Florida Department of Education



Lockhart Elementary School

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Lockhart Magnet Elementary	District Name: Hillsborough County
Principal: Lynn Roberts	Superintendent: Mary Ellen Elia
SAC Chair: LeighMarie Carrasquillo	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Lynn Roberts	MS – Ed. Leadership BA – Elementary Ed 1-6	7 months	8	Lomax: 2006-2007:A 100% AYP Lomax: 2007-2008:A 92% AYP USF Patel: 2008-2009:A 100% AYP USF Patel: 2009-2010: B 97% AYP USF Patel: 2010-2011: B 100% AYP Lockhart: 2011-2012: D No AYP

Assistant Principal	Andrea Frazier	MS – Ed. Leadership BA – Elementary Ed 1-6	6 years	6	Lockhart 07/08 – B 82%AYP Lockhart 08/09 – B 84% AYP Lockhart 09/10 – C 74% AYP Lockhart 10/11 – D, 69% AYP Lockhart 11/12: D
------------------------	----------------	--	---------	---	---

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Leikeisha Broughton	Primary Ed K-3	1	1	Lockhart 11/12: D no AYP
Math	Jackie LeJuene	Elementary Ed (k-6); ESOL Endorsed	2 months	2	Frost 2011-2012: C Frost 2010-2011: C
Science	Jessica Addington	Masters Degree; Elementary Education/ Certification: ESE K-12; ESOL endorsement	1	1	Lockhart 11/12 – D no AYP MacFarland Park 10/11-A 100% AYP MacFarland Park 09/10-A 100% AYP
Reading (AIS)	LeighMarie Carrisquillo	Masters Degree; Elementary Education/ Certification: ESE K-12; ESOL endorsement	8	6	Lockhart 11/12 – D no AYP Lockhart 10/11 – D 69%AYP Lockhart 09/10 – C 74% AYP Lockhart 08/09 – B 84% AYP Lockhart 07/08 – B 82%AYP

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1. Teacher Interview Day	District Staff	June	
2. District Mentor Program	District Mentors	ongoing	

3.	District Peer Program	District Peers	ongoing
4.	Salary Differential (Renaissance Schools)	General of Federal Programs	ongoing
5.	Renaissance Interview Day	District Staff	June

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to
teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective	support the staff in becoming highly effective
rating (instructional staff only).	

Teacher	Classes Taught Assignmen t	Assignm ent Start Date	Certificatio n Held	Out-of- Field Area/ Classes	Highly Qualifie d
Gillian Humphrey s	Kindergarte n English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	K-6 & PreK- 3 rd	ESOL Endorseme nt	No
Yvonne Roder	1st Grade English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	K-6	ESOL Endorseme nt	No
Carrie Albritton	2 nd Grade English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	K-6	ESOL Endorseme nt	No
Emma Morgan	3 rd Grade English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	PreK- 3 rd	ESOL Endorseme nt	No
Julia O'Connell	4 th Grade English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	K-6 & PreK- 3 rd & Reading	ESOL Endorseme nt	No
Lynn Riley	5 th Grade English with ELL students	August 21, 2012	K-6 & ESE K-12	ESOL Endorseme nt	No

Depending on the needs of the teacher, one or more of the following strategies are implemented:

Administrators

Meet with the teachers two times per year to discuss progress on:

- Preparing and taking the certification exam
- Completing classes needed for certification
- Provide substitute coverage for the teachers to observe other teachers
- Discussion of what teachers learned during the observation(s)

Academic Coach

The coach co-plans, models, co-teaches, observes and conferences with the teacher on a regular basis

Subject AreaLeader/PLC

The teachers will attend PLC meetings for on-going adult learning, striving to understand how they as an individual teacher and PLC member can improve learning for all.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
50	5	21	18	6	22		1	0	19

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Julie Lehan	Meredith Mullen	Ms. Lehan is a Mentor with EET initiative. She has strengths in the areas of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, coteaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assessments, conferencing and problem solving.
Leikeisha Broughton	Lynn Riley	Leikeisha has over 1 year of coaching experience and can assist Lynn in development and implementation of reading guidelines within her classroom.	On-going co-planning, modeling of lessons and observation with feedback.
Jessica Addington	Rachel Fletcher	Jessica has over 1 year of coaching experience and can assist Rachel in development and implementation of science and math guidelines within her classroom.	On-going co-planning, modeling of lessons and observation with feedback.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Services are provided to ensure students who need additional remediation are provided support through: after school programs, quality teachers through professional development, content resource teachers, and mentors.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA

Title I. Part D

NA

Title II

The district receives funds for staff development to increase student achievement through teacher training. In addition, the funds are utilized in the Salary Differential Program at Renaissance schools.

Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources (social workers and tutoring) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school, reading coaches, and extended learning opportunity programs.

Violence Prevention Programs

NA

Nutrition Programs

FFVP Grant

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

We utilize information from student Head Start to transition to Kindergarten.

Adult Education

NA

Career and Technical Education

NA

Job Training

NA

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised July 2012

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

- Principal
- Assistant Principal
- Guidance Counselor
- School Psychologist
- Social Worker
- Academic Coaches (Reading and Math)
- Lead Teacher
- ESE Specialist
- SAC Chair/Academic Intervention Specialist
- ELL Resource Teacher

(Note that not all members attend every meeting, but are invited based on the goals and purpose of the meeting)

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the MTSS Leadership Team is to:

- Review school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs at all grade levels.
- Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core and intervention/enrichment (Tier2/3) levels.
- Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavior, and attendance domains.
- Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The Leadership team meets regularly (weekly). Specific responsibilities include:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of instruction delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Ensure the master schedule incorporates allocated time for intervention support at all grade levels.
- Determine scheduling needs, and assist teacher teams in identifying research-based instructional materials and intervention resources at Tier2/3.
- Facilitate the implementation of specific programs(e.g., Extended Learning Programs during and after school) that provide intervention support to students identified through data sorts/chats conducted by the PLCs.
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Organize and support systematic data collection (e.g., district and state assessments; during-the-grading period school assessments/checks for understanding; in-school surveys)

Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through the:

- Implementation and support of PLCs
- Review of teacher/PLC core curriculum assessments/chapter tests/checks for understanding (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Use of Common Core Assessments by teachers teaching the same grade/subject area (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Implementation of research-based scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions (as outlined in our SIP)

Communication with major stakeholders (e.g., parents, business partners, etc.) regarding student outcomes through data summaries and conferences.

On a monthly basis, assist in the evaluation of teacher fidelity data and student achievement data collected during the month.

Support the planning, implementing and evaluating of the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs and PSLT.

Coordinate/collaborate/integrate with other working committees, such as Literacy Leadership Team(which is charged with developing a plan for embedding/integrating reading and writing strategies across all other content areas).

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- The Chair of SAC is a member of the Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The administration, leadership team, teachers and SAC are involved in the School Improvement Plan development and monitoring throughout the school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work of the Leadership Team and all teacher teams. The large part of the work of the team is outlined in the Expected Improvements/Problem Solving Process sections (and related professional development plans) for school-wide goals in Reading, Math, Writing, Science, Attendance and Suspension/Behavior.
- Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the Leadership Team/PLST monitors the effectiveness of instruction and intervention by reviewing student data as well as data related to implementation fidelity (teacher walk-through data).
- The Leadership Team/PSLT communicates with and supports the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by distributing Leadership Team members across the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, the Leadership Team members who are part of the PLCs regularly report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The Leadership Team/PSLT and PLCs both use the problem solving process (Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design and Implementation and Evaluation to:
 - O Use the problem-solving model when analyzing data:
 - 1. What is the problem? (Problem Identification)
 - 2. Why is it occurring? (Problem Analysis and Barrier Identification)
 - 3. What are we going to do about it? (Action Plan Design and Implementation)
 - 4. Is it working? (Monitor Progress and Evaluate Action Plan Effectiveness)
 - o Identify the problem (based on an analysis of the data disaggregated via data sorts) in multiple areas curriculum content, behavior, and attendance
 - O Develop and test hypotheses about why student/school problems are occurring (changeable barriers).
 - Develop and target interventions based on confirmed hypotheses.
 - Identify appropriate progress monitoring assessments to be administered at regular intervals matched to the intensity of the level of instructional/intervention support provided.
 - o Develop grading period or units of instruction//intervention goals that are ambitious, time-bound, and measureable (e.g., SMART goals).
 - Review progress monitoring data at regular intervals to determine when student(s) need more or less support (e.g., frequency, duration, intensity) to meet established class, grade, and/or school goals (e.g., use of data-based decision-making to fade, maintain, modify or intensify intervention and/or enrichment support).
 - Each PLC develops PLC action plan for SIP strategy implementation and monitoring.
 - Assess the implementation of the strategies on the SIP using the following questions:
 - 1. Does the data show implementation of strategies are resulting in positive student growth?
 - 2. To what extent are we making progress toward the school's SIP goals?
 - 3. If we are making progress, what can we do to sustain what is working?
 - 4. What barriers to implementation are we facing and how will we address them?
 - 5. What should we do next? What should be our plan of action?

