FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN



School Name: ROBERT MORGAN EDUCATIONAL CENTER

Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

District Name: Dade

Principal: Kimberly Y. Davis Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

SAC Chair: Blossom Grant

Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending Last Modified on: 10/29/ 2012

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	# of	# of Years as an	Prior Performance Record (include
		Certification(s)	Years at	Administrator	prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide
			Current		Assessment Achievement Levels,
			School		Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO
					Progress along with the associated
					school year)

Principal	Kimberly Davis	Degrees Master's in Mathematics Education Bachelor's in Business Administration Certifications Mathematics 6- 12, Middle Grades Math, Educational Leadership	3	11	Year '12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade P A A B A High Standards Reading 63 57 64 64 56 High Standards Math 79 78 86 84 78 Lrng Gains - Rdg. 68 56 60 64 58 Lrng.Gains - Math 67 79 81 82 73 Gains - Rdg - 25% 77 44 52 53 45 Gains - Math - 25% 56 65 67 71 65
Assis Principal	Maria Medina	Bachelor's in Business Administration Master's in Educational Leadership	1	16	Year `12 '11 `10 '09 '08 School Grade P B F B B High Standards Rdg. 63 64 15 37 42 High Standards Math 79 71 48 73 76 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 68 61 34 51 54 Lrng Gains-Math 67 67 66 78 84 Gains-Rdg-25% 77 60 35 57 48 Gains-Math-25% 56 66 64 79 82
Assis Principal	Ricardo Blanco	Degrees Bachelor's of Science in Biology Master's of Science in Educational Leadership Certifications Biology (6-12) Earth Space (6- 12) Educational Leadership	6	6	Year `12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade P A A B A High Standards Rdg. 63 56 57 51 55 High Standards Math 79 84 82 79 80 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 68 56 58 54 63 Lrng Gains-Math 67 83 78 71 79 Gains-Rdg-25% 77 55 55 50 59 Gains-Math-25% 56 76 64 59 71
Assis Principal	Jennifer Rodriguez- Ledesma	Degrees Bachelor's in Special Education Master's in Educational Leadership Certifications Specific Learning Disabilities K-12 Educational Leadership	2	5	Year '12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade P A B A A High Standards Reading 63 57 64 64 56 High Standards Math 79 78 86 84 78 Lrng Gains - Rdg. 68 59 60 64 58 Lrng.Gains - Math 67 79 81 82 73 Gains - Rdg - 25% 77 44 52 53 45 Gains - Math - 25% 56 65 67 71 65

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide

assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A					

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (
	1. Monthly Beginning Teacher program to retain highly qualified teachers	Vice Principal	June 2013	
2	2. Partnering new teachers with veteran teachers	Vice Principal	June 2013	
3	3. Recruitment from colleges & universities	Principal	June 2013	
4	4. Provide opportunities for leadership within the academies	Principal, Vice Principal and Assistant principals	June 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of	Provide the strategies
staff and	that are being
paraprofessi	implemented to support
onal that are	the staff in becoming
teaching out-of-	highly effective
field/ and who	
are not highly	

0	
	0

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

**When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).*

Total Number of Instructional Staff	Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience		with Advanced	% Highly Effective Teachers	Endorsed Teachers	Board	
99	1.0%(1)	7.1%(7)	44.4%(44)	48.5%(48)	44.4%(44)	100.0%(99)	7.1%(7)	7.1%(7)	14.1%(14)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale for	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	Pairing	Activities
Ms. April Sharpe	Spencer	in the same	Bi-weekly review meetings with mentee, monthly department meetings

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing Mutli-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/ Response to Intervention, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Vice Principal: Assists in the implementation of the Principal's vision to use data-based decisionmaking, ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Assistant Principals: Assist in the implementation of the Principal's vision to use data-based decisionmaking, ensure that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conduct assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensure implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensure adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicate with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Department Chairperson- Mathematics, Language Arts, and Science: Provide information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Reading Department Chairperson: Provide guidance on 9-12 reading plan; develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identify systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Professional Development Liaison: Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/ functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Leadership Team focuses meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our school, our teachers, and our students?

The team meets bi-weekly to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share best practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the MTSS Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/ emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

- •Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- •Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- •District's Fall Baseline Assessment
- Edusoft
- Cognos

Progress Monitoring:

- •Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- •Interim Assessments Fall & Winter

Midyear:

Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
Edusoft
Cognos
End of year:
Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
Edusoft
Cognos
Leadership Team Data Analysis Meetings:
FAIR (quarterly)
Interim/District Assessments (quarterly)
FCAT - (annually)
Edusoft

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year. Additional professional development will be provided during department meetings, early release activities and during faculty meetings.

The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs during the biweekly RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Ms. Kimberly Davis Vice Principal: Ms. Maria Medina Reading Department Chair : Christine Scheck Mathematics Department Chair: Dennis Williams Science Department Chair: Angela Bouza-Kaufman Social Studies Department Chair: Robert Barnett PE Department Chair: Sheila Chance Vocational Department Chair: Brenda Andre SPED Teacher Facilitator: Vivian Vieta Student Activities Director: Magaly Medina-Perez Paraprofessional/Bilingual: Maria Santa-Cruz

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team will meet six times a year to plan and coordinate school-wide literacy functions which may include: School-wide literacy day (to be held several times a year) Book clubs Book studies Reading Chains Literacy Classroom Makeovers

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives will strive to meet two goals: Creating literacy role models among staff, and motivating students to read. Monthly meetings will be conducted during the school wide planning time. A professional development (PD) plan offering master plan points (MPP) is scheduled for the 2011-2012, including sessions on incorporating literacy across the curriculum. The LLT will help implement the Word of the Day practice and common graphic organizing across content areas. The LLT will create and implement literacy partnerships amongst students, parents and teachers to promote literacy at Robert Morgan Educational Center.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Children who are behind in reading are not the only children who benefit from being taught specific reading strategies. By introducing/modeling a variety of reading strategies at faculty meetings, departmental meetings and by offering professional developments, such as CRISS training, that emphasize utilizing reading strategies in the content areas, will ensure that all teachers are implementing effective reading strategies in their classrooms. In all content areas, the Reading Department Chair will introduce and model a new reading strategy, monthly, which will ensure the effective reading strategies are being implemented in the classroom. The Vice Principal will monitor that the monthly reading strategy is being used across the curriculum.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

As a Comprehensive Academy High School, all students are enrolled in a program of study with an intended major. The academy programs ensure content related to a career of study, and focus on job skills and offer internship opportunities.

