							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1A:		Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormanec.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		111.4.	171,2.	1111.2.	1111.4.	1113.4.	
		1.4.0	1	1			
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
F4	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary	Problem-	l					
Mathematics	Solving						
Goals	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level 3							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1A:		Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormanec.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		111.4.	171,2.	1111.2.	1111.4.	1113.4.	
		1.4.0	1	1			
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

-2011011011	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
<u>#1B:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Entan namatina fon tha	r criormanec.	errormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
50							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .]	ļ		

#21 Ent	R.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

		_				•	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		J. 1.2.	5. i.z.	51 1. 2 .	51.1. 2 .	[
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of	Level of					
11-1/1.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Sout in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
45. 57. 43	170.1	175.1	(D.)	In t	In t		
.2.101144	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							

#4 En	R·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			Enter numerical data for					
		current level of performance in this box.	expected level of performance in this box.					
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		Q		D. H. D.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 (3371.)	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	-
					5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	remormance.	remormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		SD.S.	DD.3.	5D.3.	ט.ט.	υD.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
c == t == t = = t == t == t == t	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

School Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	content knowledge		1A.1. principal, assistant principal of curriculum, and school-based mathematics coach	1A.1. Observation tools and Visiting Viking forms	IA.1. LSAs, Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios	
Mathematics Goal #1A: In the 2012 school year, 41% of the students were proficient on in mathematics. Our goal this year is to increase the number of students who are proficient to 45%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	41% (288)	45% (353)				

		knowledge of their thinking	Forms (F.O.I.) will be given with each assessment.	1A.2. mathematics coach and teachers 1A.3. teachers and mathematic	1A.2. Portfolio checks 1A.3. Common lesson planning	 LSAs, Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios LSAs, 	
		are not prepared for rigor of the assessments	thinking through questioning	coach	of higher order thinking questions with each lesson	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1. NA		IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.		
#1R·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	

D 1 4 1 1	4 1	I 0, ,	D D 12	D II 1: D:	P 1 (
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1. Students	2A.1. Teachers	2 A 1	2A.1. Observations and Visiting	2A.1.		
	ZA.1. Students						
Students scoring	are not being	will challenge		Vikings	Benchmarks,		
at or above		higher level	 Math coach, 		 Exit tickets 		
	full potential		• Teachers,		 Teacher created 		
Achievement		participate in	 Principal, 		assessments		
Levels 4 and 5 in			 Assistant principal of 		 Portfolios 		
		more exposure	curriculum		Lesson Plans		
mathematics.		to rigorous	Carriculani		- 1200011111110		
		material and					
		teachers will					
		assign students					
		projects to					
		complete after					
		each module					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#2A:	Performance:*						
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
In the 2012 school year,							
15% (110) of our students							
were scoring at a level 4							
were scoring at a rever 4							
or 5. Our goal this year is							
to increase this number to							
20%.							
	4400	2004 (4.50.)					
	15% (110)	20% (150)					
		2A.2. Teachers	2A.2. Professional development	2A.2.	2A.2. Weekly lesson plan checks	2A 2	
			from district and school based math		and common lesson planning	Classroom observations	
		familiarity with		Math coach,	and common resson planning	Benchmarks,	
			COACHES				
		new curriculum		• Teachers,		Exit tickets	
		resources		 Principal, 		 Teacher created 	
		1		 Assistant principal of 		assessments	
		1		curriculum		 Portfolios 	
1		1				 Lesson Plans 	
						- 2000011111110	

		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1. NA	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

0111 1 0111 2101	Anticipated Barrier 3A.1. Lack of student		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 3A.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 3A.1. Focus blocks and match lowest	Evaluation Tool 3A.1.		
students making learning gains in mathematics.	vocabulary knowledge	vocabulary from and on word wall	 Math coach, Teachers, Principal, Assistant principal of curriculum 	quartile with appropriate mentors	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 		
Mathematics Goal #3A: In the 2012 school year, 55% of students made learning gains in mathematics. Our goal this year is to increase to 60% of students making learning gains.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Lack of student	3A.2 Students will be given a daily mental math problem each class	 3A.2. Math coach, Teachers, Principal, 	3A.2. Students will be given mental math assessments biweekly	 3A.2. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments 	
		Students lack reading	3A.3. Students will learn and use 4 column and solve method to help understand word problems	 Assistant principal of curriculum 3A.3. Math coach, Teachers, Principal, Assistant principal of curriculum 	 3A.3. Portfolio checks, Observations Visiting Vikings 	 Portfolios Lesson Plans 3A.3. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:	NA						
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 0						
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#3B:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
	this box.	inis dox.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students in lowest	Lack of engagement in class	Teachers		4A.1. Visiting Vikings and Observation tools	 4A.1. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 		
#4 A ·	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	.54%(203)	75%(282)					
		Missing student prior knowledge	4A.2. Teachers will use a tool to check for prior knowledge and misconception with each concept such as K-W-L, Jot thoughts, or Entrance slips	Teachers and math coach	4A.2. Visiting Vikings and Observation tools	 4A.2. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 	

