SCHOOL NAME: Fleming Island Elementary School			
School Based Leadership Team			
Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)			
Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.			
Indicate who is on the team and their position. Also explain why they have been included on the team.			

Administrator (Jackie Cory, Principal, and/or Assistant		 	
Principal, Laurie Burke): Provides a common vision for the			
use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-			
based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI			
skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention			
support and documentation, ensures adequate professional			
development to support Rtl implementation, and			
communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans			
and activities.			
Select General Education Teachers (Primary and			
Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction,			
participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1			
instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to			
implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1			
materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.			
Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates			
in student data collection, integrates core instructional			
activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates			
with general education teachers through such activities as co-			
teaching.			
<u>School Psychologist (Fave Nussbaum):</u> Participates in			
collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates			
development of intervention plans; provides support for			
intervention fidelity and documentation; provides			
professional development and technical assistance for			
problem-solving activities including data collection, data			
analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation;			
facilitates data-based decision making activities.			
<u>Technology Coach/Specialist (Mimi Harris):</u> Develops or			
brokers technology necessary to manage and display data;			
provides professional development and technical support to			
teachers and staff regarding data management and display.			
Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role			
language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as			
a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the			
selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic			
patterns of student need with respect to language skills.			
Rtl Coach (Lacey Wordsdell): Participates in collection,			
interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development			
of intervention plans; provides support for intervention			
fidelity and documentation.			

	12-2015 SCHO	or improvement	1 1411		
Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership The state of the st					
Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/ functions). How does it work with other school					
teams to organize / coordinate RtI efforts?					
The Leadership Team will focus meetings around					
one question: "How do we develop and maintain					
a problem-solving system to bring out the best in					
our school, our teachers, and in our students?"					
The team will meet monthly to engage in the					
following activities: review universal screening					
data and link to instructional decisions; review					
progress monitoring data at the grade level and					
classroom level to identify students who are					
meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate					
risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks.					
Based on the above information, the team will					
identify needed professional development					
and resources. The team will also collaborate					
regularly, problem solve, share effective practices,					
evaluate implementation, make decisions, and					
practice new processes and skills. The team will					
also facilitate the process of building consensus,					
increasing infrastructure, and making decisions					
about implementation.					
Describe the role of the school-based RtI Leadership					
Team in the development and implementation of the school					
improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?					
The SBLT provides data on Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets and					
academic and social/emotional areas that need to be					
addressed; helps set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor,					
Relevance, Relationship); facilitates the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential					
Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies,					
Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligns RtI					
processes and procedures. This data is used to develop					
School Improvement Plan goals. The teaching strategies					
developed and stressed in the RtI model are incorporated as Action Steps in the School Improvement Plan					
				1	

RtI Implementation						
Describe the data source(s) and the data management						
systems(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading,						
mathematics, science writing, and behavior.						
Baseline data: Florida Assessments for Instruction						
in Reading (FAIR), Florida Comprehensive						
Assessment Test (FCAT), District Benchmark						
Test(s) from Performance Matters, Clay Writes						
Midyear data: Florida Assessments for Instruction						
in Reading (FAIR), District Benchmark Test(s) from						
Performance Matters, Clay Writes						
End-of-year data: Florida Assessments						
for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Florida						
Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), District						
Benchmark Test(s) from Performance Matters,						
Clay Writes						
Frequency of Data Days: The SBLT team will						
formally meet monthly to analyze/disaggregate						
data. The team will communicate on an ongoing						
basis and will schedule additional meetings as						
needed. Grade level teams will have weekly						
meetings to review student data from grade						
level assessments and to plan for instructional						
strategies based upon the student data.						
Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.						
	1	1	1	1	1	1

Professional development will occur throughout			
the year. The RtI Coach will meet with grade			
levels and individual teachers to collaborate			
on effective teaching interventions, progress			
monitoring tools, and learning activities and			
strategies based upon data. Other members			
of the RtI Leadership Team will participate in			
these collaborative activities on an as needed			
basis. Members of the Rtl Leadership Team each			
have a copy of the books, RTI in the Classroom:			
<u>Guidelines and Recipes for Success</u> and <u>The RTI</u>			
<u>Daily Planning Book K-6.</u> The RtI Coach will also			
share with the RtI Leadership Team information			
gained from the district level RtI Coaches			
meetings.			
Literacy Leadership			
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).			