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The following table contains a summary of the assessments used to measure student progress in core, supplemental and intensive instruction and their sources and management:

Core Curriculum (Tier 1)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible
Baseline and Midyear District Assessments	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall	PSLT, PLCs, individual teacher, administrative team
Subject-specific assessments generated by District-level Subject Supervisors in Reading, Math, Writing and Science	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall	PSLT, PLCs, individual teachers, administrative team
FAIR	Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network Data Wall	Reading Coach, individual teachers, PLCs, administrative team
CELLA	Sagebrush (IPT)	Assistant Principal
Common Assessments* (see below) of chapter/segments tests using adopted curriculum resources	Subject Area Generated Database	Individual teachers, PSLT
Common Assessments* (see below) of chapter/segments tests using adopted curriculum resources	Subject Area Generated Database	Individual teachers, PSLT

^{*}A Common Assessment covers a "chunk" of instruction within the District adopted curriculum. It covers all of the skills taught within a certain time period. The purpose of the Common Assessment is to assess students' knowledge of the core curriculum. The results of the Common Assessment are used to:

- Determine if the lesson plans and teaching strategies used to teach the core curriculum were effective or need to be modified.
- Determine which skills need to be taught with alternative strategies.
- Determine which skills need to be re-taught within the core curriculum and which skills need to be moved to the Reinforcement Instructional Calendar.
- Determine which students need Differentiated Instruction within the classroom and which students might need Supplemental Services.

Supplemental/Intensive Instruction (Tiers 2 and 3)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible for Monitoring
Extended Learning Program (ELP)	School Generated Database in Excel	ELP Facilitator ~ Assistant Principal
* (see below) Ongoing Progress		Principal
Monitoring (mini-assessments and		
other assessments from adopted		
curriculum resource materials)		
SES Tutoring	District Generated Database	SES Facilitator

I-Station Computer Program	Online Database	Individual Teachers, Reading Coach	
Intervention/Enrichment Groups (daily)	Teacher/Tutor Lesson Plans	PSLT	

^{*}Students receiving pull-out tutoring or push in tutoring during the school day or Extended Learning Program (ELP) after school will receive instruction on the specific skills they have not mastered in the core curriculum. As students work on these specific skills, they will be assessed during tutoring and ELP to ensure mastery of skills. In order to make this process effective, a communication system between classroom teacher and the tutor/ELP teacher will be developed by the PSLT and monitored for effectiveness throughout the school year. As students progress through Supplementary Support and Intensive Instruction, the number/type of supplemental services, time spent in the supplemental services and frequency of assessment will increase in duration.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Leadership Team/will continue to work to build consensus with all stakeholders regarding a need for and a focus on school improvement efforts. The Leadership Team will work to align the efforts of other school teams that may be addressing similar identified issues.

As the District's RtI Committee/RtI Facilitators develop(s) resources and staff development trainings on PS/RtI, these tools and staff development sessions will be conducted with staff when they become available. Professional Development sessions, as identified by teacher needs assessment and/or EET evaluation data, will occur during faculty meeting times or rolling faculty meetings. The Leadership Team will send school team representatives to ongoing PS/RtI trainings/support sessions that are offered district-wide. Our school will invite our area RtI Facilitator to visit quarterly (or as needed) to review our progress in implementation of PS/RtI and provide on-site coaching and support to our Leadership Teams/PLCs. New staff will be directed to participate in trainings relevant to PLCs and PS/RtI as they become available.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Response to Intervention (RtI) has also been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. In order to support MTSS in our schools, we will:

- Consistently promote the shared vision of one system meeting the needs of ALL students with MTSS as the platform for integrating all school initiatives (i.e., PLC, PSLT, Steering, and SAC meetings, lesson study, school-wide behavior management plans).
- Provide designated school personnel with the requisite knowledge and experience to support coordination and implementation of MTSS.
- Provide continued training and support to all school based personnel in problem solving, responding to student data and the use of a systematic method to increase student achievement.

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

- Principal ~ Lynn Roberts
- Assistant Principal ~ Andrea Frazier
- Reading Coach ~ Leikeisha/ Broughton
- Academic Intervention Specialists ~ LeighMarie Carrasquillo

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instruction support is provided to all teachers.