		lack of time practicing new concepts outside of	bottom quartile students and enroll them in TEAM-Up or	4A.3. Teachers, academic coaches, assistant principal, principal, and TEAM-up director		4A.3. Attendance roosters, progress reports, and report cards	
		class					
4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate	NA						
Assessment:	INA						
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4B: Enter narrative for the	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
				4B.2.		4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	43	53	57	62	67	72
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5B.1. Students lack reading comprehension and ability to identify important facts in word problems Students lack of time practicing new concepts outside of class	5B.1. Teachers will use at least one engagement strategy per class Teachers will use a tool to check for prior knowledge and misconception with each concept such as K-W-L, Jot thoughts, or Entrance slips	. Classroom teacher, math coach, principal and assistant principals.	5B.1. Focus blocks and match lowest quartile with appropriate mentors Visiting Vikings and Observation tools	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 		

Mathematics Goal #5B: For the 2012 school year 50% of White, 53% of Black, 57% of Hispanic, and 50% of Asian students made learning gains.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: 56% [145] Hispanic:8% [3] Asian: 2% [9] ELL: 3% [10] SWD: 15% [24]	White:58% [133] Black: 39% [173] Hispanic:57% [36] Asian: 67% [2] ELL: 49%[2] SWD: 28% [6] ED: 44% [80]					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

		~			1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1. Students		5C.1.	5C.1. Glencoe and Agile mind	5C.1.		
I anguaga I garnare	will not have	will be given		usage logs			
(ET I) 4 1.2	support needed	curriculum	 Teachers, 		 Benchmarks, 		
(ELL) not making		material to use	 academic coachers, and 		 Exit tickets 		
satisfactory progress	assignments	at home in their	 Guidance counselors 		 Teacher created 		
		native language			assessments		
	to language				 Portfolios 		
	barrier.				 Lesson plans 		
					 Entrance Slips 		
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected			Entrance Stips		
Tradition Cour	Level of	Level of					
#5C:		Performance:*					
	i chomance.	i ci tormance.					
In the 2012 school year,							
64% (16) of ELL students							
made learning gains.							
	64% (22)	70%(25)					
				5C.2.		5C.2. Lesson plans and	
		may struggle	of teaching styles, instructional	Administrators	classroom observations	observations	
			materials, and visuals in the				
			classroom				
		auditory style.					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
			1	1	1	!	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	cause class distraction	Students will be put on Behavioral Intervention plans	 5D.1. Guidance counselors, Inclusion teachers, Teachers, and Assistant Principals 	5D.1. I.E.P.s	5D.1. ■ I.E.Ps and Behavioral Intervention plans ■ Benchmarks, ■ Exit tickets ■ Teacher created assessments ■ Portfolios ■ Lesson plans ■ Entrance Slips		
Mathematics Goal #5D: In the 2012 school year, 51% (71) of students with disabilities made learning gains.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	51% (71)	55% (75) 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

D 1 (1 1 :	A 4' ' 4 1	Ct t	p p '/'	D II I D :	F 1 (T 1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5E. Economically				5E.1. Portfolio checks	5E.1. LSA student instructional		
Disadvantaged	come to school		coaches		reports and data tracking sheets		
students not making	without basic	to organize					
students not making	organizational	and keep					
satisfactory progress	skills.	and updated					
in mathematics.		portfolio with					
		current data.					
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of					
113 <u>13.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
80% of the school							
population is economically							
disadvantaged. This is the							
largest sub-group.							
largest sub-group.							
It is expected they							
will make gains equal							
to students not in the							
subgroup.							
	27% (219)	70% (550)					
				5E.2. Regular attendance and usage		5E.2.	
			and Team-Up staff	report checks	mind, and Odyssey logs		
		to use school	-				
	resources at	resources during					
	home.	TEAM-Up					
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.			2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
in in the contract of the cont	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
						3.3.	
						J.J.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4. Florida Alternate	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r criormance.	r criormance.					
goat in inis vox.							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	inis DOX.		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
				·· <u>-</u> -	l . .	·· - -	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