Students are also given the opportunity to participate in co-curricular clubs and further explore career options and participate in competitions at the district, state and national level.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

RMEC offers the following Career Academies and Strands:

Academy of Design Arts & Entertainment

- Commercial Art Technology
- Graphic Animation
- TV Production

The Design Arts & Entertainment Academy represents the wave of the future. Students will be involved in multimedia activities such as live broadcasting, commercial art, and graphic animation. Hands on experience with our state of the art equipment will prepare students for careers in design arts and television.

Academy of Engineering

- Engineering Technology

The Engineering Academy presents the cutting edge of technology in the field of Engineering. Students with an interest in math and science have the opportunity to develop and showcase their talents and abilities in this academy.

Academy of Health Science

- Dental Aide
- Medical Assisting
- Nursing
- Physical Therapy
- Sports Medicine
- Veterinary Assisting

The Health Sciences Academy offers a multitude of programs designed to meet the interests of any student interested in pursuing a career in health care. Our programs are designed to fulfill predicted critical shortage areas in the health services industry. State of the art equipment, school site working labs, direct clinical experiences on site medical facilities and high level math and science courses provide students with a well- rounded background to meet today's medical needs.

Academy of Hospitality & Tourism (AOHT)

The Academy of Hospitality & Tourism (AOHT) prepares students for hospitality and tourism careers with a focus on business marketing and management. AOHT is affiliated with the National Academy Foundation (NAF); DECA, an Association of Marketing Students; and The American Hotel and Lodging Association. The program provides training for entry-level management positions in lodging, food service, travel, tourism, and sporting/entertainment events.

Academy of Information Technology & Business Services

- Computer Electronics Technology
- International Business

Information Technology & Business Services students may choose from exciting and profitable fields such as Computer Electronics Technology and International Business. Internships may be available. Academy of Specialty Service Industries

- Cosmetology
- Culinary Arts
- Early Childhood Education

The Specialty Service Industries Academy covers three diverse strands that have been identified as growth areas in the 21st century. It offers rigorous curriculum in Cosmetology, Culinary Arts, and Early Childhood Education, which provides students opportunities for success in these service industries.

Academy of Technical Career Services

- Major Appliance Technology
- Automotive Youth Education Systems (AYES)

The School-to-Career Initiative is exemplified in the Technical Career Services Academy. Students will be given hands-on experience with the mechanical and technological aspects of careers in each strand while maintaining high academic standards. Within the framework set by industry and government, this academy offers a curriculum that meets the needs of the participants and the growing industry. Academy of Visual and Performing Arts

- Band (Music for Stage & Screen)
- Chorus
- Dance
- Drama
- Sound & Recording Engineering
- Strings (Orchestra)
- Visual Arts

Students enrolled in this academy will have the opportunity to acquire knowledge in the rapidly growing area of arts and entertainment. This academy is geared towards live stage performance and the performing arts.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

RMEC has demonstrated overall effective strategies in regards to graduating students and a seamless transition into postsecondary vocational and college/university programs as evidenced by the following data:

Students in Career Technical Courses were able to receive Occupational Completion Points, College Credit and graduate with certifications in many programs. The data for our 2011 graduating class includes:

OCP "B" for Electrocardiogram Tech – 11 seniors OCP "B" for Home Health Aide - 11 seniors OCP "B" for Nursing Assistant - 1 senior Dental Industry Certification Exam – 5 completers OCP A,B,C,D,E Bus Person, Steward, Salad Person, Utility Cook, Breakfast Cook – 18 Seniors OCP: D Child Care Development Specialist - 10 seniors Directed Study in Physical Therapy – three (3) college credits applied to the Physical Therapy assistant program at MDC – 15 seniors CMAA- certified medical administrative assistant - industry certification exam - 3 seniors OCP "A" - Computing for College Careers - 22 Seniors OCP "A" Automobile Services Assistor - 31 seniors OCP "E" Automobile Suspension and Steering Technician - 31 seniors OCP "F" Automotive Brake System Technician - 30 seniors OCP "F" Automotive Electrical/Electronic System Technician - 29 seniors OCP "I" Automotive Engine Performance Technician - 16 seniors OCP "I" Automotive Engine Performance Technician - 16 seniors OCP - B- Allied Health Assisting= 27 seniors NHA- National Certifications Certified Electrocardiogram Technician= 28 NHA- National Certifications Certified Medical Administrative Assistant = 28 Medical Assisting Program - 19 Students graduated from Vocational program

82.5% of RMEC's 2010 graduates scored at Level 3 or higher in Mathematics which is higher than the district percent (73.2%) and the state percent (78.4%); in Reading at 54.6%, while the district percent is 41.7% and the state percent is 46.8%. Overall, RMEC's 2010 graduates scored at Level 3 or higher in both Mathematics and Reading with 50.6%, while the district percent is 38.6% and the state percent is 44.3%.

In addition, RMEC's 2009 graduates achieved a higher percent than the state in the following areas: completion of college prep curriculum (RMEC = 64.6% State= 59.8%); graduates enrolled in Algebra I or equivalent prior to the 9th grade (RMEC= 47.5% State = 42.4%); graduates who completed at least one Level 3 high school math course (RMEC= 56.3% State = 47.2%); who completed at least one Level 3 high school science course (RMEC= 74.6% State = 53.9%).

93.6% of RMEC's students participated in the PSAT two year prior to graduation, in contrast to the district at 80.3% and the state at 69.4%.

65.5% of RMEC's 2009 graduates participated in the SAT, in contrast to the district's 54.7% and the state's 48.0%.

