Florida Department of Education



Boyette Springs Elementary School

School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Boyette Springs Elementary School	District Name: Hillsborough County		
Principal: Kelly McMillan	Superintendent: Mary Ellen Elia		
SAC Chair: Danielle Murphy	Date of School Board Approval:		

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Hillsborough 2012

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Qualified Administrators

List your school's highly qualified administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades,
			Years at	Years as an	FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning
		Certification(s)	Current School	Administrator	Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the
		, ,			associated school year)
Principal	Kelly McMillan	Primary	1	6	11/12: A
		ESOL			10/11: A 95% AYP
		Ed. Leadership			09/10: B 79%AYP
					08/09: B 95% AYP
Assistant Principal	Amanda Williams	Early Childhood Gifted	11	2	11/12: A
		K-12 Principal			10/11: B 77% AYP
					09/10: A 90% AYP
					08/09: A met AYP

Highly Qualified Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly qualified instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of Years at	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Current School	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)			Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school
		` '		Instructional Coach	year)
Reading	Megan Goode	Elem Ed K-6	2	2	11/12: A
		ESOL			10/11: B 100% AYP

Highly Qualified Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not places explain why)
1. Teacher Interview Day	District Staff	June	(If not, please explain why)
1. Teacher Interview Day	District Stair	Julie	
2. Opportunities for teacher leadership	Site Administration	ongoing	
3. Scheduled time for teacher collaboration	Site Administration	ongoing	
4. School-based teacher recognition programs	Site Administration	ongoing	
5. District Mentor Program	District Mentors	ongoing	
6. District Peer Program	District Peers	ongoing	

Non-Highly Qualified Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field (not ESOL certified) and not highly qualified.

Number of staff and	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field and/or are not highly effective.	
Teachers	Depending on the needs of the teacher, one or more of the following strategies are implemented.
6 out of field, need to complete ESOL	<u>Administrators</u>
courses or add ESOL Endorsement to their	Meet with the teachers four times per year to discuss progress on:
Teaching Certificate	Completing classes need for certification
	Provide class coverage for the teachers to observe other teachers
	Discussion of what teachers learned during the observation(s)
	Reading Coach
	• The coach co-plans, models, co-teaches, observes and conferences with the teacher on a regular basis
	<u>PLC</u>
	The teachers will attend PLC meetings for on-going growth. They will understand how they as an individual teacher and PLC member can improve learning for all.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

To tal Nu m ber of In str uc tio nal Sta ff	% of Fir st- Ye ar Te ach ers	of Te ach ers with 1-5 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	of Te ach ers with 6-14 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	of Te ach ers with 15+ Yea rs of Exp erie nce	of Te ach ers wi th Ad van ced De gre es	Hig hly Qual iffied Teac hers	R ea di ng E nd o rs ed Te ac he rs	Na tio nal Bo ard Ce rtif ied Tea che rs	E S O L E n d or se d T ea c h er s
(5 1)	3 % (2)	22 % (1 1)	53 % (2 7)	22 % (1 1)	4 1 % (2 1)	10 0 % (5 1)	3 % (2)	6 % (3)	8 3 % (3 0)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Ment oring Activities
Tam my Geiger (Distri ct EET Mentor)	Melissa Ivy – Second Year Teache r	Mrs. Geiger is with the EET initiative. She has strengths in mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include mod eling, conf erenci ng and prob lem solving.
Dan ielle Murph y (Sc hool Based Mentor)	Melissa Ivy – Second Year Teache r	Miss Murphy has 10 years experience and is the PLC facilitator for second grade.	Weekly co- planni ng and prob lem solving in PLC.
Tam my Geiger (Distri ct EET Mentor)	Anna Marie Zipper er – First Year Teache r	Miss Geiger is with the EET initiative. She has strengths in mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include mod eling, conf erenci ng and prob lem solving.

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

Dan ielle Murph y (Sc hool Based Mentor	Anna Marie Zipper er – First Year Teache r	Miss Murphy has 10 years experience and is the PLC facilitator for second grade.	Weekly co- planni ng and prob lem solving in PLC.
Megan Goode (Sc hool Based Mentor)	Anna Marie Zipper er – First Year Teache r	Mrs. Goode is the school's reading coach.	On- going model ing of lessons, and obser vation with feedbac k.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}/\mathsf{A}}$

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

- Principal Kelly McMillan
- Assistant Principal Amanda Williams
- Guidance Valerie Hyer
- School Psychologist Lindsey Ewers
- School Social Worker Coretta Henderson
- Reading Coach Megan Goode
- ESE Team Leader Nichole Rice
- PLC Facilitators Nancy Larsen, Lisa Powell, Danielle Murphy, Amy Wynne, Ruth Garcia, Stefanie Ferguson, Patricia Lewkowicz
- SAC Chair Danielle Murphy

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions. How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the MTSS Leadership Team in our school is to ensure high quality instruction and intervention is matched to student needs. We use performance levels and learning rates over time to make data-based decisions to guide instruction. The MTSS Leadership Team reviews school-wide data to address the progress of low-performing students and determines the enrichment and acceleration needs of high performing students. The major goal is for all students to achieve adequate yearly progress and improve other long-term outcomes (behavior, attendance, etc.). The team uses the Collaborative Culture Problem Solving Model and ALL decisions are guided by the review and analysis of student data.