		•				
	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Students scoring	1A.1. Teacher content	1A.1. Our six grade team		1A.1. Observation tools and Visiting Viking forms	1A.1. • LSAs,	
at Achievement	knowledge	will participate	mathematics coach	Visiting Viking forms	Benchmarks,	
Level 3 in Algebra 1.		in the Middle School Math			Exit ticketsTeacher created	
		professional			assessments	
		development.			 Portfolios 	
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
In the 2012 school year,		Performance:*				
70% (102) of the students						
taking Algebra passed the Algebra EOC.						
6.3						
1						
	70%(102)	75% (146).				

		11 4 2 04 1 4	1425	114.2 (1 (* 1 1	14.2 D (C1: 1 1	1 4 2	
		knowledge of their thinking	1A.2. Focus on Improvement Forms (F.O.I.) will be given with each assessment.	1A.2. mathematics coach and teachers	1A.2. Portfolio checks	 LSAs, Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios 	
			1A.3. Increase higher order thinking through questioning	1A.3. teachers and mathematic coach	1A.3. Common lesson planning and collaborative development of higher order thinking questions with each lesson	 IA.3. LSAs, Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at or above	are not being challenged to full potential	higher level students to participate in math club for more exposure to rigorous material and teachers will assign students projects to complete after each module	 Math coach, Teachers, Principal, Assistant principal of curriculum 	2A.1. Observations and Visiting Vikings	 2A.1. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 		
Algebra Goal #2: In the 2012 school year, 21% (30) of the students taking Algebra passed the Algebra EOC.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	21% (30)	25% (49)					

	from district and school based math coaches		2A.2. Weekly lesson plan checks and common lesson planning	 2A.2 Classroom observations Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson Plans 	
2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	42	48	57	62	67	72
Algebra 1 Goal #3A: In the 2011-2012 testing year, 42% of Arlington students scored proficient on the FCAT 2.0 mathematics assessment. By the 2016-2017 testing window, 72% of Arlington students will be proficient in their applicable Mathematics assessment							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3B: For the 2012 school year 50% of White, 53% of Black, 57% of Hispanic, and 50% of Asian students made learning gains	identify important facts in word problems Students lack of time practicing new concepts outside of class 2012 Current Level of	3B.1. Teachers will use at least one engagement strategy per class Teachers will use a tool to check for prior knowledge and misconception with each concept such as K-W-L, Jot thoughts, or Entrance slips 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3B.1. . Classroom teacher, math coach, principal and assistant principals. . teachers and academic coaches, and administrators	3B.1. Focus blocks and match lowest quartile with appropriate mentors Visiting Vikings and Observation tools	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 		
	NA	NA					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	will not have support needed to complete assignments at home due to language barrier.	will be given curriculum material to use at home in their native language		3C.1. Glencoe and Agile mind usage logs	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		3C.2.Teachers will use a variety of teaching styles, instructional materials, and visuals in the classroom	3C.2. Administrators	3C.2 Lesson plan checks and classroom observations	3C.2 Lesson plans and observations	 3C.2. Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities	NA						
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
NA	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
I V.21							
	NA	NA					
	1 12 1	1 47 1					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

D 1 d 1 :		G, ,	D D ::	D 11 1: D:	E 1 :	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
		3E.1. Students	3E.1. Teachers and academic	3E.1. Portfolio checks	3E.1.	
Disauvantageu	l	will learn	coaches			
students not making	without basic	to organize			Benchmarks,	
students not making	organizational	and keep			Exit tickets	
satisfactory progress	skills.	and updated			Teacher created	
in Algebra 1.		portfolio with			assessments	
		current data.			 Portfolios 	
					 Lesson plans 	
					 Entrance Slips 	
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			•	
	Level of	Level of				
		Performance:*				
disadvantaged. This is the						
largest sub-group.						
It is expected they						
will make gains equal						
to students not in the						
subgroup.						
	In the 2012	This year				
	school year,	75% of our				
		economically				
		disadvantage				
	taking Algebra					
	passed the	will pass the				
	Algebra EOC	Algebra EOC				

