Florida Department of Education



2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Metropolitan Ministries Partnership School	District Name: Hillsborough
Principal: Daphne Fourqurean	Superintendent: MaryEllen Elia
SAC Chair: Michelle Pryor	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Qualified Administrators

List your school's highly qualified administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Posi	ition	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
				Years at		Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Certification(s)	Current School	Administrator	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
						year)

Principal	Daphne Fourqurean	B.SEarly Childhood Elementary Ed.	1	11	Mort Elementary:
		ESOL			11/12, C, Reading- 39%, Math-31%, Science-25%. Writing-80%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Reading-57%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Math-62%
		Masters-Educational Leadership			10/11, C, Reading- 54%, Math-50%, Science-38%. Writing-88%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Reading-44%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Math-68%
					09/10 C , Reading- 60%, Math-61%, Science-21%. Writing-83%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Reading-56%, Learning Gains lowest 25% Math-68%
Assistant Principal					

Highly Qualified Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly qualified instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)	Current School		Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
				Instructional Coach	school year)

Nancie Howley	B.S. Criminology	1 year	5 years	
	B.S. Elementary Ed. 1-6			11/12, A, 95%
	National Board Certified			
				10/11, A, 97%
				00/10 A 950/
				09/10 A, 85%
	Nancie Howley	B.S. Elementary Ed. 1-6	B.S. Elementary Ed. 1-6	B.S. Elementary Ed. 1-6

Highly Qualified Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)
Teacher Interview Day	General Directors	June 2013	
Recruitment Fairs	Supervisor of Teacher Recruitment	ongoing	
Salary Differential (Renaissance Schools)	General of Federal Programs	ongoing	
District Mentor Program	District Mentors	ongoing	

Non-Highly Qualified Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field (not ESOL certified) and not highly qualified.

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	
1	Working on ESOL Endorsement. Has completed 2 ESOL classes. She is working on her third for 2012-
	2013 school year. She currently does not have any ESOL students.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

То	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
tal	of	of	of	of	of	Hi	Re	Na	
Nu	Fir	Te	Te	Te	Te	gh	ad	tio	ES
m	st-	ach	ach	ach	ach	ly	ing	nal	OL
ber	Ye	ers	ers	ers	ers	Qu	En	Во	End
of	ar	with	with	with	wi	alif	dor	ard	orse
In	Te	1-5	6-	15+	th	ied	sed	Ce	d
str	ach	Yea	14	Yea	Ad	Te	Te	rtif	u .
uc	ers	rs of	Yea	rs of	van	ac	ach	ied	Tea
tio		Exp	rs of	Exp	ced	her	ers	Те	cher
nal		erie	Exp	erie	De	S		ac	S
Sta		nce	erie	nce	gre			her	
ff			nce		es			S	

16	12		43.	25	18	87	6.	6.	87
	.5	75	75	%	.7	.5	25	25	.5
	%	%	%	(4)	5	%	25 %	%	%
	(2		(7)		%	(1	(1	(1	(1
)				(3)	4)))	4)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

	1		
Mentor	Mentee	Rationale for	Planned
Name	Assigned	Pairing	Mentoring
			Activities
Donna	Sierra	The district-	Weekly
Durance	Cooler	based	visits to
Calatagirone	Matheson,	mentor	include
	Haylee Hehl	is with	modeling,
		the EET	co-
		initiative.	teaching,
		The mentor	analyzing
		has strengths	student
		in the	work/data,
		areas of	developing
		leadership,	assess
		mentoring,	ments,
		and	conferen
		increasing	cing and
		student	problem
		achievement.	solving.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title 1, Part A

Services are provided to ensure students who need additional remediation are provided support through: after school, summer programs, additional reading support through instructional practice, and instructional research based reading tools.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I. Part D

The district receives funds to support the Alternative Education Program which provides transition services from alternative education to school of choice.

Title II

Specifically, instructional staff is being trained in the following: reading instruction, Response to Intervention Training, Book Study, Differentiated Instruction, and RtI.

Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners

Title X- Homeless
Title A- Homeless
Our school site works closely with the Homeless Education and Literacy Project (H.E.L.P.).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide reading coaches, and extended learning opportunity programs.
Violence Prevention Programs
Bully Proof Your School, Ophelia The Girls Circle, and Core Essentials
Nutrition Programs
N/A
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
300 Hummig
N/A
Other
Outer
NT/A
N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership	Team.
---	-------

- i. Principal (Instructional leader)
- ii. Teacher on Special Assignment
- iii. School Psychologist (trained in specialized testing)
- iv. Social Worker (works closely with families and students)
- v. Reading Coach (Reading leading)
- vi. Guidance Counselor (works with children and identifies special needs of children)

Classroom Teachers (to provide input from classroom observations)

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the MTSS in our school is to ensure high quality instruction/intervention matched to student needs and using performance level and learning rate over time to make data-based decisions to guide instruction. The MTSS reviews school-wide data to address the progress of low-performing students and determine the enrichment and acceleration needs of high performing students. The major goal is for all students to achieve adequate yearly progress and improve other long-term outcomes (behavior, attendance, etc.). The team uses the Collaborative Culture Problem Solving Model and ALL decisions are guided by the review and analysis of student data.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- The MTSS and SAC were involved in the School Improvement Plan development that was initiated prior to the end of the 2011-2012 school year and during preplanning for the 2012-2013 school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work of the MTSS. The large part of the work of the team is outlined in the Expected Improvements/ Problem Solving Process sections (and related professional development plans) for school-wide goals in Reading, Math, Writing, Science, Attendance and Suspension/Behavior.
- Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the MTSS will monitor the effectiveness of the strategies developed in problem solving plans by reviewing student data as well as data related to various levels of fidelity. Using data gathered from PLCs, the team will monitor the data and make progress statements on the School Improvement Plan at the end of the first, second and third nine weeks. The MTSS will use the following rubric to evaluate Strategy Fidelity of Implementation and Strategy Effectiveness:

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- Based on student data, recommend, coordinate and implement supplemental services (Tiers 2 and 3) that match students' non-mastery of skills through:
 - o Tutoring during the day in small group pull-outs in reading, math and science
 - Extended Learning Programs during and after school
 - o Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Determine scheduling needs, curriculum materials and intervention resources based on identified needs derived from data analysis
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Review and interpret student data (academic, behavior and attendance) at the school and grade levels
- Organize and support systematic data collection as needed
- Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through the:
 - Implementation and support of PLCs
 - Use of school-based Reinforcement Instructional Calendars, Mini-Lessons and Mini-Assessments
 - o Use of Mini Assessments (data will be collected by PLCs and entered and compiled for analysis by members of the MTSS)
 - o Use of Common Core Assessments at the end of segments/chapters (data will be collected by PLCs and entered and compiled for analysis by members of the MTSS)
 - o Implementation of research-based, scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions (e.g., Differentiated Instruction)
 - Communication with major stakeholders (e.g., parents, business partners, etc.) regarding student outcomes through data summaries and conferences
- At the end of each nine weeks, assist in the evaluation of teacher fidelity data and student achievement data collected during the nine weeks.
- Assist with planning, implementing, and evaluating the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs.
- Work collaboratively with the PLCs in the implementation of the C-CIM (Core Continuous Improvement Model) and F-CIM (Florida Continuous Improvement Model on specific tested benchmarks) and progress monitoring.

• Coordinate/collaborate with other working committees, such as the Literacy Leadership Team (which is charged with developing a plan for embedding/integrating reading and writing strategies across all other content areas).