This data also indicates an increase over RMEC percentages for the graduating classes of 2007 and 2008. RMEC will continue the implementation of strategies provided by administrators, student services team, college assistance program (CAP) counselor, advanced placement teachers and overall faculty members. The CAP counselor will maintain a record of exit interviews conducted with graduating seniors including data regarding postsecondary plans and awarded scholarships. In addition, RMEC will continue to sponsor open dialogue with local, state and national level colleges/ universities and/or vocational programs. RMEC will maintain a collaborative relationship with district support staff in order to provide students current and accurate information through the post graduation transition process.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defin areas in need of improvemen for the following group:	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 32% percent of students achieved level 3 High Standard proficiency.
Level 5 in reading.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 5 percentage points to 37%.
Reading Goal #1a:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
32% (384)	37% (439)
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	
Anticipate Strategy d Barrier	Person Process Evaluati or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

- The 2012 1 Practice Principal, Review Print-out FCAT locating and Assistant FAIR data of FAIR Principal, reports and assessm Reading verifying Assessment details and MTSS adjust ents. revealed critically Team instruction analyzing that as Category 2: text, necessary Reading to draw Application correct conclusions. is the targeted area.
- 2

1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. The 2011 Principal, Review Print-out Practice FCAT locating and Assistant FAIR data of FAIR Reading verifying Principal, reports assessm Assessment details and MTSS and adjust ents. revealed critically instruction Team that analyzing as Category text, to necessary 2: Reading draw Application correct conclusions. is the targeted area.

3	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	Students	Emphasize	Principal	, Ongoing	Form
	demon	instruction	Assistant	tclassroom	ative:
	strate	across the	Principal	, assessmen	Interim
	difficulty in	curriculum	MTSS	ts. Review	assessm
	evaluating	that helps	Team	of data	ents
	descriptive	students		and adjust	
	language	build		instruction	Summat
	and text	stronger		as	ive: The
	features	arguments		necessary	2013
	in both	to support			FCAT
	fiction and	their			Assessm
	nonfiction.	answers.			ent

4	1.3 Providing teachers with professional developm ent that will provide them with strategies to be used in their content areas.	1.3. Students will actively participate in Readings across the curriculum to build understa nding of Category 2: Reading Applicati on's Main Idea and Author's Purpose.	Assistant Principal, MTSS	assess	1.3. Form ative: Interim assessm ents Summat ive: The 2013 FCAT Assessm ent
				learners.	-

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida AlternateN/AAssessment:Students scoring at Levels4, 5, and 6 in reading.

Reading Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of 201 Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate pated gy Barri er	rso n or Po siti on Re spo nsi ble	cess Used to Deter mine Effec tiven ess of Strat egy	ation Tool
No			
Data			
Submi			
tted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 30% percent of students achieved level 4 and 5 proficiency.
Achievement Level 4 in	
reading.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 5 percentage points to 37%.

Reading Goal #2a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
30%	32%
(360)	(380)

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

1

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier or Used to on Tool **Position Determine** Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. The lack of Increase Principal, Monitor Form enrichment Assistant evidence of ative: access to real world opportu Principal, application Baseline Informati nities for MTSS of common and strategies interim onal Text students Team materials including: and assessm limited the real-world techniques ents. and adjust students documents instruction Summati ability to to locate, as needed. ve: 2013 interpret interpret these and FCAT materials. organize information. Help students recognize the characte ristics of reliable and valid information. USA Today snapshots, tradebooks and teacher made research and reference materials.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate N/A Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate pated gy Barri er	rso n or Po siti on Re spo nsi ble	cess Used to Deter mine Effec tiven ess of Strat egy	ation Tool
No			
Data			
Submi			

tted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defin areas in need of improvement for the following group:	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	 of The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 68% of students made learning gains in Reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students achieving learning gains by 5 percentage points to 73%.
Reading Goal #3a:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
68% (798)	73% (856)
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	
Anticipate Strategy d Barrier	Person Process Evaluati or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	e a deficiency in analyzing and evaluating information	schedule to support targeted remedial strategies ir analyzing and evaluating	Principal, Assistant Principal, MTSS Team	Assessment s) to ensure progress is being made and	e: FAIR, Interim Assessm ents and teacher feedback
	from a variety of texts	information text.			from the 2013 FCAT

2	increase the percentage of students learning gains an	students to reduce the transportati on barriers	Assistant Principal, MTSS	3.2. Administr ation will monitor the attendance of those students attending tutoring and compare to data from Interim Assessment s.	ative: Attenda nce logs, FAIR, Interim Assessm ents and teacher

3 3.3. 3.3. 3.3. 3.3. 3.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida AlternateN/AAssessment:Percentage of studentsmaking Learning Gains in
reading.Image: Comparison of the student of the stu

Reading Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate pated gy Barri er	rso n or Po siti on Re spo nsi ble	cess Used to Deter mine Effec tiven ess of Strat egy	ation Tool
No			
Data			
Submi			

tted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 77% of the Lowest 25% made learning gains in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of student in the Lowest 25% to make learning gains by 5 percentage points to 82%
Reading Goal #4:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
77% (242)	82% (257)
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	
Anticipate Strategy d Barrier	Person Process Evaluati or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy

ng

1	4.1. Students lack the necessary skills to inference, draw conclusions, and identify implied main idea and author's purpose.	4.1. Students will practice making inferences, drawing conclusions, and identifying implied main idea and author's purpose.	Assistant Principal, MTSS Team		e: FAIR, Interim, Assessm ents and teacher
2	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target 5A. Ambitious Reading Goal # but Achievable 5A : Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will , reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 Baseline data 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that the students in our subgroups did not make AYP.				
Hispanic, Asian, American					
Indian) not making	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to have 80% of White students, 67% of Black students, 68%				
satisfactory progress in	of hispanic students and 76% of Asian students				
reading.	Adequate Yearly Progress.				

Reading Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

White: 76% (117) Black: 58% (144) Hispanic: 61% (461) Asian: 71% (12) American Indian:N/A White: 80% (123) Black: 67% (167) Hispanic: 68% (514) Asian: 76% (13) American Indian: N/A

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	5A.1. White: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the White subgroup did not meet AYP. Black: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the Black subgroup did not meet AYP. Hispanic: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the Hispanic subgroup did not meet AYP. Asian: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the Hispanic subgroup did not meet AYP. Asian: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the Hispanic subgroup did not meet AYP. Asian: As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test, the Asian subgroup did not meet AYP. Asian: N/A Students lack interest in the choices of literature due to a disconnect from their own culture and experiences	5A.1. Students will be provided opportunities s to read books and short stories from a variety of minority authors to generate an interest in multicultura l literature and provide them opportunities s to relate to the characters. Continue to promote Literacy and focus on placing a variety of reading materials in the hands of all students.	Principal, MTSS Team and Literacy Leadersh ip Team	choices for literature and greater interest in multicultur al literature	Alouds and
	Limited financial resources by families				

