Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Boulevard Heights Elementary	District Name: Broward
Principal: Ricardo Garcia-Nieves	Superintendent: Robert Runcie
SAC Chair: Matthew Petersen	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Dr Ricardo Garcia	Doctor of education Educational Leadership (all levels) Political Science (6-12) School Principal (all levels)	2	20	School Grade: B Reading: 53% Math 48% Writing 77 % Science 40% Learning Gains Reading: 68 % Learning Gains Math: 68% Lowest 25% Reading: 77% Lowest 25% Math: 50% 2010-2011 School Grade A Reading: 74%, Math: 76% Science: 57%, Writing: 91% Learning gains reading: 59% Learning gains math: 61% Lowest 25% reading:58% Lowest 25% math: 65% AYP not met Principal at Nova Middle 2009-2010 School Grade A Reading: 75%, Math: 75% Science: 53%, Writing: 97% Learning gains reading: 66% Learning gains math: 73% Lowest 25% reading:65% Lowest 25% math: 64% AYP not met
-----------	-------------------	---	---	----	--

					2011-2012
					School Grade: B
					Reading: 53% Math 48%
					Writing 77 % Science 40%
					Learning Gains Reading: 68 %
					Learning Gains Math: 68%
					Lowest 25% Reading: 77%
					Lowest 25% Math: 50%
					Lowest 25% Math: 50%
Assistant Principal	Kristi Burdick-Rippo	Masters of Educational Leadership (all levels) Bachelor of Science in Early Childhood Education	1	10	Math Coach: A.C. Perry Elementary 2010- 2011 Grade A Reading Mastery- 76% Reading Learning Gains- 69% Mathematics Mastery- 82% Mathematics Learning Gains- 66% Lowest 25% making Reading Learning Gain %- 73% Lowest 25% making Mathematics Learning Gain %-67% Writing Mastery- 96% AYP Status (No)- 90% of Indicators Met Math Coach: A. C. Perry Elementary 2009-2010 Grade A Reading Mastery- 74% Reading Mastery- 74% Reading Learning Gains- 63% Mathematics Mastery- 87% Mathematics Learning Gains- 66% Lowest 25% making Reading Learning Gain %- 57% Lowest 25% making Mathematics Learning Gain %- 76% Writing Mastery- 92% AYP- All subgroups met AYP

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date		
NESS: Teachers new to Boulevard Heights Elementary are matched with a coach (whether in NESS or not) to assist teacher in adjusting to the school, the processes and procedures within	Theresa Uraga – NESS Liaison	On-going		
2. Social Committee/Morale Builders	Theresa Uraga – NESS Liaison	On- going		
3. Professional Staff Development	Theresa Uraga – NESS Liaison	On- going		
4. Professional Learning Communities	Theresa Uraga – NESS Liaison	On- going		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	n/a

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
38	3%					100%			

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Mrs. Orta	Ms. Salgado	Professional Development	Weekly meetings to discuss strategies that impact student achievement and behavior management. Observation and feedback provided by administration and mentor

Mr. Merone	Ms. Seligman	Professional Development	Weekly meetings to discuss strategies that impact student achievement and behavior management. Observation and feedback provided by administration and mentor
Ms. Valiente	Mrs. Uraga	Professional Development	Weekly meetings to discuss strategies that impact student achievement and behavior management. Observation and feedback provided by administration and mentor

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I funds provide additional teachers to assist students, particularly low performing students. Staff Development funds are used to develop a comprehensive professional training program to improve delivery of instruction through a variety of workshops designed to move teachers to mastery and improve student achievement.

Parental Involvement Funds are utilized to fund academic parent nights that provide parents with new skills to support student learning at home. Monies are used to purchase food, materials and supplies and provide stipends for teacher presenters.

Extended learning opportunities are supported with district Title I funds.

Improving the frequency and quality of family participation and increasing family literacy are also goals of our parental involvement component.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

The Multicultural department provides ESOL instructional materials to be used with ELL students. ELL students receive reading and developmental language arts instruction by a certified ESOL teacher. Support Instructional programs: Oxford Let's Begin & Let's Go, Rigby Readers, CAVS Math & Science. They also provide an ESOL Coach/Cooridinator to hold all ESOL meetings and complete official ESOL testing.

ESOL paraprofessional will be scheduled to meet with ELL students and provide individual assistance in areas of identified weakness using Great Leaps and monitopring using weekly check points.

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Additional teacher support during the school day to assist with low performing students

Violence Prevention Programs

Boulevard Heights Elementrary implements the County Student Code of Conduct and the District Discipline Matrix. Our school enforces the Anti-Bullying Policy and has zero tolerance for bullying and violence. These programs are supported through Conflict Mediation, student assemblies, Crime Watch/Safety Patrol, the Broward County adopted character traits and guest speakers.

Nutrition Programs

Nutritional programs and health education are an integral part of our Unified Arts Program. We have also partnered with the University of Florida for a series of Family Nutrition Assemblies K-3.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Head Start Class - To ensure school readiness, the Head Start Program provides literacy, math, and science curricula that align with the K-3 national standards to improve educational outcomes. This connection between curricula and child expectations has contributed to better prepare students to succeed in Kindergarten. An end of the year Teaching Strategies Gold report, detailing students' ongoing assessment, is placed in the students' cumulative folder to familiarize kindergarten teachers with the HS students' progress in the program.

Regarding the logistics of registering students at the elementary schools, the Head Start Program ensures a smooth transition to kindergarten by specifying the necessary enrollment processes and timelines to all families participating in the program. The HS family services support team and the HS teachers provide ongoing guidance to the HS families by indicating the students' corresponding home school, immunization requirements, and dates scheduled for Kindergarten Roundup events at our school.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Tier III guidance groups, Listeners Program

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

Dr Ricardo Garcia (Principal)

Kristi Burdick-Rippo (Assistant Principal)

Margaret Puebla (School Psychologist)

Margaret Satz (Guidance Counselor)

Theresa Uraga (ESE Specialist)

Julie Makagon (Speech/Language Pathologist)

(School Social worker)

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Students, including (Tier 2 & 3) are brought to the collaborative problem solving team (CPST) for a variety of reasons: initial

behavior and/or academic concerns, monitoring of behavior and/or academics, social/welfare issues.

Data is discussed with team and recommendation, research based interventions and results discussed/progress monitored.

CPST meetings are held every Wednesday to discuss items above.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Collaborative problem solving team members are represented on the school improvement team and collaborate with plan development and implementation.

Teacher implements researched based interventions based on students identified deficiencies and resources.

Teacher reassesses student and reconvenes with collaborative problem solving team to review results.

District resources accessed if students are resistant to intervention.

SIP progress and monitoring is done through committee meetings individual teacher data chats, mini-assessments, BAT I&II, progress monitoring data points

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test-FCAT, Broward Assessment Test (Bat 1 & 2 for reading and math)

Florida Assessment Inventory of Reading (FAIR), Cella.

Progress monitoring Data points: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Mini Assessments, FCAT simulation,

FCAT Explorer reports, River Deep Reports, i-station and compass reports

Diagnostic Assessments:

Diagnostic Assessment of Reading DAR.

IRI's, Running Reading Records, Reading and math inventory tests applicable.

Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.

End of year:

FCAT, FAIR, Primary reading and math tests for gr 1-2,

School Wide Florida's Continuous Improvement Model

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Teachers will be trained on the updates during pre-planning.

Team leaders will provide follow up training at weekly team meetings.

Podcasts regarding RtI will be shared with staff at faculty meetings using Learning Village.

Teachers new to BHE will be trained by guidance/school psychologist and/or team leader.

Updates will be provided at CPST mtgs, leadership mtgs and staff mtgs as needed.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Monthly meetings with RTI team will be held.

Throughout the month teachers may make appointments with the Guidance Counselor for RTI support

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Support Staff:

Margaret Puebla (School Psychologist)

Margaret Satz (Guidance Counselor)

Theresa Uraga (ESE Specialist)

Julie Makagon (Speech/Language Pathologist)

Paula Aromiello (Mico-Tech Specialist)

Team Leaders: (Jodi Rice, Deanna Kastler, Ann Marie Norce, Dr. Miranti Murphy, Margareth Garcia, Matt Petersen)

Administration:

Dr Ricardo Garcia (Principal)

Kristi Burdick- Rippo Assistant Principal

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

- 1. Administration meets with Support staff monthly (week one) members share accomplishments, items team is working on and items that require assistance.
- 2. Team leaders meet with Administration monthly (week two) to monitor the progress of students (mini-bats, fluency, RtI students and identified AYP subgroups), share accomplishments, items team is working on and items that require assistance, information relevant to instruction and teams receive information pertinent to the running of the school.
- 3. Administration meets with Faculty monthly (week four)

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Implementation of parent engagement plan involving BHE's new Character Education Toolbox.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary	Title	I Sc	chools	Only:	Pre-S	School	Transition
-------------	--------------	------	--------	-------	-------	--------	-------------------

Describe	plans f	or assisting	preschool	children in	transition t	from early	childhood	programs to	local elementa	ry school pro	grams as applicable.

Parents and kindergarten students are invited to a kindergarten orientation the Friday

before the beginning of the school year. Students get to see their class with their parents and meet their teacher and review first day/week procedures.

"Donuts/Tissue Day" sponsored by PTA (donuts and juice for the parents) and coordinated by guidance counselor, provides parents with a place to say good bye to their child on the first day of school.

In the spring, community day care facilities and incoming kindergarten families are invited to a Kindergarten roundup/orientation for the following school year. Information is posted on the school's website and flyers are sent to all community day care facilities and incoming kindergarten families.

All attending receive an overview of all programs offered at BHE as well as a tour of the facilities and classrooms.

*Grades	<i>6-12</i>	Only	Sec.	1003	.413	(2)	(b)) F.S
---------	-------------	------	------	------	------	-----	-----	-------

_	1 1	1	1 /	10	1	1	.1 1	1 /1	1 4	4 1	. 1	.1 1		4	C	1 10
٦∩r	schools	with	orades <i>f</i>	1 -17	how	does 1	the schoo	I engure fl	hat everv	teacher a	contributes to	the read	ing im	inrovement a	IT AVAIT	/ childent?
. OI	30110013	WILLI	grades	<i>)</i> -14.	, 110 00	uocs	me semoo	i chouic u	mat CvCry	teacher '	commodutes to	uic icad	பாத பப	ipioveniieni v	JICVCIY	student:

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

Achievement Level 3 in reading.	consistent skills in the area of fluency	IA.1. Continuous monitoring of students via daily small group differentiate d instruction utilizing the Fluency Builders in the Treasures reading series, Quick Reads, Great Leaps, and Voyager, istation	IA.1. Progress Monitoring Fluency Assessments in Treasures reading series (Pre, Mid, and Post) Voyager Fluency Benchmark	IA.1. Pre, Mid and Post Fluency Tests from Treasures Reading Series/ Benchmark fluency probes, Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT)	
Reading Goal #1A: By June 2013, 56 % of students in grades 3-5 will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT level 3) in reading.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			

		Students lack consistent skills in the area of comprehensi	IA.2. Daily small group differentiated reading instruction: Double Dosing students daily Using STARS, SUPER QAR, Leveled Readers, and integrating technology into instruction through i-station and Voyager		IA.2 . Teachers will monitor growth/gains from initial testing of comprehension from Treasures Placement Test with the assessments given throughout the year including but not limited to administration of Benchmark Assessment Tests 1 & 2(BAT) Mini Benchmark Assessment Tests (Mini BATS) DAR Voyager Adventure Checkpoint IA.3.	IA.2. Mid year reading assessment, Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT)	
		. Students grasp/gain proficiency in concepts	Students will be provided with differentiated instruction, small groups, and BEEP lessons	Team Leaders	. CWT targeting small group instruction, biweekly teacher-led data chats with	Harcourt Treasures Reading Assessments, BAT, and FCAT	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		

	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
			2A.1.		2A.1.	
			Media Specialist		Post- tests from	
			and Microtech		each:	
		Accelerated			AR, Compass	
4 in reading.	proficiency	Reader			Odyessy, FCAT	
	ın	books or use			Explorer.	
	_	the				
		Treasures				
	use of	leveled				
	technology.	books on a weekly				
		basis.				
		Teachers				
		will utilize				
		the				
		laptop carts				
		and sign				
		up for open				
		times in the				
		computer				
		lab,				
		reference				
		and research				
		rooms and				
		digital				
		classrooms.				

Reading Goal #2A: By June of 2013, 30% of students in grades 3-5 will demonstrate above proficiency (FCAT Level 4 and 5) in reading.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
		Students lack a variety of learning strategies that aid in	9 High Yield Strategies Higher Order Thinking Strategies with the use of novels Treasures Reading Series Above Level	2A.2. Treasures Placement Test Benchmark Assessment Tests Mini Benchmark Assessment Tests (Mini BATS)	2A.2. Mid and End of year tests through Treasures and Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT)	
		Students	2A.3. Small group differentiated instruction using • Treasures Reading series vocabulary lessons and activities for Above Level students	2A.3. Vocabulary Workshop Unit Assessment Tests Benchmark Assessment Test Mini Benchmark Assessment Tests (Mini BATS)	2A.3. Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT)	

2B. Florida Alternate	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.		2012					
Reading Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

for the following group:					
0111 1 0111 2.01	α · 1 · ·		3A.1. In addition to	3A.1. DAR, CELLA, IPT	
- er eemenge or	4		 teacher/student data		
stadents making		students	chats, the DAR		
		will be	screenings		
reading.		matched with	(Pre/Mid/Post) - will		
			provide teachers with		
		needs			
		through the			
		struggling			
		readers chart			
		and			
		through the struggling readers chart	information needed to make adjustments to individual learning plans		

Reading Goal #3A: By June of 2013, 68% of students in grades 4-5 will demonstrate learning gains in reading as documented by the FCAT and as defined by the Florida School Grading System.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	68%	71%					
		barrier for students achieving learning gains in reading is the prior knowledge and lack of comprehensi on skills.	3A.2. Expose students to a variety of opportunities and methods of learning and applying reading/ higher order thinking skills CRISS strategies		monthly team leader meetings designed to address and monitor all student data (progress) and adjust learning plans accordingly, minutes from meetings submitted to administration	3A.2. Mini-Bats, BAT, and FCAT	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
reading.	2012 0						
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Wontoning	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:	4 4 4 4	4A.1.	4A.1. Instructional Coach	4A.1.	4A.1. Voyager	
	4A.1. A		Team Leaders		Checkpoints tests	
8	barrier for	vocab	Team Leaders		BAT	
	students	lessons will				
	achieving			will be analyzed by		
learning gains in	rearming	be utilized		classroom teachers to		
reading.	gains	on a daily		measure level of		
	in reading is	basis in		learning and adjust		
	the lack of	(both		individual learning plans		
		whole and		accordingly.		
	vocabulary	small group)				
	skills.	grades 2-5 to				
		increase				
		vocabulary				
		skills and				
		comprehensi				
		on.				
Reading Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
By June of 2013, 80%	Level of	Level of				
of students in grades	Performance:*	Performance:*				
4-5 will						
demonstrate learning						
gains in reading as						
documented by						
the FCAT and as						
defined by the Florida						
School grading						
system.						
				<u> </u>	!	

77 %	80%					
	4A.2. Students need	4A.2. Double Dose daily in small groups using differentiated	4A.2. Instructional Coach Administration	4A.2. Review/analysis of assessments used in before & after	4A.2. Treasures Assessment, Mini bats, BAT Assessments and	
	additional time/ instruction for skill/concept	instruction: STARS/CARS Triumphs/Treasures Intervention/Below Level Activities and		school programs and pull-out programs	FCAT	
	understandir g	Lessons Great Leaps Voyager FCAT Camp: before & after school				
	4A.3. A barrier for students achieving learning gains in reading is the lack of fluency skills, phonics and phonemic awareness.	4A.3 Small group differentiated instruction using: Month to Month Phonics Systematic Sequential	4A.3 Instructional Coach Team Leaders	4A.3. Assessments performed and analyzed by classroom teachers to measure level of learning and adjust individual learning plans accordingly. Data chats (teacher/student) held bi-weekly to discuss present level of performance and learning goals	4A.3. Targeted formative assessments evaluated monthly.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2011-2012 47%	47%	39%	31%	23%	<u>15%</u>	<mark>7%</mark>
Reading Goal #5A: 47% of our students are not proficient in Reading as per FCAT. We plan to reduce this by 8% each year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making	SB.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Students lack life experiences of various concepts used in eliciting prior knowledge. Therefore daily instruction in comprehension strategies needs to be reinforced in a smaller setting	support in reading through participation in afterschool camps, and pull-out models Double Dosing students daily Using STARS, SUPER QAR, Level Readers, and Voyager Differentiated Instruction	Administration	5B.1. Participation in before & after school programs and pull-out programs Monthly Team Leader/Progress monitoring meetings	5B.1. Treasures, Mini Bats, BAT Assessment and FCAT	
Reading Goal #5B: As of June 2012 the following percentages apply for subgroups not meeting criteria: White: 39% Black: 60% Hispanic: 44% Asian: 46% American Indian: 100%	White: 39% Black: 60% Hispanic: 44% Asian: 46% American Indian: 100%	White: 36% Black:57% Hispanic: 41% Asian: 43% American Indian: 97%				

	language acquisition and application	provided additional resources and interventions such as, istation, In Step Readers correlated to the leveled reading program, FCAT Explorer for students in grades 3-5, RiverDeep-Destination Reading for students in grades k-2. Afterschool tutoring		and support staff to discuss student	Computer generated reports Classroom performance data Formative assessments scores	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	barrier, language acquisition and application	students will be provided additional resources and interventions such as, istation, In Step Readers correlated to the leveled reading program, FCAT Explorer for students in grades 3-5, RiverDeep-Destination Reading for students in students and students in students in students and students in students in students in students in students and students in students in students and students in students in students and students in students and students in students and students and students in students and studen		data chats with teachers, administration and support staff to discuss student progress	5C.1. Computer generated reports Classroom performance data Formative assessments scores	
		Destination Reading for				

Reading Goal #5C: By June 2013, 50% of ELL student in grades 3-5 will make learning gains in Reading.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2012 25% of students made satisfactory progress in Reading.						
		/	5C.2. Teachers will implement accommodations and modifications reflected on the ESOL Matrix to better meet the needs of the ELL students.		teachers will monitor	5C.2. Classroom performance data Weekly and unit Test scores	
		5C.3. Language barrier, vocabulary and fluency	5C.3. Title III paraprofessional will meet with students weekly and assist in identified areas of weakness.	5C.3. Reading Resource Specialist	5C.3. Regularly scheduled data chats with	5C.3. Classroom performance data Weekly and unit Test scores Fluency probes	

	1	1 0	n n::	n vv 1: n : :	T 7 1 .: 7 1	1
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
5D. Students	5D.1. Lack of	5D.1.	5D.1. INSTRUCTIONAL	5D.1. Progress Monitoring	5D.1. Pre, Mid and Post	
with Disabilities	time and	Continuous	СОАСН	Fluency Assessments in	Fluency Tests	
(SWD) not making	assistance in	monitoring	ESE Specialist	Treasures reading	from Treasures	
satisfactory progress	the	of students		series (Pre, Mid, and	Reading Series/	
in reading.	practice of	via the		Post)	Florida	
in reading.	practice or	Fluency			Comprehension	
		Builders in				
		the			Assessment Test	
					(FCAT),	
		Treasures			DAR	
		reading				
		series				
		Differentiate				
		d				
		Instruction				
		Additional				
		assistance				
		provided by				
		paraprofessi				
		onal in all				
		ESE classes				
Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
By June 2013, 50%	Level of	Level of				
of SWD student in	Performance:*	Performance:*				
grades 3-5 will make						
learning gains in						
Reading.						
ixcauiiig.						

made satisfactory	By June 2013, 50% of SWD student in grades 3-5 will make learning gains in Reading.					
	5D.2. Minimal exposure to onlevel students during reading instruction	Placement of students	5D.2. INSTRUCTIONAL COACH ESE Specialist	SD.2. Assessments in Treasures reading series (Pre, Mid, and Post) Benchmark Assessment Test Mini Benchmark Assessment Tests (Mini BATS)	5D.2. Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT)	
	students achieving learning gains in reading is	series vocabulary	5D.3. INSTRUCTIONAL COACH ESE Specialist	5D.3. Vocabulary Workshop Unit Assessment Tests Benchmark Assessment Test Mini Benchmark Assessment Tests (Mini BATS)	5D.3 Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT).	

						·
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
	5E.1. A	5E.1.	5E.1. Instructional Coach	5E.1.Vocabulary Workshop	5E.1.	
	barrier for	Treasures	Classroom		Florida	
		Reading		Cliff Libbershillelle Lebts	Comprehension	
students not making			Teachers	Benchmark Assessment		
satisfactory progress	acine ving	series		Test	Assessment Test	
in reading.	learning	vocabulary		Mini Benchmark	(FCAT)	
, and the second	gains	lessons and		Assessment Tests (Mini		
	in reading is	activities		BATS)		
	the lack of	for Below				
	the lack of	Level				
	vocabulary	students				
		Vocabulary				
		Workshop				
		Differentiate				
		d				
		Instruction				
Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Reading Goal #3E.	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
By June of 2013, 53%						
of subgroup students						
(Economically						
Disadvantaged), will						
score proficient on the						
FCAT						
1 0/11						
	50%	53%				

	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core with Marzano	K-5	Administration Team Leaders Instructional Coach	School Wide	Pre-planning Monthly PLC	I observation Team Meetings Minutes	Administration Team Leaders Instructional Coach
RTI: Response To Intervention	K-5	RTI Team	School Wide	Monthly Faculty meetings	RTI Team	
Core 6	K-5	Instructional Coach	School Wide	Monthly PLC	Instructional Coach	

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

In the description of the description	<i>(</i> () () () () () () () () () (
Include only school funded activities/				
materials and exclude district funded				
activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	language have difficulty comprehending daily classroom instruction.	1.1. Students will be placed in a print rich environment where vocabulary will be reinforced. Teachers will utilize ESOL strategies and assistance from ESOL Coach.	1.1. ESOL Coach Administration	1.1. Classroom Observation	1.1. I observation	
CELLA Goal #1: By June 2013, 25% of students in Kindergarten through fifth grade will demonstrate a proficiency level in Listening and Speaking determined by the 2013 CELLA.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					

	22% of students in					
	Kindergarten through					
	fifth grade demonstrated					
	a proficiency level in					
	Listening & Speaking as					
	determined by the 2012					
	CELLA					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
level text in English in a			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
manner similar to non- ELL students.						
2 64	2.1 Students struggle with grade	2.1. Teachers will use small group	2.1. ESOL Coach	2.1. Weekly data will be	2.1.	
nuclicient in modding	level text due to lack of prior knowledge, fluency difficulties,			analyzed to progress monitor	Mini BATS	
		daily classroom instruction. ESOL		ESOL students.	BAT 1	
	and comprehension difficulties.	coach will pull small groups to			Bat 2	
GTT T 1 G 1 1/4		reinforce classroom instruction.				
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
Dy June 2015,	Froncient in Reading.					
32% of students						
in Kindergarten						
through fifth grade						
will demonstrate a						
proficiency level						
in Reading as						
determined by the						
2013 CELLA.						

Kinder fifth gi a profi	of students in regarten through rade demonstrated ciency level in ag as determined by					
the 201	12 CELLA.					
	2	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.	write due to uncertainty in English and the vocabulary of the English Language.	assistance in Writing for ESOL students. ESOL COACH will also pull small groups and working on writing skills.	2.1. Teachers ESOL coach	2.1. ESOL Coach will analyze data from monthly writing prompt for ESL students	2.1. Monthly Writing Prompts	
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
By June 2013, 27% of students in Kindergarten through fifth grade will demonstrate a proficiency level in Writing determined by the 2013 CELLA.						
	24% of students in Kindergarten through fifth grade demonstrated a proficiency level in Writing as determined by the 2012 CELLA					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ELLETT Dauget (moet 10 ws as nee	<i>(</i> () () () () () () () () () (
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. barrier	1A 1 Small	1A.1. Team Leaders	1A.1.	1A.1. CWT reports	
Students scoring at	for students		Administration	Classroom walkthroughs	Chapter tests	
Achievement Level 3		differentiated		should indicate whole	Mid-year	
in mathematics.		instruction	Inviatii Coacii	group and small group	assessments	
in mathematics.	in	teaching			End of year	
	math is	strategies		throughout the grade	assessments	
	understandin			levels.	FCAT	
	ander standin	intervention		Teams will submit	I-Observation	
	the Go Math			minutes from team	1-Observation	
	series in	will be used		meetings to		
	conjunction			administration		
		GO Math		Teachers will analyze		
		series and		individual student data		
		Struggling		on		
		Mathematics		an ongoing basis to		
	school.	chart to		monitor		
	SCHOOL.	address areas		student progress and		
		of need		conduct student data		
		and to make		chats to review present		
		1		level of performance		
		connections		and make adjustments		
		between		to individual learning		
		the Go Math		plans		
		series and		pians		
		other				
		programs				
		used in				
N. 1 C. 1	2012 (the school.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#1A:	Performance:*	Performance:*				
IN JUNE 2012, 30% of						
students in grades 3-5						
scored a Level 3 on FCAT.						
	30 %	33%				
	70	2070				

		lack prerequisite skills needed to learn new	Differentiated	1A.2. Team Leaders Administration Math Coach	IA.2. Teachers will compare pre - post test data to determine if students have shown improvement. Teachers will analyze individual student data on an ongoing basis to monitor student progress and will conduct student data chats to review present level of performance	IA.2. Chapter tests BAT & Mini-BAT First in Math reports FCAT I-Observation	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

Γ		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
1							
L							

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. A	2A.1.	2A.1. Team Leaders	2A.1. Print out usage report	2A.1. Weekly Mini-Bats	
Students scoring	barrier for	Teachers		from Go Math Soar to	BAT	
at or above	students	will integrate	Math Coach	Success, Riverdeep	Go Math	
Achievement	achieving	technology		Destination Math, First	Chapter Tests,	
Levels 4 and 5 in	proficiency	and math		in Math	FCAT	
mathematics.	in	(where		iii ividiii		
mathematics.	math is the	applicable)				
	proper use	using digital				
	of	tools and				
	technology.	strategies				
	tecimology.	such as				
		student				
		response				
		devices,				
		interactive				
		white				
		boards, LCD				
		projectors				
		and				
		document				
		cameras that				
		can project				
		print and				
		digital				
		resources				
		including bu	t			
		not limited				
		to FCAT				
		Explorer,				
		First				
		in Math,				
		Soar to				
		Success and				
		Riverdeep				
		Destination				
		Math				
		for students.				

Mathematics Goal #2A: By JUNE 2013, 21% of students in grades 3-5 will score a Level 4 or 5 on FCAT	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Students are not being challenged by whole group instruction.	Level 4 and 5 students will receive differentiated instruction at their level and will be given challenging assignments and group projects that require them to utilize higher order thinking and problem solving skills.	Administration Math Coach	conduct student data chats (biweekly) to discuss present level of performance using both formal and informal assessments Teachers will report student monitoring to administration and support staff during quarterly data chats	2A.2. Weekly Mini-Bats BAT Go Math Chapter Tests	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2A.3. 2B.1.	2A.3. 2B.1.		2A.3. 2B.1.	2A.3.	

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	proficiency at different rates than others.	Teachers		review/analyze	3A.1. BAT Mini-BAT Go Math Chapter Tests	

Mathematics Goal #3A: As Of June 2013, 71 % of students in grades 3-5 will make learning gains in Mathematics.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	68%	71%					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	gain proficiency at different rates.	4A.1. 1. identify areas of need and make adjustments to individual learning plans 2. guide and differentiate instruction by adjusting the pacing of curriculum, chunking of information and reteaching when necessary 3. conduct student data chats	Team Leaders Administration	4A.1. Teachers will review/analyze individual student data on an ongoing basis to monitor student progress Teachers will discuss with students during (bi-weekly)data chats, their present level of performance and make adjustments to individual learning plans	4A.1. BAT 1 & 2 Mini-BAT Go Math Chapter Test	

4A.2. Teachers will expose students to a variety of methods of learning (utilizing technology when appropriate) and applying math skills through concepts 4A.2. Teachers will expose students to a variety of methods of learning (utilizing technology when appropriate) and applying math skills through differentiated lessons using real world examples/applications of math skills 4A.2. Teachers will review/analyze individual student data on an ongoing basis to monitor student progress Teachers will review/analyze individual student data on monitor student progress Teachers will discuss with students during (bi-weekly)data chats, their present level of performance and make adjustments to individual learning plans focusing on specific area of need	Mathematics Goal #4: By June of 2013, 53% of students in grades 3-5 will demonstrate learning gains in math as documented by the FCAT and as defined by the Florida School Grading System.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
(prerequisite skills) 4A.3. 4A.3. 4A.3. 4A.3. 4A.3. 4A.3.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to learn new concepts	students to a variety of methods of learning (utilizing technology when appropriate) and applying math skills through differentiated lessons using real world examples/applications of math skills	Administration	review/analyze individual student data on an ongoing basis to monitor student progress Teachers will discuss with students during (bi-weekly)data chats, their present level of performance and make adjustments to individual learning plans focusing on specific area of need (prerequisite skills)	Mini-BAT Go Math Chapter Test	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2011-2012 52%	<mark>52 %</mark>	<mark>44%</mark>	36%	28%	20%	<mark>20%</mark>
Mathematics Goal #5A: 52% of our students are not proficient in Math as per FCAT. We plan to reduce this by 8% each year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5B.1. Teachers will expose students to a variety of methods of learning (utilizing technology when appropriate-United Streaming) and applying math skills through differentiated lessons using real world examples/applications of math skills	5B.1. Math Committee Administration	5B.1. Teachers will review/analyze individual student data on an ongoing basis to monitor student progress Teachers will discuss with students during (bi-weekly)data chats, their present level of performance and make adjustments to individual learning plans focusing on specific area of need	5B.1. BAT 1 & 2 Mini-BAT Go Math Chapter		
Mathematics Goal #5B: By June 2013 each subgroup will increase their proficiency by 3%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	% of students not proficient: White: 44% Black:70% Hispanic:48% Asian:0 American Indian: 81%	% predicted to not be proficient(based on 3% lowes than prior year) White: 41% Black: 67% Hispanic: 45% Asian: 0 American Indian: 78%					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

identify and define areas in need of improvement	
for the following subgroup:	
5C. English 5C.1. 5C.1. Classroom 5C.1. Teacher will monitor 5C.1.	
Language Learners Language Teachers teacher. partnership and its BAT 1	
(ELL) not making parties, will assign a ESOL coach effectiveness. Partnerships parts	
satisfactory progress students do peer buddy in mathematics. students do peer buddy to ELL fluid	
in mathematics. not to ELL fluid, possess students Both formal and informal	
the proper to provide assessments	
working additional assessments	
vocabulary support	
to during	
apply math instruction.	
concepts.	
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected	
#5C: Level of Performance:* Performance:*	
By June 2013, 50% of ESOL students will make	
satisfactory progress in	
Math.	
19% 50%	

		5C.2.	5C.2. Expose students to a	5C.2. Classroom	5C.2. Teachers will	5C.2.	
		Language	variety of opportunities	teacher.	compare	FCAT	
		barrier,	and methods of learning	ESOL coach	pre -	BAT	
		students do	and applying math skills		post test data to	Mini-BAT	
		not	Math Blitz		determine	Go Math Chapter Test	
		possess the	First In Math		if students have shown		
		proper	Go Math		improvement.		
		working	Math Manipulatives		Teachers will analyze		
		vocabulary	Differentiated		individual student data		
		to	Instruction and Small		on		
		apply math	Group Instruction		an ongoing basis to		
		concepts.	Calendar Math		monitor		
			Intervention strategies		student progress.		
			FCAT Camp				
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D 1 Expose	5D.1. Math Coach	5D.1. Teachers will compare	5D.1.	
with Disabilities	Students			pre -	FCAT	
(SWD) not making	gain				BAT	
satisfactory progress	proficiency	opportunities		determine	Mini-BAT	
in mathematics.	in concepts	and methods		if students have shown	Go Math Chapter Test	
in mathematics.	at a slower				Go iviain chapter rest	
	pace than	of learning		improvement.		
	others.	and applying		Teachers will analyze		
	others.	math skills		individual student data		
		Math Blitz		on		
		First In Math		an ongoing basis to		
		Go Math		monitor		
		Math		student progress		
		Manipulative	1			
		S				
		Differentiate				
		d				
		Instruction				
		and Small				
		Group				
		Instruction				
		Calendar				
		Math				
		Intervention				
		strategies				
		FCAT Camp				
		additional				
		small group				
		assistance				
		with				
		paraprofessi				
		onal				

Mathematics Goal #5D: By June 2013, 50% of SWD students will make satisfactory progress in Math.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	23%	50%					
		Students lack exposure to on-level students during math instruction	instruction in Math.	teacher ESE specialist	progress monitored by the classroom teacher as well as ESE specialist.	Mini-BAT Go Math Chapter Test	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroup:						
	E.1.		5E.1.Math Coach	5E.1. Teachers will	5E.1. FCAT	
Disadvantaged S		Teachers will	Team Leaders		BAT	
students not making la	ack real	expose		individual student data	Mini-BAT	
satisfactory progress W	vorld	students to a		on	Go Math Chapter Test	
in mathematics.	experiences in the application of math concepts	variety of methods of learning (utilizing technology when appropriate- United Streaming) and applying math skills through differentiated lessons using real world examples/ applications of math skills		an ongoing basis to monitor student progress Teachers will discuss with students during (bi-weekly)data chats, their present level of performance and make adjustments to individual learning plans focusing on specific area of need	Go Maui Chapter Test	

#5E:	Level of Performance:*						
	45%	48%					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			1				
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
#1 A ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
F4	r criormanee.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .]	ļ		

Mathematics Goal #2B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	,						
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	r criormanec.	r crromance.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
20111 2000	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5F:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	I	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #3:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
		5.5.				[
E 1 CE1 +1 +1			101111	C 1	i		

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years							
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Basciiiie data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Entan namatina fon 41-							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Sou in inis oon.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
- 41 2 24 (3371- 14	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.			ļ	l	l .		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
TISCOLUTION TO C.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>r orrormanco.</u>	l contonianco.					
5							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
o == 0 == 0 == 0 == 0 == 0 == 0	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

school will reduce their achievement	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.						
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following subgroups:						
	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
Asian, American	American Indian:					
satisfactory progress in Geometry.						

Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
E4		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core and Marzano	K-5	Team Leaders Administration Instructional Coach	Pre-planning week training Monthly PLC	On going	I-observation Team meeting minutes PLC sign-ins	

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
	D : :: 0D	T. 1. 0	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
	•		

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
	Students in	Strategy 1A.1. Students in	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1A.1. Classroom teacher	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1A.1. Weekly team meetings/ discussions about	Evaluation Tool 1A.1. BAT 1 & 2 Science Mini-Bats	
Achievement Level 3 in science.	limited understandin g of the scientific method	age	Team leader Assistant Principal Instructional Coach Science Committee	implementation and usage of science	Florida Science Fusion chapter tests	

By June 1013, 43% of students in Grade 5 will score a 3 or above in Science.	evel of erformance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
40	9%	43%					
		Students lack life experiences / prior knowledge of science concepts	participate in regularly scheduled science lab	Team leader Assistant Principal Instructional Coach Science Committee	IA.2. Performance tasks via science projects & experiments Classroom observations and/or walkthroughs on activities linked to science kits - information gathered from visits will be used to adjust instruction Journal entries and writing assignments will be assessed by grade level rubrics, students' level of understanding will be monitored through use of journals, adjustments to instruction will be made based on results of student learning	IA.2. BAT 1 & 2 Science Mini-Bats Florida Science Fusion chapter tests	

		reading skills - comprehensi on	IA.3. Teachers will use a variety of cross curricular reading strategies for previously identified struggling readers. These reading strategies will be used in correlation with the Florida Science Fusion textbook, BEEP lesson plans, and Hands-On Inquiry to increase vocabulary skills, Delta Science Kit booklets will be used as supplemental reading resources.	IA.3. Classroom teacher Team leader Assistant Principal Instructional Coach Science Committee	IA.3Journal entries and writing assignments will be assessed by grade level rubrics, students' level of understanding will be monitored through use of journals, adjustments to instruction will be made based on results of student learning	IA.3. 1 & 2 Science Mini-Bats Florida Science Fusion chapter tests	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Science Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		10.2	lin a		
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to				-			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in science.							
		2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Little ittilitative joi tite	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
1	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
	_	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
1							
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							

goal ii	in this box.							
		data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
			this box.					
		this box.	this box.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			_			•	·
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.	2012 Current	2012Evmostod					
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	0104	1	T				
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.							

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core and Marzano	K-5	Team Leaders Administration Instructional Coach		Pre-planning training Monthly PLC	I observation Team meeting minutes PLC Sign Ins	

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Science Dudget (misert rows as nee	aca)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1. Writing Committee		1A.1.	
Students scoring at		Teachers	Administration	monthly writing	Monthly writing	
Achievement Level	lack the	will			samples	
3.0 and higher in		conference			scored with FCAT	
writing.		bi-weekly		teachers as needed to	rubric	
		with		improve overall	Teacher	
	writing	students to		performance	Evaluation based	
	genres	ensure			on grade level	
		clear		unoughout school at	benchmarks	
		understandin		quarterly data chats	in writing	
		g of		and make adjustments		
		writing		to writing instruction as		
		goals,		needed		
		revision				
		of writing				
		samples,				
		rubrics used				
		to assess				
		writing and				
		connection				
		to literature				
		based				
777.11	2012 G	instruction				
Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of					
By June 2013, 83 % of students in 4 th grade will	Performance *					
scorea level 3.0 or higher		2013 Expected Level of				
on FCAT writes.		Performance:*				
	80%					
		83%				

		Students lack life experiences and knowledge of writing strategies using Voice	IA.2. K-5 teachers will instruct students in writing invitations, letters (to friends business government) thank you's, research papers, abstracts in science and social studies, in addition to expository, narrative and persuasive formats.	1A.2. Writing Committee Administration	IA.2. Students participate in monthly writing prompts, conferencing with teachers as needed Teams discuss data	IA.2. Quarterly writing samples scored with FCAT rubric Teacher Evaluation based on grade level benchmarks in writing	
		1A.3. Students lack the necessary skills in spelling and conventions.	1A.3. K-5 teachers will instruct students in grammatical conventions and the application of spelling to the writing process.	1A.3. Writing Committee Team Leaders Administration	IA.3. Students participate in monthly writing prompts, conferencing with teachers as needed Teams discuss data	IA.3. Monthly writing samples scored with FCAT rubric Teacher Evaluation based on grade level benchmarks in writing	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core and Marzano	K-5	Team Leaders Administration Instructional Coach		Pre-planning Monthly PLC	I observation Team meeting minutes PLC sign-in	Team Leaders Administration Instructional Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>E</i> 1 (<i>E</i>) E			
Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
4 and 5 in Civics.							
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

TIO TI	D 11				· T	T	
U.S. History	Problem-						
EOC Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in U.S.							
History.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in ints box.							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	<u> </u>	L			l .	l .	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	Tardines	I.1. In-class counseling, Tardy note in the agenda book that must be signed by parent, Parent Link Call, staff telephone call, letter to parent or conference, BTIP letters, interims notification	1.1. Teacher Attendance staff Assistant Principal		I.1. Comparative analysis to the previous school year: Reduction in the number of days tardy and a reduction in the number of tardy minutes	

Attendance Goal #1: By June 2013, we will decrease the number of students with excessive absences and tardies by 5%.	Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	56	60				
	Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	56	30				
	Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				
		Increase in absences on	1.2 Personal telephone call to the parent to discuss the absence, BTIP Letter	1.2. Review attendance record	1.2. A reduction in the number of absences on days immediately preceding a planned day off	

1.3. Educate	1.3. Parent conference with	1.3. Assistant Principal	1.3. Review attendance	1.3. Comparative	
parents on	administration to	with support from	record	analysis to the	
the	discuss attendance	the classroom		previous school	
district	policy,	teachers		year.	
policies on	Personal telephone call				
attendance	to the parent to				
	discuss the absence,				
	BTIP Letter				

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional						
Learning Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		the hamber of b	I	represents next to the p	I	(50)).	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Jour(5)							
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1. "Circles of	1.1. Classroom	1.1. CWT, student	1.1 A reduction in		
	T	Concern" in	Teacher	observation in common	the number of		
	Test Anxiety	classrooms to		areas,	student		
	represented by an	discuss			disciplinary		
	increase in	issues that arise			referrals as seen		
	referrals in	and			on the DMS		
	the months of	stressors that					
	February	effect all					
	are al Mareale	members of the					
		learning					
		community					
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
Suspension Goal #1.	of In –School	Number of					
By June 2012, we will	Suspensions	In- School					
decrease the suspension		Suspensions Suspensions					
rate by 6%.							
	25	15					
		2013 Expected					
		Number of Students					
	Suspended In-School	<u>Suspended</u> <u>In -School</u>					
	17	10					
	,	* *					

Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 25					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
	internalize the connection between	1.2 BHE character education/parent involvement plan, monthly character trait training, and goal setting.	1.2.Administration	observation especially in less structured/ high	1.2 A reduction in the number of student disciplinary referrals as seen on the DMS	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Referral Process Training	K-5	Assistant Principal	K-5	October 2012	Monitor DMS and referral count by teacher	Assistant Principal

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percer	itages, ilicitade	the humber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
	_						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
	1 1 C V C II C I C II						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
improvement:							
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
in this box.							
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.							
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.					
		2013 Expected					

graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

vv nen using percentage	es, merade m	c mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ciccitage (c.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Parent Involvement							
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1. Family's home language	1.1. Provide translators for Spanish speaking parents at school functions and workshops Parent Links sent out in Spanish as well as English	1.1. Title 1 coordinator		I.1.Sign in Sheets for parental involvement activities and workshops		

I di ciit ili (Ci (Ciiit Ciii	2012 Current Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent			
<u>#1:</u>	Involvement:*	Involvement:*			
With the initiation of the	_				
BHE Parent Engagement					
plan					
(Character Education Plan),					
our goal is to get 51% of					
parents to participate in					
decisions regarding their					
child's					
education (not including					
Open House)as documented					
by					
parent trainings, meetings					
and/or school-wide events.					
Traditionally, Boulevard					
Heights Elementary					
experienced					
parental involvement					
anywhere from 70-80%					
(including					
attendance at Open House)					
*Please refer to the					
percentage of parents who					
participated in school					
activities, duplicated or					
unduplicated.					
anapucaca.					
	75%	85%			

1.2. Lac Particij by Fathers father figures	pation toward father (Donuts for Dad, Father's Day s or Breakfast, Classroom Read Ins, Career Day)	1.2. Title 1 coordinator Reading committee Classroom Teachers	Survey	1.2. Sign in Sheets for parental involvement activities and workshops	
1.3. Pre negativ experie in a scl setting	ve based ences performances monthly, hool then provide parent	1	Survey	1.3. Monthly sign in sheets at Bobcat Presentations	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Stategy	Bescription of resources	T unuming Source	Timount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
	1		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
	r. sarata and a		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	1 unumg Source	Amount
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Triteri asing percentage		mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	1
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	r unding source	Allouit
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
Strate 2 auger	Total:
W '4' D L 4	1 otal.
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Dudget	1 otai.
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
1 0	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	10001
1 arent involvement budget	m . I
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	1000
Additional Ovals	m , a
	Total:

2012-2013 Sc	hool Improvement	: Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

	□ Yes	□ No	
If	No, describe the	e the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
D	escribe the activ	ctivities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1