Indicator	Strategy Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check
Not Evident	Teacher monitoring indicates strategy implementation has not begun.	Student data indicate that strategy implementation is showing no positive effect on student achievement.
Emerging	Some (25-75%) of the intended teachers are implementing the strategy with fidelity. Evidence indicates early or preliminary stages of implementation.	Student data indicate that strategy implementation is showing minimal or poor effect on student achievement.
Operational	Most (>75%) of the intended teachers are implementing the strategy with fidelity. Evidence indicates active implementation.	Student data indicate that strategy implementation is mostly showing a positive effect on student achievement.
Highly Functional	Teacher monitoring indicates that all of the intended teachers are implementing the strategy with fidelity. Evidence exists that the strategy is fully integrated and effectively/consistently implemented.	Student data indicate that strategy implementation is showing a significant positive effect on student achievement.

- Use intervention planning forms to communicate initiatives between the MTSS and PLCs.
- The MTSS is meeting in specialized MTSS's to identify specific students in need of interventions.
- The MTSS is meeting in specialized MTSS's for interventions and review of interventions for specific students

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The following are the items that we have and will continue to implement to train the staff on MTSS:

- September 2012 District personnel will come and train each staff member individually on all aspects of the MTSS process including record keeping, follow-up, and monitoring progression.
- The school psychologist will train the staff on how to use and implement Easy CBM to track student progress monthly.
- Ongoing professional development to meet the needs of our students' needs. In November teachers and staff will do a book study on through Comprehension. In December teachers and staff will do a book study on vocabulary using Bringing Words to Life.
- Teachers will submit MTSS data every two weeks for review and support by administration.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

- Coordinate/collaborate with other working committees, such as the Literacy Leadership Team (which is charged with developing a plan for embedding/integrating reading and writing strategies across all other content areas).
- Use intervention planning forms to communicate initiatives between the MTSS and PLCs.
- The MTSS is meeting in specialized MTSS's to identify specific students in need of interventions.
- The MTSS is meeting in specialized MTSS's for interventions and review of interventions for specific students

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Reading Leadership Team serves as the school's literacy Professional Learning Community. The team is comprised of:

- Principal
- Teacher on Special Assignment
- Reading Coach
- Primary and Intermediate Reading Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT is a subset of the Problem Solving Leadership Team. The team provides leadership for the implementation of the reading strategies on the SIP based on the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan

The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instruction support is provided to all teachers.

The principal also ensures that the LLT monitors reading data, identifies school-wide and individual teachers' reading-focused instructional strengths and weaknesses, and creates a professional development plan to support identified instructional needs in conjunction with the Problem Solving Leadership team's support plan. Additionally the principal ensures that time is provided for the LLT to collaborate and share information with all site stakeholders including other administrators, teachers, staff members, parents and students.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Implementation and evaluation of the SIP reading strategies across the content areas
- Professional Development is focused on reading in the content area
- Co-planning, modeling and observation of research-based reading strategies within lessons across the content areas
- Data analysis (on-going) with weekly checks
- Implement K-12 Reading Plan

NCLB Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

In Hillsborough County Public schools, all kindergarten children are assessed for Kindergarten Readiness using the FLKRS (Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener.) This state-selected assessment contains a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System and the first two measures of the Florida Assessments in Reading (FAIR). The instruments used in the screening are based upon the Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Education Standards. Parents are provided with a letter from the Commissioner of Education explaining the assessments. Teachers will meet with parents after the assessments have been completed to review student performance. Data from the FAIR will be used to assist teachers in creating homogeneous groupings for small group reading instruction. Children entering Kindergarten may have benefited from the Hillsborough County Public Schools' Voluntary Prekindergarten Program. This program is offered at elementary schools in the summer and during the school year in selected Head Start classrooms and as a blended program in several Early Exceptional Learning Program (EELP) classrooms. Starting in the 2012-2013 school year, students in the VPK program will be given the state-created VPK Assessment that looks at Print Knowledge, Phonological Awareness, Mathematics and Oral Language/Vocabulary. This assessment will be administered at the start and end of the VPK program. A copy of these assessments will be mailed to the school in which the child will be registered for kindergarten, enabling the child's teacher to have a better understanding of the child's abilities from the first day of school. Parent Involvement events for Transitioning Children into Kindergarten include Kindergarten Round-Up. This event provides parents with an opportunity to meet the teachers and hear about the academic program. Parents are encouraged to complete the school registration procedure at this time to ensure that the child is able to start school on time. We work closely with Metropolitan Ministries Promise Land Pre-School with the transition to kindergarten. Our teachers work closely with the Metropolitan Ministries Promise Land afterschool program and send home daily home notes on the progress of their student.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S
For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.
N/A
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
N/A
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
N/A
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.
N/A
Hillsborough 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. 1. Lack of common planning time to identify and analyze core curriculum assessments and best practices. Tier 1 The purpose of this strategy is to strengthen the core curriculum assessments and best practices. Teacher on Special Assignment/Acting AP Reading Coach Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of this strategy is to strengthen the core curriculum. Students' reading comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum and providing levels of this strategy. Teacher Level Electronic Data Walls used for DI of our students' needs. This is Operational on the Strategy Fidelity Check PLC/Department Level Weekly Progress Weekly Progress Monitoring	
planning time to identify and analyze core curriculum. assessments and best practices. Tier 1 The purpose of this strategy is to strengthen the core curriculum. Students' reading comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum administrators are at varying levels of	
to identify and analyze core curriculum assessments and best practices. Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of To identify and analyze core curriculum. Students' reading comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum. How Teacher on Special Assignment/Acting AP Teacher on Special Assignment/Acting AP Fidelity Check PLC/Department Level Weekly Progress Weekly Progress	
analyze core curriculum assessments and best practices. Teacher on Special Assignment/Acting AP best practices. Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of	
curriculum assessments and best practices. Students' reading comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum. Students' reading comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum with core curriculum. Students' reading Assignment/Acting AP -Reading Coach -Reading Coach	
assessments and best practices. -Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of -Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of -Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of	
best practices. comprehension will improve through teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum levels of levels	
Teachers and administrators are at varying levels of Teachers of Teachers of Teachers using C-CIM (Core-Continuous Improvement Model) How Weekly Progress During Grading Period PLC/Department Level Weekly Progress	
Core-Continuous Improvement Model) with core curriculum levels of Weekly Progress Weekly Progress	
administrators are at varying levels of with core curriculum levels of with an administrators are at varying levels of with core curriculum levels of with an administrators are at varying levels of with core curriculum levels of with an administrators are at varying levels of with core curriculum levels of with core curriculum levels of levels	
levels of with core curriculum	
levels of weekly 1 togless	
understanding of differentiated PLC logs turned study. District in House Monitoring	
instruction as a result the instruction as a result that it is not the inst	
Technic and of the problem- feedback I feedb	
Solving model.	
administrators are at varying Evidence of strategy in Evidence of strategy in	
levels of teachers' lesson plans Leadership Team Level	
understanding Action Stone: Seen during administration	
and walk-through's.	
implementing the process	
core curriculum and providing	
differentiated on each nine weeks Classroom walk students 1 his is Fighty	
instruction of material. (For through's will be runctional on the Strategy	
example, during the first nine weeks.	
leachers 75% of the students	
are at various will good an 80% or Monitoring data will	
SKIII levels to share an each unit of the reviewed every nine First Nine Wools Charles	
provide adequate instruction. weeks.	
to improve	
et idente' leek 2. As a Professional improvement in Reading gains.	
of background Start Grading Period Check Monitoring data will be	
knowledge to above an and time to a view and time to a view and a view work. This	
1 · Data Flogics Monitoring Tr. 1 E · . 1 d	
teaching and Wiching Will also Strategy Fidelity Cheek	
modeling researched- late. Punning Pecords	
pased best-practice with miscue analysis	
strategies.	
Second Nine Week Check 3. PLC teachers	
instruct students using a 13 yr wy 1 cm. In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75%	
instruct students using Second Nine Week Check In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75% the core curriculum,	
incorporating DI Review mid-year Data	
strategies from their Plan. This includes FAIR -Monitoring data will be	

		E =	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i e	i .	
		PLC discussions.	data, DRA data, Running	reviewed every week			
1			Records with miscue				
		At the end of the	analysis.				
		unit, teachers give a	l [*]				
		common assessment		Third Nine Week Check			
		identified from the		Time Time Week Cheek			
			Third Nine Week Check	Ongoing			
			Tillia Nille Week Check	Oligoliig			
		material.					
			Ongoing				
		Teachers bring					
		assessment data back					
		to the PLCs.					
			Fourth Nine Week Check	Fourth Nine Week Check			
		6. Based on the data,					
			Continuous	Continuous			
		strategies that were					
		effective.					
		CITCUIVC.					
		7. Based on the data,	I				
		teachers a) decide					
		what skills need to					
		be re-taught in a					
		whole lesson to the					
		entire class, b) decide					
		what skills need to					
		be moved to mini-					
		lessons or re-teach for					
		the whole class and					
		c) decide what skills					
		need to re-taught to					
		targeted students.					
		Teachers provide	I				
		Differentiated	I				
		Instruction to targeted					
		students (remediation	I				
		and enrichment).					
]					
		9. PLCs record their	I				
		work in logs.					
D 4: C1 //1	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Reading Goal #1:							
	Level of	of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring	Performance:*		I				
The percentage of students scoring	1						
a Level 3 or higher on the 2013							
FCAT will increase from 32% to							
42%							
	32%	42%					
		<u> </u>					

1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
1.4.	1.4.	1.2.	1.∠.	1.2.	
Teacher support for planning remediation and enrichment activities -Not always a direct correlation between what the student is missing in the core curriculum and the	Strategy Tier 2/3 Students' reading comprehension will improve through receiving 30 minutes of	Who Reading Coach Teacher on Special Assignment Principal	assessment data on skills taught/reviewed during ELP time. Teachers review data at PLC meetings. PLC facilitator will share data with the Problem Solving Leadership Team. The Problem Solving Leadership Team/Reading Leadership	3x Per Year - FAIR During Grading Period - Weekly Progress Monitoring	
for remediation	instruction on targeted		weeks.	easiCBM, Running Records	
ioi remediation	skills that are not at the mastery level.	<u>How</u>	weeks.	with miscue analysis, iStation	
	How 1. Classroom teachers with administration will use reciprocal teaching (summarizing, clarifying, questioning, and predicting) and will receive implementation recommendations for skills. 2. iii and ELP teachers identify lessons for students that target specific skills that are not at the mastery level.	- PLC & ELP logs turned into administration Administration provides feedback on the logs and the data collected. -Administration daily Classroom walk-through's observing iii and ELP instruction. -Evidence of strategy in teachers' lesson plans seen during administration walk-through's.	First Nine Week Check In the 1 st 9 weeks a 25% improvement in Reading gains. -Monitoring data will be reviewed every week. Second Nine Week Check In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75% improvement in Reading gains.		
	3. Data will be collected by the teachers on a weekly communicated turned into administration	1st Grading Period Check Data Progress Monitoring Meeting which will also include FAIR data, DRA data, Running Records with miscue analysis.	-Monitoring data will be reviewed every week Third Nine Week Check		

				Second Nine Week Check		_	
				Third Nine Week Check On going			
				Fourth Nine Week Check continuous			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2 ECAT 2 0 St. 1 . t	h 1	2.1.	h 1	h 1	2.1.	
2. FCAT 2.0: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
scoring Achievement						
Levels 4 or 5 in reading.	Lack of common	Strategy:	Who	<u> Teacher Level</u>	3x Per Year	
	planning time					
		1 1	Principal	Electronic Data Walls used for	- FAIR.	
	analyze core	of this strategy is		DI of our students' needs. This		
			-Teacher on Special	is Operational on the Strategy	L	
			Assignment/Acting AP	Fidelity Check		
		Students' reading			During Grading Period	
			-Reading Coach	L		
		improve through				
		teachers using C-CIM		PLC/Department Level		
	, ,	(Core-Continuous			Weekly Progress	
	levels of	Improvement Model)	<u>How</u>	Weekly. Mini Lessons, Book	Monitoring	
		with core curriculum	L	study, District in House	l "	
	CCSS.		PLC logs turned	trainings. This is Operational on	easiCBM, Running	
	l, , ,	differentiated	into administration.	the Strategy Fidelity Check	Records with miscue	
			Administration provides			
		1	feedback.		analysis, iStation	
		solving model.	F-::1:-	Landandia Tana Land		
	levels of understanding		-Evidence of strategy in teachers' lesson plans	Leadership Team Level		
			seen during administration	Waaldy Daviaving data		
	and implementing the		walk-through's.	teachers concerns, scheduling,		
	core curriculum	Action Steps.	waik-tillough s.	observations, testing for		
	and providing	1. PLCs write		students This is Highly		
		SMART goals based		Functional on the Strategy		
			-Classroom walk-	Fidelity Check		
			through's will be	ridenty eneck		
			conducted daily.			
		first nine weeks,				
			-Monitoring data will	First Nine Week Check		
			be reviewed every nine			
		above on each unit of		In the 1st 9 weeks a 25%		
		instruction.		improvement in Reading gains.		
	students' lack					
		2. As a Professional		-Monitoring data will be		
	knowledge	Development activity	1st Grading Period Check	reviewed every week. This		
		in their PLCs,	_	is Highly Functional on the		
		teachers spend time	Data Progress Monitoring	Strategy Fidelity Check		
			Meeting which will also			
			include FAIR data, DRA			
			data, Running Records			
			with miscue analysis.	Second Nine Week Check		
		strategies.		L		
		h ny g		In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75%		
		3. PLC teachers		improvement in Reading gains.		
		_	Second Nine Week Check			
		the core curriculum,		-Monitoring data will be		

incorporating DI	Review mid-year Data	reviewed every week		
strategies from their	Plan. This includes FAIR			
PLC discussions.	data, DRA data, Running			
	Records with miscue			
		Third Nine Week Check		
unit, teachers give a	anarysis.	Third Time in Control		
common assessment		Ongoing		
identified from the		Oligoling		
	Thind Nine Weels Cheels			
	Third Nine Week Check			
material.				
	Ongoing			
5. Teachers bring				
assessment data back		Fourth Nine Week Check		
to the PLCs.				
	Fourth Nine Week Check	Continuous		
6. Based on the data,				
teachers discuss	Continuous			
strategies that were				
effective.				
7. Based on the data,				
teachers a) decide				
what skills need to				
be re-taught in a				
whole lesson to the				
entire class, b) decide				
what skills need to				
be moved to mini-				
lessons or re-teach for				
the whole class and				
c) decide what skills				
need to re-taught to				
targeted students.				
8. Teachers provide				
Differentiated				
Instruction to targeted				
students (remediation				
and enrichment).				
9. PLCs record their				
work in logs.				

Reading Goal #2:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 9% to 12%.							
	9%	12%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

DECLERAGE DILLE	b 1	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	2.1	
Contract of the state of the st	3.1.	5.1.	D.1.	D.1.	3.1.	
students making Learning						
Gains in reading.	Lack of common	Strategy:	<u>Who</u>	<u> Feacher Level</u>	3x Per Year	
	planning time					
	to identify and	Tier 1 The purpose	Principal	Electronic Data Walls used for	- FAIR.	
	analyze core	of this strategy is		DI of our students' needs. This	1	
	curriculum	to strengthen the		is Operational on the Strategy	L	
		core curriculum.	Assignment/Acting AP	Fidelity Check		
	best practices.	Students' reading			During Grading Period	
			-Reading Coach	L		
	-Teachers and	improve through				
	administrators	teachers using C-CIM		PLC/Department Level	1	
	are at varying	(Core-Continuous			Weekly Progress	
	levels of	Improvement Model)		Weekly. Mini Lessons, Book	Monitoring	
		with core curriculum		study, District in House		
	CCSS.			trainings. This is Operational on	easiCBM, Running	
	l	differentiated			Records with miscue	
	-Teachers and		Administration provides			
	administrators	of the problem-	feedback.		analysis, iStation	
		solving model.			1	
	levels of		-Evidence of strategy in	Leadership Team Level	1	
	understanding		teachers' lesson plans	W 11 B : 1 1	1	
	and	A .: G.	seen during administration		1	
	implementing the	Action Steps:		teachers concerns, scheduling,		
	core curriculum	1. PLCs write		observations, testing for	1	
	and providing differentiated	SMART goals based		students This is Highly Functional on the Strategy		
	instruction.	on each nine weeks	-Classroom walk-	Fidelity Check	1	
	ilistruction.	of material. (For	through's will be	Fidenty Check		
	-Teachers	example, during the	conducted daily.		1	
	are at various	first nine weeks,	conducted daily.		1	
	skill levels to	75% of the students	-Monitoring data will	First Nine Week Check	1	
			be reviewed every nine	THE TAME WEEK CHECK	1	
	instruction	above on each unit of	weeks	In the 1st 9 weeks a 25%	1	
	to improve	instruction.		improvement in Reading gains.	1	
	students' lack			F		
	of background	2. As a Professional		-Monitoring data will be		
	knowledge		1st Grading Period Check	reviewed every week. This		
	l	in their PLCs,		is Highly Functional on the		
		teachers spend time		Strategy Fidelity Check	1	
		sharing, researching,	Meeting which will also	ļ ,		
		teaching, and	include FAIR data, DRA			
			data, Running Records			
		based best-practice	with miscue analysis.	Second Nine Week Check		
		strategies.				
				In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75%		
		3. PLC teachers		improvement in Reading gains.		
			Second Nine Week Check			
		the core curriculum,		-Monitoring data will be		

		1		
incorporating DI	Review mid-year Data	reviewed every week		
strategies from the	ir Plan. This includes FAIR			
PLC discussions.	data, DRA data, Running			
	Records with miscue			
4. At the end of		Third Nine Week Check		
unit, teachers giv		THIRD TYTIC WEEK CHECK		
unit, teachers giv	a l			
common assessm	ent	Ongoing		
identified from the				
core curriculum	Third Nine Week Check	.]		
material.				
	Ongoing			
5. Teachers bring	1 8 8			
assessment data	ack	Fourth Nine Week Check		
to the PLCs.	ick	Fourth Nine Week Cheek		
to the PLCs.	E ANT WAR			
	Fourth Nine Week Check	Continuous		
6. Based on the d		1		
teachers discuss	Continuous			
strategies that we	e			
effective.				
7. Based on the	ata			
teachers a) decid				
what skills need	' 			
be re-taught in a				
whole lesson to t				
entire class, b) de	ide			
what skills need	, I			
be moved to min				
lessons or re-tead		1		
the whole class a		1		
c) decide what sk				
		1		
need to re-taught	0	1		
targeted students		1		
		1		
8. Teachers prov	le	1		
Differentiated		1		
Instruction to tar	eted	1		
students (remedia	ion	1		
and enrichment).		1		
and enrichment).		1		
l h m c				
9. PLCs record the	eir	1		
work in logs.				

Reading Goal #3:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013FCAT Reading will increase from 73 points to 76 points.							
	73pts.	76pts					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.			33.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

L BOUR AND BALLA	Ĺ.	i	la a	L 1	L 1	
1.1 0111 2.0. 1 011103 101	4.1	4.1	4.1.	4.1	4.1	
students in Lowest 25%						
making learning gains in	Teacher support	Strategy	Who		3x Per Year	
reading.	for planning			assessment data on skills taught/		
l'umis.	remediation		Reading Coach	reviewed during ELP time.	- FAIR.	
	and enrichment			Teachers review data at PLC		
	activities	Tier 2/3	Teacher on Special	meetings. PLC facilitator will	L	
		Students' reading	Assignment	share data with the Problem		
		comprehension win	Assignment	Solving Leadership Team. The	During Grading Period	
	N 4 1	improve through	Duin ain al	Problem Solving Leadership		
		receiving 30 minutes	Principal	Team/Reading Leadership Team	1	
	direct correlation	instruction during		will review assessment data for		
	student is missing			positive trends at a minimum of once per nine weeks.	Weekly Progress	
	in the core	(immediate intensive		once per fille weeks.	Monitoring	
		interventions)				
		time and ELP			easiCBM, Running	
		supplemental	How		Records with miscue	
	remediation	instruction on			analysis, iStation	
		targeted skills that	- PLC & ELP	First Nine Week Check		
		are not at the mastery				
		level	administration	In the 1st 9 weeks a 25%		
	-The Extended		Administration	improvement in Reading gains.		
	Learning	<u>How</u>	provides feedback on			
	Program (ELP)		the logs and the data	-Monitoring data will be		
		1. Classroom	collected.	reviewed every week.		
	target the specific	teachers with	conected.			
	skill weaknesses		A 4			
			-Administration daily	G INT WILE I		
		teaching	Classroom walk-	Second Nine Week Check		
	an ongoing basis, or continuous	clarifying,	through's observing iii	In the 2 nd 9 weeks a 65-75%		
		questioning,	and ELP instruction.	improvement in Reading gains.		
		and predicting)		improvement in Reading gains.		
	and ELP teachers		-Evidence of strategy	-Monitoring data will be		
		implementation	in teachers' lesson	reviewed every week		
		recommendations for	plans seen during			
		skills.	administration walk-			
			through's.			
		2. iii and ELP	l	Third Nine Week Check		
		teachers identify				
		lessons for students		On going		
		that target specific	1st Grading Period			
		skills that are not at	Check			
		the mastery level.	CHOCK			
		D-4!!! b-	Dota Program	Fourth Nine Week Check		
		3. Data will be	Data Progress			
		collected by the teachers on a weekly	Monitoring Meeting	continuous		
		teachers on a weekly	which will also include			

comminto a	nunicated turned FAIR data, DRA data, administration Running Records with miscue analysis.
	Second Nine Week Check
	Review mid-year Data Plan. This includes FAIR data, DRA data, Running Records with miscue analysis.
	Third Nine Week Check
	On going
	Fourth Nine Week Check continuous

Reading Goal #4: Points earned from students in the bottom quartile making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 73 points to 76 points.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	73pts.	76pts.					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

5. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5:				
5A. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	See Goals 1, 3, &	5A.1.	5A.1.	

Reading Goal #5A:	2012 Current Level of Performance	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of Black_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from _21% to _29_%.							
		Black: 29%					
		5A.2.	5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	
		5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
5B. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A							
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	

Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A							
	-			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not		DD.11.	DD.11.		DD.11.		
making satisfactory							
making satisfactory progress in reading.							
progress in reading.							
Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
N/A							
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	
F =	F	F =	r =	r = ··	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

K-5

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

PLCs

PLC Leader PLC grade level All teachers school wide facilitators

Weekly PLC meetings

PLC logs

Daphne Fourgurean

Data Collection and

Principal

All teachers school wide

(This PD also covers a similar

strategy in math and science.)

Brooke Del Castillo Daphne Fourgurean

Analysis

Grades K-5

-Rolling faculty meetings

MTSS review data

Brooke Del Castillo

Reading Coach

Teacher

on Special

Assignment

Analyzing Student FAIR Grades K-5 Reading Coach All teachers school-wide Data

Early release Monday in Oct., Jan. and April

Administrator will review PLC logs to Daphne Fourqurean monitor the analysis of student data to inform instructional decisions.

Brooke Del Castillo

PLC facilitators

End of Reading Goals

Elementary or Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary School Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. FCAT 2.0: Students	.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
scoring proficient in						
	Not all	Strategy:	Who	Teacher Level	2x per year	
	eachers	Brategy.	WIIO	reaction rever	2x per year	
•	now how to	Tier 1 – The purpose	Teacher	Electronic Data Walls used	District Baseline and	
		of this strategy is			Mid-Year Testing	
	needs from	to strengthen the		needs. This is operational on		
		core curriculum.		the Charles Fidelity Charle		
			reaction on operation	the Strategy Fidelity Check	⊢	
			Assignment/AP		DOM:	
S		through participation	DI CE TILL		-BOY test	
		in <u>Higher</u> Order Thinking	PLC Facilitator			
	Not all		District Math Team	–	-MYT tests	
	cachers know	activities and				
	low to ask		Generalist	PLC/Department Level	-EOY test	
	nigher order/	Instruction (DI)		L		
	pen-ended	lessons. Teachers		Weekly	<u> </u>	
		will analyze data,				
			How	Leadership Team Level		
ļi ļir		based on data to				
		include Differentiated	How Monitored	Weekly		
	Not all	Instruction and HOT questions designed to	DI C logg turned			
te	cachers know		into administration.			
h	low to promote		Administration provides			
	iic use or	rigor in lesson plans.	feedback.	Continuous monitoring of	During the Nine Weeks	
d	lifferentiated			progress towards mastery of		
ir i	nstruction to		-Administration daily	skills.	-concept map assessments	
	neet the needs		classroom walk-through's			
	of all learners.	L	observing these strategies.			
		A vi Gr	D : 1 C			
-	Not all		-Evidence of strategies in teachers' lesson plans			
te	eachers	1. PLCs write	seen during administration			
k	now how to		daily walk-through's			
in in		on each nine weeks	dairy waik through 5			
	^		-Elementary Mathematics			
			Walk-through Form			
1		first nine weeks,	_			
1			-Webb depth of			
1			knowledge tool			
1		above on each unit of				
1		instruction.				
1		2. As a Professional	Continuous monitoring			
		Development activity	Continuous monitoring			
		in their PLCs,				
		teachers discuss HOT				

		and DI strategies			
		and how they can be			
		implemented in the			
		upcoming lessons.			
		upcoming ressons.			
		Teachers			
		implement the			
		targeted higher order			
		questioning and DI			
		strategies in their			
		lessons.			
		icssons.			
		4. Teachers			
		implement the			
		common assessments.			
		Teachers bring			
		assessment data back			
		to the PLCs.			
		to the Les.			
1		C DI Commi			
		6. PLCs review and			
		discuss the outcomes			
		of their DI lessons			
		and use of higher			
		order questions and			
		share effectiveness.			
		share effectiveness.			
		9. Based on data,			
		9. Based on data,			
		PLCs use the			
		problem-solving			
		process to determine			
		next steps of DI			
		lesson planning and			
		higher order strategy			
		implementation.			
		imprementation.			
		10 DI C			
		10. PLCs record their			
		work in the PLC logs.			
Mathematics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level			
	Level of	of Performance:*			
	Performance:*				
The percentage of students scoring					
a level 3 or higher on the 2013					
FCAT Math will increase from					
32% to 42%.					

	32%	42%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2 ECAT 2 0. Standards	2.1	2.1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Cill 2000 State Cills	2.1	2.1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
scoring Achievement						
Levels 4 or 5 in		Strategy:	Who	Teacher Level	2x per year	
mathematics.	teachers	Tr: 1 Tri	T 1			
	KIIOW IIOW to	Tier 1 – The purpose	leacher		District Baseline and	
	identify student	of this strategy is to strengthen the	Principal	for DI of our students	Mid-Year Testing	
	needs from	core curriculum.	Principal	needs. This is operational on		
			Teacher on Special	the Strategy Fidelity Check		
	administered to		Assignment/AP		Γ	
	students.	through participation	r issignment r ii		-BOY test	
	stadents.		PLC Facilitator		Bortest	
	-Not all	Order Thinking	i de i uemunoi		-MYT tests	
	teachers know		District Math Team		FIVITI CSCS	
	teachers know	activities and		DLC/Department Level	-EOY test	
	now to ask	Differentiated_	Generalist	PLC/Department Level	-EOI lest	
	higher order/	Instruction (DI)		*** 11		
	open-ended	lessons. Teachers		Weekly	 -	
		will analyze data,				
		P.	How	Leadership Team Level		
	instruction.	based on data to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		include Differentiated	How Monitored	Weekly		
	-Not all	Instruction and HOT	DI C 1 t			
	leachers know	questions designed to provide re-teaching	into administration.			
	how to promote		Administration provides			
	the use of	rigor in lesson plans.	feedback.	Continuous monitoring of	During the Nine Weeks	
	differentiated	rigor in iesson pians.	recubuck.	progress towards mastery of	_	
	instruction to			skills.	-concept map assessments	
	meet the needs		classroom walk-through's			
	of all learners.	L	observing these strategies.			
	-Not all	Action Steps:	-Evidence of strategies			
	teachers		in teachers' lesson plans			
	know how to		seen during administration			
	implement the		daily walk-through's			
	_	1. PLCs write	El			
	NUSSS.		-Elementary Mathematics Walk-through Form			
		of material. (For	waik-uiiougii roiiii			
			-Webb depth of			
			knowledge tool			
		75% of the students				
1	ĺ	will score an 80% or				
	ĺ	above on each unit of				
1	ĺ	instruction.	Continuous monitoring			
		2. As a Professional				
		Development activity				

in their PLCs,		
teachers discuss HOT		
and DI strategies		
and how they can be		
implemented in the		
implemented in the		
upcoming lessons.		
3. Teachers		
implement the		
targeted higher order		
questioning and DI		
strategies in their		
lessons.		
4. Teachers		
implement the		
common assessments.		
5 m 1 1 i		
5. Teachers bring		
assessment data back		
to the PLCs.		
6. PLCs review and		
discuss the outcomes		
of their DI lessons		
and use of higher		
order questions and		
share effectiveness.		
9. Based on data,		
PLCs use the		
problem-solving		
process to determine		
next steps of DI		
loggon planning and		
lesson planning and		
higher order strategy		
implementation.		
lo ma		
10. PLCs record their		
work in the PLC logs.		

Mathematics Goal #2:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 5% to 8%.							
	5%	8%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

3. FCAT 2.0: Points for	3.1	3.1	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
	5.1	5.1	5.1.	5.1.	5.1.	
students making learning		_			_	
gains in mathematics.		Strategy:	Who	Teacher Level	2x per year	
	teachers	Tier 1 – The purpose	Teacher			
	KIIOW IIOW to	of this strategy is			District Baseline and	
	identify student				Mid-Year Testing	
	needs from	core curriculum.	•	needs. This is operational on		
	assessments	Students' math	Teacher on Special	the Strategy Fidelity Check	_	
	administered to		Assignment/AP			
	students.	through participation			-BOY test	
			PLC Facilitator			
	-Not all	Order Thinking	D1 - 1 - 3 6 - 4 - 70	_	-MYT tests	
	teachers know		District Math Team			
	how to ask	activities and Differentiated	Generalist	PLC/Department Level	-EOY test	
	higher order/	Instruction (DI)				
	open-ended	lessons. Teachers		Weekly		
	questions	will analyze data,				
			How	Leadership Team Level		
		based on data to		_		
		include Differentiated	How Monitored	Weekly		
	-Not all	Instruction and HOT	nv. c. i			
	teachers know	questions designed to provide re-teaching				
	how to promote		into administration. Administration provides			
	the use of	rigor in lesson plans.		Continuous monitoring of	During the Nine Weeks	
	differentiated	ngor in resson pians.	roousus.	progress towards mastery of		
	instruction to		-Administration daily	skills.	-concept map assessments	
	meet the needs		classroom walk-through's			
	of all learners.	-	observing these strategies.			
			F :1 6 4 4 :			
	-Not all		-Evidence of strategies in teachers' lesson plans			
	teachers		seen during administration			
	know how to		daily walk-through's			
	implement the	1. PLCs write	unough o			
	NGSSS.	SMART goals based	-Elementary Mathematics			
		on each nine weeks	Walk-through Form			
		of material. (For				
			-Webb depth of			
		first nine weeks, 75% of the students	knowledge tool			
		will score an 80% or				
		above on each unit of				
			Continuous monitoring			
		2. As a Professional				
		Development activity				

in their PLCs,		
teachers discuss HOT		
and DI strategies		
and how they can be		
implemented in the		
implemented in the		
upcoming lessons.		
3. Teachers		
implement the		
targeted higher order		
questioning and DI		
strategies in their		
lessons.		
4. Teachers		
implement the		
common assessments.		
5 m 1 1 i		
5. Teachers bring		
assessment data back		
to the PLCs.		
6. PLCs review and		
discuss the outcomes		
of their DI lessons		
and use of higher		
order questions and		
share effectiveness.		
9. Based on data,		
PLCs use the		
problem-solving		
process to determine		
next steps of DI		
loggon planning and		
lesson planning and		
higher order strategy		
implementation.		
lo ma		
10. PLCs record their		
work in the PLC logs.		

Mathematics Goal #3:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	of Performance.					
Points earned from students making learning gains on the							
2013 FCAT Math will increase							
from 66 points to 69 points.							
	66 pts.	69 pts.					
	P		2.0	5.0	2 2	2.2	
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	33.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Strategy	Fidenty Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of			Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool			
improvement for the following				data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?			
group:				6.7			

4. FCAT 2.0: Points for	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
students in Lowest 25%						
making learning gains in	-Not all	Strategy:	Who	Teacher Level	2x per year	
	teachers	Strategy.	Wile	Teacher Lever	ZX per year	
mathematics.		Tier 1 – The purpose	Teacher			
	know how to	of this strategy is	reaction		District Baseline and	
	identify student	of this strategy is to strengthen the	Principal		Mid-Year Testing	
	manda frama	core curriculum.		needs. This is operational on		
			Teacher on Special	the Strategy Fidelity Check		
	administered to		Assignment/AP	2, ,	ΓΙ	
	students.	through participation	Assignment/Ai		-BOY test	
	students.		PLC Facilitator		-BOT test	
	NT 4 11	Order Thinking	I Le l'acilitatoi		NOVE	
	-Not all		District Math Team	_	-MYT tests	
	teachers know	activities and				
	how to ask		Generalist	PLC/Department Level	-EOY test	
	higher order/	Instruction (DI)	Concidio			
	open-ended	lessons. Teachers		Weekly		
1		will analyze data,				
	^		How	Leadership Team Level		
		based on data to	110 W	Beddership Team Bever		
	msu uction.	include Differentiated	How Monitored	Weekly		
		Instruction and HOT	iow monitored	Weekly		
	-Not all	questions designed to	-PLC logs turned			
	teachers know		into administration.			
	now to promote	and enrichment with	Administration provides			
	the use of	rigor in lesson plans.	feedback.	Continuous monitoring of	During the Nine Weeks	
	differentiated	J		progress towards mastery of		
	instruction to		-Administration daily	skills.	-concept map assessments	
	meet the needs		classroom walk-through's			
	of all learners.		observing these strategies.			
	or an reamers.	Γ				
	-Not all		-Evidence of strategies			
	teachers		in teachers' lesson plans			
			seen during administration			
	know how to		daily walk-through's			
	implement the	 PLCs write 				
	NGSSS.	SMART goals based	-Elementary Mathematics			
		on each nine weeks	Walk-through Form			
		of material. (For				
			-Webb depth of			
1			knowledge tool			
1		75% of the students				
1		will score an 80% or				
		above on each unit of				
		instruction.	Continuous monitoring			
1		2. As a Professional				
		Development activity				

i	in their PLCs,			
l l	teachers discuss HOT			
	and DI strategies			
	and how they can be			
	implemented in the			
	upcoming lessons.			
	upcoming lessons.			
	2 T 1			
	3. Teachers			
	implement the			
į į	targeted higher order			
	questioning and DI			
	strategies in their			
	lessons.			
	4. Teachers			
	implement the			
	common assessments.			
	5. Teachers bring			
	assessment data back			
	to the PLCs.			
	is the FBest.			
	6. PLCs review and			
	discuss the outcomes			
	of their DI lessons			
	and use of higher			
	order questions and			
	order questions and			
	share effectiveness.			
	0 D	1		
	9. Based on data,			
	PLCs use the			
	problem-solving			
	process to determine			
	next steps of DI			
	lesson planning and			
	higher order strategy			
	implementation.			
	10. PLCs record their			
- I	work in the PLC logs.			

Mathematics Goal #4: Points earned from students in the bottom quartile making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 66 points to 69 points.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	66 pts.	69 pts.					
				4.2.		4.2.	
						4.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and							
Math Performance Target							
5. Ambitious but							
Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							
Math Goal #5:							
N/A							
5A. Student subgroups by	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.		
ethnicity (White, Black,							
- r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	White:	Soo					
Indian) not making satisfactory progress in	Black:	BCC					
mathematics	Hispanic:	See goals 1, 3 &					
	Asian:	5 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	i isian.	1 3 &					
	American Indian:	1,50					
		4					
		T					

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of Black_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 29_% to _32_%.							
	White:	White:					
	Black:29%	Black:32%					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	
		5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
5B. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A							
		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A							
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		50.2.	JC.2.	JC.2.	SC.2.	JC.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

5D. Student with	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not							
making satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #5D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
NI/A							
N/A							
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

_							
Г		5D.3	5D 3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	
- 1		DD.3	PB.3	55.5	00.5	00.3	
- 1							

End of Elementary or Middle School Mathematics Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

> PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator Person or Position Responsible for Grade Level/ PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Subject Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or (e.g., Early Release) and school-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader meetings)

Cross Content 10/08/12 Walk throughs Smart Board Training District Trainer School wide Assistant Principal/TSA K-5, Art, Music,

Guidance Janet Ritchie

Book Study Cross Content PLST Team School wide Group Discussion, Principal

K-5, Art, Music, Guidance Data Collection and Cross Content K-5. Art. Music.

Guidance

Principal All teachers school wide -Rolling faculty meetings MTSS review of data Principal, TSA

Teacher on Special Assignment

Reading Coach

End of Mathematics Goals

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Analysis

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to					
	Increase Student Achieveme					
	nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
1. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring proficient (Level 3-5) in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Science Goal #1: N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			1.3.	1.2.	1.2.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

2. FCAT 2.0: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. FCAT 2.0. Students	F.11.		-	.	2.11		
scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in science.							
Levels 4 or 5 in science.							
		ĺ					
		ĺ					
2 : 2 1//2	2012 G	20125 1					
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	<u>Level 01</u> Performance:*					
	or tormanec.	criorinalice.					
		ĺ					
N/A							
		ĺ					
	1	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		۷.۷.	L .~.	L .2.	۷.۷.	4.4.	

ſ		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
		2.3	F	2.3	2.3	2.3	!

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

and/or

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of Science Goals

Writing/Language Arts Goals

Writing/ Language Arts Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	•		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
at Achievement						
Level 3.0 or higher		Strategy	Who	Check:	-Student monthly	
in writing.			<u></u>		demand writes/	
in writing.	-Not all teachers	Students' use of	Teacher	Review of daily drafts and	formative assessments	
	know how to	mode-specific		scoring monthly demand	Tormative assessments	
	plan and execute			writes	-Student daily drafts	
	writing lessons	improve through	i imeipai	Willes	Student daily draits	
	with a focus	use of Writers'		-PLC discussions and	-Student revisions	
	writing.	Workshop/daily		analysis of student writing to		
	writing.	instruction with		determine trends and needs	-Student portfolios	
	-Not all teachers	a focus on mode-	-PLC lessons			
	know how to	specific witting				
		Incorporate				
	review student	smile and star		Act:		
	writing to	interviewing				
	determine trends	process		-Receive additional		
	and needs in			professional development in		
	order to drive			areas of need		
	instruction.					
		Action Steps		-Seek additional professional		
	-All teachers			knowledge through book		
	need training to	-Based on		studies/research		
	score student	baseline data,				
	writing accurately	PLCs write		-Spread the use of effective		
	during the 2012-	SMART goals		practices across the school		
	2013 school year	for each Grading		based on evidence shown in		
	using information	Period. (For		the best practice of others		
	provided by the	example, during		1		
	state.	the first Grading		-Use what is learned to begin		
		Period, 50%		the cycle again, revise as		
		of the students		needed, increase scale if		
		will score 4.0 or		possible, etc.		
		above on the end-				
		of-the Grading		Plan ongoing monitoring of		
		Period writing		the solution(s)		
		prompt		ino solution(s)		
		prompt				
				-Monthly demand writes		
				-PLC will analyze monthly		
				I		

Writing/LA Goal #1:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring Level 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writes will increase from 57% to 60%.							
	57%	60%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Writing/Language Arts Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

Schedules (e.g., frequency of

PLC Leader

(e.g., Early Release) and meetings)

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

	I	1		i	•	
1. Attendance	1.1	1.1	1.1 Attendance		1.1 Instructional	
					Planning Tool	
	-Attendance	The school will		data from the targeted group	Attendance/Tardy data	
		implement a		of students.		
	to meet on a	mustang shop to	on a monthly basis and		Ed Connect	
	regular basis	reward parents	shared with faculty.			
	throughout the	for bringing their				
	school year.	children to school				
	sonoor year.	daily on time. The				
	-Need support	points will be used				
	in building and	to "buy items" from				
	maintain the	the shop.				
	student database.	me snop.				
	Student database.					
		Tier 1				
		1101 1				
		The school will				
		establish an				
		attendance				
		committee				
		comprised of				
		Administrators,				
		guidance				
		counselors,				
		teachers and other				
		relevant personnel to review the				
		school's				
		attendance plan				
		and discuss school				
		wide interventions				
		to address needs				
		relevant to current				
		attendance data.				
		The attendance				
		committee will				
		also maintain a				
		database of				
		students with				
		significant				
		attendance				
		problems and				
		implement and				

			i e	<u>i</u>		
		monitor				
		interventions to be				
		documented on the				
		attendance				
		intervention form				
		(GD 00710) TI				
		(SB 90710) The				
		attendance				
		committee meets				
		every two weeks.				
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
2 Recordance Godi 1/11.	Attendance Rate:*	Attendance Rate:*				
The attendance rate will	1					
increase from 94.86%						
in 2011-2012 to 96% in						
2012-2013.	1					
2012-2013.						
The number of students						
who have 10 or more						
unexcused absences						
throughout the school						
year will decrease by 1.						
year will decrease by 1.						
1						
The number of students						
who have 10 or more						
unexcused tardies						
to school throughout						
the school year will						
decrease by 2.						
decrease by 2.						
	!	_				
	94.86%	06%				
	P7.00 /0	PV /V				

Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	6 2013 Expected Number of					
(10 of more)	(10 or more) 23 There is no system	Tier 2	Social Worker	PSLT will	Instructional Planning Tool	
	to reinforce parents for facilitating improvement in attendance.	Beginning at the 5th unexcused absence, the	Guidance Counselor PSLT	disaggregate attendance data for the "Tier 2" group along with the guidance counselor and maintain communication about these children.	Attendance/Tardy data	

1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	
Most students	Tier 3	Social Worker	Social Worker/PSLT	Instructional Planning Tool	
with significant			review data monthly	Attendance/Tardy data	
unexcused absences	An attendance referral	Other PSLT members as	on Tier 3 students		
(10 or more) have	C	needed	(provided by social		
1	social worker and other		worker)		
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	School Security – SRO			
1 0	_	will monitor the targeted			
	1 3 6 3	students who have 10 or			
	/	more unexcused absences.			
	the family to create an				
	Attendance Improvement				
	Plan.				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/

PD Facilitator

and/or

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

Subject

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
	to have common school-wide expectations and rules and provide explicit instruction to students on the expectations and rules for appropriate classroom behavior	discussion, and provide training to staff in methods for teaching and	MTSS	behavior issues.	I.1. EASI ODR and suspension data cross-referenced with mainframe discipline data	

a	0010 T 4 137 1	h 012 F	<u> </u>		
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected			
1	of	Number of			
1					
1	In –School	In- School			
The total number of in-	Suspensions	Suspensions			
school suspensions will					
stay at 0.					
stay at 0.					
The total out-of-school					
suspensions will remain at					
0.	1				
0.					
1. The total number of	ĺ				
In-School Suspensions					
will degrade by 100/					
will decrease by 10%.					
0.771					
2. The total number					
of students receiving					
In-School Suspension					
throughout the school					
year will decrease by					
10%.					
1070.					
1					
1					
L					
3. The total number					
of Out-of-School					
Suspensions will					
decrease by 10%.					
1					
	ĺ				
4. The total number of					
students receiving Out-					
of-School Suspensions	ĺ				
throughout the school					
year will decrease by					
10%.					
1070.	ĺ				
	ĺ				
	ĺ				
I	I	I			I

					İ		
	0	0					
	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
	of Students	Number of Students					
	Suspended	Suspended					
		<u>In -School</u>					
	-	0					
	2012 Number of	2013 Expected					
	Out-of-School Suspensions	Number of					
1							
		Out-of-School Suspensions					
		Suspensions			<u> </u>		
	ĭ	0					
	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
	of Students Suspended	Number of Students Suspended					
	Guspellucu	<u>Guapellucu</u>					
	Out- of- School	Out- of-School_					
ſ	Out- 01- SCHOOL	Out- 01-3011001_					
	0	0					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Title I Schools - Please see the Parent Information Notebook (PIN) to view a copy of the Title I PIP.

Parent Involvement	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process			
	to Parent			
	Involveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Parent Involvement Goal #1:							
	level of Parent	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier			data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
2. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #2:			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	level of Parent	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
		2.1.		2.1.		2.1.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Health and Fitness Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
Additional Goal(s)	Solving			
Additional Goal(s)	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

		1	•			•	
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
data, identify and define	Barrier				Tool		
			Who and how will the fidelity	How will the evaluation tool			
areas in need of improvement:			be monitored?	data be used to determine the			
				effectiveness of strategy?			
1. Health and Fitness	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Goal							

Health and Fitness Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
N/A							
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Health and Fitness Goals Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

PLC Leader

Continuous Improvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
areas in need of improvement:				How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?			

1. Continuous	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Improvement Goal	1.1.	1.1.			1.1.	
improvement doar		Thron	W/h o	DI CT will examine the	DI C Equilitatora vvill	
					PLC Facilitators will provide feedback	
		Monday's a			to PLST team on	
	- Not enough time for	month on early	mambara	determine next steps in the		
		release days we will be meeting		PLC process.	progress of their PLC.	
•		in PLC's for				
	collaborate and					
	share effective		How_			
		studies.	110 W			
	strategies and	studies.	- Administration will			
	best practices	-Key staff	review PLCs logs and			
			provide feedback.			
		training				
	teachers know	during PLCs				
		and faculty				
		meetings				
		to increase				
		teachers'				
	during	knowledge and				
	instruction.	implementing				
		higher order				
		questioning				
		skills and				
		techniques.				
		-Daily				
		Administration				
		walkthroughs				
		of classrooms				
		will be done.				

Continuous Improvement Goal #1: The percentage of teachers who strongly agree with the indicator that "the teachers that I work with deliver lessons that consistently include higher order thinking skills" this will increase from 70% to 75%.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	70%	75%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Continuous Improvement Goals Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or PLC Leader (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

End of Additional Goal(s)

NEW Goal(s) For the 2012-2013 School Year

NEW Reading Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

A. Florida	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.	
Alternate						
Assessment:						
Students scoring						
proficient in						
reading (Levels 4-						
9).						
Reading Goal A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
reading Godi 71.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
gour in time com						
T / A						
N/A						

					A.2.	
					A.3.	
B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	B.1.	B.1.	B.1.	B.1.		

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
		B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	
		B.3.	В.3.	B.3.	B.3.	В.3.	

NEW Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving			
	Process to Increase			
	Language Acquisition			

Students speak in English and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-	•	3.	·			
level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.			Who and how will the fidelity be	How will the evaluation		
EEE students.			monitored?	tool data be used to determine the		
				effectiveness of strategy?		
C. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	
proficient in Listening/						
Speaking.						
CELLA Goal #C:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CLLLA Goal #C.	Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
T / A						
N/A						

			ý .			
		1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2.	1.2
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
level text in a manner similar to	·		·			
non-ELL students.			Who and how will the fidelity be	How will the evaluation		
1			Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	tool data he used		
				to determine the		
				effectiveness of strategy?		
D. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Reading.						
proficient in Reading.						

CELLA Goal #D:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
N/A						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

E. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
E. Students scoring proficient in Writing.						
CELLA Goal #E:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	Proficient in Writing:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
box.						
NT / A						
N/A						

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

NEW Math Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
F. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at in mathematics (Levels 4-9).	F.1.	F.1.	F.1.	F.1.	F.1.	

Mathematics Goal F:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A							
		F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	
		F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	

Alternate	G. Florida	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.	
Mathematics Goal G: Mathematics Goal G: Mathematics Goal in this box. Mathematics Goal in this box. Mathematics Goal in this box.	Alternate						
Mathematics Goal G: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Mathematics of the goal in this box.	Assessment:						
Mathematics Goal G: Mathematics Goal Cycloment Level of Performance* 2013 Expected Level of Performance* Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Percentage of						
Mathematics Goal G: 2012 Current cevel of cevel of Performance.* Performance.* Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	students making						
Mathematics Goal G: 2012 Current cevel of cevel of Performance.* Performance.* Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Learning Gains in						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	mathematics.						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
goal in this box.	<u>(r.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
goal in this box.							
goal in this box.							
	Enter narrative for the						
N/A	goai iii tiiis box.						
N/A							
N/A							
\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}							
N/A							
N / A	TAT / A						
<u> </u>	IN/A						

	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	
	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	

NEW Science Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Elementary, Middle and High Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

. Florida Alternate
Assessment: Students
coring at proficient in
sion as (I small 4.0)
cience (Leveis 4-9).
Science Goal J:
erence Gours.
AT/A
N/A
. 17 2 2
ce (Levels 4-9).

J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	
T 2	T 2	T 2	T 2	1 2	
J .5.	J.J.	0.5.	J.J.	J.J.	

NEW Writing Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

M. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing (Levels 4-9).		M.1.	M.1.	M.1.		
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	

	l l	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	

NEW Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

		1		1	1
STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase Student				
	Achievement				
	Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool	
			fidelity be monitored?	data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	
				effectiveness of strategy:	
STEM Goal #1:	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
			PLC or grade level	Administrative walk-throughs	Logging number of project-
		with standards.	lead -Subject Area		based learning in math, and
Support informal STEM experiences for students through	ELA and other STEM		Leaders		science per quarter. Share
partner organizations such as The Florida Aquarium, Museum of Science & Industry and Crystal Springs Nature Park	teachers	-Create follow up projects to			data with teachers.
of Science & industry and Crystal Springs Nature Park		reinforce what they learned			
		on the trip.			
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	·		·	[··	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

K-5

PD Facilitator

and/or

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

PLC focus on STEM

Integration- Science Fair

Administration Math and science teachers

On going

Walkthroughs

Administration

End of STEM Goal(s)

NEW Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving		
	Process to		

	Increase Student				<u> </u>
	Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool	
				data be used to determine the	
				effectiveness of strategy?	
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1	 1.1.
CTE Goal #1.	1.1.	1	1.1.	1.1	1.1.
		-Provide field trips to local	AP/ Teachers	Teachers will monitor students	Log of career exposure and
		businesses	AT / Teachers		experience.
			Will monitor field	activities related to field trip	emperionee.
		-Students will attend the	trips to ensure that	experiences.	
		Great American Teach-In at	a variety of careers		
		Gorrie Elementary.	are experienced by		Journal writing
			the students.		
Increase the number of careers students see by going to another			the students.		
school and attending field trips.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

and/or

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

End of CTE Goal(s)

Differentiated Accountability

N	/	A
---	---	---

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Complian	School-level	Differentiated	Accountability	(DA) Complianc
--	---------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----	-------------

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header: 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		,
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Once the state has provided information, directions for how to upload the checklist will be posted on the School Improvement Icon.

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes	No
\cup	i es	11/(

f No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	

Describe the use of SAC funds .		

Name and Number of Strategy from the	Description of Resources that improves student achievement or student engagement	Projected Amount	Final Amount
School Improvement Plan			
Reading/Writing/Math/Science/	Dog Tags reward incentives for increased achievement in core subjects and attendance	\$178.20	\$178.20
Attendance Goal 1, strategy 1.1			
Final Amount Spent	\$178.20		