limit the access students have in their homes to quality literature.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in	The 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading test shows that 43% of the ELL students achieved proficiency on the test. Therefore, 57% of ELL students need to achieve Level 3 proficiency.
reading.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is to increase by 3% points the number of ELL students achieving Level 3 proficiency on the test.
Reading Goal #5C:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
43% (9)	46% (10)
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	
Anticipate Strategy d Barrier	Person Process Evaluati or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	New ELL students arriving to our school with little and/or no understa nding of the English language.	Provide them with the proper scheduling of classes. Provide them strategies that will enhance their language and provide ELL students with opportunity to work with HLAP teacher.	Assistan Principal	, Monitor tstudent reading progress through the use of the District Interim Assessm ents, in addition to teacher made assessm ents on a weekly basis.	Interim Assessm ents The 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test.
stud and Ques area		nent data, "Guiding ify and define mprovement			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not		The data from the 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicates that 39% of SWD students achieved a Level 3 or above the test.			
making satisfactory progress in reading.		Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of SWD students achieving Level 3 proficiency on the test by 6 percentage points on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test.			
Rea	ding Goal #	5D:			
	2 Current Lo ormance:	evel of	2013 E	xpected Lev	vel of Performance:
39%	(38)		45% (44	4)	

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position I Respon sible for Monitori ng	eness of	on Tool
1	Scheduling time in the schedule for teachers to work together.	that the inclusion	tment a	nclusion	
stude and r Ques areas	d on the anal ent achievem reference to " tions", identi s in need of in ne following s	, ent data, 'Guiding fy and define mprovement			
	conomically dvantaged s	-	indicate th	nat 59% of	11-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test students who are economically
	naking satis		disadvant	aged made	Adequate Yearly Progress.
prog	ress in read	ling.	achievem		2-2013 school year is to increase omically disadvantaged students its to 66%.
Read	ling Goal #5	5E:			
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Lev	el of Performance:	
59% (445))		66% (498)		
	oblem-Solvii to Increase Achiever	Student			

	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Respon sible for		
1	5E.1. Limited financial resources by families limit the access students have in their homes to quality literature.	5E.1. Continue to promote Literacy and focus on placing a variety of reading materials in the hands of all students.	Principal, MTSS Team and	Leadership Team will meet to monitor student progress and	5E.1. Review of logs and journals.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Dates (e.g., early	-	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Engage the faculty in professional discourse focused on instruction and assessment (formative and summative), as well as models o student work	Language Arts, Reading, Mathematics, American History, Scienc	Department	Language Arts, Reading, Mathematics, American History, Science	October 25, 2012; December 13, 2012; February 14, 2013	Analyze results of ongoing assessments to determine progress towards goals and conduct classroom walk- throughs	Principal, Vice Principal, Assistant Principals
Using real world Documents to Advance Comprehension	Career/Technical 9-12	Vocational Department Head	Career/Technical 9-12	October 25, 2012	Evidence of real- world documents in classrooms	

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
After Schoo tutoring		Principal's hourly account	\$4,0 00.0 0
Subtotal: \$4,000.00			

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring	The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA indicate that 75%
proficient in listening/	of ELL students are proficient in Listening/Speaking.
speaking.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the ELL student proficiency.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

75% (39)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

> Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1 More ELL Schedule Principal, Monitor 2013 students ELL Vice students CELLA have been students Principal, success Test accepted with ELL with to Robert appropriate Assistant progress teachers in Princi reports and Morgan Education all areas so report cards Center, to ensure every 4 weeks. therefore, success. creating a burden for the scheduling process.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring	The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA indicate that 35%
proficient in reading.	of ELL students are proficient in Reading.
	The 2012-2013 school year goal is to increase the number of proficient students in Reading on the CELLA test.
CELLA Goal #2:	

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

35% (18)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng 1 Limited Continue to Principal, Reading 2013 financial promote Assistant logs and CELLA resources Literacy and Principal use of Test by families focus on classroom limit the placing a library variety of books. access students reading materials in have in the their hands of all homes to quality students. literature.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

3. Students scoring proficient in writing.	The 2011-2012 CELLA test scores show that 35% of ELL students are writing at proficiency.
	The 2012-2013 school year goal is to increase the writing proficiency of students on the CELLA test.

CELLA Goal #3:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

35% (18)

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	Lack of writing skills, including grammar of ELL students makes it difficult to teach effective analytical writing.	to write in a variety of formats across the curriculum in order to	Vice Principal, Departm ent Chairs in Langua ge Arts/ ELL	work will be collected and analyzed each	CELLA
---	---	--	--	--	-------

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **CELLA** Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida AlternateN/AAssessment: Studentsscoring at Levels 4, 5, and6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate Pe Pro Evalu rso cess ation pated gy Barri n orUsed Tool Po to siti Deter er on mine **Re Effec** spo tiven nsi ess of ble Strat for egy Мо nito ring

No Data Submi tted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Florida Alternate N/A Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate	Ре	Pro	Evalu
pated gy	rso	cess	ation
Barri	n or	Used	Tool
er	Po	to	
	siti	Deter	
	on	mine	
	Re	Effec	
	spo	tiven	
	nsi	ess of	F
	ble	Strat	
	for	egy	
	Мо		

No Data Submi tted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

nito ring

3. Florida AlternateN/AAssessment: Percent ofstudents making learninggains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student

Achievement

Antici Strate Pe Pro Evalu pated gy rso cess ation Barri n or Used Tool er Po to siti Deter on mine Re Effec spo tiven nsi ess of ble Strat for egy Mo nito ring No Data Submi tted

High School Mathematics AMO Goals

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO- 2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Mathematics Goal # 5A :					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

students, 75% (57) of Black students, and 78% (159)	
ethnicity (White, Black, of Hispanic students, visit (SV) of black students, and visit (SV) of Hispanic students made AYP.	.55)
Hispanic, Asian, American	
Indian) not making Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of White students making AYP by 2%	
satisfactory progress in points to 87% (45), increase the number of Black	
mathematics. students making AYP by 3% points, 78% (59) and increase the number of Hispanic students making AYP by 2% points, 80% (163).	

Mathematics Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Performance:	

White Students: 85% (44)White Students: 87% (45)Black Students: 75% (57)Black Students: 78% (59)Hispanic Students: 78% (159)Hispanic Students: 80% (163)Asian Students: N/AAsian Students: N/AAmerican Indian Students: N/ AAmerican Indian Students: N/A

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	or Position Respon	Process Used to Determine Effectiv eness of	on Tool
			Strategy	
		ng		

1	teachers	small group differe ntiated instruction to address the needs of all	Vice Principal, Mathe matics Depar tment	Survey and sharing at co-planning	native: Interim Assessm ents and teacher
	content rich small group tasks.			adjust instruction as needed.	Summ ative: Results from the 2013 Algebra 1 EOC Assessm ent

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language	The Algebra I EOC data shows that the ELL students
Learners (ELL) not making	did not meet AYP, 61% (7) of students are at proficient.
satisfactory progress in	
mathematics.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of ELL students to proficiency by 6% points to 67%.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Performance:	

61% (7) 67% (9)

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or	Process Used to	
		Position	Determine	9
		Respon	Effectiv	
		sible for	eness of	
		Monitori	Strategy	
		ng		

1	Need for	Provide	Principal,	, Classroom	Form
	ongoing	small group	Vice	walkthrough	native:
	professional	differe	Principal,	, S.	Interim
	develop	ntiated	Mathe		Assessm
	ment for	instruction	matics	Survey and	ents and
	teachers	to address	Depar	sharing at	teacher
	to increase	the needs	tment	co-planning	feedback
	capacity	of all	Chairper	meetings.	
	to provide	learners.	son	Review of	
	quality/			data and	Summ
	content rich			adjust	ative:
	small group			instruction	Results
	tasks			as needed.	from the
					2013
					Algebra
					1 EOC
					Assessm
					ent

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory	The 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC data shows that our Students with Disabilites (SWD) met AYP, 56% (25) of students made satisfactory progess.
progress in mathematics.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of SWD meeting satisfactory progress by an increase of 5% points, 61% (27).

Mathematics Goal #5D:

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
56% (25)	61% (27)

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or	Process Used to	
		Position	Determine	•
		Respon	Effectiv	
		sible for	eness of	
		Monitori	Strategy	
		ng		

1	Need for ongoing	Provide small group		Classroom walkthrough	
	professional develop	differe ntiated	Principal	s.	Interim Assessm
		instruction		Survey and sharing at	ents and
		of all		co-planning meetings.	
	to provide quality/			Review of data and	Summ
	content rich small group			adjust instruction	
	tasks			as needed.	from the 2013 Algebra
					1 EOC Assessm ent

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

E. Economically	The 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC data shows that
Disadvantaged students	76% (163) of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved satisfactory progress.
not making satisfactory	
progress in mathematics.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of ED students achieving satisfactory progress on the Algebra I EOC 2% points to 77% (165).

Mathematics Goal E:

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Performance:	

75% (163) 77% (165)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student

	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Respon sible for		Evaluati on Tool
1	Need for ongoing professional develop ment for teachers to increase capacity to provide quality/ content rich small group tasks	small group differe ntiated instruction to address the needs of all learners.	and Vice Principal, Mathe matics Depar tment	Classroom walkthrough s. Survey and sharing at co-planning meetings. Review of data and adjust instruction as needed.	Interim Assessm ents and teacher feedback Summ ative:

End of High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defin areas in need of improvement for the following group:	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in	The results of the 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC indicate that 54% of students achieved proficiency in the middle and upper 3rd level.
Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the amount of students that achieve the middle and upper 3rd level proficiency on the Algebra I EOC by 1 percentage point to 55%.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
54% (183)	55% (185)
Problem-Solving Process	

to Increase Student

Anticipate	Strategy	Person	Process	Evaluati
d Barrier		or	Used to	on Tool
		Position	Determine	•
		Respon	Effectiv	
		sible for	eness of	
		Monitori	Strategy	
		ng		

1	Student's lack of mathe matical skills, work ethics and attitudes, coupled with teacher's expecta tions of mathematic al concepts is evidenced by student's inability to master polynomials and multi- tiered problems.	idea of developing vocabulary, collabora ting with tiered intervention to assist students students with the sability to complete algebra	Vice Principal, Depar tment Chairper son	of Scope ,and Sequence o Algebra 1	ments 2012, District		
stude and r Quest areas		ent data, 'Guiding fy and define mprovement					
abov	udents scor e Achievem d 5 in Algeb	ent Levels	that 12% proficien Our goal maintain	% of students icy. for the 2013 the number	s achieved a L 2-2013 school	l year is to increa achieving a Level	se/
Algel	bra Goal #2	2:					
	Current Le Drmance:	evel of	2013 Ex	cpected Lev	el of Perforr	mance:	
12%	(39)		12% (40))			

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

with the opportu nities for high order cognitive thinking.	1	Lack of hands-on activities, manipula tive and cooperative group assignmen ts hinders students' understa nding of content material in Algebra.	nities for high order cognitive	Vice Princip al,Depa rtment	ongoing classroom assignm ents and	Formativ e: Baseline Assess ments 2012, District Interim Assesse ments Summati ve: EOC 2013 Algebra
---	---	--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at The results of the 2011-2012 Geometry EOC indicates that 40% of students achieved a Level 3. Achievement Level 3 in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Geometry. Level 3 students by 1% point to 41% on the Geometry EOC. Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Performance: 40% ((245) 41% (257) **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier or Used to on Tool **Position Determine** Respon Effectiv sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy** ng

1	Student's level of geometrical thinking and visualizatio n, and basic mathe matical application is a cause for students not performing satisfactoril	cognitive levels, i.e. visual, analytical, abstract to allow students the opportunity to formally make deductions	Vice Principal, Mathe matics Depar tment	of Scope	ments 2012, District Interim Assessm ents Summati ve: EOC
	satisfactoril y.				2013 Geometr y

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
 4 and 5 in Geometry.
 Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase

the percentage of students achieving a Level 4 or Level 5 by 1% point to 35%

Geometry Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Performance:	

34% (209) 35% (214)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of

			ng		
1	cognitive problem solving for use in the mathe	enrichment strategies to incorporate	Vice Principal, Depar tment Chairper son	Review of lesson plans and classroom walkthrough s, review data and adjust instruction as needed	e: Baseline Assess ments 2012 and District Interim

Monitori Strategy

End of **Geometry EOC** Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/ Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Use of mathematics manipulatives in the classroom	Algebra 1, Geometry and Algebra 2 teachers	MathematicsDepartment Chairperson	Mathematics teachers – Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra 2	PD – Early Release, October 26, 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs with evidence of manipulative use	Principal, Vice Principal, Mathematics Department Chairperson

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo
No Data	No Data	No Data	unt \$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
Afterschool tutoring	Hourly tutoring	Principal's hourly account	\$2,0 00.0 0
Subtotal: \$2,000.00			

End of **Mathematics** Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida AlternateN/AAssessment: Studentsscoring at Levels 4, 5, and6 in science.

