Florida Department of Education

2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Julington Creek Elementary	District Name: St Johns County School District
Principal: Michael Story	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Danielle Trubey & Elizabeth Griffin	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	0	Number of		Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
		Certification(s)	Years at	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest
			Current School	Administrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Principal	Michael Story	BS, MS Elementary Education and Administration	7	12	2011-2012 Julington Creek Elementary has been an "A" school for the last seven years. This past year JCE received 80 points for gains in reading, 85 points for a gains in math, 72 points for reading gains of our lowest 25 % and 70 for math gains of our lowest 25%. JCE school wide has 87% reading proficiency, 84% math proficiency, 92% writing proficiency and 81% science proficiency.
Assistant Principal	Monique Keaton	BS, MS Elementary/Gifted and Administration	2	2	2011-2012 Julington Creek Elementary has been an "A" school for the last seven years. This past year JCE received 80 points for gains in reading, 85 points for a gains in math, 72 points for reading gains of our lowest 25 % and 70 for math gains of our lowest 25%. JCE school wide has 87% reading proficiency, 84% math proficiency, 92% writing proficiency and 81% science proficiency.
Curriculum Coordinator	Jodi Nason		Less than one		

<u>Highly Effective Instructional Coaches</u>

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)
Reading	Tina Motley	MEd Early Childhood,	1	3	2011-2012
		Reading endorsement,			Julington Creek Elementary has been an "A" school for the last
		ESE Endorsement			seven years. This past year JCE received 80 points for gains
					in reading, 85 points for a gains in math, 72 points for reading
					gains of our lowest 25 % and 70 for math gains of our lowest
					25%. JCE school wide has 87% reading proficiency, 84%
					math proficiency, 92% writing proficiency and 81% science
					proficiency.

<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Utilization of SJCSD PATS program	Principal	Ongoing	
2. With the support of SJCSD, we only higher those who meet NCLB's highly qualified requirements	Principal	Ongoing	
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number	% of First-Year	% of Teachers	% of Teachers	% of Teachers	% of Teachers	% Highly	% Reading	% National	%
of Instructional	Teachers	with 1-5 Years of	with 6-14 Years of	with 15+ Years of	with Advanced	Effective	Endorsed	Board Certified	ESOL Endorsed
Staff		Experience	Experience	Experience	Degrees	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers
			-						

65 Teachers	0%	11% (7)	33% (22)	56% (36)	33% (21)	100% (65)	15% (10)	6% (4)	98% (63)
2 Associate		100% Associate							
Teachers		Teachers							

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Suzeanne Marrinan	Renee Spanno	New to district	The mentor and mentee will meet biweekly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will be given time to observe the mentee. Time is given for feedback, coaching, and planning.
Lynn Adkins	Lisa Henson	New to district	The mentor and mentee will meet biweekly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will be given time to observe the mentee. Time is given for feedback, coaching, and planning.
Laura Roettger	Suzanne Gentile	New to district	The mentor and mentee will meet biweekly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will be given time to observe the mentee. Time is given for feedback, coaching, and planning.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

le I, Part A
le I, Part C- Migrant
le I, Part D
le II
le III
le X- Homeless
pplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
blence Prevention Programs
trition Programs
using Programs
ad Start
ult Education
reer and Technical Education
o Training
her

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Ruth Milite, Tina Motley, Monique Keaton, Jodi Nason, General Education Teacher, School Psychologist, Speech Language Pathologist

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- Provides vision for both academic and behavioral success.
- Plans, implements and monitors the progress of school improvement.
- Implements Response to Intervention as a school-wide method of raising student achievement outcomes through data review and problem-solving
- Systematically evaluates the school infrastructure, scheduling, personnel and curriculum resources, staff development and procedures.
- Meeting frequency weekly

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team designated a working group, including the Assistant Principal and the Instructional Literacy Coach, to represent the team in development and implementation of the school improvement plan as it pertains to MTSS. This working group provides data on MTSS Tier procedures and goals as well as input regarding academic and behavioral areas that need to be addressed.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: "How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?" The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and links to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, or are at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Baseline Data Reading and Math - Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Reading, Math, Science - Discovery Education Writing – Writing prompts Behavior – Daily behavior charts, ABC data <u>Midyear Data</u> Reading, Math, Science - Discovery Education Writing – Writing prompts Behavior – Daily behavior charts, ABC data <u>End of Year Data</u> Reading and Math - Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Reading, Math, Science - Discovery Education Writing – Writing prompts Behavior – Daily behavior charts, ABC data

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The MTSS Leadership Team received initial district training on August 6, 2012. This team will receive additional district training throughout the school year. Professional Development on MTSS will be conducted for the staff throughout the year at faculty meetings. The MTSS Leadership team will also evaluate additional staff professional development needs during the faculty meetings and PLC sessions throughout the year.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

MTSS will be supported through teacher and team collaboration to meet the diverse needs of the students who qualify. Team and teacher will decide how often to meet and what to use to monitor student's performance.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Ruth Milite, Tina Motley, Monique Keaton, Jodi Nason, General Education Teacher, School Psychologist, Speech Language Pathologist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

- Provides vision for both academic and behavioral success.
- Plans, implements and monitors the progress of school improvement.
- Implements Response to Intervention as a school-wide method of raising student achievement outcomes through data review and problem-solving
- Systematically evaluates the school infrastructure, scheduling, personnel and curriculum resources, staff development and procedures.
- Meeting frequency weekly

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Identify needs of individual students
- Create implementation plans to provide support
- Monitor student progress
- Provide support to teachers
- Analyze data

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent				sents (e.g., 7070 (55)).	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement	to instructional	1a.1. Progress monitoring and Read Naturally	LA Teachers		1a.1. Discovery Education	

Reading Goal #1a: Our goal is to increase the number of students obtaining a level 3 in reading.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	23% (120)	25%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.1.	16.1.	1b.1.		
Reading Goal #1b: NA	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	

		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier 2a.1.	Strategy 2a.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2a.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2a.1.	Evaluation Tool 2a.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	2a.1.	24.1.	20.1.		
Students scoring at or above	Interruptions	FCAT Practice	LA Teacher	Tracking of assessment	Discovery Education		
	to instructional time	Workbooks and Progress		results			
Levels 4 and 5 in		monitoring					
reading.		C C					
i vitanig.							
Deadine Ceal #2au	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #2a:	Level of	Level of					
our gour is to	Performance:*	Performance:*					
increase the number							
of students obtaining a level 4 or 5 in							
reading.							
	64% (338)	66%					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.		26.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Reading Goal #2b: NA	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	262.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making	Interruptions	FCAT Practice Workbooks	LA teacher	Progress monitoring	Discovery Education and FCAT Practice Tests		
	to instructional	WOIKDOOKS			rCAT Flactice Tests		
in reading.	time						
_							
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Our goal is to increase the number							
of students making							
learning gains in							
reading.							
	80%(448)	82					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	

		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	·
		5a.5.	58.5.	54.5.	38	58.5.	
3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
Learning Gains							
in reading.							
in reauting.							
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Keauing Obai #50.	Level of	Level of					
N 7.4	Performance:*	Performance:*					
NA							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
achievement data,	Darrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference			intoning	Suudgy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

Percentage	Interruptions to instructional time	4a.1. FCAT Practice Workbooks and re-teaching strategies Florida Ready Reading STARS	MTSS Team and LA	4a.1. Assessment results and progress monitoring	4a.1. Discovery Education and FCAT Practice tests		
Reading Goal #4a: Our goal is to increase the number of students in the lowest 25% make gains in reading.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	72%(31)	74% ^{4a.2.}	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

41 171 11	41, 1	4h 1	4h 1	4h 1	4h 1	i	
	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage							
of students in							
Lowest 25%							
making learning							
gains in reading.							
5							
Reading Goal #4h.	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #4b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
NT 4	Performance:*	Performance:*					
NA							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	

		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. Ambitious but Achievable	Baseline data 2010-						
Measurable Objectives	2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							
<u>Reading Goal</u> #5A:							
NA							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

subgroups	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Reading Goal #5 <u>B:</u> Pending state provided data.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		6D 2	6D 2	5D 2	
				5B.2. 5B.3.		5B.2. 5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5C.1.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Reading Goal #5C: Pending state provided data.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
				5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2. 5C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Reading Goal #5D: Pending state provided data.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box. 5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students							
not making satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
#5E.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Pending state provided data.							
1							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
Professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Linda Mood Bell	K-5	All/Any	School wide	Monthly PLC meetings	LMB Team meetings	ILC
Marie Carbo	K-5	All/any	School wide	Monthly PLC meetings	MC Team meetings	ILC

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Specialized Training	Linda Mood Bell and Marie Carbo	School	\$500	
Reading Kits	DRA2 Kits	SAC	\$2,000	
Subtotal: \$2,500				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Assessment Program	Renaissance Place /AR	School	\$1,000	
Subtotal:\$1,000				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total: \$ 3,500				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving			
	Process to Increase			

	Language Acquisition					
	Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	-	Attempt to limit interruptions Provide student/teacher with		Tracking of assessment results	Discovery Ed	
		translated dictionary				
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
Our goal is to increase the number of ELL students who speak and understand English at their grade level.						
	25% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.	
proficient in Reading.	_	Attempt to limit interruptions		Tracking of assessment results	Discovery Ed	
	Language barrier	Provide student/teacher with translated dictionary				
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :					
Our goal is to increase the number of ELL students who are proficient in reading English.						
	50% (2)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			<i></i> .	<u> </u>	<i></i> .	<i></i> .

			2.3	2.3		2.3	2.3		2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated	d Barrier	Strategy	Person or fo	Position Responsible or Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluati	ion Tool	
	2.1		2.1.	2.1.		Strategy	2.1		
or staating storing	2.1.		2.1.	2.1.		2.1.	2.1.		
proficient in Writing.	Interruptions to instructional time		Attempt to limit interruptions	General Ec	d Teacher	Tracking of assessment results	Discovery Ed		
	Language barrier		Provide student/teacher with translated dictionary	a					
	2012 Current Perce Proficient in Writin	nt of Students							
Our goal is to increase the number of ELL students who are proficient in writing in English.									
	25% (1)								
			2.2. 2.2. 2		2.2.	2.2.		2.2.	
			2.3	2.3		2.3	2.3		2.3
CELLA Budget (Inse	rt rows as nee	eded)	•	Į					
Include only school-based f activities/materials and excl funded activities/materials.	funded								
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)								
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source			Amount	t	
	Subtotal:								
Technology									
Strategy Description		of Resources		Funding Source		Amount	t		
Assessment Discovery E		Education		District		FREE			
	Subtotal:								
Professional Development									
April 2012		<u> </u>			1		I		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Problem-	
Mathematics Solving	
Goals Process to	
Increase	
Student	
Achievem	
ent	

		Strategy 1a.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1a.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1a.1.	Evaluation Tool		
•	Interrup tions to instructiona	format			Discovery Ed and FCAT Practice Tests		
#10:	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	29% (155)	<i>31%</i> 1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	

	1	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		14.5.	14.3.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
10.1101144	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				-	
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1b:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	<u>i errormunee.</u>						
NA							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in	tions to instructiona	format	Math Teacher		Discovery Ed and FCAT Practice Tests		
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2a:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
Our goal is to increase the							
number of students scoring a level 4 or							
5 in math.							
	54%(286)	56%					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	26.1.	26.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: NA	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2b.2.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3				2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Learning Gains in	tions to instructiona	format	Math Teacher	Progress Monitoring	Discovery Ed and FCAT Practice Tests		
Our goal is to increase the number of students making learning		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
gains in math.							
	85%	87%					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			36.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: NA	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						3b.2.	
						3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: 4a.1. 4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Porcontago of			Discovery Ed and		
students in Lowest Interrup FCAT	Math Teacher	Progress Monitoring	FCAT Practice Tests		
25% making tions to format					
learning gains in instructiona practice					
mathematics. l time workboo	KS				
FCAT					
format					
re-teach					
workboo	< C				
pages					
Pages					
Florida					
Ready M	ath				
CAMS					
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expec	ed_				
#4a: Level of Level of Performance:* Performance	:*				
Our goal is to					
increase the					
number of students					
in the lowest 25%					
making learning					
gains in math.					
70% 72%					
4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
4b. Florida	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in Lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected					
<u>#4b:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r errormanee.	r errormance.					
NA							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					

		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		41.0	41.0	4.0	4.0	4. 0	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline						
	data 2010-						
Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs).							
In six year school							
will reduce their							
achievement gap by							
50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
NA							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			womoning	Suategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroup:							

subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
<u>#5D.</u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Pending state provided data.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:					
	Asian: American Indian:	Asian: American Indian: 5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
				5B.3.		5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5 1					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<u>#5C:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Pending state							
provided data.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
#5 <u>D:</u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Pending state provided data.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
#5E·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			5E.2			5E.2. 5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

School Math ematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1a:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	E (11	F / 1					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
					· ·····	· ···	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	L						
Students scoring at							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u>	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u>	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					

		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			liteintering				
identify and define							
areas in need of improvement for the							
following group:							
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#20:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	Enter numerical data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#2b:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
50111 1111 0000							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#30.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	renormance.	renormance.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	36.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4b.2.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
following subgroup:							

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal_ #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	data for					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		i					
ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Cast #2	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	<i>∠.∠</i> .	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Щ2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

4. Florida Alternate 4 Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4:2 Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
d. 	lata for urrent level of erformance in his box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
						4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.2.	1.3.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	1.3.	

	i		í de la companya de la				
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra.							
and 5 in Aigebra.							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),Reading							
and Math Performance Target							
	Baseline data						
Achievable Annual	2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							

Algebra Goal #3A:							
Algeora Goal #5A.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
subgroup:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:	JD.1.	JD.1.	JD.1.	50.1.		
by culling (white, Diack,	Black:						
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:						
Algebra.							
-							
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian: American Indian:					
	Asian:	American mulan.					
	American Indian:						
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.							
Algebra Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	20.2	20.2	20.2	2D 2	
						3D.2. 3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	for expected level of performance in this box. 3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			· · · · · ·			
Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. 			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives	Baseline data 2010-2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
					3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	20.1	AD 1	2D 1	20.1	AD 1	1	
3D. Students with	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not							
making satisfactory							
progress in Geometry.							
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Geometry Goal #5D.	Level of	of Performance:*					
	<u>Leveror</u>	of Performance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	7					
	box.						
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
		50.5.	50.3.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in			infolittoring	Suucey			
need of improvement for the							
need of improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			

Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core		All/any		Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetings	AP
Pearson SuccessNet	K-5	All/any	SCOOLWIGE	Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetings	AP
Discovery Ed	K-5	All/any		Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetings	AP

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Math Review Strategies	Florida Ready Math	School	\$500	
Math Review Strategies	CAMS	School	\$500	
Subtotal:\$1,000				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Assessment Program	Discovery Ed	District	FREE	

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme			
nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	instructional time and flexible location	Identify common	^{1a.1.} 5 th grade general ed teacher	^{1a.1.} Progress monitoring	^{1a.1.} Discovery Ed and FCAT Practice Tests		
Science Goal #1a: Our goal is to increase the number of students obtaining a level 3 in science.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	41% (77)	43%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	la.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

1b. Florida Alternate	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
Science Goal #1b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this	*					
	box.	<i>box.</i> 1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		10.2.	10.2.	10.2.	10.2.	10.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Stategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Dividuation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify			1 0	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
group:							

scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	Interruptions to instructional time and flexible	Identify common	^{2a.1.} 5 th grade general ed teacher	^{2a.1.} Discovery Ed and FCAT Practice Tests		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				
			2a.2. 2a.3		2a.2. 2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate 2b.1. 2b.1. 2b.1. 2b.1. 2b.1. Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. 2b.1. 2b.1. 2b.1. 2b.1.	
scoring at or above Level 7	
scoring at or above Level 7 in science	
in science	
Science Goal #2b: 2012 Current 2013Expected	
Level of Level of	
NA Performance:* Performance:*	
Enter numerical Enter numerical	
data for data for current level of expected level of	
performance in this	
box. box.	
2b.2. 2b.2. 2b.2. 2b.2. 2b.2. 2b.2.	
2b.3 2b.3 2b.3 2b.3 2b.3 2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			

	nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				1.2	
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
in science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this	1				
	box.	box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
5							

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-		• •		
	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
	Student				
	Achieveme				
	nt				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
it students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
Biology.							
Biology Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this					
	box.	box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
group:							

	h 1	b i	h 1	h i	b 1	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	i de la constanción d
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology.							
4 and 5 in Diology.							
Biology Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u>Biology Gour #2.</u>	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
00							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of performance in this					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	00.00		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
			Γ	Γ			
	1	1	1				1

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Strategy does not require a		i	i	i	Ì		r
professional development or							
PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Discovery Ed Website	K-5	All/any	School-wide	Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetir	ngs	AP
Common Core	K-5	All/any	School-wide	Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetir	ngs	AP
NG Textbook	K-5	All/any	School-wide	Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetir	ngs	AP
Science Budget (In	nsert rows as	needed)					
Include only school-ba activities/materials and funded activities/mater Evidence-based Progra	l exclude distric ials.						
	lin(s)/Waterials(
	Strategy		on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Supplemental Classroo	m Materials	Discovery	/ Ed	District		FREE	
	Subtor	tal:					
Technology							
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Assessment Program		Discovery	/ Ed	District	FREE		
Instructional Resources	S	NG Conn	ect	District	District FR		
	Subto	tal:					
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
	Subto	tal:					
Other							
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	Creating school wide writing instruction	Create time		Progress monitoring	^{1a.1.} Quarterly school- wide writing prompts	

Writing Goal #1a: Or goal is to increase the number of students obtaining a level 3 and above.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	92% (157)	94%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Writing Goal #1b: NA	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1b.2. 1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning						
Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core	K-5	All/any		Pre-planning and monthly PLC meetings	Grade level meetings	AP

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Instructional Materials	Zaner-Bloser Writing Series	School	\$2,000

Assessment Program	Writes Score!	District	FREE
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Instructional Materials	Online Subscription to Zaner-Bloser	School	Included in above amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$2,000			

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Civics_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.		1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 	l. î	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	vel of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
data curi	ta for f rrent level of formance in this x.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each	<u> </u>		

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Civics Budget (insert lows as needed				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of			5				
improvement for the following							
group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S. History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
$0.5.111$ story 0.0 at ± 2.5	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance*						
Enter narrative for the goat in this	<u> </u>						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						Г	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				—	— —	F	
L				1	1		

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence-based Flogram(s)/Waterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	between parents and JCE	cation via	Assistant Principal	Attendance	eSchool Attendance Reports		
		2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
	97%	98%					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 120					
	Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	83	80					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Alert Now Inservice	All	Computer operator and principal	Faculty and staff		eSchool Plus	Computer operator
eSchool Plus	All	General Ed Teacher	Faculty and Staff	August 2012	eSchool Plus	Computer operator

 Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

 Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

 Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Phone Communication	AlertNow	District	FREE	
Parent Communication	eSchool	District	FREE	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	for removal from	Inservice for teachers and develop a response team in PCM strategies.		Number of suspension referrals	Number of suspension referrals		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions					
	1	0					
	Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students. Suspended In -School					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
	3	1					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PCM Strategies	All	PCM Certified Instructor	School-wide	Fall 2012	Team Meetings	Principal and Assistant Principal

#### **Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

## End of Suspension Goals

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

#### * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	1.1. 2012 Current	1.1. 2013 Expected	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
	data for dropout rate in this box. 2012 Current	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box. 2013 Expected					
	Enter numerical data for graduation rate in	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	

# **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	None	now, marquee	,		Annual Needs assessment		
Our goal is to increase the percentage of parents who feel welcome at our school.	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
	81%	90%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent-Volunteer Meetings	K-5	All/any	School-wide	Pre-planning and PLC meetings	Grade level meetings	AP

## Parent Involvement Budget

[				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
~				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

#### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.			1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
	Subject	PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Monitoring

#### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

#### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### **Additional Goal(s)**

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	referrals	Student and staff participation in promoting Covey's Seven Habits	Guidance Counselor and Principal	Classroom teacher feedback	Review the reduction of suspensions and referrals		
Additional Goal #1: Our goal is to increase the percentage of students demonstrate good character.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	92%	94%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	L			
Professional				
Learning				
<b>Community (PLC)</b>				

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student and staff participation	K-5	Classroom teachers and guidance counselor	School wide		Review the reduction in behavior referrals	Guidance counselor and principal
Stephen Covey	K-5	All/any	School wide		Book of the month discussions and grade level meetings	AP

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Developing Leadership Habits	Stephen Covey Materials	School	\$1,000
Subtotal:\$ 1,000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: 1,000			

End of Additional Goal(s)

#### Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

That Dudget (insert lows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$3,500
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$1,000
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$2,000
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	

	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:\$1,000
	Grand Total:\$7,500

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

	,		/	
School				
Differentiated				
Accountability				
Status				
□Priority	□Fo	cus	□Prevent	
				-

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

- Approve the use of the SAC funds which are used to increase student achievement as outlined in the School Improvement Plan
- Facilitate the process of disbursing the School Recognition Funds
- Look at the data that is obtained from school surveys
- Implement the School Improvement Plan

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
DRA2 Kits	\$2,000
Florida iReady	\$2,000