ſ			3E.2. Teachers, Team-Up director,	3E.2. Regular attendance and usage	3E.2. Team-Up Rooster, Agile	3E.2.	
					mind, and Odyssey logs	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios 	
-						Lesson plansEntrance Slips	
		are not prepared for the rigor of assessments.	before administering it to students.	mathematics coaches, and teachers.	and common lesson planning	 Lesson plans Exit tickets LSAs 	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			•			
Geometry EOC	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
Goals						
	Process to)				
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	63	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following group:						
	1.1. Teachers	1.1.	1.1. Principal, Assistant Principal	1.1. Weekly lesson plan checks and	1 1	
1. Students scoring	lack familiarity	Professional		common lesson planning	Classroom observations	
at Achievement	1.4	development	mathematics coaches, and teachers.	common resson planning	Classicom observations	
Level 3 in Geometry.	curriculum	from district				
	resources.	and school				
		based math				
		coaches.				
		Teachers will				
		view online				
		webinars that will support				
		their course.				
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Ocomeny Goal #1.	Level of	Level of				
In the 2011-2012 school	Performance:*	Performance:*				
year, we had 100% (5) of						
our students to pass the						
Geometry EOC.						
	100% (5)	100% (31)				
	ļ	ļ				

			 	I	i	1		Ť
1					1.2. Common lesson planning	1.2.		
			through questioning		and collaborative development	•	Lesson plans	
		for rigor of the		mathematics coaches, and teachers.	of higher order thinking	•	exit tickets	
		assessment.		,	questions with each lesson	•	LSAs	
		1.3. Teachers	1.3. Teachers will take every LSA	1.3. Principal, Assistant Principal	1.3. Weekly lesson plan checks	1.3.		
					and common lesson planning	•	Lesson plans	
					and common lesson planning	1		
				mathematics coaches, and teachers.		•	exit tickets	
		assessments.	and exit slips that will be to the			•	LSAs	
			rigor of the assessment. Students					
			will receive exposure to the rigor of					
			the EOC questions.					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool			
of student achievement	Barrier	J Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001			
	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy				
data and reference to						ı		
"Guiding Questions,"								
identify and define areas						ı		
in need of improvement								
for the following group:								
	2.1. Teachers	2.1.	2.1. Principal, Assistant Principal	2.1. Weekly lesson plan checks and	2.1.			
z. Students scoring	lack familiarity		of Curriculum, school-based	common lesson planning	Classroom observations			
at or above	with new	development	mathematics coaches, and teachers.	common resson planning	Classicolii observations			
			mathematics coaches, and teachers.					
	curriculum	from district						
4 and 5 in Geometry.	resources.	and school						
		based math						
		coaches.						
		Teachers will						
		view online						
		webinars that						
		will support						
		their course.				<u> </u>		
Geometry Goal #2:		2013 Expected						
In the 2011 -2012 school	Level of	Level of						
year, 0% (0) of the students	Performance:*	Performance:*						
taking Geometry scored a								
level 4 or 5.								
level 4 or 5.								
						1		
1						1		
						1		
	0% (0)	. 5% (2)				\vdash		
	0 / 0 (0)	3/0 (2)				1		
						1		
						<u> </u>		
		2.2. Students				2.2.		
1		are not prepared	through questioning	of Curriculum, school-based	and collaborative development	•	Lesson plans	
		for rigor of the		mathematics coaches, and teachers.	of higher order thinking	•	exit tickets	
1		assessments		,	questions with each lesson		LSA	
		MODE DOTTICITED	!	l	Macoriono with cach icoson	<u> </u>	LU11	!

2.3. Teachers	2.3. Teachers will take every LSA	2.3. Principal, Assistant Principal	2.3. Weekly lesson plan checks	2.3.		
are not prepared	before administering it to students.	of Curriculum, school-based	and common lesson planning	•	Lesson plans	
for the rigor of	They will then design lesson plans	mathematics coaches, and teachers.		•	exit tickets	
assessments.	and exit slips that will be to the			•	LSAs	
	rigor of the assessment. Students					
	will receive exposure to the rigor of					
	the EOC questions.					

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
3A. In six years,	Duscinic	53	57	62	67	72	
	data 2011-						
	2012						
gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A: In the 2011-2012 testing year, 42% of Arlington students scored proficient on the FCAT 2.0 mathematics assessment. By the 2016-2017 testing window, 72% of Arlington students will be proficient in their applicable Mathematics assessment. In attempting to close the achievement gap, we will maintain 100% proficiency within our Geometry students.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
All Geometry students were proficient in the 2011-2012 testing year.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		NA					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

		•		•			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress	NA						
in Geometry.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Geometry Goal #3C.	Level of	Level of					
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		NA					
	NA						
		20.2	202	200	200	20.2	
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
D 1 (1 1 :	A (: : (1	Ct. t	P P '4'	D II II D :	F 1 4' T 1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Wontering	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following							
subgroup:							
	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making	NA						
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
J -		L	!	!	l		

Geometry Goal #	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of					
NA							
	NA	NA					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	come to school without basic organizational skills.	will learn to organize and keep and updated portfolio with current data.	3E.1. Teachers and academic coaches	3E.1. Portfolio checks	 Benchmarks, Exit tickets Teacher created assessments Portfolios Lesson plans Entrance Slips 		
Geometry Goal #3E: All Geometry students were proficient in the 2011-2012 testing year.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	. ,	100% (31)	3E.2.	OE 2	25.2	25.2	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.2. 3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Agile Mind Training	6-8 Math	District	Intensive Math Teachers	July 2012 –May 2013	 Monitor: Lesson Plan Classroom Observations PLC data Chats 	Math Coach Assistant principal For Curriculum

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Agile Mind Curriculum	District	Use of Agile Mind as the Intensive Math Curriculum
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Agile Mind Curriculum Description of Resources Description of Resources	Agile Mind Curriculum District Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	struggle with reading comprehension.	Notes, SRE, Four column, Questioning, and Scaffolding in class to assist students with reading comprehension.	Administrators and academic coaches, and teachers.	Portfolio checks, Observations, and	1A.1. Benchmarks, LSAs, and exit tickets	
Science Goal #1A: In the 2011 school year, 19% of the students were proficient in Science. Our goal is to increase this to 25%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	19% (47)	24%					
		Students lack foundational	Science department will use knowledge checks to access which	coaches, and teachers.	1A.2. Portfolio checks, Observations, and Visiting Vikings	1A.2. Benchmarks, LSAs, Entrance Slips	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1. NA	1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

D 1		Q.	,	D 44 1 5 .	n 1 :		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2A. FCAT 2.0:				1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring		Teachers will	Administrators and academic	L	L		
at or above		utilize Cornell Notes,		Portfolio checks, Observations, and	Benchmarks, LSAs, and exit tickets		
		SRE, Four		Visiting Vikings	tickets		
4 and 5 in science.	comprehension.						
4 and 5 m science.		Questioning,					
		and Scaffolding					
		in class to					
		assist students with reading					
		comprehension.					
Science Goal #2A:		2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
In the 2011 school year,	Performance:*	Performance:*					
3% of the students were							
proficient in Science. Our goal is to increase this to							
6%.							
5 70.							
	2011	***					
	3% (7)	6%					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
			Science department will use		Portfolio checks, Observations,		
		foundational	knowledge checks to access which	Administrators and academic		Benchmarks, LSAs, Entrance	
		material from	misconceptions and concept gaps	coaches, and teachers.		Slips	
		prior Science	students have before teaching a new				
		classes.	concept.				
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	NA	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Science Goal #2B: NA	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.					2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	1				•	<u> </u>	1
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1. NA	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
or above Level 7 in science.	NA		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:							
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	1.1. NA	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

E	nter narrative for the oal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
		current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Curriculum Connections: Science Best Practices	6 th , 7 th , 8 th , grade Science teachers		All Science teachers in the school.	Bi-weekly meetings.	Obvious modifications to lesson plans after PLCs.	Instructional Coaches and Administrators.

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence oused riogram(s)/iviaterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal: \$00.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$00.00			
Total: \$00.00			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	familiarity with expository and persuasive formats.		1a.1. Reading Coach Administration	Ia.1. Progress monitoring that utilizes District Timed writes and content subject writing assignments.	1a.1. District Timed Writes Lesson Plans Classroom Visits Portfolios	

Writing Goal #1A: 8th Grade will have an increase in the number of students performing at a 3 or higher on the FCAT Writes assessment		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	31%	38%					
		Familiarity with conventions and essay structure	la.2. Explicit instruction on essay structure that may include, but is not limited to 1-4-2-1 strategy, and remediation in conventions through Reading and Language Arts classes.	Administration	1a.2. Progress monitoring that utilizes District Timed writes and content subject writing assignments.	 District Timed Writes Lesson Plans Classroom Visits Portfolios 	
		Lack of stamina in writing	la.3. Continued practice in all content and reading classes that include a variety of writing practice. (Short/ Extended Response, Quickwrites, Essays, etc)	Reading Coach Administration	Ia.3. Progress monitoring that utilizes District Timed writes and content subject writing assignments.	 District Timed Writes Lesson Plans Classroom Visits Portfolios 	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	data for expected level of					
	this box.					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Calibration of Scoring Rubric	ELA/Social Studies 8 th Grade		IHISIOTV Jeachers	TDE on August 30 th . Monthly		Reading Coach Administration
How to utilize District Timed Writes assessment to support writing.	6-8 th ELA		6 th , 7 th , and 8 th grade language arts and social studies teachers	August 20. One professional	PLC meetings during planning periods and monitoring of growth through District Timed Writes assessments.	Reading Coach Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Calibration training	District provided training	District	
Subtotal:00.00			

Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Total: 00.00				

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

TIO TI	D 11				· T	T	
U.S. History	Problem-						
EOC Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in U.S.							
History.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in ints box.							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	<u> </u>	L			Į	l .	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1.	0.1		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.			2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			ŕ			

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1. Parent/	1 C	- Ia	L	la	
1. Attendance		1. S	1.	<u>Γ</u> .	[1.	
	Student	School-	School-based attendance		OnCourse data/	
		based	liaison.	monitored by school-based	Attendance Reports	
	Schedules	attendance		attendance liaison on a	·	
	conflict.	liaison will	House Administrators, AFL		Weekly school-based	
		contact	and CIS School-Based		attendance personnel	
			advocates, teachers and/or		conferences	
			principal		contenences	
		within 3-	principar			
		5 days				
		absence.				
		District-				
		based				
		attendance				
		personnel				
		will follow-				
		up				
		up with				
		parent(s)/				
		guardian(s)				
		to schedule				
		AIT				
		meetings.				
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Tittendance Goal #1.	Attendance	Attendance				
To decrease in all	Rate:*	Rate:*				
recording categories by						
10%22% (175)						
			I			
Controlled hall movement			1			
eliminates tardies except for those arriving to school			I			
mose arriving to sendor			1			
			1			
	95%(770)	98% (773)				
	I					

Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
10% (82)	9% (79)					
Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	more)					
1.4% (12)	1.0% (10)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CHAMPs	6-8	Foundations Chair	New Teachers	August 2012-May 2013	Monitoring attendance Screens	District Attendance Personnel

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal: 00.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Total: 00.00			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension		·	represents next to the po	, · ·	
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	ATOSS(Alt ernative To Out-of-School Suspension) declined by parent(s)/ guardian(s) Conflict Resolution and/ Anti-bullying Training for Students	Provide ATOSS(AI ternative to Out-of-School Suspension) incentives to parent(s)/ guardian(s). Referral to one of the several school-based programs(AFL or CIS) through way of United Way(non- profit).	 School-based administrator. School-based administrator Student Advocates identified through United Way(includes but is not limited to mentors and therapists. Big Brothers/Big Sisters) 	District-generated ATOSS paperwork completed in its entirety.	School data system reports(Genesis) Full-Service Reports	
Duopundian Cour III.		2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				

of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
15% (126)	10% (79)					
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
15% (126)	10% (79)					
of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
15% (126)	10% (79)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CHAMPs/	6-8	Foundations	School-wide	August 2012-May 2013	Quarterly Monitoring through	In-house Foundations liaison
Foundations		Team leader	Sensor wide	114545t 2012 Way 2013	Foundations.	in nouse i canaarons naison

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal: 00.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:00.00			
Total: 00.00			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percer	mages, menude	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	ciccinage (c.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
	_						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
	1 1 C V C II C I C II						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
improvement:		L 2			b -		
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
in this box.							
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.							
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.					
		2013 Expected					

dai gra	ita for aduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in					
thi	is box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
L		1	I .

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	I	
1. Tarent involvement		 Promote 	 School-based Title 				
	 Confl 	PTSA	I Liaison and PTA	 Number of 	 MANDATORY 		
	icting	members	President and Board.	memberships recorded	Sign-In form		
	schedules		0.1 11 175'4	D . G: 11 1	available at every		
	 Econo 	off the clock.	 School-based Title I Liaison and PTA 	Parent Sign-Up and Agenda for avery	activity and/or		
	mical	CIOCK.	President and Board	Agenda for every activity and/or meeting	meeting		
	difficultie	 Develop 	resident and board	activity and/or meeting			
	S	at least	 Administration, 		 Final Count 		
		three(3)	faculty and staff.	 Weekly review of 	Summary		
		no more	-	Arlington Middle	•		
		than		School Uniform	 Arlington Middle 		
		five(5)		Contract/Referral	School Uniform		
		school			Contract/Referral		
		sponsored events			Parent/Teacher		
		through			Conferences		
		out the			to review		
		school			Compacts.		
		year					
		-					
		• Encourage					
		School Uniform					
		Policy					
		Toney					
		 Provide 					
		a Parent					
		Compact					
		to all students					
Parent Involvement Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				+	
#1.	Level of Parent	Level of Parent					
<i>IT 1.</i>	Involvement:*	Involvement:*					
To increase the PTSA(Parent							
Teacher Student Association) by							
5%							

ſ	3% (16)	5% (39)					
ſ		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
How To Monitor Student Performance?	6-8		School-wide	?August 2012-May 2013	Develop MANDATORY rituals and routines and monitor quarterly.	School-based Title I Liaison, PTSA President and Principal

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Introduction to Oncourse	Student academic performance, progress reports, and special projects	Title I	
Compass Odyssey	Refresh course for parents.	Title I	
Subtotal: 00.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 00.00			
Total: 00.00			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	participate is limited to the number of students who can	Duval Experimental Education Platform to for a LEGO team and participate in the middle	TEAM-UP coordinator		1.1. Team standing in the league
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA						

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: 00.00				
Total: 00.00				
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Planning phase for IT Academy –School Choice	None	AMS 2. Narrow the choices based on school utilization, student interest, alignments with feeder pattern programs 3. Solicit support from School Advisory Council, Parent Teacher Association, Shared Decision Making and the School Improvement Team		 Participation Number of students earning Industry Certification 	 Participation Number of students earning Industry Certification
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Microsoft Industry Certification Content	7-8	District CTE facilitator	Magnet Lead Teacher	September 2012 to June 2013	Number of students who participate Percent of students earning industry certification	Assistant Principal for Curriculum
Virtual Trainings Recordings and PowerPoint Presentation		State training website: 2012-2013 Virtual Trainings Description	Magnet Lead Teacher	September 2012 to June 2013	Number of students who participate Percent of students earning industry certification	Assistant Principal for Curriculum

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total: 00.00				_
Subtotal: 00.00				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Other				
Subtotal: 00.00				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Professional Development				
Subtotal: 00.00				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Technology				
Subtotal: 00.00				
Apply to the district for magnet status	Microsoft IT Grant	Microsoft IT Grant	TBA	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Include only school-based funded				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

· when using percentag	es, include in	e number of s	students the percentage	e represents next to the po	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	o (33)).	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	I.1. Parents inability to purchase the uniform shirts Communities in Schools will purchase for some of the students who cannot The vendor is donating some shirts	Crate a school- wide uniform		1.1. Reduction in the number	1.1. Number of incidents reported and entered Genesis		

Additional Goal #1: Reduce the number of bullying incidents		2013 Expected Level :*					
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
What is bullying, how to report it and how to stop it	6-8 Parents		SAC Membership PTA Membership		Small group focus groups	SAC

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	1 unumg source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

rmai budget (msert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: 00.00
CELLA Budget	
0	Total: 00.00
Mathematics Budget	
8	Total: 00.00
Science Budget	
	Total: 00.00
Writing Budget	10000
Witting Budget	Total: 00.00
	10121: 00.00
Civics Budget	
	Total: 00.00
U.S. History Budget	
	Total: 00.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total: 00.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total: 00.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	Tours oviou
Dropout Prevention Budget	T-4-1, 00 00
	Total: 00.00
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: 00.00
STEM Budget	
	Total: 00.00
CTE Budget	
	Total: 00.00
Additional Goals	
	Total: 00.00
	10tai. 00.00

Arlington Middle School2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-
--

Grand Total: 00.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

- Bullying awareness
- Better understanding of the budget

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Parent small group sessions on bullying	00.00