The principal also ensures that the LLT monitors reading data, identifies school-wide and individual teachers' reading-focused instructional strengths and weaknesses, and creates a professional development plan to support identified instructional needs in conjunction with the Problem Solving Leadership team's support plan. Additionally the principal ensures that time is provided for the LLT to collaborate and share information with all site stakeholders including other administrators, teachers, staff members, parents and students

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Will use new grant, Annenberg, to use money sources to create literacy areas around the school (cafeteria and grade level hallways).

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

In Hillsborough County Public schools, all kindergarten children are assessed for Kindergarten Readiness using the FLKRS (Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener.) This state-selected assessment contains a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System and the first two measures of the Florida Assessments in Reading (FAIR). The instruments used in the screening are based upon the Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Education Standards. *Parents are provided with a letter from the Commissioner of Education, explaining the assessments*. Teachers will meet with parents after the assessments have been completed to review student performance. Data from the FAIR will be used to assist teachers in creating homogeneous groupings for small group reading instruction. Children entering Kindergarten may have benefited from the Hillsborough County Public Schools' Voluntary Prekindergarten Program. This program is offered at elementary schools in the summer and during the school year in selected Head Start classrooms *and as a blended program in several Early Exceptional Learning Program (EELP) classrooms. Starting in the 2012-2013 school year, students in the VPK program will be given the state-created VPK Assessment that looks at Print Knowledge, Phonological Awareness, Mathematics and Oral Language/Vocabulary. This assessment will be administered at the start and end of the VPK program. A copy of these assessments will be mailed to the school in which the child will be registered for kindergarten, enabling the child's teacher to have a better understanding of the child's abilities from the first day of school. Parent Involvement events for Transitioning Children into Kindergarten include Kindergarten RoundUp. This event provides parents with an opportunity to meet the teachers and hear about the academic program. Parents are encouraged to complete the school registration procedure at this time to ensure that the child is able to start school on time.*

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

NA

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1	1A.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3	Lack of	Educators	Administration, Reading		Baseline and Mid-Year Data	
in reading.		will plan	Coach, District Reading	w aikun ougus	Formatives	
		collaborativ	Team	Reading Lesson Plans	FAIR, EasyCBM, DRAs	
		ely in PLCs			, , ,	
		to create				
		Lesson plans	1			
	student data					
		higher order				
		questions				
		aligned to				
		grade level				
		standards				
		using Web's				
		Depth of				
		Knowledge				
		(Readers				
		Workshop				
		Model)				
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
reading Godi #111.	Level of	Level of				
The percent of	Performance:*	Performance:*				
students scoring at a						
level 3 or higher will						
increase from 43%						
to 48% or higher in						
2013.						
	43	48				

		Lack of implem enting higher order thinking	Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge (Readers Workshop Model)	1A.2. Administration, Reading Coach, District Reading Team	1A.2. PLC Logs (SB 87073) Walkthroughs Reading Lesson Plans	1A.2 Baseline and Mid-Year Data Formatives FAIR, EasyCBM, DRAs.
		1A.3.	The Reading Coach supports teachers through the coaching cycle and student data chats.	1A.3. Administration, Reading Coach, District Reading Team	1A.3. PLC Logs (SB 87073) Walkthroughs Reading Lesson Plans	1A.3. Baseline and Mid-Year Data Formatives FAIR, EasyCBM, DRAs.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	IB.1.		IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	
Reading Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	In a	In a	lin a	UD 2
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

	r	1 ~		I			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring	G D 1:	G D 1		G D 1: G 11:	G D 1: C 11:		
		See Reading	See Reading Goal 1.1	See Reading Goal 1.1	See Reading Goal 1.1		
Achievement Levels	Goal 1.1	Goal 1.1					
4 in reading.	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #2A:	Level of	Level of					
The percent of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students scoring at a							
level 4 or higher will							
increase from 19%							
to 24% or higher in							
2013.							
2013.							
	19	24					
	1						
		24.2	24.2	24.2	D	2 2	
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		211.3.	21.5.	21.5.	21.5.	21.5.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	1						
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.							

Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of	See Reading				3A.1. See Reading Goal 1.1		
Reading Goal #3A: The number of points from students making learning gains will increase from 59% to 64% or higher in 2013.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	59	64					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	See Reading Goal 1.1	See Reading Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Reading Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Reading Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Reading Goal 1.1		
Reading Goal #4: The number of points in the lowest 25% making learning gains will increase from 76% to 81% or higher in 2013.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		81					
			4A.2.	4A.2.		4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data						
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:	en i	en i	en i	en i	en i		
221 21440111	5B.1. White:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	Black:						
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:						
Black, Hispanic,	Asian:						
,	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							

Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level					
level of performance in this box.	of performance in this box.					
White:	White:					
Black:	Black:					
Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
Asian:	Asian:					
American Indian:	American Indian:					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	50.1	5C.1.		
00, 21, 21, 21,	SC.1.	SC.1.	BC.1.	5C.1.	SC.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5D:		2013 Expected					
F4		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Reading Goal #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2.			5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	ı			
Professional				
Learning				

Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each						
strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Easy CBM	K-5	Reading Coach	All Faculty	October 17, 2012	Easy CBM Data	Administration, Reading Coach
DRA Review		On The Ground Coach/Reading Coach		September 20, 21 2012	DRA Data	Administration, Reading Coach
Data Chats	K-5	Reading Coach	K-5 small group	September 26, 28 2012	Identified List of intervention groups	Administration, Reading Coach
Webs DOK/Science Notebooks	K - 5	On The Ground Science Coach	All Faculty	September 18, 2012	Magnet PD requirements	Lead Teacher, Science Academic Coach
PLC Training with Leadership Team	I	Area IV Facilitator	Leadership Team	September 24, 2012	Academic On The Ground Coaches	Area IV Facilitator

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
The Reading Coach supports teachers through the coaching cycle and student data chats.	Snacks, drinks, ice cream from Publix	SAC	301.80
Subtotal: \$301.80			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	

CELLA Goal #2: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ELLETT Dauget (moet 10 ws as nee	<i>(</i> () () () () () () () () () (
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1A. FCAT 2.0:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy 1A.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1A.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool 1A.1.	
Students scoring at	effective planning across all content areas using student data	Educators will plan collaborativ ely in PLCs to create Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge	Coach, District Math Team	waiktnroughs	Beginning of The Year Assessment, Baseline Formative, Midyear Formative, Mock FCAT, End of The Year Assessment	

The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 35% to 40% or above	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	35	40					
	1A.2.	Lack of implem enting higher order thinking	IA.2. Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge	1A.2. Administration, Math Coach, District Math Team	1A.2. PLC Logs (SB 87073) Walkthroughs	IA.2. Beginning of The Year Assessment, Baseline Formative, Midyear Formative, Mock FCAT, End of The Year Assessment	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.		IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.		
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

Γ		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
1							
L							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1	2A.1. See Math Goal 1.1	2A.1. See Math Goal 1.1	2A.1. See Math Goal 1.1		
The percent of students scoring a Level 4 or 5 on FCAT Math will increase from 11% to 16% or higher	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	11	16					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		

N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1		
Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Math	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
will increase from 38 points to 43 points or above.							
	38	43					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	See Math Goal 1.1	See Math Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Math Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Math Goal 1.1	4A.1. See Math Goal 1.1		
students in Lowest	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	25	30					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years 5A. In six years	2011-2012 Baseline data 2010-2011	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
school will reduce	Bascinic data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Annespaced Barrier	Stategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Foot		
subgroups:	en i	en i	en i	*D 1	5D 1		
ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5F·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activities						
Please note that each						
strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Webs DOK/Science Notebooks	K-5	On The Ground Science Coach	All Faculty		PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration
PLC Training with Leadership Team	Leadership Team	Area IV Facilitator	Leadership Team	September 24, 2012	Academic On The Ground Coaches	Area IV Facilitator
PLC Faculty Training	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 25, 2012	PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration
PBS	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 26, 2012	Behavior Team, Area IV Facilitator	Administration

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge	Snacks, drinks, ice cream from Publix	SAC	\$200.00
Subtotal:\$200.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	•		
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	effective planning across all content areas using student data	Educators will plan collaborativ ely in PLCs to create Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge (5E Model)	Coach, District Science Team	Administrators Science Walkthroughs	Baseline and Mid-Year Data Formatives 9 weeks tests and mini assessments in grade 5 Science notebooks	

Science Goal #1A: The percent of students scoring proficient on FCAT Science will increase from 40% to 45% or higher.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	40	45					
		enting higher order thinking skills	IA.2. Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge (5E Model)	Administration, Science Coach, District Science Team	PLC Logs (SB 87073) Administrators Science Walkthroughs Coaching Logs	Baseline and Mid-Year Data Formatives 9 weeks tests and mini assessments in grade 5 Science notebooks	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.1.	1A.1	1A.1.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		

<u>N/A</u>	-		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
				1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		Responsible for Womtering	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring	See Science	See Science	See Science Goal 1.1	See Science Goal 1.1	See Science Goal 1.1		
		Goal 1.1	See Berence Gour 1.1	See Science Goar 1.1	See Science Goar 1.1		
Achievement Levels	Gour 1.1	Gour 1.1					
4 and 5 in science.							
Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
The percentage of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students scoring at or							
above a Level 4 or 5							
will increase from 8%	'						
to 13% or higher.							
	8	13					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		ZA.Z.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	<u></u> ΔΛ.Δ.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2D. Elovido	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
-201101100	LD.1.	۵D.1.	25.1.	ZD.1.	20.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							

Science Goal #2B: N/A		2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Webs/Science Notebooks	K-5	On The Ground Science Coach	All Faculty	September 18, 2012	Magnet PD requirements	Academic coach & Lead Teacher
PLC Training with Leadership Team	Leadership Team	Area IV Facilitator	Leadership Team	September 24, 2012	Academic On The Ground Coaches	Area IV Facilitator
PLC Faculty Training	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 25, 2012	PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration
PBS	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 26, 2012	Behavior Team, Area IV Facilitator	Administration
Creative Science Theme Integrations: Higher Order Thinking Strategies	K-5	Science Supervisor On The Ground Coach	IATE Facility		PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Creative Science Theme Integrations: Higher Order Thinking Strategies	Teacher Stipends	Magnet	11,762
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	effective planning across all content areas using student data	Strategy 1A.1. Educators will plan collaborativ ely in PLCs to create Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of	Coach, District Math Team	Walkthroughs	Evaluation Tool IA.1. Baseline and Mid-Year Data, Monthly Demand Writes Data Student writing samples	
		Knowledge				

The percentage of students scoring a Level 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing will increase from 86% to 91% or higher.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	86	91					
		implem enting higher order thinking	IA.2. Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge	1A.2. Administration, Math Coach, District Math Team	IA.2. PLC Logs (SB 87073) Walkthroughs	1A.2 Baseline and Mid-Year Data, Monthly Demand Writes Data Student writing samples.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	IA.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.		
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	

1	В.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Webs DOK/Science Notebooks	K-5	On The Ground Science Coach	All Faculty		PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration
PLC Training with Leadership Team	Leadership Team	Area IV Facilitator	Leadership Team	September 24, 2012	Academic On The Ground Coaches	Area IV Facilitator
PLC Faculty Training	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 25, 2012	PLC Logs, Walkthroughs, Faculty Meeting evaluating student work	Administration
PBS	K-5	Area IV Facilitator	All Faculty	September 26, 2012	Behavior Team, Area IV Facilitator	Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Educators implement Lesson plans that include higher order questions aligned to grade level standards using Web's Depth of Knowledge	Snacks, drinks, ice cream from Publix	SAC	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	Inconsistent impleme ntation of Lockhart's Attendance Procedures	1.1. Teachers will be provided with and implement step by step procedures that aligns with the Lockhart's Attendance Procedures Monthly incentive for homerooms with attendance at 96% and above	1.1 PSLT	attendance data to monitor the implementation of Lockhart's	1.1 Instructional Planning Tool EASI Attendance Intervention Form (SB 90710)	

Attendance Goal #1: The attendance rate will increase from 95.62% in 2012 to 96.0% or higher in 2013	Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
	95.62%	96.0%					
	Number of Students with Excessive	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
		59 10% decrease					
	Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
		85 10% decrease					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Policy Procedures	K-5	Social Worker	School-wide	September 2012	Weekly attendance monitoring	Social Worker & Administration

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Monthly incentive for homerooms with attendance at 96% and above	Snacks, drinks, ice cream from Publix	SAC	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goal

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
1. Suspension	Consistent	Foundations of	PSLT	PSLT and Behavior	UNTIE , EASI , IPT	
		Positive Behavior	Behavior Committee	Committee will review		
	common school-	Support PBS		suspension data monthly		
		and school-				
	and rules for	wide discipline				
	appropriate classroom	plans will be implemented to				
	behavior.	address school-				
		wide expectations				
		and rules.				
		The Behavior				
		Committee				
		conducts				
		walkthroughs using the HCPS PBS				
		walk-through form.				
		Effective				
		maintenance of				
		discipline database (EASI)				
Suspension Goal #1:						
Enter narrative for the	2012 77 - 137 - 1	2013 Expected				
11 . 1	C. Y. C. I. I	Number of				
	Sucnancione	In- School Suspensions				
		<u>Suspensions</u>				
	7	4				
	2012 Total Number of Students	2013 Expected Number of Students				
	Suspended	Suspended				
	In-School	In -School				
	7	4				
	2012 Total	2013 Expected Number of				
	Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	Out-of-School				
		<u>Suspensions</u>				
	57	51				

	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
28	25					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total	55101101 2 0 1 1	210 121101110				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Dorgon or Dogition Dognongible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	3	PLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		5
PBS					PBS lesson plans/guidance	
	K-5	Administration	School-wide	October 2012	classroom schedule/faculty	Behavior committee
					meetings	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Budget (msert tows us			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district		1	
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Health and Fitness

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Health and Fitness Goal	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	nt Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Evaluation Tool	

1. Health and Fitness Goal Health and Fitness Goal #1:	1.1.	1. Elementary School students will engage in 150 minutes physical education per week in grades k-5.	·	1. 2.	throughs Class schedule	1. Classroom teachers document in their lesson plans the 90 minutes of "teacher directed" PE that students have per week. This is reflected in the master schedule. Physical Education teachers reflect 30 minutes and Dance class reflects 30 minutes per week of the mandated 150 of Elementary Phys. Ed.		
During the 2012-2013 school year, the number of students scoring in the "Healthy Fitness Zone" (HFZ) on the Pacer for assessing aerobic capacity and cardiovascular health will increase from (Information not available) Posttest.	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*						
			2. Health and physical activity initiatives developed and implemented by the school's H.E.A.R.T. team.	2. H.E.A		2. H.E.A.R.T. team notes/agendas	2. PACER test component of the FITNESSGRAM PACER for assessing cardiovascular health.	

3. Use of playground or fitness course equipment; walk/run/jog activities in the designated areas; and exercising to the outdoor activities such as the ones provided in		teacher	3. PACER test component of the FITNESSGRAM PACER for assessing cardiovascular health.	
the 150 minutes of Elem Physical Education folder on IDEAS.				

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement	Problem-					
Goal(s)	solving					
	Process					
	to Parent					
	Involveme					
	nt					
Based on the analysis of parent	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
and define areas in need of				Strategy		
improvement:						
1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	See Title					
	I Parent					
	Involvement					
	Plan					

Parent Involvement Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	level of parent	Enter numerical data for expected level of parent in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
	Subject	PLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		Womtoring

Parent Involvement Budget

	1		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
	<u>. </u>		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Implement/expand inquiry-based experiences for students in math and science through the 5E model	STEM	1.1 -Provide training on district STEM initiatives: Inquiry Monday/Design Challenges Science Olympics STEM Fair	1.1 The Fabulous Science Coach		1.1 Baseline and Mid-Year Data Formatives 9 weeks tests and mini assessments in grade 5 Science notebooks
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Magnet PD- Hot Talk/Cool Moves, WEBB's/Inquiry	K-5	Lead Teacher	School-wide	October 2012	Magnet requirements for DP (lesson plans)	Lead Teacher

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
	1		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
	r		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
бишеду	Description of resources	r unung source	1 mount
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	D it is an	D. F. G.	<u> </u>
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

When asing percentage		- Hullioti OI 5	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	1
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
		·	

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed) Total Budget is \$1007.93

Reading Budget

Publix: \$73.84 & \$7.69

Pancakes, syrup, butter and sausage links for ELP Saturday Academy celebration

Publix: \$82.35

Cookies and crackers for SAT 10 testing for intermediate

Publix: \$51.73

Punch, chips and Cheez-its for SAT 10 testing for primary

Total: \$215.61

Mathematics Budget

Publix \$46.92 & 40.43

Cookies and crackers for SAT 10 testing for intermediate

Publix: \$71.64

Fruit snacks, cookies and candy for SAT 10 testing for primary

Total:\$158.99

Science Budget

Publix: \$97.63

Pudding, goldfish crackers, cookies, rice krispy treats, chocolate bars, M&M's for intermediate science lesson on rocks

Publix: \$144.52

Punch, chips, fruit snacks, popcorn and crackers

Total:\$242.15

Writing Budget

Publix: \$84.96

Pudding and Chips for FCAT Writes snack

Publix: \$73.94

Ice cream, spoons, ice pops and rootbeer for Hillsborough Writes celebration

Total:\$ 158.90

Attendance Budget (and Character Education)

Publix: \$39.40

Caprisuns for Terrific Kid monthly celebrations

Publix: \$58.41 Cookies

Publix: \$19.76

Pancake mix and syrup for quarterly celebration

Publix: \$88.52

Sodas, juice, ice cream, cookie snack packs and crackers for quarterly celebration

Total: \$206.09

Total:\$0

Parent Involvement Budget

Amount to spend: \$901.80 + 10% (\$1007.93)

Less expenditure: \$981.74 Amount remaining: \$26.19

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes X□No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

School Advisory Council

Monthly Meeting Topics

September - SIP Development

- If applicable, conduct SAC elections.
- Analyze school data and previous School Improvement Plan.
- Review the Summer Work Group and faculty recommendations for new School Improvement Plan.
- Assist in the development of new School Improvement Plan.
- Brainstorm activities SAC can plan and carry out in all Goal areas (reading, math, writing, science, and parent involvement that will help students reach the Objectives and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan.
 - Can any of these be included in the SIP as an Action Step?
- Begin development of the SAC Budget (if state funds are available.

October - Finalize and Vote on SIP

- If applicable, conduct the current School Improvement Plan.
- Brainstorm activities SAC can plan and carry out in all Goal areas (reading, math, writing, science and parent involvement) that will help students reach the Objectives and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan.
 - o Can any of these be included in the SIP as an Action Step?
- Conduct the School Improvement Plan SAC vote.
- Finalize SAC Budget (if state funds are available).

November - Review Baseline Testing Data

- Review District-level baseline testing data.
- Do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students reach the Objectives and Action Steps on the School Improvement Plan.
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

<u>December</u> – Review <u>Reading</u> Goal

- Review the Objectives for reading.
- Review the Action Steps for reading.
- For each Actions Step, review the person responsible for monitoring the Action Step.
- Review the Process being used to determine the effectiveness of each Action Step.
- Review the Evaluation Tool and data collected to date for each Action Step.
- Review the Professional Development to date for each Objective.

- Based on the review, do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students read the reading Objectives and Actions Steps on School Improvement Plan
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

January - Review Writing Goal

- Review the Objectives for writing.
- Review the Actions Steps for writing.
- For each Action Step, review the person responsible for monitoring the Action Step.
- Review the process being used to determine the effectiveness of each Action Step.
- Review the Evaluation Tool and data collected to date for each Action Step.
- Review Professional Development to date for each Objective.
- Based on the review, do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students reach the writing Objectives and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

February - Review the Math Goal

- Review the Objectives for math.
- Review the Actions Steps for math.
- For each action Step, review the person responsible for monitoring the Action Step.
- Review the process being used to determine the effectiveness of each Action Step.
- Review the Evaluation Tool and data collected to date for each Action Step.
- Review Professional Development to date for each Objective.
- Based on the review, do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students reach the math Objectives and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan.
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

March - Review Mid-Year Testing Data

- Review District-level mid-year testing data.
- Do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students reach the Objective and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan.
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

April - Review Science Goal

- Review the Objectives for science.
- Review the Actions Steps for science.
- For each Action Step, review the person responsible for monitoring the Action Step.
- Review the process being used to determine the effectiveness of each Action Step.
- Review the Evaluation Tool and data collected to date for each Action Step
- Review professional Development to date for each Objective.
- Based on the review, do any adjustments need to be made in the plan?
- Discuss the status of activities SAC is planning and carrying out to help students reach the Science Objectives and Actions Steps on the School Improvement Plan
- Review the SAC budget (if state funds are available).

May – Review all Other Goals

- Review the progress of "Other Goals" (attendance, health and fitness, School Improvement, etc.).
- Begin discussion of potential SAC members and election cycle to be used for the upcoming school year.
- Begin discussion of ideas (objectives, Action Steps, Evaluation Tools and Staff Development) for the upcoming school year.
- Ensure that all SAC Funds have been utilized (if state funds are available).
- Continue discussion of ideas (Objectives, Action Steps, Evaluation Tools and Staff Development) for the upcoming school year.
- If applicable, conduct SAC election.
- Decide who will be a part of the summer work group that looks at the data and begins development of next year's School Improvement Plan.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount