Florida Department of Education



1

School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Cunningham Creek Elementary	District Name: St. Johns County School District
Principal: Allen Anderson	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Sherry Galbraith and Kim Zulkan (Co-Chairs)	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Allen Anderson	M. Ed	3	6	Each school that Mr. Anderson has worked in as an administrator from 2006-2011 was an A school. Each school was also awarded the Five Star and Golden School Award.
Assistant Principal	Jessica Richardson	M. Ed.	1	1	Mrs. Richardson was an Instructional Literacy Coach for 2 years. Prior to that she taught and the same school. Her previous school has been a leader in the county with consistent high FCAT results and "A" ratings.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Literacy	Christin Rudi	M. Ed.	8	1	During Mrs. Rudi tenure as Instructional Coach and teacher, Cunningham Creek has maintained an A school status. This previous year the sub group did make AYP.

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Within the District PATS (employment system) we use the Teacher Insight score to screen potential teachers.	Principal	Date based on posting of position
2.	Mentor program for any teachers new to CCE	Administrators and Instructional Coach	On-going throughout teacher's first year
3.	Shared decision making process	Principal with support from all staff	On-going
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
57	5% (3)	26% (14)	35% (20)	35% (20)	42% (24)	100 %	11% (6)	11% (6)	57% (33)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Bridget Jeffers	Megan Ahrnsbrock	Grade level teammates; mentor educational expertise & FCAT scores, proper induction to CCES' procedures and guidelines, professional development activities	Periodic meetings and planning to discuss lesson plans, policies, procedures, best practices, and concerns of new teachers

Hollie Huber	Kurt Reese	Grade level teammates; mentor educational expertise & FCAT scores, proper induction to CCES' procedures and guidelines, professional development activities	Periodic meetings and planning to discuss lesson plans, policies, procedures, best practices, and concerns of new teachers
Kristine Musseau	Claire Wamsley	Grade level teammates; mentor educational expertise & FCAT scores, proper induction to CCES' procedures and guidelines, professional development activities	Periodic meetings and planning to discuss lesson plans, policies, procedures, best practices, and concerns of new teachers

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (MTSS)

School-Based MTSS/MTSS Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Christin Rudi, Instructional Coach Allen Anderson, Principal Jessica Richardson, Assistant Principal Margina Gabriel, Guidance Counselor Anna Masse, Psychologist Cinda Grimes, Behavioral Specialist

Principal: At Cunningham Creek Elementary, the principal provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities, as well as participating on the MTSS team.

Assistant Principal: The assistant principal at Cunningham Creek Elementary also provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities, and also participates on the MTSS team.

Instructional Literacy Coach: Cunningham Creek Elementary's Instructional Literacy Coach develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. The coach identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring, and is the facilitator of the MTSS team.

MTSS Coach: The district MTSS Coach assigned to Cunningham Creek Elementary assists the Instructional Literacy Coach in developing, and evaluating school core content standards/ programs. The MTSS Coach also assists with identifying and analyzing existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches, identifying systematic patterns of student need while working with Cunningham Creek Elementary's MTSS team to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies. The MTSS Coach provides guidance with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

School Psychologist: The school psychologist assigned to Cunningham Creek Elementary is a member of the MTSS team and participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development

and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; and assists with facilitating data-based decision making activities.

Guidance Counselor: At Cunningham Creek Elementary the guidance counselor is also a member of the MTSS team. The counselor provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions such as school counseling and social skills training, the counselor continues to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Behavior Specialist: As an MTSS team member, the behavior specialist at Cunningham Creek Elementary assesses behavior, develops intervention plans and provides support to school staff in the implementation, data collection and data analysis of behavior issues.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Cunningham Creek Elementary MTSS Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students? The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at each grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, who are at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. We also review student behavioral trends and attendance. Based on the collective information, the team will determine whether there is a need for professional development and the proper resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills toward all students making learning gains. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the MTSS problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team designated a working group, including the Assistant Principal and the Instructional Literacy Coach, to represent the team in development and implementation of the school improvement plan as it pertains to MTSS. This working group provides data on MTSS Tier procedures and goals as well as input regarding academic and behavioral areas that need to be addressed.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI), Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA), Discovery Ed. and Write Score, FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), FCAT Simulation, DIBELS, Great Leaps, Quick Reads, Discovery Ed. Probes, Monthly Writing Prompts

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), SRI, FCAT Writes, Discovery Ed.

End of year: FAIR, SRI, DRA, FCAT, Discovery Ed.

Frequency of data: three probes for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. The MTSS Team at Cunningham Creek Elementary plans to provide staff with professional development through short presentations about MTSS during Cunningham's monthly Best Practice Symposiums. Small sessions will also occur throughout the school year and training with individual staff will occur when the need arises. Throughout the year the MTSS team will evaluate additional staff PD needs during the weekly MTSS Leadership Team meetings. Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The School-based Literacy Leadership Team is comprised of the Administrative team, the Instructional Coach and the Grade Level Team leaders.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets to pick school wide books that will be read and discussed by the entire school. The books that are chosen are aligned with Character Counts Pillars and 7 habits of highly effective kids. The team also creates lessons for classroom use with each of the books.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiative of the LLT will be to encourage students to read.

The LLT would also like to promote good character through reading and instruction.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.	of specific instructional direction	the use of Performance Tracker and district assessments, teachers will	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1A.1. MTSS Team, Grade level teachers and Administration	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1A.1. The MTSS team will analyze grade level progress through the use of progress monitoring assessments	Assessment, District writing	
		be able to look at specific data and analyze specific student and class data. Data will also be used by the MTSS team to analyze school wide data and progress				

	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	26%	31%					
		(113)					
		1A.2. Transition to Common Core State Standards	1A.2. CCE has determined to move forward with the implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in all grades		1 0	Results	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
Assessment:	wide variety of ability levels each of the ESE classroom	adjusted the	1B.1. Administration and ESE classroom teachers	1B.1. Consistent monitoring of the student progression and the Results of the Alternative Assessment	1B.1. Florida Alternative Assessment		
	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
To move from 32% to 40% the percentage of Alternative Assessment students scoring at Levels 4, 5 and 6							
	32% (7)	40% (9)					

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

	i	-					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. Raising a	2A.1. Through	2A.1. MTSS Team,	2A.1. The MTSS team will analyze	2A.1. Discovery Education		
Students scoring	level 3 student	the use of	Grade level teachers and	grade level progress through the use			
0	to a level 4 or 5.	Performance	Administration	of progress monitoring assessments			
at or above		Tracker we					
Achievement Levels		will identify					
4 in reading.		specific skills					
· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and strands that					
		each individual					
		student needs to					
		focus on					
Reading Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
To increase the number	Performance:*	Performance:*					
of students scoring at or							l
above a level 4 in reading to							
65%							
0570							
	53%	65%					
	(199)	(229)					
		2A.2.	2A.2. CCE has determined	2A.2. All Instructional Staff	2A.2. All Instructional Staff	2A.2. Longitudinal FCAT	
		ZA.2. Transition to	to move forward with the		will monitor student progress	Results	
		Common Core	implementation of Common Core		will monitor student progress	icesuits	
			State Standards (CCSS) in all				
		State Standards	grades				
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.J.	2A.J.	
		2B.1. This	2B.1. Administration and ESE	2B.1. Consistent monitoring of the			
	wide variety of		classroom teachers	student progression and the Results	Assessment		
		adjusted the		of the Alternative Assessment			
	each of the ESE						
0	classroom	in an effort to					
or above Level 7 in		meet the needs					
reading.		of our students.					
	1		1	I			

Reading Goal #2B: To move from 23% to 40% the percentage of Alternative Assessment students scoring at level 7	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	23% (5)	40% (9)					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1. Tracking		3A.1. MTSS Team,	3A.1. The MTSS team will analyze		
	adequate		Grade level teachers and Administration	grade level progress through the use of progress monitoring assessments	Assessment and FCAT results	
students making	learning gains and the progress	Tracker	Administration	of progress monitoring assessments		
learning gains in	of each student	teachers will				
		be able to look				
	goal	at specific data and analyze				
		specific student				
		and class data.				
		Performance Tracker will				
		also be used				
		by the MTSS				
		team to analyze				
		school wide data and				
		progress.				
		_				
		Students within				
		sub groups will be identified				
		for grade level				
		teachers.				
		Identification of				
		students that are				
		in jeopardy of				
		not maintaining				
		or increasing FCAT				
		achievement				
		level.				

	2012 0			í		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Reading Goal #3A:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of Darformanaai*					
Raise the percent of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students making learning							
gains by 3%.							
-							
	78%	81%					
	(281)	(292)					
		3A.2. Transition	3A.2. CCE has determined to move	3A.2. All Instructional Staff	3A.2. All Instructional Staff will	3A.2 Longitudinal FCAT	
		to Common	forward with the implementation		monitor student progress	Results	
		Core State	of Common Core State Standards		r-0		
		Standards	(CCSS) in all grades				
 			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
		5A.5.	54.5.	54.5.	54.5.	54.5.	
3B. Florida	3B.1. The	3B.1 This	3B.1. Administration and ESE	3B.1. Consistent monitoring of the	3B 1 Florida Alternative		
	wide variety of	vear we have	classroom teachers	student progression and the Results	Assessment		
Alternate	ability levels	adjusted the		of the Alternative Assessment	Assessment		
Assessment:	each of the ESE	alass rosters		of the Anternative Assessment			
Percentage of		in an effort to					
		meet the needs					
students making							
learning gains in		of our students.					
reading.		!					
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Obai #5D.		Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Raise the percent of	renormance.	renomance.					
students making learning							
gains by 10%.							
I			l				l
	50% (10)	60% (12)					
				3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	1
		50.2.	56.2.	56.2.	56.2.	56.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
		50.5.	56.5.	56.5.	56.5.	56.5.	
		!					
		<u></u> /					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	c. lowest 25% includes many students that are working below grade level. The challenge is to provide instruction on grade level as	4A.1. Through the use of Performance Tracker teachers will be able to look at specific data and analyze specific student and class data. Performance Tracker will also be used by the MTSS team to analyze school wide data and progress.	4A.1. MTSS Team, Grade level teachers and Administration	4A.1. The MTSS team will analyze grade level progress through the use of progress monitoring assessments	4A.1. Discovery Ed. Assessment and FCAT results	
To Raise the percentage of our lowest 25% making learning gains to 80%	2012 Current Level of Performance.* 63%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 80%				

	to Common Core State	4A.2. CCE has determined to move forward with the implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in all grades			4A.2 Longitudinal FCAT Results	
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A: N/A							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Reading Goal #5B: Pending state provided data	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: NA Hispanic: NA Asian: NA American Indian: NA	White: NA Black: NA Hispanic: NA Asian: NA American Indian: NA 5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	5C.3. Strategy	5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	5C.3. Evaluation Tool	5C.3.	

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Reading Goal #5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	IVA	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5D.2. 5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

<u>Reading Professional Development</u>

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through				
Professional				
Learning				
Community (PLC)				
or PD Activities				
A	•			

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC's are based on teacher needs.	All Grades	Instructional Coach	School-wide	Early release Wednesday meetings	PLC agendas	Principal and Assistant Principal
Common Core State Standards	All Grades	Instructional Coach	School-wide	Early release Wednesday meetings	Lesson Plan documentation of CCSS integration	Principal and Assistant Principal

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/				
materials and exclude district funded				
activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Acquisition Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1. The ability of new students to learn the English language	1.1. We have identified specific technology Applications to assist the student in learning the language	1.1. Guidance Counselor and Classroom teacher	1.1. Language growth as measured by the CELLA	1.1. FCAT Results and CELLA	
CELLA Goal #1: For all ELL Students to be Proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	50% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.	2.1. The ability of new students to learn the English language	2.1. We have identified specific technology Applications to assist the student in learning the language		2.1. Language growth as measured by the CELLA	2.1. FCAT Results and CELLA	

CELLA Goal #2: For all ELL Students to be Proficient in Reading	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	50% (1).					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.	2.1. The ability of new students to learn the English language	2.1. We have identified specific technology Applications to assist the student in learning the language	Classroom teacher	2.1. Language growth as measured by the CELLA	2.1. FCAT Results and CELLA	
CELLA Goal #3: For all ELL Students to be Proficient in Writing	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	50% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1A.1. Alignment of curricu lum to	monitoring with	Grade level teachers and Administration	1A.1. Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to individualize education. Math data disaggregation by grade	1A.1. Discovery Ed. and FCAT results	
	individual students	Hands on problem solving strategies		level Crucial conversations with teachers Student Data Notebooks		
		Student plotted data notebooks allowing for authentic math application FCAT Parent				
		Night (3 rd Grade) Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use				
		it to individ ualize education On-going PLCs				
		Math data disaggre gation by grade level				

#1 A ·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	(98)	38% (135)					
		to Common Core State Standards	1A.2. CCE has determined to move forward with the implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in all grades 1A.3.	1A.2. All Instructional Staff 1A.3.	will monitor student progress	1A.2. Longitudinal FCAT Results 1A.3.	
		1 <i>A.J</i> .	н л.	н л.	IA.J.	14.5.	

1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1B: We would like to increase the number of students scoring at a level 4- 6 or above to increase from 35% to 38%	Classroom. This population of students has	1B.1. This year we have adjusted the class roosters in an effort to meet the needs of our students. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Classroom teachers	IB.1. Consistent monitoring of the student progression and the Results of the Alternative Assessment	1B.1. Florida Alternate Assessment		
	35% (8)	38% (9)					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. Alignment of	2A.1. Progress	2A.1. MTSS Team, Grade level teachers and	2A.1. Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to	2A.1. Discovery Ed. and FCAT results	
Students scoring at or above	curriculum	Discovery	Administration	individualize education.	results	
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	to individual students	Ed. Hands on problem solving		Math data disaggregation by grade level Crucial conversations with teachers		
		strategies		Student Data Notebooks		
		Student plotted data notebooks allowing for authentic math application FCAT Parent Night (3 rd Grade) Training to interpre Discovery Ed. data and use it to individ ualize education On-going PLCs Math data disaggre		Student Data Notebooks		
		gation by grade level	1			

Mathematics Goal #2A: Cunningham Creek would like 98% of students to score FCAT level of 3 or above. To reach this goal we will decrease the number of students performing at level 1 and 2, resulting in an increase in the percentage of level 4 and 5's to 60%	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	(204)	60% (213)					
		to Common Core State Standards	to move forward with the implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in all grades		will monitor student progress	2A.2 Longitudinal FCAT Results	
				2A.3.		2A.3.	
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	wide variety of ability levels each of the ESE classroom	year we have adjusted the class rosters in an effort to meet the needs of our students.	classroom teachers	2B.1. Consistent monitoring of the student progression and the Results of the Alternative Assessment	2B.1. Florida Alternative Assessment		
Mathematics Goal #2B: We would like to increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 7 from 4% to 12 %	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

4%	12%					
(1)	(3)					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Deer doer the small	Auticide 1	Cture to any	Daman an Daaitias	Deserved Hand to Determ	Factor Table	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1. Meeting	3A.1. Through	3A.1. MTSS Team,	3A.1.	3A.1. Discovery Ed. and FCAT	
	the wide variety		Grade level teachers and	The MTSS team will analyze grade	results	
1 1 1 [×] 1 •		Performance	Administration	level progress through the use of		
	student needs in			progress monitoring assessments		
	the area of math	will be able to				
mathematics.		look at specific				
		data and analyze				
		specific student and class data.				
		Performance				
		Tracker will				
		also be used				
		by the MTSS				
		team to analyze				
		school wide				
		data and				
		progress				
Mathematics Coal		2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
<u>#3A:</u>		Performance:*				
	r errormance.	r errormance.				
CCE would like to raise						
the percentage of students						
making learning gains to						
83%						
	79%	83%				
	(285)	(300)				
			l			

	i						
		3A.2. Alignment of curriculum to individual students	 3A.2. Progress monitoring with Discovery Ed. Hands on problem solving strategies Student plotted data notebooks allowing for authentic math application FCAT Parent Night (3rd Grade) Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to individualize education On-going PLCs Math data disaggregation by grade level Crucial conversations with teachers Student Data Notebooks 	MTSS Team, Grade level teachers and Administration	 3A.2. Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to individualize education. Math data disaggregation by grade level Crucial conversations with teachers Student Data Notebooks 	3A.2. Discovery Ed. and FCAT results	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	wide variety of ability levels each of the ESE classroom	year we have adjusted the	3B.1. Administration and ESE classroom teachers	3B.1. Consistent monitoring of the student progression and the Results of the Alternative Assessment	3B.1. Florida Alternative Assessment		

#3B: Raise the percent of students making learning gains by 10%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	50% (10)	60% (12)	,				
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

	Í	í a				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
	4A.1. Meeting	4A.1. Through	4A.1. MTSS Team, Grade level	4A.1. The MTSS team will analyze		
Percentage of	the individual	the use of	teachers, and Administration,	grade level progress through the use	results	
	needs of the lowest 25%		Support facilitation Teacher,	of progress monitoring assessments		
		Tracker teachers will be able to	lutor			
	main students.					
learning gains in		look at specific data and analyze				
mathematics.	1	specific student	1			
		and class data.				
	1	Performance				
		tracker will also				
		be used by the				
		MTSS team to				
		analyze school				
		wide data and				
		progress.				
		We will also				
		use SAI funds				
		to provide				
		additional				
		support for				
		those students in				
	2012 C	the lowest 25%				
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
		Level of Performance:*				
	Performance:*	Performance!*				
CCE would like to raise						
the percentage of students						
making learning gains to						
80%						
		0.00/				
	65%	80%				
				1		

I S S	Alig nment of curriculum to individual students	 Discovery Ed. Hands on problem solving strategies Student plotted data notebooks allowing for authentic math application FCAT Parent Night (3rd Grade) Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to individualize education On-going PLCs Math data disaggregation by grade level Crucial conversations with teachers Student Data Notebooks 	teachers, and Administration	 4A.2 Training to interpret Discovery Ed. data and use it to individualize education. Math data disaggregation by grade level Crucial conversations with teachers Student Data Notebooks 4A.3. 	4A.2. Discovery Ed. and FCAT results 4A.3.	
L	тл.J.	TA.J.	та.J.	то. <i>э</i> .	TA.J.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
#5A:							
Pending state provided data							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5B: Pending state		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
provided data							

level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
American Indian:	American Indian:					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u>#5C:</u>	Level of	Level of					
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Pending state provided data							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
		50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5D: Pending state provided data	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
#5E	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

abool Mathama	Droblom	ĺ					
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level 3							
in mathematics. Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1 A :	Level of	Level of					
$\#1/\Lambda$.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
<u>#1B:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	inis dox.		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		12.2.					
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2A. FCAT 2.0:	Anticipated Barrier 2A.1.	Strategy 2A.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2A.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2A.1.	Evaluation Tool 2A.1.		
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			27.1.	27.1.	27.1.		
#2 Λ ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in					2A.3. 2B.1.	2A.3.	

	#2B·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N	N/A			1	1	1	1	1
	,			1	1	1	1	1
				1	1	1	1	1
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
				2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Sualegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Daniel		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
	5A.1.	57.1.	54.1.	JA.1.	5A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
maintinants.			1	1			

Mathematics Goal #3B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
N/A	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
N/A							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
	Asian: American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5 <u>B:</u> N/A	<u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
CCT Linghish	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected					
<u>#5C:</u>	Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
		<u></u>					
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Barrier		responsible for womtoring	Encenveness of Suategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup.							

e Di Staating	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 '		,		1	1
(SWD) not making satisfactory progress		1 '		,		1	1
in mathematics.	4	1		,		1	1
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected	/·	· ['		ļ	
#5D:		Level of Performance:*				'	1
	Performance.	Performance.	1	,		'	1
N/A						'	1
						'	1
			1	,		'	
	/	4/	<u> </u> '	′	, 	<u> '</u>	L
		Enter numerical data for				'	1
	current level of	expected level of				'	1
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.				<u> </u>	
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		1 '		,	,	'	1
	1	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	'	1 '		,		1	
	′	·′	<u> </u>	·	′	<u>ا</u> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u>ن</u>

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5E: N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2.		5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			for or students the percent		55]):	i	
nool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
1 N / A							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	11113 UUA.	11113 UUA.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for monitoring	Effectiveness of Stategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
Algebra Goal #2:		2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
N/A	Performance:*	Performance:*					
1 1/2 1							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
N/A							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 • • 4 (3371 • 4	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
in Aigeora I.	1						

Algebra 1 Goal N/A	Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u>Algebra 1 Goal #3C:</u> N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.		2D 2	3D.2.	3D.2.	
						3D.2. 3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

<u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.			1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<u>Geometry Goal #2:</u> N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

school will reduce	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Geometry Goal #3A: N/A						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

Geometry Goal #3B: N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:	performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u>Geometry Goal #3C:</u> N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
(SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of			<i>י</i>		
N/A	Performance:*	Performance:*			'	1	
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:	25.1	25.1	2E 1	2E 1	2E 1		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<u>Geometry Goal #3E:</u> N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC – Math alignment to Common Core State Standards	All	Instructional Literacy Coach, Classroom Teachers	Meetings are held throughout the year, with an higher frequency at the beginning of the year.	Instructional Literacy Coach

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. It is	1A.1.	1A.1. MTSS team	1A.1. Data analysis of progress	1A.1.		ĺ
	imperative that	Some		monitoring assessments in	17 1.1.	Discovery Ed.	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	our teachers	of the		Discovery Ed.	Science	j	
Achievement Level 3	continue to	activities					
in science.	learn and grow	our					
	in the areas	children					
	of science instruction. We	and stakeh					
	will continue	olders					
	to upgrade our	participate					
	science lab	in include:					
	consistently,	* Progress					
	focus on hands-	monitor					
	on- learning	ing with					
	activities	Discovery					
	and increase our students'	Ed. used to create					
	scientific	individ					
	knowledge	ualized					
	base, while	educa					
	working	tional					
	collaboratively	experience					
	with teams and	S					
	the District in order to impact	*Focus calendar					
	our student	that					
	achievement.	incorp					
		orates					
		hands-on					
		activities,					
		which					
		will be different					
		iated for					
		each grade					
		level					
		*Increase					
		emphasis					
		on					
		scientific					
		vocabular					
		y *Cont					
		inued					
		emphasis					
		of hands					
		on					
		Science,					

Through the use of science integration and critical problem solving strategies, it is hoped that our children will show growth in science. We continue to focus on science not only as a forty-five minute block of time during the school day, but as a way to encourage our children to use their "left brain" to approach various problems or scenarios they may encounter.	2012 Current. Level of Performance:* 47% (60)	via the school wide usage of our science lab *Emphasis on the use of non- fiction text 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 50% (64)		1A.2. All Instructional Staff	1A.2. Science FCAT results	1A.2. Science FCAT results	
		to Common Core State Standards	of Common Core Standards				
		Standards 1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1. It is	1B.1.	1B.1. MTSS team	1B.1. Data analysis of progress	1B.1. Discovery Ed. Science	
Alternate	imperative that	Some		monitoring assessments in	ID.I. Discovery Ed. Science	
	our teachers	of the		Discovery Ed.		
Assessment:	continue to	activities		-		
	learn and grow	our				
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	in the areas	children				
science.	of science instruction. We	and stakeh				
	will continue	olders				
	to upgrade our	participate				
	science lab	in include:				
	consistently,	* Progress				
	focus on hands-	monitor				
	on- learning	ing with				
	activities and increase	Discovery Ed. used				
	our students'	to create				
	scientific	individ				
	knowledge	ualized				
	base, while	educa				
	working	tional				
	collaboratively	experience				
	with teams and	s *Focus				
	the District in order to impact	calendar				
	our student	that				
	achievement.	incorp				
		orates				
		hands-on				
		activities,				
		which will be				
		different				
		iated for				
		each grade				
		level				
		*Increase				
		emphasis				
		on scientific				
		vocabular				
		y				
		*Cont				
		inued				
		emphasis				
		of hands				
		on				
		Science,				

		via the school wide usage of our science lab					
		*Emphasis on the use of non-					
Science Goal #1B:		fiction text 2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
To increase the number of Students taking the Science Florida Alternate Assessment to be proficient							
at a level 7							
	80% (4)	40% (2)					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2	1B.2	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. It is	2A 1 Some of	2A.1. MTSS Team	2A.1.	2A.1.		
	imperative that			27.1.	27.1.	Discovery Ed.	
Students scoring		our		Monitoring of Discovery	Science	,	
at or above	continue to	children		Ed. science progress monitoring			
Achievement Levels	learn and grow	and		data			
4 and 5 in science.	in the areas	stakeholders					
	of science						
	instruction. We	participate in					
	will continue to upgrade our	include: * Progress					
	science lab	monitor					
	consistently,	ing with					
	focus on hands-	Discovery					
	on- learning	Ed. used					
	activities	to create					
	and increase our students'	individ					
	scientific	ualized educa					
	knowledge	tional					
	base, while	experience					
	working	S					
	collaboratively	*Focus					
	with teams and	calendar					
	the District in	that					
	order to impact our student	incorp orates					
	achievement.	hands-on					
	define ventient.	activities,					
		which					
		will be					
		different					
		iated for					
		each grade level					
		*Increase					
		emphasis					
		on					
		scientific					
		vocabular					
		y *Cont					
		inued					
		emphasis					
		of hands					
		on					
		Science,					
		via the					
		school					

 Level of Performance:*	wide usage of our science lab *Emph asis on the use of non- fiction text 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	t				
33%	40%					
(42)	(51)					
	2A.2. Transition to Common Core State Standards	2A.2. Gradual advanced adoption of Common Core Standards	2A.2. All Instructional Staff	2A.2 Science FCAT results	2A.2 Science FCAT results	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1. It is	2B.1 Some of	2B.1. MTSS team	2B.1. Data analysis of progress	2B.1. Discovery Ed. Science	
Alternate	imperative that	the activities		monitoring assessments in		
	our teachers	our children and		Discovery Ed.		
Assessment:	continue to	stakeholders				
Students scoring at	learn and grow	participate in				
or above Level 7 in	in the areas	include:				
science.	of science instruction. We	* Progress monitor				
	will continue	ing with				
	to upgrade our	Discovery				
	science lab	Ed. used				
	consistently,	to create				
	focus on hands-	individ				
	on- learning	ualized				
	activities and increase	educa				
	our students'	tional experience				
	scientific	s	1			
	knowledge	*Focus				
	base, while	calendar				
	working	that				
	collaboratively	incorp				
	with teams and	orates				
	the District in order to impact	hands-on activities,				
	our student	which				
	achievement.	will be				
		different				
		iated for				
		each grade				
		level *Increase				
		emphasis				
		on				
		scientific				
		vocabular				
		y *Cont				
		*Cont				
		inued emphasis				
		of hands				
		on				
		Science,				
		via the				
		school				
		wide				
		usage of our				
		01 001				

)	science	1				•
t	lab *Emphasis on the use of non- fiction text					
2012 Current	2013Expected Level of					
20% (1)	60% (3)					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
L	20% (1)	*Emphasis on the use of non-fiction text 012 Current evel of 2013Expected erformance:* Level of Performance:* Performance:* 20% (1) 60% (3) 2B.2. 2B.3.	*Emphasis on the use of non-fiction text 012 Current evel of erformance:* Performance:* 200% (1) 60% (3) 2B.2.	*Emphasis on the use of non-fiction text 2013Expected Level of erformance:* Performance:* Performance:* Performance:* 20% (1) 60% (3) 2B.2. 2B.2. 2B.3. 2B.3.	*Emphasis on the use of non-fiction text 2013Expected 2012 Current evel of erformance.* 2013Expected Performance.* Performance.* 20% (1) 60% (3) 2B.2. 2B.2. 2B.3. 2B.3. 2B.3. 2B.3.	*Emphasis on the use of non- fiction text*Emphasis on the use of non- fiction textImage: Constraint of the use of non- fiction text2013Expected evel of erformance:*2013Expected Level of Performance:*Image: Constraint of the use of non- fiction text20% (1)60% (3)Image: Constraint of the use of non- fiction textImage: Constraint of the use of non- fiction text20% (1)60% (3)Image: Constraint of the use of non-

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	4 1 1 1		D D C	D III D			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		<i>2.2</i> .	<u> </u>	<i>2.2</i> .	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

				•	•	•	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD PaMTSScipants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Selence Buuget (mselt lows us nee		i		
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

<u>Writing Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	1. 1A.1. Although CCE has been successful in	the teachers to share best practices of the different writing teaching methods	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1A.1. Progress monitoring of Write Score scores and scores of school wide writing prompts	Evaluation Tool 1A.1. District and school wide writing prompt	

Beginning with our Pre-		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	91%	94%					
	(110)	(123)					
			IA.2. Continuation of "Weekend Writer's Club"	teachers	Write Score scores and scores of school wide writing prompts	1A.2. District and school wide writing prompt	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	wide variety of ability levels each of the ESE classroom	year we have adjusted the	1B.1. Administration and ESE classroom teachers	1B.1. Consistent monitoring of the student progression and the Results of the Alternative Assessment	1B.1. Florida Alternative Assessment		
Writing Goal #1B: To improve the number of students taking the Florida Alternative Assessment in Writing to improve by one student	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

67% (4)	83% (5)					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Best practice symposium of the different current research based instructional methods for teaching writing	All Grades	Grade level teachers and/ or Instructional Coach	PLC School-wide Best Practice Symposium	Early Release days	Monitoring of District and school wide writing prompts	Instructional Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)</u></u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for womening	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	l	1			
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.				2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L					ļ		

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

erries Duugee (miserere us need	/		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	1	1					
Achievement Levels	1	1					
4 and 5 in U.S.	1	1					
History.	2012 Current	2013 Expected		ł			
U.S. History Goal #2:		Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		1					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1	'					
	L'	Ĺ′					

<u>0.5. Ilistor y 1 101</u>	essional De	eropinene				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Professional Development

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	of students that leave early, come in late or are absent due to medical reasons	parents to schedule appointments after school hours.	1.1. MTSS Team	1.1. Attendance Records	1.1. Student attendance data	
Attendance Goal #1: For the school to have 98% of students in attendance for 100% of each school day	Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	96%	98%				
	Number of <u>Students with</u> Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	185	90				

	2013 Expected					
	Number of					
	Students with					
	Excessive					
Tardies (10 or	Tardies (10 or					
more)	more)					
92	50					
	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Suspension	and attention seeking students.	1.1. Through the use of the Behavioral Specialist we hope to provide students alternatives to suspensions and to teach them that positive attention can come from positive behaviors		1.1.Tracking of suspensions by the MTSS team	1.1. Student suspensions	
To cut all type of suspension by at least half.	of In—School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				
	Suspended	5 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School				

Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
5	0					
Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
3	0					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 1010				<u>.</u>		
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	-		-	-	-	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Subtotal:			

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.				
		2013 Expected				

data for graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	b, merude m		rudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ereentuge (e.g. 707	<u>(55))</u> .	
Parent Involvement	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of				Strategy			
improvement:							
1. Parent Involvement		1.1. Welcoming each person as		1.1. Results of Needs Assessment Survey	1.1. Needs Assessment Survey		
		they enter the	leachers	Assessment burvey	Survey		
		school and do					
		our best to show compassion for					
		each person and					
	2012 Current	their need. 2013 Expected					
Parent Involvement Goal_ #1:	Level of Parent	Level of Parent					
<u>#1.</u>	Involvement:*	Involvement:*					
Percentage of parents that felt							
welcome in CCE based on the							
School Climate Survey (answering							
yes/always/or frequently)							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of parents who							
participated in school							
activities, duplicated or unduplicated.							
unaupiicaiea.							
August 2012							

	88%	100%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
NO Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Our Science Scores have remained fairly consistent over the past couple of years. Our staff has worked hard and has done a good job of emphasizing science curriculum. Our primary focus toward a STEM goal would be in the area of Math. We have seen a decline in the Math scores. This is both from the lowest 25% and in the number of students scoring at a proficient level. Our focus in the math will be on math fluency which is the building blocks of all STEM related curriculum. To supplement this we would also like to expand the Real Life Hands on Science learning opportunities for our students.	materials	 Implementation and encouragement of the Principals Math Challenge We will seek out real world science materials 		 Percentage of students accomplishing Principals Math Challenge. The establishment of class based gardens and other real life hands science experiences 	 Principal's math challenge and Data from Discovery Ed. Student interest in Science
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	L			
Professional				
Learning				

Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> N/A		1.1.			1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal	resources	1.1. Staff members to become Covey certified	1.1. Administration	1.1. Completion of Certification Course	1.1. Completion of Certification Course	

	2013 Expected Level :*					
N/A	N/A					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
August 2012						

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	10(a):
Science Buuget	Total
Writing Budget	10(a).
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	Τ.4.1.
Additional Goals	Total:
	Total:
	1 otal:

Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

 \Box Yes \Box No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Personal Invitations to parents to join SAC. Notifications on the school marque, website, personal invitations, School and teachers newsletters. We are not in compliance with the Socioeconomic or Minority representation.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Assist in communicating to the community the changes in education that result from the adoption of the Common Core State Standards. To assist in the implementation of 100 day goals to meet the 5 year school plan.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount