# Florida Department of Education



#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: SunRidge Elementary	District Name: OCPS
Principal: Janice Quint	Superintendent: Barbara Jenkins
SAC Chair: Theresa Sau	Date of School Board Approval: January 29, 2013

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Janice Quint	Bachelor's Degree-	1	10	2005-2006 Pine Castle Elementary
		University of Michigan		-	A school
		Master's Degree –			71% of students meeting high standards in reading
		University of Central			72% of students meeting high standards in mathematics
		Florida			87% of students meeting high standards in writing
		Tiorida			58% of students making learning gains in reading
					66% of students making learning gains in mathematics
					2006-2007 Pine Castle Elementary
					A school
					79% of students meeting high standards in reading
					85% of students meeting high standards in reading
					91% of students meeting high standards in mathematics
					48% of students making high standards in science
					74% of students making learning gains in reading
					74% of students making learning gains in reading 74% of students making learning gains in mathematics
					57% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					83% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					2007-2008 Pine Castle Elementary
					B school
					77% of students meeting high standards in reading
					77% of students meeting high standards in reading 77% of students meeting high standards in mathematics
					80% of students meeting high standards in mathematics
					43% of students making high standards in science
					71% of students making learning gains in reading
					63% of students making learning gains in mathematics
					61% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					49% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					2008-2009 Pine Castle Elementary
					A school
					83% of students meeting high standards in reading
					78% of students meeting high standards in reading
					88% of students meeting high standards in mathematics
					49% of students making high standards in science
					81% of students making light standards in science
					62% of students making learning gains in mathematics
					77% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					61% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading
					2009-2010 Pine Castle Elementary
					A school
					82% of students meeting high standards in reading
1 201		<u> </u>			6270 of students meeting night standards in reading

					80% of students meeting high standards in mathematics 77% of students meeting high standards in writing 44% of students making learning gains in reading 64% of students making learning gains in mathematics 73% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading 83% of lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics 2010-2011 Pine Castle Elementary A school 80% of students meeting high standards in reading 85% of students meeting high standards in mathematics 81% of students meeting high standards in writing 55% of students making high standards in science 60% of students making learning gains in reading 73% of students making learning gains in mathematics 60% of lowest 25% making learning gains in reading 70% of lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics
Assistant Principal	Brett Jedrzejak	B.S. Biology, Indiana University M.Ed. Ed. Leadership, Stetson University	0	6	2011-2012, Ivey Lane Elementary, Grade B, Reading 3+38%, Math 3+ 60%, Reading Gains 59, Math Gains 86, Lowest 25% Reading 67%, Lowest 25%, Math 86%  2010-2011, Grade C, 95% AYP, Reading 3+ 50%, Math 3+ 71%, Reading Gains 54%, Math Gains 72%, Lowest 25% Reading 53, Math 73%  2009-2010, Grade C (raised school grade one letter grade), 100% AYP Reading 3+ 52%, Math 3+ 59, Reading Gains 59, Math Gains 68, Lowest 25% Reading Gains 57, Math 80  2008-2009, Grade D, 82% AYP, Reading 3+ 36%, Math 3+ 44%; 2007-2008 Carver Middle Grade C, 79% AYP, Reading 3+ 34%, Math 3+ 34%; Carver 2006-2007 Grade D, 72% AYP, Reading 3+ 34%, Math 3+ 33%

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)	
All (CRT)	Jennifer Hayes	B.S. Elementary Education, University of Central Florida (UCF)	0	0	No prior record as an instructional coach	
Reading	Naureen O'Neale	B.A. Marketing & Communications, Jacksonville University  M.A. Organizational Leadership and Management, Webster University	0	0	No prior record as an instructional coach	

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. New Teacher Orientation	Principal	October 25, 2012
2. PLCs	Principal, Assistant Principal	June 5, 2013
3. Mentoring	Curriculum Resource Teacher	June 5, 2013
4. Staff Celebrations	Principal, Assistant Principal	June 5, 2013
5. Data Meetings	Principal, Assistant Principal	June 5, 2013

6. Staff Socials	Sunshine Committee	June 7, 2013
7. Community Building Activities	Principal, Assistant Principal	June 5, 2013

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
97% (44) based on the 2011-2012 assessments are rated effective or highly effective. SunRidge Elementary has 4 teachers out-of-field for ESOL certification. 91% (41) of teachers are highly-qualified.	<ul> <li>Teachers will receive training using Marzano's iObservation Model for evaluation.</li> <li>Establish Professional Learning Community for each teacher to participate</li> <li>Pilot the new OCPS SharePoint to increase collaboration and flow of information</li> <li>Model and review innovative practices of instruction</li> <li>ESOL classes toward certification for the four teachers lacking the endorsement are in process either during the school year or during the summer of 2013.</li> </ul>

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
45	2% (1)	51% (23)	36% (16)	11% (5)	44% (20)	97% (44)	2% (1)	2% (1)	82% (37)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities	
David Glucksman, Keene's Crossing Elementary	i Michelle Sapella		-Attend district guidance meetings and share appropriate information to meet students' needs, OCPS guidelines and FLDOE regulations Review IPDP after creation	

### **Additional Requirements**

#### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training

Other
-------

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Janice Quint, Principal

Brett Jedrzejak, Assistant Principal

Jennifer Hayes, Curriculum Resource Teacher

Naureen O'Neale, Reading Resource/Media Specialist

Danielle Valle, Reading Resource

Nadine Henry, School Psychologist

Michelle Sabella, Guidance Counselor

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The SunRidge Elementary School MTSS/RtI Leadership team has developed a school wide process for the school. The team leads teachers through review of the student data and evaluations. Discussions are held to determine for which students the core program in not meeting their needs. If the core program is not meeting the needs of the students with proper implementation and fidelity, an MTSS/RtI meeting is held. At this meeting Tier II interventions are discussed all progress monitoring and student achievement data is evaluated. A plan is put into place for intervention at this time. This plan may include the supplemental instruction with the core reading program and/or Tier II intervention outside of the 90 minutes reading block with comprehensive intervention materials. Students are monitored utilizing school progress monitoring reports, FAIR decision trees and Chutes and Ladders data reports. The classroom teacher with the support of the reading resource teachers and CRT, collects all necessary data for the initial meeting. The classroom teacher and Tier II teacher monitors and records all information on the mentioned monitoring reports. The CRT and Guidance Counselor fill out the correct meeting documentation. If the student makes progress the plan is kept in place to continue success. If progress is not being made a second MTSS/RtI meeting will be held. At this meeting with the team, the plan is modified to include a more intensive intervention by increasing the support, changing the length and time of the intervention or changing the intervention materials being utilized. We investigate through the RtI tool what modifications/changes are needed. Data is continually collected and analyzed through this process. If the child makes progress, the modified intervention continues. If the student is still not making progress a Tier III intervention will be implemented through the MTSS/RtI team process. Finally with the Tier III intervention in place and the child is not making progress, an investigation into the pos

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the MTSS/RtI team assist in writing the School Improvement Plan. They discuss and make recommendations for instructional practices, assessments, progress monitoring, interventions and professional learning. The MTSS/RtI process is part of our action plans to increase student achievement and the number of students scoring level 3 and above on FCAT. It is also part of the plan to increase the learning gains of all students, especially our lowest 25%. The tools provided through the MTSS/RtI process are used to progress monitor and analyzed student achievement data.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier I data sources and management systems:

Houghton Mifflin Assessments

**FAIR** 

FLKRS (Kindergarten)

School based common assessments in reading, science, and math.

School based rubrics/scales for writing.

**OCPS** Benchmark Assessments

Writing Prompts scored with school and FCAT rubrics.

FOCUS

**FCRR** 

**FPRM** 

STAR Assessment

Edusoft

**IMS** 

EDW

Thinking Maps

Classroom Behavior Management Plans

Pearson Science assessments

enVision Math assessments

Tier II data sources and management systems:

In addition to the items in Tier I;

Lexia (Intermediate students)

Triumphs

Kaleidoscope

EnVision Math Intervention Kits

OPM-FAIR

Behavior Plan w/ individualized point sheets

Tier III data sources and management systems:

In addition to the items in Tiers I & II:

Individualized Behavior and Academic Plans created with the MTSS and EPT

Use of Physical Education Waiver for more intensive intervention

Observation from counselor and school psychologist

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Training will begin during Pre-Planning 2012 and continue as needed throughout the school year. Only one staff member is new to the district and have therefore utilized the OCPS RtI plan. The new teacher will be given a mentor to provide additional support outside of the leadership team.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Leadership will meet with teachers on a weekly basis for review and discussion of data. The intent is to pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of our students and our curriculum in an attempt to address any skill deficits and be the top producer of successful students in the nation. Time given to analyze data. We have a ½ time reading teacher to teach Tier II intervention students.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Janice Quint, Principal

Brett Jedrzejak, Assistant Principal

Naureen O'Neale, Media Specialist/Reading Coach

Jennifer Hayes, Curriculum Resource Teacher

One teacher from each grade level PLC team

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly before school with the Media Specialist and CRT taking lead roles in organization and dissemination of pertinent information. The members of the LLT will be responsible for sharing this information with their PLC members and returning to the LLT with feedback and concerns.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Increase students' access to reading curriculum including resources in the school and community. This will be measured using Accelerated Reader and Reading Plus software components and OCPS Benchmark Assessments.
- All students reading by nine years of age
- Implementation of Common Core Standards at Kindergarten and First grade
- Preparing Second grade teachers for full implementation in 2013

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition  Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1, ,	1	l			1		
1A. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.		1a.1.	n :	1a.1.	Division 1 1	
Students scoring at	SunRidge	1. Maintain	Leadership Team	•	Review assessment	•	District Benchmark	
Achievement Level 3	Elementary is	focus and			data and progress		Assessments	
in reading.	a new school	consistency			monitoring; Indicator	•	FAIR Assessment	
in reading.	2012. Faculty	through the use			data will be reviewed highlighting students'		Attendance Data Discipline Data	
	and students	Continuous			participation in daily	:	Houghton Mifflin	
	will experience				activity (in class) and	· •	Benchmark Testing	
	adjustments to	Model			adherence to school	1	Data	
	the new culture	2 Effectively			norms.		iObservation	
		manage the use			Use the FCIM, RtI and	•	Evaluation Tools	
	of the senoor.	of data.		•	MTSS		EDWBI Reports	
		3.Use					STAR	
		scientifically						
		based reading						
		materials						
		to enhance						
		instruction.						
		4.Disaggregate				1		
		test data to						
		identify groups				1		
		and subgroups				1		
		that need						
		intervention.				1		
		5. Use ongoing,						
		frequent						
		monitoring of				1		
		progress. 6. Implement				1		
		the Houghton						
		Mifflin Core						
		Supplement						
		with fidelity.						
		(Provide PD						
		for teachers as						
		needed)						
		7. Provide						
		leadership and						
		training for staff	1					
		and students						
		as procedures						
		are formed and						
		internalized.						

Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
reducing Godi # 111.	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	23% (97)	28% (98)					
		la.2. SunRidge has received many students scoring level 1 or 2 on previous FCAT Assessment	<ul><li>Follow the FCIM</li><li>Utilize OPM</li></ul>	1a.2.  ■ Instructional Staff  ■ Leadership Team	1a.2.  Students will utilize CBI programs including AR and Reading Plus or Lexia Teachers will use OPM to remediate or enrich students Intervention groups will use Triumphs/Read Well/ Kaleidoscope for 30 minutes FCAT Test Maker	Data  Reading Plus Data STAR	
		may be new to	1a.3. Provide opportunities for teachers to visit classrooms for observation and/or receive district training as needed.		la.3. Use FCIM and district order of instruction for HM to guide teachers; HM benchmark and district benchmark assessments will help ascertain fidelity and effectiveness in addition to lesson plans.	1a.3.      District Benchmark     Assessments     Houghton Mifflin     Benchmark Testing     Data	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.I.	IB.I.	IB.I.		

N/A		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.	a new school opening August 2012. Faculty and students will experience adjustments to the new culture	Continuous Improvement Model.	Leadership Team	2a.1. Review assessment data and progress monitoring; Indicator data will be reviewed highlighting students' participation in daily activity (in class) and adherence to school norms.	2a.1.	District Benchmark Assessments FAIR Assessment Attendance Data STAR Houghton Mifflin Benchmark Testing Data iObservation Evaluation Tools AR Status Reports Reading Plus Data		
--	---	-------------------------------------	-----------------	--	-------	---	--	--

Reading Goal #2A:  45% of Whispering Oak students scored a 4 or a 5 on the 2012 FCAT. SunRidge will receive the majority of its students from this school	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	, ,		Utilize OPM and FCIM to recognize which	2a.2.  Leadership Team ESE Teachers Classroom Teachers	Utilize the FCIM and OPM to ensure students are targeted for proper intervention and club activities.     Utilize Reading Plus on a consistent basis of 3 times or more per week.     Utilize Leveled Readers in Science and Social Studies Programs to reinforce non-fiction reading skills	2a.2.  District Benchmark Assessments Fair Assessment HM Benchmark EDWBI Reports AR Status Reports Reading Plus Data FCAT Test Maker	
2B. Florida Alternate	2B.1.	We use a center school and not a cluster model for gifted	2a.3 Provide enrichment activities on a regular basis  2B.1.	Gifted teacher Leadership teacher	2a.3 Review data to gauge which students need enrichment for a given skill, while at other times needing remediation.  2B.1.	2a.3  District Benchmark Data IMS/EDWBI reports STAR HM Benchmarks Gifted Reports	
Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.							

Reading Goal #2B:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

				<u> </u>			
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of	SunRidge	1. Maintain		Review assessment data and	•	District Benchmark	
students making		focus and		progress monitoring; Indicator		Assessments	
		consistency		data will be reviewed highlighting	•	FAIR Assessment	
learning gains in		through the use		students' participation in daily	•	Attendance Data	
reading.	2012. Faculty			activity (in class) and adherence to	•	Discipline Data	
8	and students	Continuous		school norms.	•	Houghton Mifflin	
	will experience	Improvement				Benchmark Testing	
	adjustments to	Model.				Data	
	the new culture	<ol><li>Effectively</li></ol>			•	iObservation	
		manage the use				Evaluation Tools	
		of data.			•	Kid Talks	
		3.Use			•	Lexia	
		scientifically			•	FCAT Test Maker	
		based reading					
		materials					
		to enhance					
		instruction.					
		4.Disaggregate					
		test data					
		to identify					
		neediest groups					
		and subgroups.					
		5. Use ongoing,					
		frequent					
		monitoring of					
		progress.					
		6. Implement					
		the Houghton					
		Mifflin Core					
		Supplement					
		with fidelity.					
		(Provide PD					
		for teachers as					
		needed)					
		7. Provide					
		leadership and					
		training for staff	d				
		and students					
		as procedures					
		are formed and					
		internalized.					
		internanzea.					
		1					

Reading Goal #3A: Whispering Oak had 76% of students make learning gains; SunRidge will have 80% of students make learning gains in 2013.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	76% (328)	80% (280)					
		la.2. SunRidge has received many students scoring level 1 or 2 on previous FCAT Assessment	curriculum and club	1a.2.  ■ Instructional Staff  ■ Leadership Team	Students will utilize     CBI programs     including AR and     Reading Plus     Teachers will use     OPM to remediate or     enrich students     Intervention     groups will use     Triumphs/Read Well/     Kaleidoscope for 30     minutes daily.     Utilize Lexia where     appropriate instead of     Reading Plus	Benchmark Testing Data  Reading Plus Data Lexia FCAT Test Maker	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

Readii N/A	 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	SunRidge Elementary is a new school opening August 2012. Faculty and students will experience adjustments to the new culture of the school.	of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model. 2. Effectively manage the use of data. 3. Use scientifically based reading materials to enhance instruction. 4. Disaggregate test data to identify neediest groups and subgroups. 5. Use ongoing, frequent monitoring of progress. 6. Implement the Houghton Mifflin Core Supplement with fidelity. (Provide PD for teachers as	Leadership Team	Ia.1. Review assessment data and progress monitoring; Indicator data will be reviewed highlighting students' participation in daily activity (in class) and adherence to school norms.	1a.1.	District Benchmark Assessments FAIR Assessment Attendance Data Discipline Data Houghton Mifflin Benchmark Testing Data iObservation Evaluation Tools FCAT Test Maker	
		(Provide PD					
		as procedures are formed and internalized. 8. Utilize the Intervention Program pieces including Kaleidoscope for K-1 and					

							•
		Triumphs for					
		2-5 to assist					
		those currently					
		in test taking					
		grades and lay					
		foundation					
		for future					
		assessments.					
		9. Utilize					
		student data					
		notebooks –					
		student progress					
		monitoring					
D 1: C 1 //4	2012 Current	2013 Expected		+			
Reading Goal #4:							
	Level of	Level of					
Whispering Oak earned	Performance:*	Performance:*					
75 points for lowest 25%							
reading gains. We will							
make 78% of our lowest							
25% making learning							
gains.							
8							
	75%	78% (69)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		SunRidge has	<ul> <li>Follow the FCIM</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Instructional Staff</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students will utilize</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>District Benchmark</li> </ul>	
		received many	<ul> <li>Utilize OPM</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leadership Team</li> </ul>	CBI programs	Assessments	
		students scoring	<ul> <li>Implement changes in</li> </ul>	·	including AR, Lexia	<ul> <li>FAIR Assessment</li> </ul>	
1		level 1 or 2 on	curriculum and club		and Reading Plus	<ul> <li>Attendance Data</li> </ul>	
1		previous FCAT	formation		Teachers will use	Discipline Data	
1		Assessment	Use Technology and		OPM to remediate or		
1		12300331110111	CBI programs to		enrich students	Benchmark Testing	
1			enhance student learning	,	Intervention	Data	
1			and engagement.		groups will use	Reading Plus Data	
1		1	and engagement.		Triumphs/Read Well/	Keading Flus Data     Kid Talks	
1					Kaleidoscope for 30	Lexia	
1		1				FCAT Test Maker	
1					minutes daily.	• FCAT Test Maker	
1					Utilize Lexia where		
					appropriate instead of		
					Reading Plus		

4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.		District Benchmark	
Teachers are	Teachers will receive training	Leadership Team	•	Students will utilize	Assessments	
not familiar	on Read Well, Kaleidoscope			CBI programs	FAIR Assessment	
with reading	intervention programs.			including AR, Lexia	Attendance Data	
intervention				and Reading Plus	Houghton Mifflin	
programs.			•	Teachers will use	Benchmark Testing	
				OPM to remediate or	Data	
				enrich students	Reading Plus Data	
			•	Intervention	Kid Talks	
				groups will use		
				Triumphs/Read Well/		
				Kaleidoscope for 30		
				minutes daily.		
			Utilize Le	exia where appropriate		
			instead of	Reading Plus		

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years  5A. In six years	2011-2012  Baseline data	2012-2013  District Average	2013-2014  All Students:63%	2014-2015  All Students:67%	2015-2016 All Students:71%	2016-2017 All	All
school will reduce	2010_2011						Students:78%
their achievement	CumBidge enemed in 2012						White:88%
gap by 50%.		Black:41%					Black:69%
gap by 30 76.		Hispanic:51% Asian: 77%	Asian: 79%	Asian: 81%		Hispanic:70% Asian: 85%	Hispanic:74% Asian: 87%
			Economically Disadvantaged:53%	Economically	Economically	2 131um. 0370	7 (Sidii: 0770
		Economically Disadvantaged:46%			Disadvantaged:63%		Economically
			Students with Disabilities:38%	Ct. danta mid Diadiliti and 40/		Disadvantaged:	Disadvantaged: 72%
		Students with Disabilities:26%	English Language Learners:46%	Students with Disabilities:44%	Students with Disabilities:50%	67%	/ 470
		English Language Learners:36%	English Language Learnets.40%	English Language Learners:51%		Disabilities:56 % English	Students with Disabilities:63 % English Language
						Language Learners:62%	Learners:68%
Reading Goal #5A: By 2016-2017, SunRidge Elementary will meet the district AMO goals for each sub-group scoring at or above grade level: All Students: 78% White:87% Black:69% Hispanic:74% Asian: 88% Economically Disadvantaged: 72% Students with Disabilities: 63% English Language Learners: 68%							

D 1 4 1	I A C C ID C	Gr. d	יי מ	D II II D	F 1 (' T 1	1	
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by		Ensure that all members of	<ul> <li>Classroom Teachers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review assessment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>District Benchmark</li> </ul>		
(3371.:4.	SunRidge is a new school for	the student body feel accepted	Leadership Team	data and progress	Assessments		
L	2012-2013. An anticipated	and are expected to achieve		monitoring; Indicator	FAIR Assessment		
	barrier is helping all students and			data will be reviewed			
	faculty adjust to the new culture of the school.	receive intervention and enrichment		highlighting students' participation in daily	<ul><li>Discipline Data</li><li>Houghton Mifflin</li></ul>		
mulan) not making		based on achievement and skill	1	activity (in class) and			
satisfactory progress		deficits. Provide meaningful work		adherence to school	Data		
in reading.		for struggling students that taps		norms.	Reading Plus Data		
		their strengths and interests.		<ul> <li>Use the FCIM, RtI</li> </ul>	Kid Talks		
				and MTSS	<ul> <li>Lexia Data</li> </ul>		
				Implement school	<ul> <li>FCAT Test Maker</li> </ul>		
				guidelines for success			
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Whispering Oak is the							
school providing the							
majority of students to SunRidge Elementary.							
SunRidge will raise							
average scores by three							
percent and accelerate							
gains of students							
encompassed by the							
achievement gap by 5 or							
6%.							
	White:19%	White: 16% (21)					
	Black:51% Hispanic:35%	Black:45% (41) Hispanic: 30% (25)					
	Asian:20%	Asian: 17% (4)					
	American Indian: N/A	American Indian: N/A					
	American mulan. 19/7	American muian. 19/A					

	5B.2. Teachers and administrators are not familiar with individual student needs.	Teachers and administrators will conduct "Kid Talks" to discuss	Classroom Teachers Administration	5B.2. Classroom teachers will create an atmosphere in the classroom based on trust and honesty to build rapport with their students. Administration will host Kid Talks and conduct observations of instruction.	5B.2.	Kid Talk s Asse ssm ent Data iObs ervat	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.		

			i	1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
Language Learners	ELL students	In addition to	Classroom teachers	Use FCIM, RtI and	Benchmark Data	
(ELL) not making		the strategy	Classroom teachers     CCT position member	MTSS, in addition	CELLA	
satisfactory progress	cues make	and aim of	l Partie	to teacher feedback	<ul> <li>Kid Talks</li> </ul>	
		5B.1, SunRidge		about student growth.	<ul> <li>Teacher feedback and</li> </ul>	
in rename.	comprehensible.	will utilize		Document and discuss	observation	
		technology		learning gains within	• FCAT	
		to enhance		PLC to determine next	FCAT Test Maker	
		ELL students		steps.		
		understanding		Steps.		
		of content				
		topics by				
		providing				
		visuals and				
		thinking				
		maps. Careful				
		monitoring of				
		student progress				
		will be needed				
		to determine				
		skill deficits				
		of specific				
2 1 2 1 1 5		students.				
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
5770 of Whispering Ouk	Performance:*	Performance:*				
students in the ELL						
population scored a 3 or						
above. SunRidge will have						
61% of students achieving						
a level 3 or above in 2013.						

	43%	39% (23)	1		1	<del> </del>	
	43%	39% (23)					
		rich vocabulary	Use leveled readers in science and social studies in addition to using Reading Plus with fidelity. In addition, we have vocabulary workbooks and direct instruction for vocabulary.	<ul> <li>Curriculum Resource         Teacher</li> <li>Classroom teachers</li> <li>Administrators</li> </ul>	Progress Monitoring, Collaboration during PLC meetings, Classroom Observation	<ul> <li>Benchmark Tests</li> <li>FAIR</li> <li>STAR Reading         Assessment, HM Assessments; </li> <li>FCAT</li> <li>FCAT Test Maker</li> </ul>	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	ESE students need visual cues to help increase	In addition to the strategy	<ul> <li>Classroom teachers</li> <li>Staffing Coordinator</li> <li>ESE Teachers</li> </ul>	PLC meetings will be used to generate discussion to determine if RtI process is effective for our SWD. These discussions will be further examined by the ESE staff and administration FCIM, RtI, MTSS will be followed	<ul><li>FCAT</li><li>Benchmark data</li><li>Reading Plus data</li></ul>		

Reading Goal #5D:  31% of Whispering Oak students in the ESE population scored a 3 or above. SunRidge will have 38% of students achieving a level 3 or above in 2013.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	69%	62% (22)					
		Inclusion model is new to staff and students	Help two VE teachers work with teachers in a co-teach/ push-in model. Help classroom teachers plan with ESE teachers in conjunction with students' goals.		FCIM, RtI, MTSS will be followed Administrators will conduct classroom observations of classroom and VE teachers	5D.2.  FCAT Benchmark Data Reading Plus Data iObservation Reports	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

		-				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
ezi zeonomienij	DE.1.	5E.1.	Classroom teachers	Grade Level PLC	● FCAT	
Disadvantaged	The Free	We will work	Leadership team	meetings will discuss	Benchmark data	
students not making	and Reduced	to ensure that	School Social worker	and develop a plan	Reading Plus data	
satisfactory progress	lunch rate	each student's	School Social Worker	for ensuring that each	Mini-lessons and	
in reading.		educational		child has access to	assessments	
in reading.		needs are met		the curriculum while	FCAT Test Maker	
		by working with		striving for success for		
	greater than	resources within		all.		
	Whispering Oak	the community		<ul> <li>FCIM, RtI, MTSS will</li> </ul>		
		including social		be followed		
		services and our				
		social worker.				
		We will work				
	l .	with Partners				
		in Education				
		when applicable				
		to assist in				
		meeting student needs.				
D 1: C 1//55		2013 Expected				
Reading Goal #5E:	Level of	Level of				
500/ CW/I · ·	Performance:*	Performance:*				
3070 of mapering	CHOIMance.	CHOITIANCE.				
Oak students in the Economically						
Disadvantaged population						
scored a 3 or above.						
SunRidge will have 63% of						
students achieving a level 3						
or above in 2013.						
	42%	37% (89)				
	1	0.70(0)				
L	L	L		l		

	Use leveled readers in science and social studies in addition to using Reading Plus with fidelity. In addition, we have vocabulary workbooks and direct instruction for vocabulary.	•	Teacher	Progress Monitoring, Collaboration during PLC meetings, Classroom Observation	•	Benchmark Tests FAIR STAR Reading Assessment, HM Assessments; FCAT FCAT Test Maker	
5E.3 Many teachers have not experienced high populations of economically disadvantaged students.	5E.3 We will share materials from author Ruby Payne about working with ED students. We will also provide support with our school's social worker to help meet students' basic needs.	•	Social Worker	5E.3 We will monitor the number of teachers requesting assistance from the social worker to ensure that students' needs are met. Parent surveys may assist in demonstrating the ability of the school to meet the needs of the community.	5E.3 •	Social Worker Reports Climate Surveys	

## **Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Continuous Technology Training	K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grade	TSR, Leadership Team and Vendors	School-wide	as needed for curriculum	Items may include SMART Board, Web 2.0, Safari Montage, EDMODO and CBI programs.	Administration

Art and Science of Teaching	K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grade	Various Team members	School-wide	Monthly	OCPS is tracking an additional 23 protocols using Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching and iObservation Evaluation tools	Administration

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Leader and a select Constant activities		I	1
Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Read Well, Kaleidoscope & Triumphs	Intervention	School Budget	\$25 000
Accelerated Reader	Comprehension Resource	School Budget	\$6 000
Science & Social Studies Leveled	Additional Subject Related Text for reading	School Budget	\$25 000
Readers (Includes text kit for grades K – 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade for Social Studies)	in the content and non-fiction purposes		
Reading Plus & Lexia	Computer Based Instruction	School Budget	\$10 000
Subtotal:\$66 000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
See Reading Plus & Lexia in above			
section			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Initial Training package for "opening"	Assistance given to teachers via summer	District	N/A
school with new products.	training & 1st two months of school		
HM new users training	Professional Learning	District	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Total:\$66 000		

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

		*				
CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.	1.1. Students need time to develop listening/speaking skills.	Provide support to students, parents and faculty through PLC meetings to ensure that the students are able to acquire English language skills.  Provide students with ample opportunities to use oral language skills in a variety of context.	members	1.1. Applying the FCIM to students' language acquisition in the classroom will be monitored by classroom teachers and reported to the administration. The data will be reviewed by the PLC.	climate surveys	
CELLA Goal #1:  Of the 28 students transferring from Whispering Oak (the largest number of our students), 12 received proficient scores. We intend to raise the percentage of proficiency to 50% (16/32).	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:  43% (12/28) students entering					
	grades 3 – 5 scored proficient.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

		1	I	1	I	1
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
2. 1	1 15	g	D D :::	D V 1. D	D 1 .: D 1	
Students read grade-	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
level text in English in a			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
manner similar to non-						
ELL students.						
2. Students scoring	2.1. ELL students need time/	2.1.	2.1. CCT, classroom teachers,	2.1. Applying the FCIM to	2.1. Kid Talks, Benchmark	
proficient in reading.	opportunities to acquire English	Provide support to students, parents	administration and PLC members	students' language acquisition in	Assessments, CELLA and	
	ranguage skins.	and faculty unough i De meetings		the classroom will be monitored	climate surveys	
		to ensure that the students are able		by classroom teachers and		
		to acquire English language skills.		reported to the administration.		
				The data will be reviewed by the		
		Provide students with ample		PLC.		
		opportunities to use language skills				
		in a variety of context to develop				
		reading skills during language				
		acquisition. This will include				
		the use of a variety of text and				
		vocabulary study.				
		Dravida Darant Night for ELI				
		Provide Parent Night for ELL students' families to learn about				
		educational opportunities within the				
		school				
CELLA C1 //2	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CELLA Goal #2:	Proficient in Reading:	1				
	rioncient in Reading.					
Of the 28 students						
transferring from						
Whispering Oak (the						
largest number of our						
students), 7 received						
proficient scores. We						
intend to raise the						
percentage of proficiency						
to 39% (12/32).						
	25% (7/28) students entering grades					
	3 – 5 scored proficient.					
		ļ		ļ	1	

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.	Some teachers may not have used formal writing prompts for their targeted (ELL) students.	parents and faculty through		3.1. Applying the FCIM to students' language acquisition in the classroom will be monitored by classroom teachers and reported to the administration. The data will be reviewed by the PLC.	3.1. Kid Talks, Writing Prompts, CELLA and climate surveys	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	29% (8/28) students entering grades 3 – 5 scored proficient.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school based funded		I	1
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
6 ()	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Strategy	-		7.7
Read Well, Kaleidoscope & Triumphs	Intervention	School Budget	See Reading
Accelerated Reader	Comprehension Resource	School Budget	See Reading
Science & Social Studies Leveled Readers (Includes text kit for grades K – 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade for Social Studies)	Additional Subject Related Text for reading in the content and non-fiction purposes	School Budget	See Reading
Reading Plus & Lexia	Computer Based Instruction	School Budget	See Reading
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
See Reading Plus & Lexia in above section			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Initial Training package for "opening" school with new products.	Assistance given to teachers via summer training & 1st two months of school	District	N/A
HM new users training	Professional Learning	District	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Total:\$0		

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	Teachers need to differentiate instruction to meet the need of all students.	1a.1.  1- Further strengthen the implementation of EnVision Math school wide (Provide PD for new teachers)	<ul> <li>Leadership Team</li> <li>Instructional staff</li> </ul>	in action plan and determine if	1a.1. 1. Mini-assessments from Focus Lessons 2. Benchmark Assessments 3. FCAT Math 4. EnVision Math Summary Data	
Mathematics Goal #1A:  In 2012, we had 28% of students score level 3. We intend for 33% of students, a gain of 5% points, to score a level 3 in 2013.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	of students with scores achieved a level 3.						
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1B: N/A	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

		~		T =		 ,
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	
Students scoring		, ,		Administrative and grade level	1. Mini-assessments from Focus	
at or above	Teachers need to differentiate	1. Fur ther	<ul><li>Leadership Team</li><li>Instructional staff</li></ul>	teams will meet to verify progress in action plan and determine if	Lessons 2. Benchmark Assessments	
Achievement	instruction to	stren		changes based on evidence are	3. FCAT Math	
	meet the need of			needed.	4. EnVision Math Summary	
mathematics.	all students.	n the			Data	
mathematics.		impl				
		eme ntati				
		on of	1			
		EnV				
		ision				
		Math sch				
		ool				
		wide				
		(Pr				
		ovid				
		e PD				
		for new				
		teach				
		ers)				
<b>1</b> 1 1 0 1	2012 G	2012 F				
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#2A:	Performance:*	Performance:*				
In 2012, we had 24% of						
students score level 4 or						
5. We intend for 27% of						
students, a gain of 3%						
points, to score a level 4 or						
5 in 2013.						

	24% of students at 4 or 5(53/220)	t 27% of students at 4 or 5 (94/345)				
		Level 4, 5	Provide Enrichment opportunities including use of Moby Math	2a.2.  Leadership Team Instructional staff	2a.2. Administrative and grade level teams will meet to verify progress in action plan and determine if changes based on evidence are needed.	2a.2. 1. Mini-assessments from Focus Lessons 2. Benchmark Assessments 3. FCAT Math 4. EnVision Math Summary Data
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #2B: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	N/A	N/A				
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	
Percentage of				Administrative and grade level	Mini-assessments from Focus	
students making			Classroom Teachers		Lessons	
learning gains in		strengthen the implementation	Administration	in action plan and determine if changes based on evidence are	Benchmark Assessments 3.  FCAT Math	
mathematics.	opening August	of EnVision		needed.	4. EnVision Math Summary	
mathematics.	2012. Faculty	Math school			Data	
		wide while				
	will experience adjustments to	providing additional				
	the new culture					
	of the school.	including				
		resources.				
		We will utilize				
		EnVision				
		to provide				
		intervention resources				
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected				
#3A:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Whispering Oak had						
72% learning gains for 2011-2012. SunRidge						
Elementary receives the						
majority of its students						
from Whispering Oak. We						
anticipate 75						
	72% of students	75% of students				
	made learning	will make				
	gains	learning gains at SunRidge (165/				
		220)				

		Teachers need to differentiate	3a.2. Further strengthen the implementation of EnVision Math school wide (Provide PD for new teachers)	3a.2. Administration	3a.2. Administration will review lesson plans and classroom room observation to assist teachers in differentiating their instruction. Teachers will be given opportunities to visit classrooms with strong DI.	3a.2.  1. Lesson Plans 2. Kid Talks 3. Benchmark Data 4. iObservation Data	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: N/A	3B.1.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*	3B.1.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	N/A	N/A					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

D 1 4 1 :	1 4 22 2 4 1	C <sub>1</sub>	p p :/:	I B II I B	F 1 (: F 1	r
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
4. FCAT 2.0:	Students	1. Maintain	Leadership Team	Grade Level and Administrative	1. Mini-assessments from Focus	
Percentage of	entering each	focus and		teams will review data weekly	Lessons	
students in lowest	grade level at	consistency			2. FCAT Math	
	or below grade			action plan is adhered to or the	3. District Benchmark	
25% making		of the Florida		action plan is modified.	Assessments	
learning gains in	New curriculum	Continuous			4. FCAT Explorer	
mathematics.	resources may	Improvement			5. EnVision Math Assessments	
	be a benefit and					
	a barrier.	2. Effectively				
		manage the use				
		of data.				
		3.Use EnVision				
		and Moby Math				
		to increase				
		student				
		understanding of skills and				
		concepts. (Provide PD for				
		teachers)				
		4.Disaggregate				
		test data to identify				
		neediest groups				
		and subgroups. 5. Use ongoing,				
	I	frequent				
	I	monitoring of				
		progress.				
		6. Implement				
		the EnVision				
		Math				
		Supplemental				
	I	materials				
	I	efficiently and				
		effectively.				
	I	(Provide PD for				
		new teachers)				
		new teachers)		1		

Mathematics Goal #4: 59% of students in lowest 25% at Whispering Oak Elementary made learning gains for 2011-2012. SunRidge will achieve 62% of students making learning gains in 2012-2013.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	59% of Whispering Oak achieved learning gains at lowest 25%.	62% (34/55) of students will achieve learning gains in the lowest 25%.	1				
		Some teachers are not familiar with FCIM.	4a.2. Maintain focus and consistency through the use of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model. Provide PD on FCIM for new teachers.	Leadership Team	4a.2. Utilizing PLC time teachers will review their students' success using the cycle of Plan-Do-Check-Act, which are akin to the tenets of FCIM.	<ul><li>Benchmark Data</li><li>FCAT Data</li></ul>	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives							1 1
(AMOs), identify							1 1
reading and mathematics							1 1
performance target for							
the following years							$\perp$
5A. In six years	Baseline data 2010-2011	District Average	All Students:62%	All Students:66%		All	All Students:
school will reduce						Students:73%	77%
their achievement	SunRidge Elementary opened in	White: /4%				1	White:85%
gap by 50%.	2012	Black:40%	_ ·	1 -			Black:69%
gap by 30 /0.		Hispanic:51% Asian: 84%	Asian. 83%	Asian: 85%			Hispanic:74% Asian: 90%
		Asian. 0470	Economically Disadvantaged:53%	Economically	Economically	Asian. 6670	Asian. 7070
		Economically Disadvantaged:47%				Economically	Economically
		, ,	Students with Disabilities:38%	3			Disadvantaged:
		Students with Disabilities:28%		Students with Disabilities:44%		67%	72%
			English Language Learners:48%				1
		English Language Learners:36%		English Language Learners:54%			Students with
						Disabilities:56	
						%	63%
						English	English
						L	Language
						Learners:64%	Learners: 69%

Mathematics Goal #5A: By 2016-2017, SunRidge Elementary will meet the district AMO goals for each sub-group scoring at or above grade level: All Students: 77% White:85% Black:69% Hispanic:74% Asian: 90% Economically Disadvantaged: 72% Students with Disabilities: 63% English Language Learners: 69%						
David on the analysis	Audining de J. Damin	C44	Danson on Danition	Decree Head to Determine	Facilitation Table	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1. Grade Level and	5B.1.	
subgroups by			Administration	Administrative teams will review		
(3371.:4.		1. Maintain focus and consistency	Classroom Teachers	data to ensure that each portion	<ul> <li>FCAT Math</li> </ul>	
• ` ` ′	students' inability to adapt to	through the use of the Florida		of the action plan is adhered to	OCPS Benchmark	
	new surroundings. As a new	Continuous Improvement Model.		or the action plan is modified	EnVision Math	
	school, students may wish to	2. Effectively manage the use of		using sound and defensible	Benchmark Assessments	
	have remained at their previous schools thus creating animosity.	data. 3.Use EnVision and Moby Math to		findings.	Assessments	
satisfactory progress	schools thus creating animosity.	increase student understanding of				
in mathematics.		skills and concepts. (Provide PD				
		for teachers)				
		4.Disaggregate test data to identify				
		neediest groups and subgroups.				
		5. Use ongoing, frequent				
		monitoring of progress.				
		6. Implement the EnVision Math				
		Supplemental materials efficiently				
		and effectively. (Provide PD for new teachers)				
		7. Build school community using				
		guidelines for success and school				
		building activities.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#5B:	Performance:*	Performance:*				
<u> </u>						
Whispering Oak is the						
school providing the						
majority of students to						
SunRidge Elementary.						
SunRidge will raise						
average scores by three						
percent and accelerate						
gains of students						
encompassed by the achievement gap by 5 or						
6%.						
	White:21%	White: 18% (24)				
	Black:45%	Black: 40% (36)				
	Hispanic:43%	Hispanic:38% (32)				
l .	Asian:15%	Asian:12% (2)				
	American Indian: n/a	American Indian: n/a				

	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.		
	Students of ethnicities may have a	Administrators will review current	Administration	OCPS Code of Conduct will	•	Disci	
	greater suspension rate.	suspension rates for subgroups.		be reviewed periodically and		pline	
		Data will be reviewed throughout		enforced for each infraction.		Data	
		the year.			•	Atte	
1						nda	
						nce	
						Data	
					•	Cli	
						mate	
						Surv	
						ey	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.		

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	Lack of ability	Utilize Thinking	Classroom Teacher, Administration	Administrative and grade level	<ul> <li>Benchmark Test</li> </ul>		
I anguaga I aarnars	to express their			teams will meet to verify progress	• FCAT		
(FII) mod modelma	understanding	mental models		in action plan and determine if	10/11		
	of concepts	in addition		changes based on evidence are			
satisfactory progress		to utilizing		needed.			
		SMART Boards					
		with visuals.					
	vocabulary.						
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5C:	Level of	Level of					
<del></del>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
56% of Whispering Oak							
students in the ELL							
population scored a 3 or							
above. SunRidge will have							
61% of students achieving							
a level 3 or above in 2013.							
	44%	39% (24)					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
						_	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
Sacgroup.							

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Some students are not proficient in basic facts and lack the foundational skills needed to achieve higher level thinking.	Use of manipulative kits and mental models during integration of EnVision Math supplements.	<ul> <li>Classroom Teachers</li> <li>Resource Teachers</li> <li>Administration</li> </ul>	Administrative and grade level teams will meet weekly to verify progress in action plan and determine if changes based on evidence are needed.	Mini-assessments from Focus Lessons     Benchmark Assessments (three assessments a year)     FCAT Math     EnVision Math Summary Data		
Mathematics Goal #5D:  33%% of Whispering Oak students in the SWD population scored a 3 or above. SunRidge will have 40%% of students achieving a level 3 or above in 2013.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Teachers may not be aware of IEP goals and accommodation s.	Teachers will be provided IEP goals and accommodation pages. Training will be provided. Time to review cumulative folders will be provided.		5D.2. Teachers will be able to review IEP's with ESE teachers to ensure that goals and accommodations are being met.  5D.3.	5D.2.  1. EPT/MTSS meeting notes 2. Lesson Plans 3. Assessment Data  5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
ezi zeonomiemij	JL.1.	3L.1.	JL.1.	Administrative and grade level	1. Mini-assessments from Focus		
Disadvantaged	Teachers need	Further	Classroom Teachers	teams will meet weekly to verify	Lessons		
students not making	additional	strengthen the	Administration	progress in action plan and	2. Benchmark Assessments		
satisfactory progress	training on	implementation		determine if changes based on	(three assessments a year)		
in mathematics.		of EnVision		evidence are needed.	3. FCAT Math		
in municipalities.		Math school			4. EnVision Math Summary		
	materials.	wide while			Data		
	11141011415.	providing			Data		
		additional					
		supports					
		including					
		resources.					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of					
#3E.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
58% of Whispering							
Oak students in							
the Economically							
Disadvantaged population							
scored a 3 or above.							
SunRidge will have 63% of							
students achieving a level 3							
or above in 2013.							
	42%	37% (89)					
		1					
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	
			We will share materials from		We will monitor the number of	Social Worker	
			author Ruby Payne about working		teachers requesting assistance	Reports	
				50ciai Würkei			
			with ED students. We will also		from the social worker to ensure	<ul> <li>Climate Surveys</li> </ul>	
		nigh populations	provide support with our school's		that students' needs are met.		
			social worker to help meet		Parent surveys may assist in		
		disadvantaged	students' basic needs.		demonstrating the ability of the		
		students.			school to meet the needs of the		
					community.		
			Į.	ļ.			

	5E.3.	5E.3.	15 F 3	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		110.2.	10.2.	ID.2.	110.2.	110.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 <b>∆</b> ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .	]	ļ		

	2B.	Level of	2013 Expected  Level of					
E	Enter narrative for the oal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
ŀ		Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

		_				•	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		J. 1.2.	51 1. <b>2</b> .	51 1. <b>2</b> .	51.1. <b>2</b> .	[	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Go #3B:  Enter narrative for goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i citormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5					
#5F·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 ( <i>C</i> ) E			
Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years  3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement	2011-2012  Baseline data 2010-2011	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for europerted level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>r orrormanco.</u>	l contonianco.					
5							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
o z v z comonimentij	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Zitter ittirition of or the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	
		51.5.	DE.3.	55.5.	50.5.	on.s.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 ( <i>C</i> ) E			
Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

school will reduce their achievement	2012-2013  Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.						
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following subgroups:						
	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
Asian, American	American Indian:					
satisfactory progress in Geometry.						

 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
					3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
Entan namatina fon tha		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
3							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional				
Development	l .			
(PD) aligned with	l .			
Strategies through	1			
Professional	l .			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# **Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
EnVision Math	Core resources including daily assessment	Budget	1 000
C., b4, 4, 1, 61,000			
Subtotal:\$1000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
SMART Boards	Interactive White Boards	Construction	N/A
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
EnVision Math	Refresher training	District	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$1000			

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	Teachers have no experience with new science series for core material		1a.1. CRT Assistant Principal	In addition to iObservation by	1a.1. iObservation data OCPS Science Benchmark Science Fusion Assessments	

Science Goal #1A:  Students at Whispering Oak scored 73% for Science FCAT 2.0.  Of that number, 44% scored a level 3. SunRidge Elementary will achieve 47% (53/114) of students scoring a level 3.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	73% satisfactory and above with 44% of students scoring level 3.						
		not be familiar with OCPS	Administrators and resource	Ia.2. Classroom Teachers Administration	la.2. Daily observations of students engaged in scientific inquiry will be conducted. Science Fusion Assessments will be administered.	la.2. iObservation data  OCPS Science Benchmark  Science Fusion Assessments  Lesson Plans	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1.		1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		
Science Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

	1	I	1	Ī	1	i = -	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring					iObservation data		
at or above	Teachers are no			In addition to iObservation by			
	familiar with	will be	Assistant Principal		OCPS Science Benchmark		
<b>Achievement Levels</b>		implemented		science journals to document their			
4 and 5 in science.		with fidelity		scientific journey.	Science Fusion Assessments		
	material	utilizing the					
		district's		Design Challenge labs will			
		sequence and		demonstrate students ability to			
		pacing.		conduct experimentation, while			
				assessments will be given at each			
				grade and OCPS benchmark for 5th			
				grade students.			
Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
Students at Whispering	Level of	Level of					
Oak earned 73 points for	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Science FCAT 2.0.							
Of that number, 29%							
scored a level 4 or 5.							
SunRidge Elementary will							
achieve 31% (36/114) of							
students scoring a level 4							
or 5.							
	Whispering Oak	30% (36/114) will					
	students scored	score a 4 or 5.					
	29% as a 4 or 5.						
	1	1	1		L	l .	

		Lack a science resource teacher to provide enrichment for science.	Ensure teacher follow OCPS Pacing guide with fidelity.  Utilize STEM Design Challenges each grading period for enrichment.	Administration	Ia.2. Daily observations of students engaged in scientific inquiry will be conducted. Science Fusion Assessments will be administered.	1a.2. iObservation data  OCPS Science Benchmark  Science Fusion Assessments  Lesson Plans
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1.		2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	
Science Goal #2B:  N/A	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				
	N/A	N/A				
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

# Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			

				·	<u> </u>	1	
	Student Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.							

En	nter narrative for the al in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

# **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Science Fusion	Text & Resources	Text Book Budget	\$25 000
Subtotal:\$25 000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Science Lab	Science Materials	FF&E (District)	N/A
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Science Series Online	Professional Learning	District	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
STEM Activities	Science Resources	Budget	See STEM
Subtotal:\$0			
Total:\$25 000			

End of Science Goals

#### **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>VV</b> 7•4•	Ducklans		Γ			
Writing	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
	Process to	)				
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and						
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:  1A. FCAT:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring at						
4 7 7 7		We will implement	Classroom Teachers Administrative Team	Writing samples will be reviewed and discussed during PLC meetings	FCAT Writes Monthly Prompts	
	focus on writing	Craft Plus as	Administrative Team	and kid talks.	Wondiny Frompts	
writing.	instruction	the core writing				
	across all grade levels.	program with standardized				
	icveis.	rubrics and				
		strategies and				
		Write From The Beginning as a				
		supplemental				
		program.				
Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current					
0.40/ of Whim wing	Level of Performance:*					
84% of Whispering Oak students scored a						
3 or above in writing.		2013 Expected Level of				
87% of SunRidge 4th		Performance:*				
grade students will score satisfactory or higher.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	Whispering Oak	SunRidge				
	students scored	Elementary will				
	84% for 3 and above	score 87% (93/ 107) of students				
	uvove	at satisfactory or				
		higher				

		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

# **Writing Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a	ı					
professional development or PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Craft Plus	ALL		School Wide	November 30, 2012	Observations, Lesson plans and prompts	Administration

# Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Craft Plus	Core Writing Program	Budget	\$7 000
Subtotal:\$7000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Craft Plus	Professional Learning	Budget	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$7000			

End of Writing Goals

# Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	<b>Process to</b>			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			
	ent			

Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #1:		2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	1.0	1.2.	1.0	1.0	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	2,	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:		2.1		0.1	h .		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							

Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

# **Civics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

_				

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

led)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources  Description of Resources  Description of Resources	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount  Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

# **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

#### **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	1		1	1	i	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
					EDWIN D	
	Several	Promote a	Teachers, Assistant Principal and	We will document how many	EDWBI Reports	
	attendance	positive culture	Registrar	students participate in the Safe	Survey Results	
	areas appear	where the		Routes to School Program.	Participation	
	to be nearly	school and		0.1. 1. 31. 431. 60. 43	in Safe Routes	
	two miles from			School will utilize effectiveness	documentation	
	the school.	important parts		surveys from students, staff and	SMS Attendance and	
	Parents may no	of students and		community.	tardy report	
		family lives.				
	children to walk	n :1				
	that far or may	Provide				
		students with				
	as a result of	incentives to				
	walking.	arrive on time				
		and every day.				
		D :1				
		Provide parents				
		with letters				
		updating				
		attendance and				
	2012 G	tardies.				
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	<u>Attendance</u>	Attendance				
Whispering Oak had an	Rate:*	Rate:*				
attendance rate of 95.75%						
for 2011-2012.						
SunRidge Elementary will						
have a 96% attendance						
rate for 2012-2013 school						
years.						
	Whispering Oak	SunRidge				
	95.75%	Elementary 96%		ļ	1	
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Number of	Number of				
	Students with	Students with				
	<u>Excessive</u>	Excessive				
	<u>Absences</u>	Absences				
	(10 or more)	(10 or more)	1			
	Whispering Oak	SunRidge 175			1	
	396					

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
Whispering Oak 103						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High Communication with parents	Connect Orange, Student Agendas, E-mail, Parent Letters	N/A	N/A
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$0			

End of Attendance Goals

# **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

 $\epsilon_1$	0 )	1 2	1	$\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}$	( )/	
Suspension	Problem-					
Goal(s)	solving					

	Process to					
	Decrease					
	Suspension					
	1					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of suspension data, and	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
reference to "Guiding				Strategy		
Questions," identify and						
define areas in need of						
improvement:						
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
1. Suspension						
	Students will need to	"Dare to SOAR" will	Leadership Team	Climate surveys will be used	EDWBI reports	
	adapt to a new school			to ascertain the safety and	F	
	with new rules. The				Survey Results	
	surroundings will be	show respect, strive		The state of the sensol.		
		for success and make		Comparison of area schools with	SMS Reports	
	student compared to	wise choices		similar demographics.	oo reports	
	their previous school	wise enoices.		similar demograpines.		
		We will follow				
		guidelines of				
		the Behavioral				
		Leadership Team				
		that Mrs. Quint used				
		previously. BLT				
		helps students move				
		through corridors and				
		other common areas.				
G : G 1 //1	2012 T-4-1 N					
Suspension Goal #1:		2013 Expected Number of				
SunRidge Elementary		In- School				
will establish its baseline		Suspensions Suspensions				
data during the 2012-						
2013 school years. It is						
the intent to have less						
than 3% of students (21)						
receive a suspension.						
Whispering Oak had						
48 suspensions from						
2.65% (38) of students.						
	N/A	N/A				

of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
N/A	N/A					
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
Whispering Oak data indicated 48 suspensions	SunRidge expects 35 suspensions					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
indicated 38 (2.65%)	SunRidge expects 21 students (3%) to receive a suspension.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:\$0			
Character Education	Lessons from Guidance Counselor	N/A	N/A
DARE to SOAR	Guidelines for Success	N/A	N/A
Quarterly review code of conduct	Code of Conduct; presentation	N/A	N/A
OCPS Bullying Materials	Video and Modules for classrooms	N/A	N/A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Include only school-based funded			

Subtotal:		
Total:\$0		

#### End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)
Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

When using percen	itages, ilicitude	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
Guai(s)							
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
parent involvement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Diopout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Dropout Prevention	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
Goal #1:							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school							
vear.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected dropout rate in this box.					

2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	a nii	*** :11 00		L		
	SunRidge	We will offer		School will monitor	Survey Data	
	Elementary will	a variety of		effectiveness using yearly	1	
	open on August	activities for	Classroom Teachers	surveys.	Attendance	
		parents to	DTO.	D ( 311 11 ( 1 1 )	documentation	
	believe that			Data will be collected about enrollment in PTO and		
	students will	integral part in				
		their children's education.		attendance at the School Advisory Council Meetings.		
	by at least one parent at one	- Sun-Sational		Advisory Council Meetings.		
	event during the					
	vear A possible	before the school				
	barrier is that	open; will occur				
		throughout the				
	less involved	year.				
	as the year	- Meet The				
	progresses.	Teacher, Open				
	ľ	House, PTO,				
		PLC and				
		SAC are all				
		opportunities.				
		<ul> <li>Communicate</li> </ul>				
		with parents				
		and community				
		through a variety				
		of sources				
		including				
		media, students'				
		planners and the school website.				
		- Academic				
		Parent Nights				
		-Fine Arts				
		Performances				
		School Spirit				
		Nights				

#1:	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
SunRidge Elementary will open in 2012.							
We expect 100% of students to be represented by one parent at least at one event.							
	N/A	100% (736)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.		DD D W	70 D			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Effective Communication	K-5/All	Grade Level- Team Leader	PLC Teams	Weekly Meetings; focus of communication for October and March meetings	Administration will conduct effectiveness survey which has a detailed portion regarding communication	SAC and Administrative Team

_

### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Parent Academic Nights	Academic resources for parents	School budget	\$500	
Subtotal:\$500				
Total:\$500				
	ı	•		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  By May 2013, all K – 5 classrooms will conduct a minimum of four STEM Design Challenges as outlined by Orange County Public Schools with an emphasis on grades 3 – 5 being able to define, explain and implement the Engineering Design Process within a variety of contexts.	problem-based learning; therefore they are not comfortable with all aspects	Provide training in problem- based learning, technology integration and scientific inquiry (District STEM Coordinator – Mariel Milano)	Administrative team	1.1. Classroom Observation Lesson Plans Instructional Calendar	1.1. Fusion Assessments District Benchmark Assessment Science FCAT 2.0
	and resources to perform the design challenges	1.2. Time will be blocked out for grade levels to utilize our science lab which has space and materials for students		1.2. Classroom Observation Lesson Plans	1.2. Fusion Assessments District Benchmark Assessment Science FCAT 2.0
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **STEM Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
STEM	K – 5/ALL	OCPS STEM Facilitator	K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers		Classroom observation, PLC notes & lesson plans	
Science Fusion	K – 5/ALL	OCPS Trainers	K – 5 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers	Wednesday Staff Development	Classroom observation, PLC notes & lesson plans	CRT & Administration

## **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Science Fusion	Science work text (district adopted)	OCPS Textbook	See Science
Subtotal:\$0			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
SMART Boards	Interactive Whiteboards in each classroom	FFE School Funding (Opening package)	N/A (\$70,000)
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
STEM Training	Problem Based Learning	N/A	N/A
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
STEM Activities & Design Challenge	STEM Materials	Science Budget	\$500
Subtotal:\$500			
Total:\$500			

End of STEM Goal(s)

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	es, include in	e mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the pe	ercentage (e.g. 707	0 (33)).	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Enrollment in Fine Arts	choices for students to make with respect to	- We will provide students with the	- Music Teacher/Chorus Instructor -Art teacher/Art Club Instructor - Classroom teachers - Registrar	1.1. Students' work will be displayed and showcased for art. Various concerts will be offered for Chorus. Student interest will be gauged through climate surveys.	Climate Survey  Concert & Art		

				i			i e
Additional Goal #1:  As a new school for 2012- 2013, SunRidge Elementary will establish a high Fine Arts enrollment.	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	N/A	100% (736) will participate in Fine Arts programs weekly.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Career Readiness	In blending a staff from several schools, not all teachers may be familiar with the process of Destination College.	and provide Destination College Materials through PLC groups	Leadership Team	Administration will conduct classroom observations including speaking with students	2.1. Review Destination College Binders PLC Notes		
Additional Goal #2: As a new school for 2012- 2013, SunRidge Elementary will establish a culture preparing students for college and career using Destination College Program Materials		2013 Expected Level :*					
	N/A	100% (335) students in grades 3-5 will participate in Destination College.					
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.3.	1.3.	

3. Reading by the Age of Nine	3.1. Teachers may not use the reading materials available correctly.	Utilize our core reading program	Leadership Team Classroom Teachers	3.1.  Administration will conduct classroom observations and review lesson plans and focus calendars periodically to ensure instructional fidelity.	3.1. See Reading Goals PLC Notes		
Additional Goal #3:  We will increase the percentage of students who read on grade level by age 9 by 3 percent.	Currently 65%	receive training in HM if needed.  2013 Expected Level :*					
	(70)of third graders scored level 3 or	graders will score level 3 and above on FCAT reading 3.2. Parents may not feel comfortable executing recommendation for their students	We will provide opportunities for parents to learn about the	3.2. CRT Media Specialist Teachers	3.2. Parents will complete surveys to gauge the communication and instruction at SunRidge Elementary. We will speak with parents in conferences and at Literacy Night to assess comfort level in helping their students becoming proficient readers.	3.2. Attendance sheets for Literacy Night Conference forms Climate Surveys	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

4 Fluorey in Moth	D.2.	5D.2.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
" I lucincy in Macin		Teachers will	0.1.	D.1.	J.1.		
		be provided	Leadership Team	Administration will conduct	See Reading Goals		
		IEP goals and		classroom observations and	See Reading Goals		
	ecommodations.			review lesson plans and focus	PLC Notes		
ac		pages. Training		calendars periodically to ensure	FLC Notes		
		will be provided.		instructional fidelity.			
		Time to review		instructional fidelity.			
		cumulative					
		folders will be					
		provided.					
A 11' 1 C 1 //							
		2013 Expected Level :*					
	Level : *	Level:					
We will increase the percentage							
of students who are fluent in math							
operations by 3%, resulting in							
a decrease in the percentage of							
students in grades 3-5 who score							
level 1 and 2 on FCAT Math.							
1							
		We will decrease					
		the percentage of					
		students scoring					
		levels 1 and 2 on FCAT math my 3%					
ľ		r CA1 main my 5% in 2013.					
			5E.1.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
				3.2. CRT		Attendance sheets for Literacy	
		Teachers need		Media Specialist		Night	
				Teachers	communication and	Conference forms	
			Math school wide while			Climate Surveys	
			providing additional supports		Elementary. We will		
			including resources.		speak with parents		
		materials.	5		in conferences and at		
					Literacy Night to assess		
					comfort level in helping		
					their students becoming		
					proficient readers.		

have n experie popula econor	ienced high lations of mically vantaged working with ED students. We will also provide support with our school's social worker to help meet students'	Social Worker	SE.2 We will monitor the number of teachers requesting assistance from the social worker to ensure that students' needs are met. Parent surveys may assist in demonstrating the ability of the school to meet the	
			of the school to meet the needs of the community.	

### **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Destination College	3-5	District	3-5 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers	December 20,2012	Monitoring of student notebooks	Teachers/administration
	\ <b>D</b> 1 4 (7		1.10			

#### Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Use HM materials with fidelity	Basal text, Leveled Readers	N/A	N/A	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
HM Training	OCPS Training for Houghton Mifflin Reading	N/A	N/A	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$0				

### End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$66 000
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$1000
Science Budget	

	Total:\$25 000
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$7000
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:\$500
STEM Budget	
	Total:\$500
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	G 17 1 2400 000
	Grand Total:\$100 000

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

$\Box$ Yes $\Box$	No
-------------------	----

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the extraction of the CAC Construction with a large
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Elections of officers, SIP development, SAC overview and survey implementation are key components of this year's agenda for SAC.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount

N/A	N/A