Science Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate Pe Pro Evalu rso cess ation pated gy Barri n orUsed Tool Po to siti Deter er on mine **Re Effec** spo tiven nsi ess of ble Strat for egy Мо nito ring

No Data Submi tted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Florida Alternate N/A Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.

Science Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Antici Strate	Ре	Pro	Evalu
pated gy	rso	cess	ation
Barri	n or	Used	Tool
er	Po	to	
	siti	Deter	
	on	mine	
	Re	Effec	
	spo	tiven	
	nsi	ess of	F
	ble	Strat	
	for	egy	
	Мо		

No Data Submi tted nito ring

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defir areas in need of improvemen for the following group:			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.	The results of the 2011-2012 Biology EOC indicates that 40% of students achieved in the middle third on the test.		
Biology.	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students achieving 1% point in the middle third on the Biology EOC.		
Biology Goal #1:			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
40% (237)	41% (246)		
Problem-Solving Process	5		
to Increase Student			
Achievement			
Anticipate Strategy d Barrier	Person Process Evaluati or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng		

ng

1	Molecular	1.1. Provide all students the opportunity to compare, contrast, interpret, analyze, and explain Life Science concepts including environm ental and ecological concepts during field experiences , laboratory activities, and classroom discussions.	Vice Principal Science Departm ent Chain	Report	1.1. Student Usage Report		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels and 5 in Biology. 		The results of the 2011-2012 Biology EOC indicates that 38% of students achieved in the upper third of the test.					
		Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students by 1% point that achieve the upper third on the Biology EOC.					
Biolo	ogy Goal #2	:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
38% (229)			39% (233)				
Pro	blem-Solvi	ng Process					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipate d Barrier	e Strategy	or Position Respon sible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiv eness of Strategy	on Tool
1	s for independer	Incorporat es inquiry- based virtual tscience experiment: through the use of GIZMO's	Asst. Principal and Science sDepartm	achievemen t on benchmark assessm	Baseline data and District
		and foster creativity and critical thinking in students.			ve: Results from the 2013 EOC

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants C (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	(e.g., early release)	; ;	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Professional Development targeting use of Physical Science materials.	Physical Science	MDCPS Science District Office	All Physical Science teachers	Nov 6, 2012 Feb. 1, 2013	2 Allow the teachers participating in PD to turnkey with the department.	Administrative Team
Review of the Biology Pacing Guide and develop best practices/strategies as a content area	Biology	Science Department Chairperson	All Biology Teachers	Early Release, November, 2012	Monitor effectiveness during the walkthrough process	Administrative Team, Science Department Chairperson

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
Replenish science consumable materials in Biology, Chemistry and Physics/Physical Sciences.	Consumables	Breakage & Materials Fees	\$4,0 00.0 0
Subtotal: \$4,000.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0

			
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Science** Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students The 2011-2012 Writing scores show that student achievement Levels at 3 and higher in writing were at scoring at Achievement 95%. Level 3.0 and higher in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase writing. the number of students writing at Level 4 and higher. Writing Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Performance: 95% (509) 95% (509) **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool or **Position Determine Respon Effectiv** sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy** ng

1 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. Students Provide Principal, Samples Students opportunitie Vice interest of student writing Principal, work will level samples S must be for students Depar be collected will be maintained to write in tment and evalu and may a variety Chairs in analyzed ated decrease of formats, Langua each monthly without an analyze ge Arts, quarter, accordin work, Social and g to the ongoing increase rebuttals Studies, instruction type of in rigor across the and will be writing curriculum Science modified as required of writing required. and the requirementin s. order to assoc Students iated better need more prepare rubrics. opportunitie them for FCAT s to write in the writing a variety of requirement Writing formats. s in more exam in 2012 rigorous academic classes.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate N/A

Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in

writing.

Writing Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Antici Strate pated gy Barri er	rso n oi Po siti on Re spo nsi ble	cess Used to Deter mine Effec tiven ess of Strat egy	ation Tool
No			
Data			
Submi			

tted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content / Topic and or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants C (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Follow-up/ Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Writing Workshop	10th grade Language Arts teachers	Language Arts - MDCPS	Participants are 10th grade Writing teachers		Administrative walkthroughs with the purpose to monitor the writing process	Principal, Assistant Principal, Language Arts Department Chairperson

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Writing** Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at The 2012 MDCPS Baseline data for U.S. History shows Achievement Level 3 in U.S. that 0% of the students achieved a level of proficiency on the test. History. The goal for the 2013 U.S. History EOC is for 10% (37) of students to achieve a level of proficiency. U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Performance: **Performance:** 0% (1) 10% (37) **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier or Used to on Tool **Position Determine Respon Effectiv** sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy** ng 1 Students Teachers Principal, Monitor U.S. limited will teach teacher History Vice knowledge from the Principal assessment Baseline of U.S. District data to data, Pacing History. ensure that District Guide that students Interim is aligned are Assessm for the U.S. underst ents. History anding/ EOC. comprehe U.S. nding the History material. EOC

stu and Que are		ment data, o "Guiding ntify and defin f improvemer					
abo	Students sc ove Achieve nd 5 in U.S	ement Level	that 0% on the The go	% of the stud	lents achie 13 U.S. Hi	eved a leve story EOC	
U.S	6. History G	oal #2:					
	12 Current rformance:	Level of	2013	Expected Le	evel of Pe	rformance	2:
0%	(1)		10% (3	37)			
Ρ	roblem-Sol to Increas Achiev		5				
	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Respon sible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiv eness of Strategy	on Tool		
1	Students limited knowledge of U.S. History.	will teach	Principal	Monitor teacher assessment data to ensure that students are underst anding/ comprehe nding the material.	data,		

2013 U.S. History EOC

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PGPPTSP **DrDDate** a rrr CdFPgas oeaaeto n crten t L i t Dg eeliayo nvict r tetiefP /lapsoo T/ta rs oSon(Fi purteot ibas.li cjn glo ed(.on ac/e,w nto.e-R d rgaue / P.rps o L, I/p r CPyMo P L on L LCrns Ce,eii asltb F dueo l o ebare c rjsi u eenf s c)go ta r ,n M gd o r n aSi dc t eh o e r ld i eu n vlg ee ls , o(re s. cg h. o, of Ir -e wq iu de en)с y ο

- f m e t i n
- i n g s)
- Ν
- 0
- D
- а
- t a
- S
- u
- b m
- i
- t
- t
- e d
- u

U.S. History Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **U.S. History EOC** Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Attendance Given emphasis to student attendance, the average daily attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year should be 95.36% or higher, the number of students with excessive absences should not exceed 752, and the number of students with excessive tardies should Attendance Goal #1: not exceed 669. 2012 Current Attendance 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: Rate: 94.86% 95.36% (2116) (2126) 2012 Current Number of **2013 Expected Number of Students with** Students with Excessive Excessive Absences (10 or more) Absences (10 or more) 802 762 2012 Current Number of **2013 Expected Number of Students with** Students with Excessive Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Tardies (10 or more) 704 669 **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool or **Position Determine Respon Effectiv** sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy**

ng

1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	Because we are a magnet school, students have district transpo rtation, however, sometimes students miss the school bus and therefore, have difficulty attending school due to lack of transpor tation by parents.	Meet with students that have excessive absences tand ensure that the students, along with the participation of the parent are on an attendance contract.	Principal	, Monitor the daily attendance and tardy policy.	Atten dance Bulletin
2	1.2 As a magnet school, many students do not live in the immediate vicinity and may have a lax attitude towards punctuality.	provided.		1.2 Daily teview record of tardies	1.2 Tardy/ Atten dance Bulletin

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Grade Level/ Content Subject /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Facilitator (and/or PLC sul	e.g. , PLC,	,	Strategy for Follow-up/ Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	---------------------------------	-------------	---	--	--

N/A

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Attendance** Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defin areas in need of improvement	
1. Suspension	The 2011-2012 school year suspension data shows that 13% of students were suspended indoor and that 3% of students were suspending outdoor.
Suspension Goal #1:	The 2012-2013 school year goal is to decrease the amount of indoor suspensions by 1% to 12% and that outdoor suspensions will be maintained
2012 Total Number of In- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
13% (296)	12% (266)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School
Students Suspended In-	
Students Suspended In- School	In-School
Students Suspended In- School	In-School
Students Suspended In- School 10% (214) 2012 Number of Out-of-	In-School 9% (193) 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School
Students Suspended In- School 10% (214) 2012 Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	In-School 9% (193) 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
Students Suspended In- School 10% (214) 2012 Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	In-School 9% (193) 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

1

Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Respon sible for	Used to Determine	on Tool
1.1. Students lack of knowledge about behavior modification tools to draw back from a disagreeme nt.	will work with the counselors to address minor infractions	Vice Principal, Counselo rs	1.1. Reduction in referrals and subsequent suspensions should be monitored each month.	1.1. Suspensi on log

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	Facilitator (e.g., PL and/or PLC subject, gra	, , , ,	Strategy for Follow-up/ Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
--	--	---------	--	--

N/A

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble
			Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Suspension** Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: **1. Dropout Prevention** The 2011-2012 dropout data shows that .26% of students at RMEC do not complete high school. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the dropout rate by .5% points to .25% **Dropout Prevention Goal** #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. **2012 Current Dropout** 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: Rate: 0.26% (6) .25% (6) 2012 Current Graduation 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: Rate: 89.2% (511) 89.2% (511) **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool or **Position Determine Respon Effectiv** sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy**

1	1.1. Students are not knowledge able about their credit history and graduation requirement s.	1.1. Begin credit history conferences in the sophomore year and continue twith the reviews at the beginning o each year.	selors and Vice Principal	1.1. Analyze Credit Histories in October and January for seniors and make comparison year to year of progress.	
2	1.2. Students may be unaware of programs which are available as an alternative to dropping out and enabling them to recover failed courses.	recovery through the school 2-H and night school		1.2. Monitor enrollment in 2-H courses	1.2. Roster of student enroll ment. Parent contact logs.

ng

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PGPPTSP **DrDDate** a rrr CdFPgas oeaaeto n crten t L i t Dg eeliayo nvict r tetiefP /lapsoo T/ta rs oSon(Fi purteot ibas.li cjn glo ed(.on ac/e,w nto.e-R d rgaue / P.rps o L, I/p r CPyMo P L on L LCrns Ce,eii asltb F dueo l o ebare c rjsi u eenf s c)go ta r ,n M gd o r n aSi dc t eh o e r ld i eu n vlg ee ls , o(re s. cg h. o, of Ir -e wq iu de en)с y ο

- f m e t i
- i n g s)
- N
- 0
- D
- a
- t
 - a
- S
- u
- b m
- i
- t
- t
 - е
 - d

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

[
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Dropout Prevention** Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement During the 2011-2012 school year, parent sign-in logs indicate that only 12% of parents attend a school function. Our goal for the 2012- 2013 school year is to increase Parent Involvement Goal parent participation in school functions by 4% points to 16%. #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: **Parent Involvement:** 12% 16% **Problem-Solving Process** to Increase Student Achievement Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Used to on Tool or **Position Determine Respon Effectiv** sible for eness of **Monitori Strategy** ng

1 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. The school Provide long Review of Review is a magnet term notice Principal, Sign-in of Signschool of events at Assistant sheets and in sheets which drawsthe school Principal Evaluation and students through forms from Evaluati activities on forms from the the use of entire the school and from southern meetings activities activities half of calendar, and Miami-Dade website meetings County. calendar and ConnectEd messages to provide parents with enough lead time to make arrangemen ts to attend events.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PGPPTSP **DrDDate** a rrr CdFPgas oeaaeto n crten t L i t Dg eeliayo nvict r tetiefP /lapsoo T/ta rs oSon(Fi purteot ibas.li cjn glo ed(.on ac/e,w nto.e-R d rgaue / P.rps o L, I/p r CPyMo P L on L LCrns Ce,eii asltb F dueo l o ebare c rjsi u eenf s c)go ta r ,n M gd o r n aSi dc t eh o e r ld i eu n vlg ee ls , o(re s. cg h. o, of Ir -e wq iu de en)с y ο

- f m e t i n
- i n g s)
- Ν
- 0
- D
- а
- t
- а
- S
- u
- b
- m
- i
- t
- t e
- d

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

r			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **Parent Involvement** Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. STEM	Through collaboration between academy teachers, core subject area teachers and the CAP advisor, RMEC will:
STEM Goal #1:	 Increase student interest in STEM topics increase the number of students who take Advanced Placement courses and STEM -based elective classes expose students and parents to STEM-related career options and scholarship opportunities in STEM majors

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier Or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	Teachers	Use projects	sPrincipal	Teacher	Discu
	feel	that	Vice	involvement	ssion
	overwhel	are well	Principal	in the	with the
	med with	established,	And/or	Fairchild	Fairchild
	work and	such as the	Assistant	Challenge	Challeng
	therefore	Fairchild	Principal		e school
	may not	Challenge,	S		site
	want to	to increase			coordinat
	add to their	collaboratio			or
	workload by	n.			
	collabor				
	ating on				
	projects				

2	1.2. Low studen	1.2. tIndividual	1.2 Science	1.2 Monitor	1.2
	enrollment in STEM courses after the minimum requiremen s are met	present ations/ discussions Whole tclass (i.e.	eering Academy	enrollment	ment
3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
	Parental involvemen	College tFairs, College Nights, Connect ED messages	rs	Parental Response	Surveys

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content , Topic and/o PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants c (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g.,	Follow-up/ Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Provide all teachers with STEM information during a Faculty Meeting	All areas	Academy Leader	All Teachers	Faculty Meeting in December, 2012	Monitor student enrollment in STEM courses during articulation	Principal, Assistant Principal, STEM teacher

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **STEM** Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE

During the 2012-2013 school year, the CTE Teachers will meet with their designated Advisory Boards at least once each semester.

CTE Goal #1:

Problem-Solving Process

to Increase Student

Achievement

Anticipate	Strategy	Person	Process	Evaluati
d Barrier		or	Used to	on Tool
		Position	Determine	
		Respon	Effectiv	
		sible for	eness of	
		Monitori	Strategy	
		ng		

1	A great number of the members of our Academy advisory board are community/ business people and therefore scheduling meeting to accommoda te members is difficult.	early in the morning before their day begins and maintain the	Vice Principal, Academy Lead	members	the sign
---	---	---	---------------------------------------	---------	----------

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PGPPTSP **DrDDate** a rrr CdFPgas oeaaeto n crten t L i t Dg eeliayo nvict r tetiefP /lapsoo T/ta rs oSon(Fi purteot ibas.li cjn glo ed(.on ac/e,w nto.e-R d rgaue / P.rps o L, I/p r CPyMo P L on L LCrns Ce,eii asltb F dueo l o ebare c rjsi u eenf s c)go ta r ,n M gd o r n aSi dc t eh o e r ld i eu n vlg ee ls , o(re s. cg h. o, of Ir -e wq iu de en)с y ο

- f m e t i
- t i g s)
- N
- 0
- D a
- t
- а
- S
- u
- u b
- m
- i
- t
- t
- e
- d

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

End of **CTE** Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

The 2011-2012 Master Schedule indicates that there is one Dual Enrollment course available to students.

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to add two additional Dual Enrollment courses to the Master Schedule.

Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. The 2011-2012 Master Dual Enrollment Participation Schedule indicates that there is one Dual Enrollment course available to students. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to add two additional Dual Enrollment courses to the Master Schedule. Goal

The 2011-2012 Master Schedule indicates that there is one Dual Enrollment course available to students. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to add two additional Dual Enrollment courses to the Master Schedule. Goal #1:

2012 Current level: 2013 Expected level:

3

1

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

> Anticipate Strategy Person Process Evaluati d Barrier or Used to on Tool Position Determine Respon Effectiv

sible for eness of Monitori Strategy ng

1	academic offerings for the 2012- 2013 school year by adding new courses	enrollment courses rto the 2012-2013 l academic schedule	Principal, s Assistant Principal	
---	---	---	--	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PGPPTSP **DrDDate** a rrr CdFPgas oeaaeto n crten t L i t Dg eeliayo nvict r tetiefP /lapsoo T/ta rs oSon(Fi purteot ibas.li cjn glo ed(.on ac/e,w nto.e-R d rgaue / P.rps o L, I/p r CPyMo P L on L LCrns Ce,eii asltb F dueo l o ebare c rjsi u eenf s c)go ta r ,n M gd o r n aSi dc t eh o e r ld i eu n vlg ee ls , o(re s. cg h. o, of Ir -e wq iu de en)с y ο

- fmeetings)

- Ν 0
- D
- а t
- а
- S
- u
- b
- m i
- t
- t
- е
- d

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0 0
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Av aila ble Amo unt
Dual Enrollment Courses	university fees	Principal's Special Purpose Acct	\$2,4 00.0 0
Subtotal: \$2,400.00			

End of **The 2011-2012 Master Schedule indicates that there is one Dual Enrollment course available to students. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to add two additional Dual Enrollment courses to the Master Schedule.**

Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

	JUDGEI			
Goal	Strategy	Descrip tion of Resource s	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science		Consumab les	Breakage & Materials Fees	\$4,000.00
Subtotal: \$4,000.0 0				
Goal	Strategy		Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal: \$0.00				

Goal	Strategy	Descrip tion of Resource s	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Goal	Strategy	Descrip tion of Resource s	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	After Schoo tutoring	hourly tutoring	Principal's hourly account	\$4,000.00
Mathemati cs	Afterschoo I tutoring	Hourly tutoring	Principal's hourly account	\$2,000.00

The 2011- 2012 Master Schedule indicates that there is one Dual Enrollme nt course available to students. Our goal for the 2012- 2013 school year is to add two additional Dual Enrollmen t courses to the Master Schedule.	Enrollmen	Funding for university fees	Principal's Special Purpose Acct	\$2,400.00
Subtotal: \$8,400.0 0				

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Priority

Focus

Prevent

NA

Are you a reward school: Yes No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/11/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

\checkmark Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Awards and Incentives	\$1,500.00
FCAT Students Snacks	\$1,500.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Develop and monitor the School Improvement Plan, review student data to be ensure students are making progress, approve and monitor funds for student awards and incentives.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Dade School District ROBERT MORGAN EDUCATIONAL CENTER 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)		84%	86%	45%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	56%	83%			139	3 ways to make gains:
						Improve FCAT Levels
						Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5
						Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	55% (YES)	76% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					541	

Percent Tested = 99%			Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*			Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Dade School District ROBERT MORGAN EDUCATIONAL CENTER 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)		82%	90%	39%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	58%	78%			136	3 ways to make gains:
						Improve FCAT Levels
						Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5
						Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	55% (YES)	64% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					533	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested

	School Grade*				A	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested
--	---------------	--	--	--	---	---