The MTSS works closely with our Leadership Team, Reading Leadership Team, and School Advisory Council, with several members serving on more than one of these teams to ensure continuity and information sharing across the school. The MTSS will meet weekly and use the problem solving process to:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of service delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Determine scheduling needs, curriculum materials and intervention resources based on identified needs derived from data analysis
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Review and interpret student data (academic, behavior and attendance) at the school and grade levels
- Implementation and support of PLCs
- Implementation of research-based, scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions
- Assist with planning, implementing, and evaluating the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs.
- Work collaboratively with the PLCs in the implementation of the C-CIM (Core Continuous Improvement Model) and progress monitoring.
- Use intervention planning forms to communicate initiatives between the MTSS and PLCs.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- The MTSS Leadership Team, along with the faculty and SAC, were involved in School Improvement Plan development activities that were conducted during preplanning for the 2012-2013 school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the document that guides the work of the MTSS Leadership Team. A large part of the work of the MTSS is outlined in the Action Steps, Evaluation Process, Evaluation Tools, and Professional Development of the School Improvement Plan.
- Since one of the main tasks of the MTSS is to monitor student data, it will monitor the effectiveness of the Action Steps and suggest modifications if needed. Monitoring will take place by following the steps in Problem Solving: Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design, and Evaluate Success.
- The MTSS will communicate with and support the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by assigning MTSS members as consultants to the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, PLCs will periodically report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger MTSS team through the PLC facilitators who are part of the MTSS Leadership Team.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Boyette will use the Core Continuous Improvement Model (C-CIM) to assess, analyze weekly in PLC, and plan reinforcements and interventions.

The following table contains a summary of the assessments used to measure student progress in core, supplemental and intensive instruction and their sources and management:

Core Curriculum (Tier 1)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible
Baseline and Midyear District Assessments (FCAT 2.0 forms B and C)	Scantron Achievement Series, Data Wall	MTSS, PLCs, individual teachers
Subject-specific assessments generated by District-level Subject Supervisors in Reading, Math, and, Science: BOY, MOY, and EOY Writing- Monthly Demand Writes	Scantron Achievement Series, Data Wall	MTSS, PLCs, individual teachers
FAIR	Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network, Data Wall	Reading Coach, Teachers, PLCs
CELLA	Sagebrush (IPT)	ELL MTSS Representative
DRA-2	School Generated Excel Database information is gathered and stored on thumb drives for each grade level	Individual Teacher
Reports on Demand/Crystal Reports	District Generated Database	Leadership Team

Common Assessments* (see below) of chapter	Subject Area Generated Database	PLC Facilitators, content area
tests using district adopted materials for Math		contacts, individual teachers,
(Go Math) and Reading (Treasures) that support		MTSS
our curriculum (NGSSS and CCS)		
·		

^{*}A Common Assessment covers a "chunk" of instruction within the District adopted texts (Go Math and Treasures) which support our curriculum (NGSSS and CCS). It covers all of the skills taught within a certain time period. The purpose of the Common Assessment is to assess students' knowledge of the core curriculum. The results of the Common Assessment are used to:

- Determine if the lesson plans and teaching strategies used to teach the core curriculum were effective or need to be modified.
- Determine which skills need to be taught with alternative strategies.
- Determine which skills need to be re-taught within the core curriculum and which skills need to be moved to the Reinforcement Instructional Calendar.
- Determine which students need Differentiated Instruction within the classroom and which students might need Supplemental Services.

Supplemental/Intensive Instruction (Tiers 2 and 3)

Data Source	Database	Responsible for Monitoring
Extended Learning Program (ELP) Ongoing Progress Monitoring (mini-assessments and assessments from adopted materials which support our curriculum): Treasures Benchmark Running Records, Go Math	School Generated Database in Excel	MTSS/ ELP Facilitator/ELP Teachers
FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring	School Generated Database in Excel	MTSS/ Reading Coach
Ongoing assessments within Continuous Improvement Activities	School Generated Database in Excel	MTSS/PLC/Individual Teachers
Research-based Computer-assisted Instructional Programs: I-Station and FASST Math	Assessments included in computer-based programs	PLCs/Individual Teachers

Staff received training at faculty meetings during the 2011-2012 school year. This training will continue in an "as needed" format during the 2012-1013 school year. MTSS members who attended the district level MTSS/RtI trainings serve as consultants to the PLCs to guide the process of data review and interpretation. The MTSS Leadership Team will continue to work to build consensus with all stakeholders regarding a need for and a focus on school improvement efforts. The MTSS Leadership Team will work to align the efforts of other school teams that may be addressing similar identified issues.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

- As the district develops resources and staff development courses on MTSS/RtI, these tools and staff development sessions will be conducted with staff as they become available.
- Professional Development sessions will occur during Tuesday faculty meeting times.
- Teachers will be provided with tools and resources. A folder is available on Boyette Internal with examples of tracking charts, individual progress monitoring sheets, ways to identify Tier 1,2,3 students, what to graph and how.
- School Psychologist will provide teachers with strategies at PLCs and faculty meetings.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Response to Intervention (RtI) has also been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. In order to support MTSS in our schools, we will:

- Consistently promote the shared vision of one system meeting the needs of ALL students with MTSS as the platform for integrating all school initiatives (i.e., PLC, PSLT, Steering, and SAC meetings, lesson study, and school-wide behavior management plans).
- Provide designated school personnel with the requisite knowledge and experience to support coordination and implementation of MTSS.
- Provide continued training and support to all school based personnel in problem solving, responding to student data and the use of a systematic method to increase student achievement.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

- Principal Kelly McMillan
- Assistant Principal Amanda Williams
- Reading Coach Megan Goode

Grade level reading teachers that have demonstrated the ability to move student learning forward as evidenced by student data in 2011-2012: Dianna Simon (reading contact), Stephanie Payne (KG), Lisa Powell (1st), Danielle Murphy (2nd), Amy Wynne (3rd), Michelle Findlay (4th), Stephanie Ferguson (5th)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions.

The LLT is a subset of the MTSS Leadership Team. The team provides leadership for the implementation of the reading goals and strategies identified on the SIP. The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instruction support is provided to all teachers.

The team will meet bi-weekly to discuss how they can:

- Provide teacher Support
- Provide RtI Support
- Provide professional development opportunities in faculty meetings

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Implementation and evaluation of the SIP reading goals and strategies across the content areas.
- Professional Development (rigor and text-based questions)
- Co-planning, modeling, and observation of researched-based reading strategies within lessons across the content areas
- Data analysis (on-going)
- Implement K-12 Reading Plan

NCLB Public School Choice
Hillsborough 2012

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
 *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
 N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Reading Goals	Problem-					
	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievemen					
	t					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
of student achievement		3.	ľ	<u> </u>	Tool	
data, and reference to			Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to		
"Guiding Questions",			will the fidelity be	determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
identify and define			monitored?	determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
areas in need of			momtoreu?			
improvement for the						
following group:						

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

1. FCAT 2.0:	1 1	14 4	1 1	1	1.1.		
Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
proficient in	L		L	L	2		
reading (Level 3-	PLCs	<u>Strategy</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Teacher Level</u>	3x per year		
5).	struggle with				- FAIR		
)·	analyzing	Student	-Principal	-Teachers reflect on lesson	- FAIR		
	data how	achievement		outcomes and use this	FCAT 2.0		
	to structure	improves	-AP	knowledge to drive future	-FCAT 2.0		
	curriculum	through		instruction.	Forms A, B, C		
	conversatio	teachers	-Instruction				
	ns and data	working	Coaches	L			
	analysis to	collaboratively			L		
	deepen their	to focus	-PLC	PLC Level	During the		
	leaning.	on student	facilitators		Grading Period	-	
		learning.		-PLCs reflect on lesson	L		
		Specifically,		IOUICOHIES AND DAIG USED IO	Pre/Mid Point/		
		they use		drive future instruction.	End of unit		
		the Plan-	How		Common		
		Do-Check-		Frees chart their overall	assessments		
		Act model to	-PLCS	progress towards the	including:		
		structure their	turn their	SMART Goal.	Treasures		
		way of work.	logs into		updated		
		Within their	administratio		selection		
		PLCs, teachers	n		tests, Time		
		focus on the		Leadership Team Level	for Kids, and		
		following four	-		teacher created		
		questions:	Administr	-PLC facilitators share	assessments		
		[ators and	SMART Goal data with the			
		1. What is it we	coaches	Leadership Team.			
		expect them		· '			
		to learn?		-Data is used to drive			
				teacher support and student	<u> </u>		
		2. How will we		supplemental instruction.			
			-Progress				
			of PLCs	-PLCs record and report			
				during-the-grading period			
		3. How will we		SMART goal outcomes			
		respond if	Team	to administration and			
		they don't		leadership team.			

4. However	ow will we spond if ey already ow it?		
discuss focus. -Steps	use n-Do- k-Act de their ssion and		
use mi to rein skills to enrich who ha	taught and students		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 65% to 68%.							
	65%	68%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in reading.	See Goal	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Reading Goal #2: The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 38% to 41%.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 41%				

				•			
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
of student achievement	Barrier				Tool		
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",			Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to			
identify and define			will the fidelity be	determine the effectiveness of strategy?			
areas in need of			monitored?				
improvement for the							
following group:							
	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Points for							
students making		See Goal					
Learning Gains							
in reading.		1.1					

Reading Goal #3: Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 69 points to 72 points.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	69 points	72 points					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	

Based on the analysis		Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
of student achievement					Tool	
data, and reference to			W/L 1 L	YY		
"Guiding Questions",			Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to		
identify and define			will the fidelity be	determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
areas in need of			monitored?			
improvement for the						
following group:						
	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
Points for						
students in		Coo Cool				
Lowest 25%		See Goal				
making learning		1.1				
gains in reading.						
gams in reading.						
		l				

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Points earned from students in the bottom quartile making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 63 points to 66 points.							
	63	66					
	points	points					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years Boyette Springs will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	PLCs struggle with analyzing data how to structure curriculum conversatio ns and data analysis to deepen their leaning.	Goal			
Reading Goal #5:					
The					
percentage					
of students					
not scoring					
proficient on the 2017 FCAT					
Reading (or					
equivalent					
test) will					
decrease from					
35% to 23%					

	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
subgroups						
by ethnicity (White, Black,		See Goal				
Hispanic,		1.1				
Asian,						
American						
Indian) not						
making satisfactory						
progress in						
reading.						

Reading Goal #5A:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
#3A <u>:</u>	Performance:*	or remormance.			
The percentage of White students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 69% to72%.					
The percentage of Black students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 43% to 49%.					
The percentage of Hispanic students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA					

E		1					
Reading will							
increase from							
49% to 63%.							
	White: 69	White: 72					
	Willie. 05	Willice. 72					
	DII 42	DIl.: 40					
	Black: 43	Black: 49					
	l	l					
	Hispanic:59	Hispanic: 63					
	Asian: N/A	Asian: N/A					
	American	American					
	Indian: N/A	Indian: N/A					
			5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	
<u></u>		~					
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
data, and reference to	Darrier						
"Guiding Questions",			Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to			
identify and define			will the fidelity be monitored?	determine the effectiveness of strategy?			
areas in need of			momtored?				
improvement for the							
following subgroup: 5B. Economically	5B 1	5B.1	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Disadvantaged	1		22.1.	55.1.	J.1.		
Disadvantaged students							
		See Goal					
not making		1.1					
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							

Reading Goal #5B: The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 50% to 55%.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

5C. English	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1		
Language							
Learners (ELL)	-Teachers	ELLs (LYA,	Who	Teacher Level	- FAIR		
not making	need support						
satisfactory	in drilling	comprehension	-School	-Teachers reflect on lesson	-FCAT 2.0		
progress in reading.	down	of course	based	outcomes and use this	Forms A, B, C		
reading.	their core	content/	Administrato	knowledge to drive future			
	assessments	standards	rs	instruction.			
		improves					
	level.	in reading,	-PLC	<u> </u>	<u>During the</u>		
		, ,	Facilitators		Grading Period	_	
		math, science		PLC Level			
		and social			Pre/Mid Point/		
		studies through		-PLCs reflect on lesson	End of unit		
			How	outcomes and data used to	Common		
		working	DI C I	drive future instruction.	assessments		
			PLC logs	_ , , ,	including:		
				-For each class/course,	Treasures updated		
				PLCs chart their overall	selection		
		learning. Specifically,		progress towards the ELL SMART Goal.	tests, Time		
			administratio		for Kids, and		
		Plan-Do-	n.		teacher created		
		Check-Act	l''-	_	assessments		
			Administr	Leadership Team Level			
			ative walk	<u> </u>			
			throughs	-PLC facilitators share ELL			
				SMART Goal data with the			
				Problem Solving Leadership			
			,	Team.			
		Action Steps		-Data is used to drive			
		Action Steps		teacher support and student			
		-With the		supplemental instruction.	1		
		assistance of					
		the Reading					
		Coach and					
		trained staff,					

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

teachers			
analyze CELLA			
data to identify			
ELL students			
who need			
assistance			
in the areas			
of listening/			
speaking,			
reading and			
writing.			
-Teachers			
use time			
during PLCs to			
reinforce and			
strengthen			
targeted ELL			
effective			
teaching			
strategies			
in the areas			
of listening/			
speaking,			
reading and			
writing.			
-PLCs/teachers			
plan for			
accommodat			
ions for core			
curriculum			
content and			
assessment.			

#5C: The percentage of ELL students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 23% to 40%.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	33%	40%				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

like?		
Plan for the "Do"		
-What resources do we need?		
-How will the lessons be designed to maximize the learning of SWD?		
-What checks-for- understanding will we implement for our SWD?		
-What teaching strategies will we use to help SWD learn?		
Reflect on the "Do" Checks for Understanding <u>during</u> the unit.		

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	 -	
Teachers reflect and			
discuss:			
-What worked within the lesson? Why was it successful?			
-What didn't work within the lesson? Why?			
-How do we take what we have learned and apply it to future lessons?			
Reflect/Check - Analyze Data			
Discuss: -What is the data telling us as individual teachers?			
-What is the data telling us as a grade level/PLC/ department?			

-What are SWD not learning? Why is this occurring?		
Act on the Data		
-What are we going to do about SWD not learning?		
-How are we going to re- teach the skill differently?		
-How we will know that our re-teaching/ interventions are working?		

Reading Goal #5D:	<u>Level of</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of SWD students scoring proficient on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 41% to 44%.							
	41%	44%					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through

Hillsborough 2012

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Identification of common assessments	K-5	PLC Facilitators	School-wide	PLCs: On-going	Classroom walk-throughs	Administration Team
Checks for understanding	K-5	PLC Facilitators	School-wide	PLCs: On-going	Classroom walk-throughs	Reading Coach Administration Team
		Reading Coach				Reading Coach
Using mini-lessons to re-teach and reinforcement	K-5	PLC Facilitators	School-wide	PLCs: On-going	Classroom walk-throughs	Administration Team
essential skills in the core curriculum		Reading Coach				Reading Coach

End of Reading Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
data, and reference to	Darrier		Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine	1001	
"Guiding Questions",			will the fidelity	the effectiveness of strategy?		
identify and define areas			be monitored?	and officer offices of strategy:		
in need of improvement			ov momorou.			
for the following group:						

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

1. FCAT 2.0:	14 4	14 4	1 1		4 4	1
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring proficient in						
mathematics	PLCs	<u>Strategy</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Teacher Level</u>	3x per year	
(Level 3-5).	struggle					
(Level 3-3).	with	Student		-Teachers reflect on lesson	- BOY, MOY,	
	analyzing	achievement		outcomes and use this knowledge	and EOY	
	data	improves	-AP	to drive future instruction.	county math	
	how to	through			assessments	
		teachers	-	_		
	curriculum		Instruction			
	conver	collaborativ	Coaches	PLC Level		
	sations	ely to focus			<u>During the</u>	
	and data	on student	-PLC	-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes	<u>Grading</u>	
	analysis	learning.		and data used to drive future	<u>Period</u>	
	to deepen	Specifically,		instruction.		
	their	they use			- Pre/Mid	
	leaning.	the Plan-		-PLCs chart their overall progress	Point/End of	
			<u>How</u>	towards the SMART Goal.	unit Common	
		Act model			assessments	
			-PLCS		including:	
			turn their		goMath, and	
		of work.	logs into	<u>Leadership Team Level</u>	grade teacher	
		Within	administrat		created	
			ion	-PLC facilitators share SMART Goal	assessments	
		teachers		data with the Leadership Team.		
		focus on the	-			
			Administr	-Data is used to drive teacher		
				support and student supplemental		
		1 '	coaches	instruction.		
			attend			
			targeted	-PLCs record and report during-		
		is it we		the-grading period SMART goal		
		expect	meetings	outcomes to administration and		
		them to		leadership team.		
		learn?	-Progress			
			of PLCs			
			discussed			
		will we	at			

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

	1		i	i	i	
	if they	Leadership	I			
	have	Team	I			
	learned					
	it?					
	''.					
	L					
	7. How					
	will we					
	respond					
	if they					
	don't [']					
	learn?					
	l icaiii:					
	0 11					
	8. How					
	will we					
	respond					
	if they					
	already					
	know it?_					
		-				
	l					
	Actions/ Details					
	<u>Details</u>					
	-PLCs use					
	a Plan-					
	Do-Check-					
	Act to					
	auido thair					
	guide their					
	discussion					
	and focus.					
	-Steps will					
	be listed in					
	PLC logs					
	1 20 1095					

Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 68% to 71%.							
	68%	71%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in mathematics.		See Goal	2.1.	2.1.	
C I "2	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			

	31%	34%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
3. FCAT 2.0: Points for students making learning gains in mathematics.		See Goal 1.1		3.1.	3.1.		

Cool #2.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 73 points to 76 points.							
	73	76					
	points	points					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

4. FCAT 2.0: Points for students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		See Goal	4.1.	4.1.	
C 1 # 4 -	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	55 points	58 points			

		4.2	4.2	4.2	14.2	4.2	
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
of student achievement	Barrier				Tool		
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",				How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine			
identify and define areas			will the fidelity	the effectiveness of strategy?			
in need of improvement			be monitored?				
for the following							
subgroup:							
Based on Ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), Reading and							
Math Performance							
Target							
5. Ambitious							
but Achievable							
Annual							
Measurable							
Objectives							
(AMOs). In six							
year school will							
reduce their							
achievement gap							
by 50%.							
Math Goal #5:							
The percentage							
of students							
not scoring							
proficient on							
the 2017 FCAT							
Math (or							
equivalent test)							
will decrease							
from 32% to							
14%							
					!	Ţ.	