Administrators (Jackie Cory/Principal & Laurie			
Burke/Assistant Principal): Provide a common			
vision for the use of data-based decision making,			
ensure that the district K-12 Reading Plan and the			
reading goals in the School Improvement Plan are			
being implemented, ensure that assessments are			
conducted as required, ensure implementation of			
reading intervention support and documentation			
and reading enrichment, and ensure adequate			
professional development (PLCs) to support			
effective reading implementation, communicate			
with parents regarding reading plans and			
activities.			
General Education Teachers (Patty Toole, Kim			
Harrell, Janis Ramirez, Stacey Johnson, Ginger			
Leinecker, Kari Hooks, Joan Brackin, Alice Coats,			
<u>Trisha Breast)</u> : Provide information about core			
and supplemental reading instruction, participate			
in student data collection, deliver instruction/			
intervention/enrichment, and collaborate with			
other staff to implement the District K-12 Reading			
Plan and the reading goals in the School			
Improvement Plan, and participate in PLCs.			
Exceptional Student Education (ESE)			
<u>Teachers(Rose Ann Cataldi, Barbara DeLong, Kris</u>			
<u>Karkkainen)</u> : Provide information about reading			
instruction, participate in student data collection,			
deliver instruction/intervention, integrate core			
instructional activities/materials into Tier 3			
instruction, and collaborate with general			
education teachers through activities such as co-			
teaching to implement the District K-12 Reading			
Plan and the reading goals in the School			
Improvement Plan, and participate in PLCs.			
<u>Reading Resource Teacher(Anne Carmichael)</u> :			

Provide information about supplemental reading		<u> </u>	1		'	1
instruction, deliver instruction/enrichment, and	1	1	1	1	!	1
collaborate with other staff to implement the	1	1	1	1	!	1
District K-12 Reading Plan and the reading goals	1	1	1	1	!	1
in the School Improvement Plan, and participate	1	1	1	1	!	1
in PLCs.	1	1	1	1	!	1
111 255.					+	
1	1	1	1	1	!	1
 Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). 						
The LLT will meet at least quarterly to: review	['	1	<u> </u>		!	Γ
student progress monitoring data in order to	1	1	1	1	!	1
identify instructional strategies, professional	1	1	1	1	!	1
development, and resource needs to fully	1	1	1	1	!	1
implement the Reading goals of the School	1	1	1	1	!	1
Improvement Plan and the District K-12	1	1	1	1	!	1
Reading Plan. The LLT will collaborate, problem	1	1	1	'	!	1
solve, share effective practices, evaluate	1	1	1	1	!	1
implementation, and make instructional	1	1	1	1	!	1
decisions.	1	1	1	'	!	1
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?	1	1			!	
The LLT will work to effectively implement the	1	1	<u> </u>		1	
Rtl process to ensure that students most "at	1	1	1	1	!	1
risk" in reading receive appropriate intensive	1	1	1	1	!	1
and immediate intervention services and will	1	1	1	1	!	1
plan and provide enrichment activities for	1	1	1	1	!	1
students, including a school-wide "Get Caught	1	1	1	1	!	1
Reading Day." The LLT will also discuss methods	1	1	1	1	!	1
to manage differentiated reading instruction,	1	1	1	1	!	1
including small groups and literacy centers.	1	1	1	1	!	1
NCLB Public School Choice (If Applicable)	1	1			!	
Notification of School in Need of Improvement (SINI) Status	1	1		<u> </u>	†	
Attach a copy of the Notification of SINI Status to						
Parents	<u> </u> '	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Public School Choice with Transportation (CWT) Notification	1	1	1	'		1
Notification	1	1	1	1		1

Attach a copy of the CWT Notification to Parents			
Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification			
Attach a copy of the SES Notification to Parents			
Elementary Schools Only: Pre-School			
Transition			
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.			
Fleming Island Elementary has 5 Pre-K classes.			
Students are eligible to enter at the age of 3.			
Classes include students with ESE special needs,			
regular education role model students and VPK			
students. There is articulation between the Pre-K			
teachers and the Kindergarten teachers for those			
students who will attend Kindergarten either at			
Fleming Island Elementary or back at their home			
schools elsewhere in the District. Fleming Island			
Elementary Pre-K teachers have also been in			
meetings with other Pre-K teachers in the district			
to share ideas to strengthen the Pre-K program.			
<i>Grades 6-12 Only</i> Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.			
For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.			
Fleming Island Elementary is a K-6 elementary school (with			
Pre-K ESE classes). The entire 6th grade team of teachers,			
both regular education and ESE, meet to discuss progress			
of students and to make instructional decisions based upon data. Reading strategies are embedded into Math, Science			
and Social Studies instruction. The resource teachers (media			
specialist, reading resource, and technology teacher) are			
working with the Science teachers to help students to use			
appropriate research and writing skills to prepare a science			
project.			

		i e		
High Schools Only Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec.				
1003.413(g)(j) F.S.				
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?				
Additional information: Dropout Prevention				
_				
Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring				
or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan) When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result.				
4 Elements/15 Strategies:				
The Basic Core Strategies				
Mentoring/Tutoring				
Service Learning				
Alternative Schooling				
After School Opportunities				
Early Interventions				
Early Childhood Education				
Family Engagement				
Early Literacy Development				
Making the Most of Instruction				
Professional Development				
Active Learning				
Educational Technology				
Individualized Instruction				
	•	•	•	•

		1	i	
Making the Most of the Wider Community				
Systemic Renewal				
School-Community Collaboration				
Career and Technical Education				
Safe Schools				
Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.				
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.				
Smart Goals				
Smart = Specific Measurable				
Attainable Realistic Timely				
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math				
Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing				
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science				
Goal 5: <u>Parental Involvement</u> Goal 6: <u>Other: Ex.</u> School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-				
specific goal.				
Goal 1: By 2013, the percent of students in grades				
K-2 meeting the Just Read Florida end-of-year recommended READING goals will increase by 10				
percent.				
The number of non-proficient readers scoring Level 1 or 2 on Reading FCAT 2.0 in grades 3-6 will decrease				
by 10%. The percent of students in grades 3-6 scoring				
at or above proficient on Reading FCAT 2.0 (Level 3)				
will increase by at least 1%. Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures				
Strategies, indicators and progress Measures				

I. Strategy 1.1: Implement and develop the use of higher order questioning techniques by utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, which requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies.						
	Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
	August	August	August	August	August	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	*Perceptual Data					
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement and develop the use of higher order questioning techniques by utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, which requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies.	Grades PK - 3 80% Grades 4 - 6 85%	Grades PK - 3 85% Grades 4 - 6 89%	Grades PK - 3 90% Grades 4 - 6 93%	Grades PK - 3 95% Grades 4 - 6 97%	Grades PK - 3 100% Grades 4 - 6 100%	
		August	August	August	August	
	New FCAT	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	Data from					
	2011-2012					
III. Student Performance Indicator (s): Students will consistently increase FCAT Reading scores so there is a reduction in % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016	3-78% (22%) 4-76%(24%) 5-87%(13%) 6-92%(8%)	3-80.75% (19.3%) 4-79% (21%) 5-88.6% (11.4%) 6-93%(7.0%)	3-83.5%(16.6%) 4-82%(18.0%) 5-90%(9.8%) 6-94%(6.0%)	3- 86.25 %(13.7%) 4-85% (15.0%) 5-91.6%(8.2%) 6-95%(5.0%)	3-89% (11%) 4-88 %(12%) 5-93.5% (6.5%) 6-96%(4%)	

	1= =010 20110	er mipre (cirion)				_
IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS						
Action Steps	Evidence/Data	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Fun ding/ Funding Source
	Sources					
 1.1 Teachers will utilize enhanced classroom technology. Task 1: Teachers will receive training (as needed) on using the components of the enhanced classroom. Task 2: Teachers will incorporate the use of the enhanced classroom technology into their classroom instruction. 	Sign in sheets	Principal and Assistant Principal	2012-2013 School year	Appropriate functioning technology; Training on the use of the enhanced classroom technology components	IS Dept. or school-based technology teacher will provide needed training.	No funding needed.
	feedback					
	lesson plans					
1.2 Teachers will use data to make instructional decisions. Task 1: Teachers will collect data from student assessments, will discuss/analyze this data in weekly grade level data meetings, and will collaborate to develop appropriate instructional strategies to address	Grade level meeting minutes Lesson plans	Classroom teachers, Assistant Principal, Principal	2012-2013 School year	PMRN Performance Matters RtI Coach	Training, as needed, from the RtI Coach, per the CCSS Implementation Plan.	No funding needed.
		<u>I</u>	I.	1		

	1 = 2018 BUILD	or improvement				
1.3 Students are organized into groups to practice skills, strategies or processes. Task 1: Teachers will provide systematic interventions for students at risk of academic failure.	PMPs, RtI documentation, Lesson plans	Classroom teachers, RtI Coach, Assistant Principal, Principal	Beginning with the interims of the 1st grading period and throughout the 2012-13 school year	PMP Training & access to the on-line forms, training on RtI procedures, data on lower 25% students from Performance Matters and 2012 FCAT	Training on the use of PMPs. Training on progress monitoring procedures. Differentiated Instruction, Small group instruction	No funding needed.

1.4 Students will use a variety of materials to substantiate thoughts, based on information from the text. Task 1: Daily journal writing; incorporating Step Up to Writing techniques.	Grade level meeting minutesLesson plans data	Classroom teachers, RtI Coach, Assistant Principal, Principal	Beginning with the interims of the 1st grading period and throughout the 2012-13 school year	PMP Training & access to the on-line forms, training on RtI procedures, data on lower 25% students from Performance Matters and 2012 FCAT	Further training in Step-Up-to-Writing and CCSS.	PD/ Textbook s/ESE
1.5 Students will be given opportunities to collaborate in an effort to navigate through complex text. Task 1: Create new assessments with higher order questions.	Grade level meeting minutes Lesson plans data	Classroom teachers, RtI Coach, Assistant Principal, Principal	Beginning with the interims of the 1st grading period and throughout the 2012-13 school year	CCSS Training	CCSD Training	No funding needed.

Smart = Specific Measurable						
Attainable Realistic Timely						
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing						
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal.						
Goal 2: By 2013, 90% of students will achieve proficiency (Level 3 or higher) on FCAT 2.0 MATH and 80% of students will make learning gains compared to 2012 FCAT 2.0 data.						
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures						
I. Strategy 2.1: Implement the research-based strategy of using higher order questioning techniques in order to increase the higher order thinking of students to problem solve in Math.						
	Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
	August	August	August	August	August	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	*Perceptual Data					
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of using higher order questioning techniques in order to increase higher order thinking of students in Math.	Grades PK- 3 75% Grade 4 - 6 80%	Grades PK - 3 80% Grades 4-6 85%	Grades PK - 3 85% Grades 4-6 90%	Grades PK - 3 90% Grades 4-6 95%	Grades PK - 3 100% Grades 4-6 100%	
S						
						I

		- r				
	New FCAT	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	Data from					
	2011-2012					
III. Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016	3-75%(25%) 4-71%(29%) 5-83%(17%) 6-91%(9%)	3-78.13%(21.88%) 4-74.63%(25.4%) 5-85.13%(14.87%) 6-92.13%(6.74%)	3- 81.26%(18.75%)) 4- 78.26%(21.8%) 5- 87.26%(12.74%) 6- 93.26%(6.74%)	3- 84.4%(15.63%) 4- 81.89%(13.2%) 5- 89.39%(5.61%) 6- 94.39%(10.61%)	3-87.53%(12.5%) 4-85.52%(14.5%) 5-91.52%(8.5%) 6-95.52%(4.5%)	
IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS						
Action Steps	Evidence/Data	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Fun ding/ Funding Source
	Sources					

	1	or improvement	1			•
2.1: Teachers will integrate the use of higher order questioning into their math instruction and students will demonstrate modeling of concepts. Task 1: Teachers will utilize professional sources (Teaching Student Centered Mathematics and Classroom Discussions: Using Math Talk to Help Students Learn and PD360) to develop math lessons that incorporate higher order questioning.	Lesson plans; Professional Learning Community forms.	Assistant Principal, Principal	2012-13 school year	Teaching Student Centered Mathematics and Classroom Discussions books; training in the use of PD360 and computer access to PD360.	Classroom Discussions: <u>Using Math Talk to Help</u> <u>Students Learn</u> Step- <u>Up-to-Writing</u>	PD/ Textbook s/ESE
2.2 Teachers will implement learning experiences utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology. Task 1: Teachers will incorporate hands-on strategies and the use of appropriate technology in their instruction and student practice activities in their math classes.	Lesson plans	Assistant Principal, Principal	2012-13 school year	Access to on- line resources for the adopted math curriculum; training in the use of the on- line resources; training in the use of Understanding Math and other available math resources.	Learning Community for K-1 teachers—Math Work Stations	No funding needed.

		<u>- r</u>				
2.3: Teachers will focus on incorporating the 8	Lesson plans	Assistant Principal,	2012-2013	Access to on-	PD 360,	No
standards for Mathematical practice into all areas of math instruction. Task 1: Teachers will use manipulatives to foster the hands-on approach to learning in geometry and algebra.		Principal	School year	line resources for the adopted math curriculum; training in the use of manipulatives, and training in the use of Understanding Math and other available math resources.	and www.achievethecore.org	funding needed.
	 	ļ	 	ļ	 	
	<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
						<u> </u> '
Smart Goals						
Smart = Specific Measurable						
Attainable Realistic Timely						
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing						
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal,						

		1				
Goal 3: By 2013, the percentage of students in Grade 4 who achieve proficiency (score 3.0 or above) on FCAT Writing will increase by 2%.						
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures						
I. Strategy 3.1: Implement the research-based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter (WRITING) with other disciplines during instruction.						
	Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
	August	August	August	August	August	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	*Perceptual Data					
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s):	Grades PK - 3 80% Grades 4-6	Grades PK - 3 85% Grades 4-6	Grades PK - 3 90% Grades 4-6	Grades PK - 3 95% Grades 4-6	Grades PK - 3 100% Grades 4-6	
	85%	89%	93%	97%	100%	
100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter (Writing) with other disciplines during instruction.						
		August	August	August	August	
	New FCAT	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	Data from					
	2011 - 2012					
III. Student Performance Indicator (s):	4th grade 84%(16%)	4th grade 86%(14%)	4th grade 88%(12%)	4th grade 90%(10%)	4th grade 92%(8%)	
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Writing scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016						
IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS						

Action Steps	Evidence/Data	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Fun ding/ Funding Source
	Sources					
3.1 Teachers will demonstrate the research-based practice of incorporating writing across content areas. Task 1: The Science Vertical Team will be trained in the use of journals by students in grade K-6 science classes and then will train the teachers on their grade levels. Task 2: Teachers will incorporate the use of writing into reading instruction as a way to increase the comprehension and vocabulary skills of their students.	Vertical team and grade level team meeting minutes Sign in sheets Lesson plans	District Science Curriculum Specialist Assistant Principal Principal	Beginning in September throughout the 2012-13 School year.	Adopted curriculum materials, including online resources	Training in integrating writing into other curriculum areas, such as the use of journals in science. District Science Curriculum Specialist will provide training in the use of Science journals. Training at the K-3 level in ways to develop writing skills in primary students. Step up to Writing training.	PD/ Textbook s/ESE

	 or improvement		
Smart Goals			
Smart = Specific Measurable			
Attainable Realistic Timely			
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading			
Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math			
Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing			
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science			
Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex.			
School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-			
specific goal.			
Goal 4: By 2013, 91% of 5th grade students will achieve proficiency (Level 3 or higher) on FCAT 2.0			
Science.			
Deterior.			

Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures						
I. Strategy 4.1: Implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area (Science) and to advance student learning while addressing while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions.						
	Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
	August	August	August	August	August	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	*Perceptual Data					
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s):Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and to advance student learning while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions.	Grades PK - 3 80% Grades 4-6 80%	Grades PK - 3 85% Grades 4-6 85%	Grades PK - 3 90% Grades 4-6 90%	Grades PK - 3 95% Grades 4-6 95%	-15.75%	
		August	August	August	August	
	New FCAT	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	Data from					
	2011-2012					

		or improvement		1	1	1
III. Student Performance Indicator (s): Students will consistently increase their FCAT Science scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.	5th grade 82%(18%)	5th grade 84.25%(15.75%)	5th grade 86.5%(13.5%)	5th grade 88.75%(11.25%)	5th grade 91%(9.25%)	
IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS						
Action Steps	Evidence/Data	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Fun ding/ Funding Source
	Sources					
4.1 Teachers will ask students to explain their thinking to determine misconceptions. Task 1: Students in Grades K-6 will utilize journals in science/math to organize information and to develop a resource for inquiry activities.	Review of student science/ math journals	Science/Math teachers Assistant Principal Principal	September and on-going	Journals for students, Resources for teachers to refer to for appropriately addressing misconceptions.	Training in the use of journals in science classes; Training in how to address misconceptions. Step-Up-to-Writing	PD/ Textbook s/ESE

	 - r	•	•	
Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely				
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing				
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal.				
Goal 5: By 2013, communication to inform parents/guardians of school procedures and events will show improvement as measured by the SAC Climate Surveys.				
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures				
I. Strategy 5.1: Implement the research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning.				

	Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
	August	August	August	August	August	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	*Perceptual Data					
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s):100% of teachers will implement the research based strategy of fostering two-way home/ school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning.	Grades PK - 3 80% Grades 4-6 85%	Grades PK - 3 85% Grades 4-6 88%	Grades PK - 3 90% Grades 4-6 90%	Grades PK - 3 95% Grades 4-6 95%	Grades PK - 3 100% Grades 4-6 100%	
		August	August	August	August	
	New FCAT	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	Data from					
	2011-2012					
III. Student Performance Indicator (s): The percent of parents who feel that home/school communication is satisfactory will continue to increase until 100% or parents completing the SAC Climate Survey in 2015 express satisfaction with communication.	81% of responding parents	85% of responding parents	89% of responding parents	95% of responding parents	100% of responding parents	
IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS						

Action Steps	Evidence/Data	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Fun ding/ Funding Source
	Sources					
5.1 Teachers will solicit and consider parental input and use it to support student learning. Task 1: Teachers will conference once per semester or as needed with parents/guardians of all students in their classes.	Conference forms, Phone logs	Classroom teachers	2012-13 school year	None	None	No funding needed
5.2 Teachers will send informational materials home on a timely basis. Task 1: Teachers will identify a volunteer contact person (homeroom parent) who will be responsible for communicating with parents/guardians of students in the class information about school/class events. Task 2: School folders will go home each Tuesday with information and/or student graded work for parents to review.	PFA list of homeroom parents	Classroom teachers	2012-13 school year	Contact information of classroom parents,	None	No funding needed
						+
						+

af .	1 -		1	T	T
1	'	1			
1	'	1			
1	'	1			
1	'	1			'
1 '	'	1			
1 '	'	1			
1	'	1			
1	'	1			'
1	'	1			'
<u> </u>					
1	'	1			
1	'	1			'
	 				+
1	'	1			
1	'	1			
	 	<u>'</u>			+
1	'	1			
1	,	1			
Baseline Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	Progress Measure	
August	August	August	August	August	
2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
*Perceptual		/			
Data					4
<u> </u>		ļ'			
<u> </u>	'	<u> </u>			
	4	A	August	August	
New FCAT	August	August	August	August	
	Measure August 2011	Measure August August 2011 2012 *Perceptual Data	Measure August August August 2011 2012 2013 *Perceptual Data	Measure Measure Measure August August August 2011 2012 2013 2014 *Perceptual Data	Measure Measure August August 2011 2012 *Perceptual Data Data

Data from					
2010-2011					
		Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed:	Related PD	Fun ding/
	Person(s)				Funding
	Responsible/		Technology/		Source
Evidence/Data	Group(s)		Trainer		
Sources					
		2010-2011 Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	2010-2011 Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s) Implementatio n Timeline	2010-2011 Person(s) Responsible Group(s) Trainer Resources Needed: Material Technology Trainer Trainer	2010-2011 Implementatio n Timeline Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s) Responsible/ Group(s) Responsible/ Group(s) Responsible/ Technology/ Trainer

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Υ	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting (1) Reading (6) Other			
Technology	 Action Step # 1, 1.1,5 Name of Activity: Technology Training Dates of Activity: 2012-12 School year Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) Materials: None Budget Items Required			We will be using a variety of trainings in technology to improve teacher usage to communicate with parents, instructional activities, and use of technology for teaching instruments.
	 Action Step # Name of Activity Funding Source Cost of Consultant Cost of Materials Cost of Substitutes (if applicable) 			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details Goal the Activity is Supporting (1)Reading&(3) Writing			
Common Core/Best Practices/Step Up to Writing	 Action Step # 1,1.4,3, 3.1 Name of Activity: FIE 2012-13 Common Core/Best Practices/Step Up to Writing Dates of Activity: Monthly 2012-13 School year Title of Book or Focus: Common Core/Best Practices/Step Up to Writing 			We will meet once a month using researched ideas to support/improve classroom instruction across all content areas.
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #Cost of Book/Teacher Materials			
Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details			
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting			

	2012 2013 School Improvement Lan	
(a teacher-driven and student- focused team who does research and development by carefully studying what actually goes on in the classroommust use Lesson Study form)	 Action Step # Name of Activity Dates of Activity Teaching strategy or method to be researched 	
	Budget Items Required	1
	 Action Step # Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable) 	
Timelines		
Start Date: August 1, 2012	August 1 & 2 nd – Step Up to Writing at FIE by Susan Law FDLRS/Crown September 19 th – Step Up to Writing in Math at FIE Henry FDLRS/Crown	Strategies from Step Up to Writing & Step Up to Writing in Math will be used school-wide to provide continuity across all grade levels and content areas to improve learning.
End date: May 31, 2013		
Budget		
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	Training was at no cost	No funds were used for trainings as they were provided at no costs. However, materials were purchased for the
Project - 0100.5100.0520.0521.2013	Materials Total Cost: \$5,732.04	teachers to be able to implement the program school-wide. The materials were used for both the trainings held here at FIE which were presented by FDLRS/
Project - 0100.6400.0590.0521.1182		Crown representatives.
Project -		
Total Internal PD Budget (no project & project funds)		

Approvals: (Signature's required) Principal: SAC Chair: Hilda Manning: Shannah Kosek:				Date: Date: Date: Date:	 	
Tra	aining			I Che	 	strict
School Improvement Plan Superviso Professional Development Assistant		nnah K a Manr				
Approval: Yes No (Ū			
Background						

Background: Step Up to Writing is	Pro
a proven school-wide strategy to aid	fes
in learning proper writing techniques	sio
and comprehension across all content	nal
areas.	De
	vel
	op
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	gra
	1
	par
	t
	of
	the
	Co.
	Sc
	ho ol
	Im
	pro
	ve me
	nt
	Pla
	n.
	Te
	ach
	ers
	ne
	ed
	pro
	ven
	VCII

	,		
	cur		
	ren		
	t		
	inst		
	ruc		
	tion		
	al		
	str		
	ate		
	gie		
	S		
	to		
	imp		
	rov		
	е		
	the		
	per		
	for		
	ma		
	nce		
	of		
	the		
	ctu		
	stu de		
	nts		
	ass		
	ign		
	ed		
	to		
	the		
	m.		
Objectives			
Objectives			

To incorporate a school-wide writing plan to be used across all content areas.	Ye s	No	Comments
How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Step Up to Writing uses writing to show comprehension across all content areas and provides commonality in writing throughout all grade levels.
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			Susan Law & Henry Schmitges/ FDLRS/Crown
Who will be trained?			Entire staff
Date(s), Time(s), Location			8/1 &2/2012 & 9/19/2012
Total Cost: \$5732.04			Teacher materials
Needs School Board approval			
Complete budget line for expenses			0100.6400.0590.0521.1182 0100.5100.0520.0521.2013 0100.5200.0510.0521.1630
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Laurie Burke
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments

Name of educational organization providing the training.			FDLRS/Crown
Who will be trained?			FIE Staff
Date(s), Location			Schultz Center & FIE (Math)
Total Cost			(Teacher materials)
Complete budget line for expenses			\$5,732.04
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Jeanee Jones/Laurie Burke
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date August 1, 2012	_	No	Comments Step Up to Writing & Step Up to Writing in Math
Start Date	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to
Start Date August 1, 2012 End Date	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to
Start Date August 1, 2012 End Date September19, 2012	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to
Start Date August 1, 2012 End Date September19, 2012 Budget	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to Writing in Math
Start Date August 1, 2012 End Date September19, 2012 Budget Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to Writing in Math \$1547.53
Start Date August 1, 2012 End Date September19, 2012 Budget Local FTE (function 6400-no project) Project - 0100.5200.0510.0521.1630	_	No	Step Up to Writing & Step Up to Writing in Math \$1547.53 \$1698.22

Approvals: (Signature's required)			
Principal:	Date):	

SAC Chair:	Date://
Hilda Manning:	Date://
Shannah Kosek:	Date://

2012/2013 School Improvement Plan Professional Development and School Improvement Budget

Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer			
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Funding Source Complete Budget Strip	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Funding Source Complete Budget Strip	Available Amount
Conference/Workshop/Seminar/Institute/Online PD	Mileage Meals	Budget Strip	
Goal and Action Step #(s)3,3.1,4,4.1 Title: Step Up to Writing Location: FIE	Room Registration Substitute(s)	0100.6400.0590.0521.1182 0100.5100.0520.0521.2013 0100.5200.0510.0521.1630	\$5,732.04
Dates: 8/1/2012 & 8/2/2012 Sponsoring Educational Institution: FDLRS/Crown	Teacher Materials: Step Up to Writing Primary & Intermediate Notebook & Tools	0100.3200.0310.0321.1030	
Professional Learning Community Goal and Action Step #(s) Navigator Plus Activity Title:	Materials List and Cost:	Budget Strip	
Lesson Study Goal and Action Step #(s) Navigator Plus Activity Title:	Materials List & Cost:	Budget Strip	
School Workshop Step Up to Writing in Math Goal and Action Step #(s) 2,2.1 Navigator Plus Activity Title: FIE 2012-13 Step Up to Writing	Materials List and Cost: Consultant Fee: Consultant Travel Expenses: \$0.00 Substitutes: Stipends:	Budget Strip	\$0.00
Subtotal:			

Other			
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Description of Resources	Budget Strip	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Grand Total: \$5,732.04			