5A. Student	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
subgroups by						
ethnicity (White,		See Goal				
Black, Hispanic,		Jee Goar				
Asian, American		1.1				
Indian) not making						
satisfactory						
progress in						
mathematics						

Math Goal #5A: The percentage of White students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/ FAA Math will increase from	<u>Level of</u> Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
The percentage of Black_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 39% to 45%.					
The percentage of Hispanic_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 73% to 76%.					

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

	White:69	White:72					
	Black:39	Black:45					
	Hispanic:7	Hispanic:76					
	Asian: N/A	Asian: N/A					
		American					
	American Indian: N/ A	Indian: N/A					
		5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Barrier				Tool		
"Guiding Questions",			Who and how will the fidelity	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?			
identify and define areas in need of improvement			be monitored?	the effectiveness of strategy?			
for the following							
subgroup:	50.1	5D 1	5D 1	ED 1	cp. i		
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Disadvantaged students							
not making		See Goal					
satisfactory		1.1					
progress in							
mathematics.							

Goal #5B:	Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*					
		49% 5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

ſ	Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
-	of student achievement	Barrier				Tool	
-	data, and reference to			Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine		
-	"Guiding Questions",				the effectiveness of strategy?		
-	identify and define areas			be monitored?	the effectiveness of strategy?		
-	in need of improvement			de infonitoreu?			
-	for the following						
l	subgroup:						

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

5C. English	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	
Language						
Learners (ELL)	-Teachers	ELLs (LYA,	Who	Teacher Level	-CELLA	
not making	need	LYB & LYC)				
satisfactory	support	comprehens	-School	-Teachers reflect on lesson		
progress in		ion of course		outcomes and use this knowledge		
mathematics.	down			to drive future instruction.	During the	
		1	tors		<u>Grading</u>	
	assessme	improves			<u>Period</u>	
	nts to the	in reading,	-PLC			
	ELL level.	language	Facilitators	PLC Level	-Core	
		arts, math,			curriculum	
		science		-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes	end of core	
		and social		and data used to drive future	common unit/	
		studies	How	instruction.	segment	
		through			tests	
			_	-For each class/course, PLCs chart		
				their overall progress towards the	Go Math,	
				ELL SMART Goal.	EOY, MOY,	
			ELL		and EOY	
			inform	<u> </u>	District	
			ation	l <u>-</u>	assessments	
				<u>Leadership Team Level</u>		
		. , , ,	administrat			
		they use	ion.	-PLC facilitators share ELL SMART		
		the Plan -		Goal data with the Problem Solving		
		Do-Check-		Leadership Team.		
		Act model	A dominiate	-Data is used to drive teacher		
		to structure				
		work for ELL		support and student supplemental instruction.		
			during			
			weekly			
			PLCs.			
			. 203. 			
		Action Steps				
			Γ			
		-Teachers				

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

analyze	
CELLA data	
to identify	
ELL students	
who need	
assistance	
in the areas	
of listening/	
speaking,	
reading and	
writing.	
-Teachers	
use time	
during	
PLCs to	
reinforce and	
strengthen	
targeted ELL	
effective	
teaching	
strategies	
in the areas	
of listening/	
or asking	
speaking,	
reading and	
writing.	
-PLCs/	
teachers	
plan for	
accommodat	
ions for core	
curriculum	
content and	
assessment.	

Mathematics Goal #5C: The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/ FAA Math will increase from 33% to 40%.	Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*					
	33%	40%					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	5C.2. Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	5C.2. Student Evaluation	5C.2.	
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Barrier		Who and how	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Tool		

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

5D. Student	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1	5D.1	5D.1	
with Disabilities						
(SWD) not making	-Improving	Strategy/	Who	Teacher Level		
Satisfactor y	the	Task_	<u> </u>	TEGETICI ECVE		
progress in	proficiency		-School	-Teachers reflect on lesson	During the	
		SWD student			Grading Grading	
					<u>Period</u>	
			tors			
		through			-Core	
		PLCs	-PLC		curriculum	
			Facilitators	PLC Level	end of core	
	need	ntation of			common unit/	
	support	the Plan-		-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes	segment	
		Do-Check-		and data used to drive future	tests	
	down			instruction.	with data	
	their core	in order to			aggregated	
		plan and	PLC logs		for SWD	
	nts to the			towards the SWD SMART Goal.	performance	
			specific		[
		assessments	SWD		GoMath,	
			inform		BOY, MOY,	
	education	appropriate	ation	<u>Leadership Team Level</u>	and EOY	
	al teacher	strategies	turned into		District Math	
	and ESE	and	administrat	-PLC facilitators share SWD SMART	assessments	
	teacher	modification	ion.	Goal data with the Problem Solving		
		s.		Leadership Team.		
	consistent,					
	on-			-Data is used to drive teacher		
1	going co-			support and student supplemental		
	planning			instruction.		
	time.		throughs			
			during			
			weekly			
			PLCs.			
		standards				
		that our				
		SWD need to				
		learn?				

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

 	<u> </u>	
-How will we assess these standards for our SWD? -What does		
mastery look like?		
Plan for the "Do"		
-What resources do we need?		
-How will the lessons be designed to maximize the learning of SWD?		
-What checks-for- understand ing will we implement for our SWD?		
-What teaching strategies		

will we use to help SW learn?		
Reflect on the "Do" Checks fo Understan ding <u>durir</u> the unit.		
Teachers reflect and discuss:		
-What worked within the lesson? Why was it successful?		
-What didn work within the lesson? Why?		
-How do w take what we have learned and apply it to future lessons?		

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

Che	lect/ lock – llyze a
Disc	russ:
indi	at is data ng us as vidual chers?
the telli as a leve	at is data ng us grade I/PLC/ artment?
SW lear Wh	at are O not ning? v is this urring?
Act Dat	on the a
we to c SW	at are going o about O not ning?

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

		-How are		<u> </u>			
		we going					
		to re-teach					
		the skill					
		differently?					
		-How we					
		will know					
		that our re-					
		teaching/					
		interventions					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	are working? 2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
The percentage							
of Economically							
Disadvantaged							
students							
scoring proficient/							
satisfactory on							
the 2013 FCAT/							
FAA Math will							
increase from							
41% to 43%.							
	41%	43%					
	/-					in a	
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

End of Elementary or Middle School Mathematics Goals

Hillsborough 2012

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
		PLC Leader		meetings)		
Identification	K-5	PLC	Math	PLCs: On-going	Classroom walk-throughs	Administration Team
of common		facilitators				

End of Mathematics Goals

assessments

Elementary School Science Goals

Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

1. FCAT 2.0:	l _{1 1}	la a	1 1	1 1	l	1	
1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Students scoring proficient (Level							
3-5) in science.		Strategy	<u>Who</u>	Teacher Level	<u>2x per year</u>		
5-5) ili science.	struggle						
	with	Student	-Principal	-Teachers reflect on lesson outcomes			
	analyzing	achievem		and use this knowledge to drive	MOY, and EOY		
	data	ent	-AP	future instruction.	tests		
	how to	improves					
	structure	through	-Instruction				
	curric	teachers	Coaches				
	ulum	working		PLC Level	During the		
	conver	collabora	-PLC		Grading Period	_	
	sations	tively to	facilitators	-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes			
	and data	focus on		and data used to drive future	- Pre/Mid		
	analysis	student		instruction.	Point/End of		
	to deepen				unit Common		
	their	Specificall	How	-PLCs chart their overall progress	assessments		
	leaning.	y, they		towards the SMART Goal.	including: Nat.		
		use the	-PLCS turn		Geo. unit tests		
		Plan-Do-	their logs into		and teacher		
			administration		created		
		Act		<u>Leadership Team Level</u>	assessments		
		model to	-				
				-PLC facilitators share SMART Goal			
		,		data with the Leadership Team.			
			attend				
			targeted PLC	-Data is used to drive teacher			
			meetings	support and student supplemental			
		PLCs,		instruction.			
			-Progress				
			of PLCs				
			discussed at				
		following	Leadership				
		four	Team				
		questions:					
		9. What					
		is it					

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

we	e		
l ex	xnect		
the	xpect nem		
	ieiii		
to) ₋		
lea	arn?		
10. Ho	ow		
wil	ill we		
	they		
	tiley		
na	ave		
lea	arne		
di	it?		
	1		
11. Ho	ow I		
l l l l l	ill we		
l wil	iii we		
res	espo d if		
nd	d if		
the	ney		
l do	on't		
l lea	arn?		
12. Ho	0.44		
	ow		
WII	ill we		
res	espo d if		
nd	d if		
l the	ney		
alr	re		
ad	dv		
l au	ay		
Kn	now		
it?	?_		
	1		
	1		
	1		
Action	ons/		
Actio Detai	ile		
	113		
	1		
-PLCs	5		
use a			

Plan-Do-		
Check-		
Act to		
guide		
their		
discussion		
and focus.		
-Steps will		
be listed		
in PLC		
logs		
-Teachers		
will		
gradually		
release		
respons		
ibility to		
students.		

#1.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Science will increase from 54% to 57%.							
	54%	57%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in science.	See Goal 1.1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	

#2.	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Science will increase from							
15% to 18%.							
	15%	18%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

Science Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
Hillsborough 2012

or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Subject Responsible for Monitoring and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or (e.g., Early Release) and school-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader meetings) Classroom walk-throughs Identification K-5 **PLC** PLCs: On-going Administration Course of common specific PLC Team assessments facilitators Gradual Release K-5 Course PLC PLCs: On-going Classroom walk-throughs Administration specific PLC Team facilitators

End of Science Goals

Writing/Language Arts Goals

Writing/ Language Arts Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

1. Students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
scoring at	1.1.	l	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement Level	DI Co	Stratogy	Mho	Topobor Lovel	2v nor voor	
3.0 or higher in		Strategy	WITO	<u>Teacher Level</u>	3x per year	
writing.	struggle with	Student	Dringinal	-Teachers reflect on lesson	- Hillsborough	
		achievem		outcomes and use this knowledge		
		ent		to drive future instruction.	vviiles	
		improves		to drive ruture mstruction.		
			-Instruction			
		teachers			During the	
	ulum	leachers			Grading the	
		working			Period Period	
	sations			-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes	<u>r eriou</u>	
		collabor		and data used to drive future	- Pre/Mid	
		atively			Point/End of	
	to	to focus			unit Common	
	deepen	1	How	-PLCs chart their overall progress		
		student			including:	
	leaning.		-PLCS turn		monthly	
	То		their logs into		Pioneer	
	address		administration		Writes	
	this	use the		Leadership Team Level		
	barrier,	Plan-	-	•		
	this year	Do-	Administrators	-PLC facilitators share SMART		
	PLCs are	Check-	and coaches	Goal data with the Leadership		
	being	Act	attend	Team.		
	trained	model to	targeted PLC			
		structure		-Data is used to drive teacher		
	the Plan-	their		support and student supplemental		
	Do-	way of	- 3	instruction.		
		1	of PLCs			
	Act	1	discussed at			
		their	Leadership			
		PLCs,	Team			
	Unit" log.	teachers				
		focus on				
		the				
		following				

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

four questions :	
13. What is it we exp ect them to learn ?	
14. How will we if they have learn ed it?	
15. How will we respo nd if they don't learn ?	
16. How will we respo nd if they	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

alre				
ady				
know				
it?				
Actions/				
<u>Details</u>	-			
-PLCs				
use a				
Plan-				
Do-				
Check-				
Act to				
guide their				
their				
discuss				
ion and				
focus.				
-Steps				
will be				
listed in				
PLC logs				
Tanahaya				
Teachers				
will hold				
conferen				
ces with				
students				
to gauge				
their				
und				
erstand				
ing and				

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

		respond if students					
		have not mastered					
		a					
Writing/LA Goal #1:	2012 Current	concept. 2013 Expected					
Willing Lit Goul III.	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring Level 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writes will increase from 88% to 91%.							
	88%	91%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Writing/Language Arts Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

K-5 Writing

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

(e.g., Early Release) and

Schedules (e.g., frequency of

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or PLC Leader

Writing Contact

_{-eader} ting meetings)
Through PLC
meetings once a
month

PLC review of conferencing notes, Post-conference revised student pieces Teacher, Team Members, Writing Contact, APEI

Open-ended conferencing questions

PLC-grade level and vertical teams

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or

school-wide)

Walk-throughs targeted to monitor open-ended conferencing questions

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	Most students with significant unexcused absences have personal or family issues that impact attendance	meet weekly to monitor the fidelity of the school's Attendance Plan and		PSLT will examine data monthly	1.1. Attendance Reports Attendance Plan	

Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
remained Goar WT.	Attendance Rate:*	Attendance Rate:*			
1. The attendance rate will					
increase from 95% in 2011-					
2012 to 96% in 2012-2013.					
2. The number of students who					
have 10 or more <u>unexcused</u>					
absences throughout the school					
year will decrease by 10% from 47 in 2011-2012 to 42 in 2012-					
2013.					
2013.					
3. The number of students who					
have 10 or more unexcused					
tardies to school throughout					
the school year will remain the					
same.					
	OFO/-	060/-			
	95%	96%		 	
	2012 Current Number	2013 Expected			
	of Students with Excessive Absences	Number of Students with Excessive			
	2.1.0.5511.0.11.0.5011.0.05	Absences			
	(10 or more)				
		(10 or more)			
	 				
	47	42			
		_ 			

Excessive Tardies (10	Number of			
-	Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
0	0			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

Suspension Gos	al(s) Problem-			
	solving			
	Process to			
	Decrease			

	Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Lack of consistency among staff members in regards to enforcement of school rules and consequences Lack of consistency in classroom procedures and routines	The Managing &Motivating Committee will meet regularly to establish methods for teaching and reinforcing	Admin PSLT "behavior" subgroup Teachers	PSLT and Steering Comm. will review data on Discipline Referrals and out of school suspensions monthly 3rd Grading Period Check: School rules are posted, behavior policies are communicated to parents, classroom incentives encourage good behavior	Crystal Report (Reports on Dem and) suspensi on data	
		-PSLT will provide intervention support to teacher teams in regards to behavior				

			•	•		
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of	2013 Expected Number				
		<u>of</u>				
	In –School					
	Suspensions	In- School Suspensions				
1. The total number of In-School						
Suspensions will decrease by 10%.						
2. The total number of students						
receiving In-School Suspension						
throughout the school year will						
decrease by 10%.						
3. The total number of Out-of-						
School Suspensions will decrease						
by 10%.						
'						
4. The total number of students						
receiving Out-of-School						
Suspensions throughout the school						
year will decrease by 10%						
)	2				
	3	4				
	2012 Total Number of	2013 Expected Number				
	Students Suspended	of Students Suspended				
			Ī	l	I	
	<u>In-School</u>	In -School		l	I	
	111-5CH001				-	
	3	2		ĺ		
	_					
	2012 Number of Out-	2013 Expected Number				
	of-School Suspensions	<u></u>		ĺ		
				l	I	
		Out-of-School				
	1	Suspensions		ĺ	I	
	1	C ACD VIIO IO III	L	ļ		

2	1			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended			
Out- of- School	Out- of-School_			
2	1			

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

K-5 All

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

Intervention Support

and/or PLC Leader

PSLT

Members

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLCs

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

On-Going

Meet with PLCs to determine understanding and Progress.

PSLT Members

End of Suspension Goals

Health and Fitness Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)). Hillsborough 2012

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
areas in need of improvement:				How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
1. Health and Fitness Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	have healthy fitness routines.	and physical activity initiatives developed and implemen ted by the Physical		scoring in the Healthy Fitness Zone (HFZ)	PACER test component of the FITNESSGRAM PACER for assessing cardiovascular health.	
		Education Coach				

Health and Fitness Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
During the 2012-2013 school year, the number of students scoring in the "Healthy Fitness Zone" (HFZ) on the Pacer for assessing aerobic capacity and cardiovascular health will increase from 40% on the Pretest to 50% on the Posttest.							
	40%	50 %					
	(45)	(57)					
		scheduling Teacher Directed PE Lessons	Students will engage in 150 minutes of physical education per week in grades kindergarten through 5.		walkthroughs class schedules		
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Health and Fitness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development Hillsborough 2012

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

litator P

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Continuous Improvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
areas in need of improvement:				How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?			

1. Continuous	1.1.	1.1.	1 1	1	1 1	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Improvement Goal	DI 6	DI C I				
		PLC log	<u>Who</u>	PSLT will examine	PLC Facilitators	
	happening			the feedback from all	will provide	
		will be	Administration	PLCs and determine		
	effective.	created		next steps in the PLC		
		that	PLC Facilitation		progress of	
		include	. Lo i domedelo		their PLC.	
		the SIP's	How		Circii i Ec.	
		goals.	11000			
		PLCs will	- Administration			
		Action	will review PLCs			
		Steps of	logs			
		the Goals				
		as a guide				
		for PLC				
		discussion				
		and PLC				
		work.				
	2012 G	2012 F 1				
Continuous Improvement	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				
Goal #1:	ECVEL.	Bever.				
The percentage						
of teachers who						
1						
strongly agree with the indicator that "the						
1						
school has a culture						
of congeniality and						
trust (under Teaching						
and Learning)" will						
increase from 58% in						
2012 to 65% in 2013.						

58%	65%					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Continuous Improvement Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and

Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

PLCs

Plan-Do-Check-Act ModelLeadership Team Leadership Team School-wide

PLCs meet every three weeks Administrator and leadership team

Leadership Team

for Plan-Do-Check-Act PLCs.walk-throughs

All teachers Subject Area

Leaders

PLC Facilitators

Administrator and leadership attendance

at PLC meetings

PLC Survey data

End of Additional Goal(s)

NEW Goal(s) For the 2012-2013 School Year

NEW Reading Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

A. Florida	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	
Alternate						
Assessment:		Not	See Reading			
			See Readily			
Students scoring			Goal 1.1			
proficient in		students				
reading (Levels 4-		in this				
9).		subpopula				
		tion.				
		CIOII.				
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of				
<u>A:</u>	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
The percentage						
The percentage of students						
or students						
scoring a Level						
4 or higher on						
the 2013 FAA						
will maintain or						
increase by 1%.						
	N/A					
	14/ A					

		A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	
	D 1	D 1	D.1	D.1	0.1		
B. Florida Alternate	В.1.	В.1.	B.1.	B.1.	B.1.		
Assessment: Percentage of students making		Not enough students	See Reading Goal 1.1				
Learning Gains in reading.		in this subpopula					
		tion.					

Reading Goal B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.							
	N/A						
		B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	

NEW Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	be monitored?	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

C. Students scoring proficient in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Listening/Speaking.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Listening/Speaking.						
		See				
		Dooding				
		Reading				
		Goal 1.1				
CELLA Goal #C:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	FTOTICIENT III Listennig/Speaking.					
The percentage of students						
scoring proficient on the 2013						
Listening/Speaking section of the						
CELLA will increase from 56% to						
59%.						
	5 60/					
	56%					

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
mainer similar to non-ELL students.				L		
				How will the evaluation tool data be used		
				to determine the		
				effectiveness of strategy?		

D. Students scoring proficient in Reading. 2.1. See Reading Goal 1.1
See Reading
Reading
Reading
Goal 1.1
Goal 1.1
Goal 1.1
CELLA Goal #D: 2012 Current Percent of Students
Proficient in Reading:
The percentage of students
scoring proficient on the 2013
Reading section of the CELLA will
increase from 23% to 26%.
23%
Students write in English at grade level in a manner Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fidelity Check Strategy Data Check Student Evaluation Tool
similar to non-ELL students.
Who and how will the fidelity How will the evaluation
be monitored? tool data be used
to determine the
effectiveness of strategy?
E. Students scoring proficient in 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1.
Writing.
See
Writing
Goal 1.1

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:			
18%			

NEW Math Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data,	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			W/h = === d h ======:11 dh = £: d=1:4= h =	How will the evaluation tool data be		
Questions", identify and			3	used to determine the effectiveness		
define areas in need of			monitorea?			
improvement for the				of strategy?		
following group:						

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

F. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at in mathematics (Levels 4-9).	enough Stud	See Math goal 1.1	F.1.	F.1.	F.1.	
Mathematics Goal F:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.						

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

N/A	N/A					
	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	
Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Assessment: Not enough	See Math Goal 1.1	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.		

Mathematics Goal G:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.							
	N/A	N/A					
		G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	

NEW Geometry End-of-Course Goals *(High School ONLY)

N/A

NEW Science Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Elementary Science Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			

				<u> </u>		 1
	nt nt					
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
achievement data, and reference to	Barrier	J.		5.	Tool	
"Guiding Questions", identify and define			Who and how will the fidelity be	How will the		
areas in need of improvement for the following group:			monitored?	evaluation tool data		
following group.				be used to determine		
				the effectiveness of strategy?		
J. Florida Alternate	J.1.	J.1.	J.1.		J.1.	
Assessment: Students scoring		J.1.	J.1.	5.1.	J.1.	
		l_				
at proficient in science (Levels		See				
4-9).						
		Scienc				
	enough	e Goal				
	Student					
		1.1				
	s in this					
1						
	subpopu					
	lation.					
Science Goal J:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
<u> </u>	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
The percentage of						
students scoring a Level 4						
or higher on the 2013 FAA						
will maintain or increase						
•						
by 1%		<u> </u>				
	N/A	N/A				
	,	,				
-	•	•	•			

NEW Writing Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
	Not enough	See Writing Goal 1.1			M.1.	

IVVII CII I GOODI I'I.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.				
	N/A			

NEW Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool

STEM Goal #1:	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Implement/expand project/problembased learning in math, science and CTE/STEM electives.	planning time for math, science, and other STEM teachers			throughs	Logging number of project-based learning in math, science and CTE/STEM elective per nine week. Share data with teachers.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

STEM Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each
Strategy does not require a
professional development or
PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or
PLC Leader

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Hillsborough 2012

End of STEM Goal(s)

NEW Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	

2012-2013 Boyette Springs Elementary School Improvement Plan

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Increase student interest in career opportunities and program selection prior to middle school. The school will increase the frequency of career exposure activities/events from 2 in 2011-2012 to 4 in 2012-2013.	Scheduling Field Trip	JA BIZ Town for fifth grade students to learn	will monitor to ensure filed trip takes place.		Log of students who attend field trip. Writing selections about the experience.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	to volunteer. Finding time for speakers within the	during the great American Teach In so that students may	and Guidance [']	will discuss effectiveness and record in PLC logs.	Log of speakers who attend. Student writing selections.

CTE Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Hillsborough 2012

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or
PLC Leader

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of CTE Goal(s)

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

varac ficador, 5. 5	cicci Oix, unis	will place al	i x iii tiic box.
School			
Differe			
ntiated			
Accoun			
tability			
Status			
Priority	Focus		Prevent

• Once the state has provided information, directions for how to upload the checklist will be posted on the School Improvement Icon.

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

□ Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the use of SAC funds.			
Name and Number of Strategy	Description of Resources that improves student achievement or student	Projected	Final
from the School Improvement	engagement	Amount	Amount
Plan			

Reading 1.1	Classroom Library books	600.00	
Math 1.1	Resource books to enrich students	60.00	
Science 1.1	Science Bins with resources and materials	500.00	
Reading 1.1	Dictaphones to monitor fluency	200.00	
Final Amount Spent			