FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

| School Name: Chester Shell Elementary School | District Name: Alachua |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Principal: Elizabeth S. Hartwell | Superintendent: Dr. Dan Boyd |
| SAC Chair: Meredith Henry | Date of School Board Approval: |

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | Number of Years at Current School | Number of Years as an Administrator Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year) | |
|-----------|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Principal | Elizabeth S. Hartwell | BA Special Education MA – Educational Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership Specific Learning Disab. | 0 | 5 | First two years of administration were spent as Assistant Principal for Curriculum at Fort Clarke Middle School in Gainesville, Florida. Fort Clarke was an 'A' school during both of these years. The past three years have been as an Assistant Principal at Hawthorne Middle High School. The grades during these years were: 2009-10 (D), 2010-11 (C), 2011-12 (pending.) While HMHS struggled with student proficiency, there was considerable growth in the learning |

| | | School Principal Reading Endorsement | | gains of the lowest 25%, especially in the area of mathematics. |
|------------------------|-----|---|--|---|
| Assistant Principal | N/A | | | |

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | Number of Years at Current School | Number of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Reading | Liana Glanville | Specialist in Math, Science and Gifted Education Reading Endorsement Early Childhood ESOL Gifted Endorsement Educational Leadership | 0 | 4 | | |
| Kagan | Melody Hofstetter | BS in Elementary Education from University of Florida, ESOL certification nearly completed Reading Endorsement | 6 | 6 | 08-09 3 of 8 schools made an A, 1 a B and 2 were C's. Two schools made AYP. 07-08 Both assigned schools achieved "A" grades. | |
| Digital Educator / Media Specialist | Mary Gennette Gailey | 1973 BS in Education 1978 M. ED. Early Childhood Elementary Reading Media Specialist Supervision | 4 | 4 | 08-09 School Grade: A AYP: No 07-08 School Grade: C AYP No 06-07 School Grade A AYP: No | |

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | 1 | J |

| 1. | Job fairs – attend regional, host local | District Personnel, Principal | Ongoing |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|---------|
| 2. | Partner new teachers and new to school teachers with veteran staff. | Principal | Ongoing |
| 3. | Provide on-going professional development and provision of substitutes and/or stipends | Principal, District and Title 1 Staff | Ongoing |
| 4. | Provide new teachers with a mentor coach. | District staff, Principal | Ongoing |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| Teachers out of field = 0 Paraprofessionals out of field = 0 Teachers not highly qualified = N/A – waiting for State VAM Scores | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

^{*}When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Total number of Instructional Staff | % of first- year teachers | % of teachers with 1-5 years of experience | % of teachers with 6-14 years of experience | % of teachers with 15+ years of experience | % of teachers with Advanced Degrees | % of teachers with an Effective rating or higher | % of Reading Endorsed Teachers | % of National Board Certified Teachers | % of ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 16 | 19% (3) | 19%(3) | 19%(3) | 44%(7) | 31%(5) | 100% | 13%(2) | 0% | 25%(4) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Melody Hofstetter | Julie McCay/Sarah Parsons | Ms. Hofstetter has extensive experience in Elementary curriculum as well as Kagan structures | District activities as well as weekly sessions at our school site |

| Debbie Gallagher | Kristin Paulson | Ms. Gallagher is the district supervisor for Fine Arts. This will assist Ms. Paulson in teacher her Art classes | District activities as well as weekly sessions at our school site |
|------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | | | |

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

- Extended School Year
- Pull-out tutorial
- Professional Development
- Professional Learning Communities
- Parent Involvement Activities including communication and professional development

Title I, Part C- Migrant

- Migrant tutor
- Support/resources for family school
- Supplemental academics
- Academic coordinator

Title I, Part D

• N/A

Title II

- Literacy Coach
- Mentor Coach
- Digital Educators
- Kagan Professional Development

Title III

- Supplementary materials (ie dictionaries, translated materials)
- District provided ELL instructional support (ie tutors, translators)

Title X- Homeless

• District provided Homeless coordinator

- Materials (i.e. uniforms, bookbags, school supplies, coats)
- Social Services referrals

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

• N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

- Bully Prevention
- Positive Behavior Support
- Too Good for Drugs
- Character Education

Nutrition Programs

- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Back Packs for Hunger
- Summer Meals program
- SBAC Food and Nutrition Department website w/supplemental educational resources and lesson plans.

Housing Programs

• N/A

Head Start

- Instructional Staff
- Materials and supplies
- Participation in school wide events
- Transition to Kindergarten programs
- Exceptional Student Education for Head Start students (Speech/Language, DD)

Adult Education

• N/A

Career and Technical Education

• Career Day

| Job Train | ng | _ |
|---------------|-----|---|
| Job Train •] | J/A | |
| | | |
| Other | | |
| | J/A | |
| | | |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

- Principal
- Student Services Personnel Guidance Counselor, Behavior Resource Teacher
- Instructional Specialists Curriculum Resource Teacher, Tutors, FCIMS facilitators
- General Education Teachers
- Psychologist
- Nurse (as need)
- ESE Teacher (as needed)
- Speech/Language Pathologist (as needed)
- Vision Teacher (as needed)
- OT and PT (as needed)
- ESE Teacher Specialist (as needed)
- Technology Specialists (as needed)
- District Behavior Specialist (as needed)

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

ROLE

• The RtI Leadership team focuses on developing and maintaining a problem-solving system designed to bring out the best in our school, our teachers and our students both academically, socially and behaviorally.

MEETING PROCESS

- The team meets at least monthly (and as needed) to review universal screening data, on-going progress monitoring data at class and grade levels for instructional decisions. The data is also used to identify students who are meeting or exceeding benchmarks, or are at moderate/high risk for not meeting benchmarks. The team identifies necessary professional development and resources.
- For students identified as at risk or in need of additional support, plans are developed to provide improvement in the areas of concern. Follow-up meetings are conducted at regular intervals (6-8 weeks) to review implementation and progress as well as to determine further needs.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

ROLES/FUNCTIONS

Principal ensures common vision and leadership in data driven decision making, implementation of appropriate assessment, interventions, and professional development

aligned with RtI as well as communication with parents.

- Student Services Personnel including the Guidance counselor and behavior Resource Teacher, provide services and expertise on the individual assessment and interpretation of intervention data, program/intervention design and implementation on an individual basis as well as linking families with resources in school and in the community to support academic, social, emotional and behavior success.
- Instructional Specialists including the curriculum Resource Teacher, facilitators and coaches provide guidance in curriculum development, data driven interventions, assessment, ongoing monitoring, systematic pattern analysis, professional development and technical assistance appropriate for Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention plans.
- General Education Teachers provide information about core instruction, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, participate in data collection, collaborate in the development and implementation of Tier 2/3 interventions, integrate Tier 1 materials and instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- Baseline data includes FAIR as reported on Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) as reported by DOE Assessment and Information Management System (AIMS), Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM via On Track Math, On Track Science, Reading and Math Benchmark testing), Writing Prompts, beginning of year reading, math, and fluency assessments. Behavior Previous year's behavior profile when available.
- Progress Monitoring: Curriculum Based Measurements (CBM, DARs, Weekly Chapter Tests, Unit Tests, Big Idea Assessments, Intervention Charting, FCAT Simulations.
- Mid-Year: Florida Assessments of Instruction in Reading (FAIR), On Track Science, On Track Math, Writing prompts and Reading/Math benchmarks.
- End of Year: FAIR, FCAT, On Track Math, On Track Science, Benchmark Reading, Math and Writing Prompt, End of the Year Math and Reading Assessments, End of the year Fluency measure.
- Behavior data will be monitored by the RtI team to set up interventions to help students improve their behavior.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

- Professional development is proved during teacher planning, common teacher planning time, small sessions throughout the year and Professional Learning Communities.
- The RtI team will evaluate additional professional development needs during regularly scheduled Rti Leadership team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

• There will be regularly scheduled meetings of the RtI team in order to provide time for the team to work efficiently and effectively. If needed, teachers will be provided with substitutes in order for the General Education teachers to be a part of the team. Substitutes and release time will also be used for training the team members in the most up to date methods of RtI.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school based Literacy Leadership Team includes the following staff:

- Principal
- Curriculum Resource Teacher/FCIMS Coordinator
- District Literacy Coach
- Media Specialist
- Representative from each grade level team (classroom teacher)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

- The LLT meets weekly to review school based concerns, calendars, student needs, etc.
- In addition, the LLT meets bi-weekly with Team Leaders and individually with teachers to discuss students/ curriculum, review data, identify trends/patterns/needs and identify potential interventions and/or strategies to assist student learning.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Continued consistent follow-up on interventions across Tiers 1, 2 and 3.
- Development of quality Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions for academics and behavior.
- Quality Tier 1 instruction across core curriculum areas with higher order/high yield teaching/questioning strategies.
- Print Rich Environments with implementation of the Gradual Release Model
- Student Work stations with Accountability.
- Consistent and correct use of Kagan structures and strategies

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Activities that promote kindergarten readiness skills are provided for Head Start/VPK parents that can be completed at home promoting appropriate kindergarten skill development. Head Start/VPK and kindergarten students are assessed using state approved assessment tools.

Head Start provides services on campus. In the spring, the school hosts a Kindergarten Round-up to introduce families of incoming kindergarten children to Shell Elementary School. In addition a Kindergarten orientation is held. Head Start students participate in all school-wide student activities that would be deemed appropriate for their age. Parents of Head Start students are invited to all school abased events/activities.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Reading Goals | | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1A: Increase the number of students scoring level 3 or higher on FCAT Reading. Signature of students scoring level 3 or higher on FCAT reading. Signature of students scored Level of Performance:* Signature of Si | • 1A.1.Poor Entry level skills | IA.1. Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs RtI FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based | IA.1. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Science Lab | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | • Students time on task/ou of class/poor attendance /tardiness | IA.2. Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based interventior Parent involvement PLC and activities Kagan Higher Order strategies | | • RtI | IA.2. Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|--|---|-------|-------|--|
| 1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. | 1A.3. | ● Kagan | 1A.3. | 1A.3. | 1A.3. |

| Reading Goal #1B: | 2012 Current Level of | 2013 Expected Level of | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Enter narrative for the | Performance:* | Performance:* | | | | | |
| goal in this box. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | • | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | • | • | • | • |
| | | | | | | | |

| reference to "Guiding Questi | student achievement data a ions," identify and define a t for the following group: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| reduing Godi #211. | Ö | • Lack of Higher Order reasoning and experiences | Enrichment activities Enrichment in extended day Small group explorations GEMS, AIMS, SECME Interactive Technology Collaborative Lesson Studies Literacy PLCs Kagan and CRISS Strategies Work Stations Higher Order Questions/Activities Student Recognition | Teachers | Data Chats Ongoing Progress Monitoring FCIMS Walkthroughs Observations | FCAT Benchmark Testing Program specific assessments Unit tests End of the Year assessments |
| | | 2A.2. • Student Attitudes 2A.3. | Parent Portal School websites Enrichment opportunities Student recognition programs Student artifiacts Extended day enrichment PBS High Yield Strategies | Principal CRT Guidance Counselor BRT Title I Tutors Teachers District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Gifted Teacher 2A.3. | Climate surveys Increased number of students participating in activities Increased number of students earning Principal's Honor Roll 2A.3. | FCAT Benchmark Testing Program specific assessments Unit tests End of the Year assessments Survey results 2A.3. |
| | | Lack of background knowledge and skills | Enrichment activities | ● Principal | ● Data Chats | ● FCAT |

| | | | Enrichment in extended day Small group explorations GEMS, AIMS, SECME Interactive Technology Collaborative Lesson Studies Literacy PLCs Kagan and CRISS Strategies Work Stations Higher Order Questions/Activities Student Recognition programs | Teachers District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Gifted Teacher | • Observations | Benchmark Testing Program specific assessments Unit tests End of the Year assessments |
|---|--|-------|--|---|----------------|--|
| scoring at or above Le Reading Goal #2B: | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | 2B.2. | 2B.2. |
| | | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance.* Performance.* 47%(33) students made gains in FCAT Reading 65% (37) students will make learning gains in Reading. | ● Poor Entry level skills | Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) Science Lab | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats | SA.1. FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals |
| | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | | 3A.2. ■ Principal ■ CRT ■ FCIMS Coordinator | 3A.2. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring | Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | | Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk- throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|-------|---|---|---|--|
| | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. |
| 3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | 3B.1. 3B.2. | 3B.1. 3B.2. |

| 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas is need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* 47% of students in the lowest quartile made gains in FCAT Reading 60% of students in the lowest quartile will make gains in FCAT Reading | ◆ Poor Entry level skills | 4A.1. Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) | 4A.1. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | 4A.1. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Pata Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | 4A.1. FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panels |
| | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Science Lab 4A.2. Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs | 4A.2. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator | 4A.2. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring | 4A.2. • Attendance and Discipline Reports • Benchmark testing |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | 4A.3. | Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling Rtl Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | Contact | ● EPT/RtI follow up | FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|-------|---|---------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | | |

| Objectives (AMOs), iden | chievable Annual Measurable titify reading and mathematics for the following years | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| 5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal | 2010-2011 | White: 47% Black: 28% | White: 52% Black: 34% | White: 57% Black: 41% | White: 61% Black: 47% | White: 66% Black: 54% | White: 71% Black: 61% |
| reference to "Guiding Questi- | student achievement data and ons," identify and define areas in or the following subgroups: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluat | ion Tool |
| | , American Indian) not | 5B.1 .Poor Entry level skills | Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs RtI FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order | | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow | Inter Unit Prog tests Class throu Instruction | chmark tests wention Data Assessments ram Specific sroom walk- ighs uctional Model |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | 5B.2. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks | 5B.2. |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------|
| | | | | | 55.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 5C. English Languag making satisfactory p | e Learners (ELL) not progress in reading. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. |
| Reading Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:* Level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. |
| | | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 5D. Students with Dismaking satisfactory p | abilities (5 WD) not | 5D.1 | 5D.1. | 5D.1. | 5D1. | 5D.1. |
| Reading Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. |
| | | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. | | 5E.1 | 5E.1. | 5E.1. | 5E1. | 5E.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 5E2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. |
| | | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. |

Reading Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus | ± | | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| Kagan Structures | K-5 | Melody Hofstetter, District Kagan Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | |
| Literacy Work Stations | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | |
| Higher Order Question/Activities Webbs, DOK Text Complexity | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | |

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| cription of Resources at Leaps, Triumphs, Focus and Zoom | Funding Source School, SAC, Grants, Title 1 | Amount \$2000.00 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | |
| at Leaps, Triumphs, Focus and Zoom | School, SAC, Grants, Title 1 | \$2000.00 | |
| | 1 | \$2000.00 | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2000.0 |
| | | | |
| cription of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| grams to enhance learning in phonemic reness, phonics, fluency and prehension | School, SAC, Grants | \$500.00 | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1000.0 |
| | | | |
| cription of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| ching on Work Stations to enhance ent achievement | School, District, CREATE | | |
| ching on Kagan Structures to enhance ent achievement | School, District, CREATE | | |
| | | • | Subtotal:\$1000.0 |
| | | | |
| cription of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| ther provides pull-out ediation/Coordinator provides data ysis and helps with teacher data chats | Title 1 | \$92, 800.00 | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal |
| | ching on Work Stations to enhance ent achievement ching on Kagan Structures to enhance ent achievement ching on Resources cher provides pull-out diation/Coordinator provides data | ching on Work Stations to enhance School, District, CREATE School, Dist | ching on Work Stations to enhance School, District, CREATE School, Dist |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| CEL | LA Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Students speak in English a grade level in a manner | and understand spoken English at r similar to non-ELL students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Percent of Studen Proficient in Listening/Speaking Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | | | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | |
| | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | |
| | ext in English in a manner similar ELL students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 2. Students scoring p CELLA Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | |
| | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | |
| | | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | |

| | grade level in a manner similar to LL students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| Enter narrative for the | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| | | | 2.2. | | 2.2. | 2.2. |

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based f | funded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities/materials. | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/l | Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Elementary Mathematics Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| IA. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: Increase the number of students scoring at least a Level 3 on FCAT Math. Increase the number of students scoring at least a Level 3 on FCAT Math. Increase the number of students scoring at least a Level 3 on FCAT Math. Increase the number of students achieved level 3 or higher on FCAT Math. | • Poor Entry level skills | IA.1. Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) | IA.1. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Science Lab Calendar Math | IA1. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats Rtl Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | IA.1. FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals | |

| | ■ Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness ■ Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling Rtl Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in | 1A.3. 1B.1. | Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | 1A.3. 1B.1. | 1A.3. 1B.1. | 1A.3. 1B.1. |

| | this box. | this box. | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. |
| | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area need of improvement for the following group: 2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2A: Increase the number of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on FCAT Math. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics. 2013 Expect Level of Performance achieved devel 4 or higher on FCAT Math. 7% (7) students achieved level 4 or higher on FCAT Math. FCAT Math | 2A.1 Poor Entry level skills * ents evel | 2A.1. Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs RtI FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, | 2A.1. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | 2A1. FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats | 2A.1. FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals |
| | 2A2. • Students time on | GEMs, Calendar Math) Science Lab Calendar Math 2A.2. Parent Portal | 2A.2. • Principal | 2A.2. ● FCIMS | 2A.2. • Attendance and |
| | Students time on task/out of class/poor | Parent Portal Parent Conferences | PrincipalCRT | FCIMSOngoing Progress | Attendance and Discipline Reports |

| | attendance /tardiness | EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| 2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | 2B.1. 2B.2. | 2B.1. 2B.2. | 2B.1. 2B.2. | 2B.1. 2B.2. |

| | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in | 1 | 3A.1. Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs RtI FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, | Responsible for Monitoring 3A.1. Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | | 3A.1. FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals |
| | 3A2. • Students time on | Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) Science Lab Calendar Math 3A.2. Parent Portal | 3A.2. ● Principal | 3A.2. ● FCIMS | 3A.2. ■ Attendance and |
| | task/out of class/poor | Parent Conferences | • CRT | Ongoing Progress | Discipline Reports |

| | attendance /tardiness | EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. |
| 3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goef in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. |

| | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | |
| | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. |
| | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Poor Entry level skills | Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) Science Lab Calendar Math | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats | FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panels |
| | Students time on task/out of class/poor | | 4A.2. ● Principal ● CRT | FCIMS Ongoing Progress | Attendance and Discipline Reports |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | attendance /tardiness | EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling Rtl Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | Contact | ● EPT/RtI follow up | Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|-----------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---|
| | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. |

| Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: | Black: 19% | White: 48% Black: 27% | White: 53% Black: 34% | White: 58% Black: 41% | White: 63% Black: 49% | White: 69% Black: 56% |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluati | ion Tool |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White:39% White:39% White:50% Black: 21% Black:35% Hispanic:N/A Asian:N/A Asian:N/A American Indian: N/A Indian:N/A | 5B.1 Poor Entry level skills | Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs RtI FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacty PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | Interv Unit A Progresses Class throu Instructive | hmark tests vention Data Assessments ram Specific room walk- ghs uctional Model |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | e Learners (ELL) not progress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Performance in this box. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. |
| | | 5C.2. 5C.3. | 5C.2. 5C.3. | 5C.2. 5C.3. | 5C.2. 5C.3. | 5C.2. 5C.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and cions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | abilities (SWD) not progress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in performance in this box. | 5D.1 | 5D.1 | 5D.1 | 5D.1 | 5D.1 |
| | | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. |
| | | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas is for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5E: | advantaged students not rogress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical | | 5E.1 | 5E.1 | 5E.1 | 5E.1 |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | data for current data for expected level of level of performance in this box. this box. | | | | | |
| | | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. |
| | | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| M: 1-11 - C - L 1 M - 41 | -4' Cl- | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| Middle School Mathem | atics Goals | | Problem-Solving Pr | ocess to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achi reference to "Guiding Questions," identi need of improvement for the foll | fy and define areas in | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Level 3 in mather Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | tt 2013 Expected Level of extended: Enter numerical lata for expected level of | 1A.1. | IA.1. | 1A.1. | 1A.1. | 1A.1. | | |
| | · | 1A.2. 1A.3. | 1A.2. 1A.3. | 1A.2. 1A.3. | 1A.2. 1A.3. | 1A.2. 1A.3. | | |
| 1B. Florida Alternate Assessme scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Curren Level of Performance in the performance this box. | mathematics. it 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* ical Enter numerical data for expected level of | 1B.1. | 1B.1. | 1B.1. | IB.1. | IB.1. | | |
| | | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | | |
| | | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | IB.3. | | |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and cions," identify and define areas in the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| | nts scoring at or above l and 5 in mathematics. | 2A.1. | 2A.1. | 2A.1. | 2A.1. | 2A.1. |
| Mathematics Goal #2A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 2A.2. | 2A.2. | 2A.2. | 2A.2. | 2A.2. |
| | | 2A.3. | 2A.3. | 2A.3. | 2A.3. | 2A.3. |
| scoring at or above L | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | 2B.1. | 2B.1. |
| | | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. |
| | | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. | 3A.1. | 3A.1. | 3A.1. | 3A.1. | 3A.1. |
| Mathematics Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | - | | | | |
| | 3A.2. | 3A.2. | 3A.2. | 3A.2. | 3A.2. |
| | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. | 3A.3. |
| 3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | 1 | | | | 3B.1. |
| | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. |
| | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in t for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. | | 4A.1. | 4A.1. | 4A .1. | 4A.1. | 4A.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of verformance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of verformance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 4A.2. | 4A.2. | 4A.2. | 4A.2. | 4A.2. |
| | | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. | 4A.3. |

| Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|--|---|-----------|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: | | | | | | |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas ir need of improvement for the following subgroups: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluati | on Tool |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American Indian: Mittel Mittel | White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: | 5B.1. | | 5B.1. | 5B.1. | |
| | 5B.2. | 5B.2. | 5B.2. | 5B.2. | 5B.2. | |
| | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 5C. English Language making satisfactory p | rogress in mathematics. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. | 5C.1. |
| #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in | | | | | |
| | this box. this box. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. |
| | | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the | abilities (SWD) not rogress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Abilities (SWD) not roget and sevel of performance in this box. | 5D.1. | SD.1. | SD.1. | SD.1. | SD.1. |
| | , | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. |
| | | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| | advantaged students not rogress in mathematics. | 5E.1. | 5E.1. | 5E.1. | 5E.1. | 5E.1. |
| #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of level of level of this box. | | | | | |
| | | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. |
| | | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. | 5E.3. |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| High School Mathematics Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical for the goal in this box. | | 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. | 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. | 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. | 1.2. | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. | 2.2. | 2.1.2.2.2.3. | 2.1.2.2.2.3. | 2.2. 2.3. | 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. | | |
| | μ | 2.3. | ۷.3. | د.ع. | 2.3. | | |

| Based on the analysis of stude reference to "Guiding Questions, need of improvement for | ," identify and define areas in | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Leve Performance Enter data level | 2 Current lel of Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected level of level of level of performance in performance in | 3.1. | 3.1. | 3.1. | 3.1. | 3.1. |
| | | 3.3. | 3.3. | 3.2. | | 3.3. |

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Algebra 1 EOC Goals | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area need of improvement for the following group: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expelevel of performance in this box. | ed * ical cted | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area need of improvement for the following group: | 1.3. Anticipated Barrier | 1.3. Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | 1.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | 1.3. Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievemen Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1. Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical fata for expellevel of performance in this box. | ed * ical cted | 2.1. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | | | |
| | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | | | |

| Objectives (AMOs), idea | achievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| 3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal | Baseline data 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
| reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement | student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroups: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluati | on Tool |
| Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory palgebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | os by ethnicity (White, American Indian) not progress in Algebra 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: White: White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: American Indian: | t | 3B.1. | | 3B.1. | 3В.1. | |
| | | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | |
| | | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical that for expected level of performance in this box. | 7 | 3C.1. | 3C.1. | 3C.1. | 3C.1. |
| | 3C.2. 3C.3. | 3C.2. 3C.3. | 3C.2. 3C.3. | | 3C.2. 3C.3. |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier n | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | T d | 3D.1. | 3D.1. | | 3D.1. |
| | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. |
| | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Questi | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3E. Economically Disa making satisfactory p | advantaged students not rogress in Algebra 1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | · | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. |
| | | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. |

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Geometry | EOC Goals | | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| reference to "Guiding Question | student achievement data and ons," identify and define areas in t for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Geometry. | Achievement Level 3 in | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | HIS 00A. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Question | student achievement data and ons," identify and define areas in t for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Levels 4 and 5 in Geor Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | 2.1. | 2.1. | | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |
| | | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. |

| Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), idea performance targe | | ematics | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|--|---|--|--|-----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal | Baseline data 201 | 11-2012 | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement | ions," identify and defi for the following subgr | ine areas in oups: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | American Indian rogress in Geome 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of |) not etry. Expected of rmance:* numerical for expected of rmance in ox. e: c: tican | 3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: | 3B.1. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. | 3B.1. |
| | | | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. | 3B.2. |
| | | | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. | 3B.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3C. English Language making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3C: | rogress in Geometry. 2012 Current 2013 Expected | 3C.1. | 3C.1. | 3C.1. | 3C.1. | 3C.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Level of Level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 3C.2. | 3C.2. | 3C.2. | 3C.2. | 3C.2. |
| | | 3C.3. | 3C.3. | 3C.3. | 3C.3. | 3C.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Quest | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 3D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p | abilities (S (D) not | 3D.1. | 3D.1. | 3D.1. | 3D.1. | 3D.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. | 3D.2. |
| | | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. | 3D.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Questi | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3E. Economically Disa making satisfactory p | advantaged students not rogress in Geometry. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. | 3E.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Level of level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. | 3E.2. |
| | | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. | 3E.3. |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content/Topic Grade Level/ and/or PLC Focus Subject | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Kagan Structures | K-5 | Melody Hofstetter, District Kagan Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | |
| Literacy/math Work Stations | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | |
| Higher Order Question/Activities Webbs, DOK | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | |

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activitie | s/materials and exclude district funded activities | /materials. | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Great Leaps in Math | Tier 2 and Tier 3 math intervention for math fluency | School | \$0.00 | |
| Calendar Math | Tier 1 math instruction in classroom | School | \$0.00 | |
| | | | | Subtotal:\$0.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Web based interactive programs | Interactive Programing for problem solving and math fluency | School, Title 1 | \$500.00 | |
| Interactive White Boards | Interactive tool to enhance lesson delivery, student engagement and hands on participation | District | \$0.00 | |
| | | | • | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Calendar Math Training | Training by District personnel | District | \$0.00 | |
| Kagan Structures | Coaching in Structures to enhance student engagement and achievement | School, District, Title 1 | \$500.00 | |
| | | 2 | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Release time for Coaching and planning | Need to hire substitutes | School, SAC, Title 1 | \$500.00 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| | | | | Total:\$1,500.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Elementary and Middle Science Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1A: Increase the number of students scoring Level 3 or higher on FCAT Science 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 24% (9) students achieve level 3 or higher on FCAT Science 35%(II) students achieve level 3 or higher on FCAT Science FCAT Science | ● Poor Entry level skills | Implementation of 2011- 12 Core curriculum – National Geographic Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, | CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Science Lab Calendar Math | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | FCAT FAIR Benchmark tests Intervention Data Unit Assessments Program Specific tests Classroom walk-throughs Instructional Model review Promotion Panals |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | | | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling Rtl Implementation of 2011- 12 Core curriculum – National Geographic Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | • FCIMS • Ongoing Progress Monitoring • Walk-throughs • Observations • Data Chats • RtI • Data Notebooks • EPT/RtI follow up | Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|

| 1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5 | or inspension of the control | IB.1. | 1B.1. | 1B.1. | 1B.1. | 1B.1. |
|--|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1B.2. |
| | | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Questi | student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas t for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Achievement Levels 4 Science Goal #2A: Increase the number of students scoring level 4 or higher on FCAT Science | and 5 in science. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 38% (4) students achieved level 4 our higher on FCAT Science PCAT Science | <u> </u> | Implementation of 2011- 12 Core curriculum – National Geographic Pull out tutorials Intervention groups Teacher tutors Engagement tutors Interactive Print rich environments Work stations Extended day reading tutoring Student Recognition programs Rtl FCIMS Collaborative Lesson Studies Kagan Literacy PLCs Interactive White Boards Web based interventions Higher order Questioning strategies Research based programs (Great Leaps, UFLI, Triumphs, Numbers World AIMS, GEMs, Calendar Math) Science Lab Calendar Math | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations | • FCAT • FAIR • Benchmark tests • Intervention Data • Unit Assessments • Program Specific tests • Classroom walk-throughs • Instructional Model review • Promotion Panals |

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

| | 2A.2 | 2A.2 | 2A.2 | 2A.2 | 2A.2 |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Implementation of 2011 12 Core curriculum – National Geographic Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Kagan Interactive White Board Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats | Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walk-throughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |
| 2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. | 2A.3. 2B.1. | programs Benchmark celebrations 2A.3. | 2A.3. 2B.1. | 2A.3. 2B.1. | 2A.3. 2B.1. |

| | Level of | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| goal in this box. | performance in | data for expected level of | | | | | |
| | | | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. | 2B.2. |
| | | | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. | 2B.3. |

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| High Schoo | ol Science Goals | | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| reference to "Guiding Ques | Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Ques | f student achievement data, and tions", identify and define areas in nt for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2. Florida Alternate A scoring at or above L Science Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for expected data for expected lata for expected data for expected | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| | level of level of performance in this box. this box. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Biology 1 EO | OC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Students scoring at Acl Biology 1. | | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Leve Performance Enter data level | ormance in performance in | | | | | |
| | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |
| Based on the analysis of stude reference to "Guiding Questions," need of improvement for | " identify and define areas in | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2. Students scoring at or a Levels 4 and 5 in Biology | | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. |
| Biology 1 Goal #2: 2012 Leve Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter lata level | 2 Current el of Level of Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in | | | | | |
| | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. |
| | | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. |
| | | | l | | | |

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Kagan Structures | K-5 | Melody Hofstetter, District Kagan Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | | |
| Literacy Work Stations | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | | |
| Higher Order Question/Activities Webbs, DOK | K-5 | Liana Glanville, District Literacy Coach | Schoolwide | Coacking and Team planning during first month with subsequent coaching each week throughout the year. | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, Lesson plans, Coaching Sessions | Principal, CRT | | | | | | |

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activit | ies/materials and exclude district funded act | ivities/materials. | |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| National Geographic Science text and supplemental materials | Integrated, web based, interactive science curriculum | District | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal:0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Web based Science programs and support materials | Discovery Ed., Brain Pop, etc. | District, school, SAC | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal:\$500.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Kagan Structures | Coaching on structures to enhance student engagement and achievement | District, School | \$0.00 |
| Higher Order Questioning/Activities – | Coaching on implementing Webb's DOK | District, School | \$500.00 |

| Webbs DOK | into classroom activities | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Substitutes | Substitutes to provide release time for teacher inservice. | Title 1 | \$500.00 | |
| | | | | Subtotal:\$1,000 |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Continued updating of Science Lab | Designated classroom space set up for teachers to conduct labs and exploratory activies | School, SAC, Grants | \$200.00 | |
| Parent Involvement Activities | Parent Literacy Night focused on Science | Title 1, SAC | \$700.00 | |
| | | - | ' | |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$900.00 |
| | | | | Total:\$2,400.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Writing Goals | S | | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1A: Increase the percent of students scoring level 3 or higher on FCAT Writes 2012 Current Level of Performance 53% (16) students score Level 3 or higher for FCAT Writing | tt. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | IA.1. • Lack of pre-requisite skills in elaboration. | Practice writing prompts 5 times per year. Targeted Writing instruction Writing across the curriculum Daily journal writing Writer's workshop | Principal CRT Classroom teachers Title 1 Teacher ESE Teacher | IA.1. Informal and formal writing prompts throughout the year | I A.1. FCAT Writes Benchmark testing |
| | | Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness Students time on task/out of class/poor attendance /tardiness | Parent Portal Parent Conferences EPTs Tutoring Work stations Extended Day Counseling RtI Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBS Interactive White Boards Web based intervention Parent involvement PLC and activities | Principal CRT FCIMS Coordinator Guidance Counselor BRT Title 1 Lead Teacher Title I Teacher Tutor District Kagan Coach District Literacy Coach Teachers Parent Involvement Contact | FCIMS Ongoing Progress Monitoring Walk-throughs Observations Data Chats RtI Data Notebooks EPT/RtI follow up | Attendance and Discipline Reports Benchmark testing FAIR FCAT Curriculum Specific Unit assessments Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Review Observations Promotion panels |

| | | | Calendar Math Kagan Higher Order strategies Student Recognition programs Benchmark celebrations | | | |
|---|--|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| | Ī | 1A.3. | 1A.3. | 1A.3. | 1A.3. | 1A.3. |
| 1B. Florida Alternate Assessing at 4 or higher in writing Goal #1B: Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter num data for civel of performant this box. | ting. Trent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* merical Enter numerical data for expected level of nce in performance in this box. | | | | | 1B.1. |
| |] | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. | 1B.2. |
| | j | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. | 1B.3. |

Writing Professional Development

| Profe | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | | | |
| DOE Writing Workshop Grade 4 DOE Grade 4 teachers and CRT Early September Lesson plans, Writing prompts, benchmark tests | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Team planning early in the year and then coaching model. | Lesson plans, walk throughs, observations | Principal | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded | activities/materials and exclude district funded acti | vities/materials. | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materia | als(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Interactive Whiteboards | Instructional Tool which enhances student engagement, interaction and hands-on opportunities. | District | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| DOE Writing Workshop | Teachers will be taught the scoring technics for the Florida Writes test | District | \$0.00 | |
| Writer's Workshop Training | Literacy Coach will coach teachers on using this model during the reading enrichment portion of their day. | District | \$0.00 | |

| | | | | Subtotal:\$0.00 |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Parent Involvement Activities | Parent Literacy Night focused on Writing | Title 1, SAC | \$70000 | |
| | | | | Subtotal:\$700.00 |
| | | | | Total:\$700.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Civics EOC G | oals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Based on the analysis of student acl reference to "Guiding Questions," iden need of improvement for the fo | nievement data and tify and define areas in | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1. Students scoring at Achieve Civics. Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Curre Level of Performance Enter nume data for curlevel of performance this box. | ement Level 3 in 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of | | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | |
| | | 1.3. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.3. | |
| Based on the analysis of student acl reference to "Guiding Questions," iden need of improvement for the fo | tify and define areas ir | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 2. Students scoring at or abov Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Curre Level of Performance Enter numerical ata for curre level of performance this box. | ent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* erical data for expected level of | | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.2. | 2.2. | |
| | | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | |

Civics Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC school-wide) PD Participants Farget Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Civics Duaget (msci | / | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Include only school-base | d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun | nded activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s | s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| U.S. History EOC Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. | I d | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | |
| | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.3. | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box. | I d | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | 2.1. | |
| | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | 2.3. | |

U.S. History Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Person or Position Responsible for | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based fun | ded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | terials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 1 | - | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ' | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | ' | <u>'</u> | <u>'</u> | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Attendance Goal(s) | Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Attendance Goal #1: Increase rate of student attendance. Increase rate of student attendance. Attendance rate for 11-12 is 99.7% 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 33% (72) students had 10 or more absences in 11-12 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10 or more absences. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 33% (72) students had 10 or more absences. 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 33% (72) students had 10 or more absences. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 33% (72) students had 10 or more absences. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 33% (72) students had 10 or more tardies in 11-12 | achievement | • Parent Portal • Student planners, • EPTs and Parent Conferences • Guidance Counseling • Parent activities • Breakfast w/ Mom – Dad • Home Visits | Principal Guidance counselor Title 1 Parent Involvement Contact and Lead teacher 1.2. | Bi-weekly review of attendance reports and EPT follow-up 1.2. | ● End of year attendance reports. 1.1. 1.2. |

Attendance Professional Development

| Professi | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | |
| Parent Involvement PLC | K-5 | Counselor, BRT, Title 1 Lead Teacher, Parent Involvement Contact | School wide | MAAATESS CHIFTENI | Attendance reports and student achievement | Principal, Guidance Counselor | | | | |
| Bully Prevention and Creating Safe Environments Conducive to a healthy learning environment | K-5 | BRT, Guidance Counselor | | | Reduction in referrals, absences and tardies | Principal | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activiti | ies/materials and exclude district funded activ | vities /materials. | |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Positive Behavior Support | Students are positively encouraged to make the right decisions. | SAC | \$0.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |

| Other | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| PBS incentives for attendance | Students will be given incentives for quarterly attendance awards | SAC | \$250.00 | |
| | | | Subt | total:\$250.00 |
| | | | Т | Total:\$250.00 |

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Suspension Goal(s) | , , | | | ecrease Suspension | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Suspension Goal #1: Reduce the number of in and out of school suspensions. There were 2 ISS incidents in 2012 2012 Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions There were 2 ISS incidents in 2013 2012 Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School Suspensions There were and It is a suspended Number of Students will be assigned to ISS 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions There were 41 (85 In the will be 20 out of school suspensions in 2013. There were 41 (85 In the will be 20 out of school suspensions in 2013. 2012 Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions in 2013. 2012 Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspensions in 2013. 2013 There will be 20 out of school suspensions in 2013. 2014 Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 15% (29) students were suspended out of school 15% (29) students were suspended out of school | Lack of social skills, impulse control, anger management, and decision making | Student planners Parent portal Parent conferences Phone contacts EPTs New School wide behavior system School wide PBS Tier 2/Tier three intervention, Counseling Bully prevention Engagement strategies Classroom observations BIP/FBA Student recognition programs mentoring | BRT Guidance Counselor ESE Teacher Principal | | • End of year discipline report comparison 1.1. |
| | 1.2. | 1.2. | | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Suspension Professional Development

| Professi | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | <u> </u> | Please note that each Strateg | y does not require a professional | development or PLC activity. | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | |
| Positive Behavior Support | K-5 | Leadership Team | School wide | Nentemper and week iv | Discipline records, achievement data | Principal, BRT | | | | |
| School wide Positive Discipline | K-5 | BRT, Counselor, Principal | School wide | Ongoing group, team and individual instruction on behavior and school wide discipline | Discipline records, observations, CWT | Principal, BRT | | | | |
| Bully prevention | K-5 | Leadership Team | | Ongoing beginning in Sentember | Parent, Staff, Student surveys, Discipline referrals, CWT | Principal, BRT | | | | |

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activ | vities/materials and exclude district funded act | rivities /materials. | |
|---|--|----------------------|------------------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| School wide Positive Behavior Management | PBS Strategies, | School, SAC, Grants | \$300.00 |
| | | | Subtotal:\$300.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtota |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Positive Behavior Support Strategies | School wide positive Discipline strategies | School, SAC, Grants | \$0.00 |
| Bully Prevention In-service | Workshop | School, SAC, Grants | \$0.00 |
| | , | | Subtota |

| Other | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Parent Involvement Activities | Materials and supplies for regular activities Breakfast with Moms and Dads, Family days, Conference, etc. | School, SAC, Grants, Title 1 | \$900.00 |
| | | | Subtotal:\$900.00 |
| | | | Total:\$1,000.00 |

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Dropout Prevention Goal(s) | | | Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|-----------------|------|
| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1. Dropout Prevention | 1 | | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| | | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* | | | | | |
| Enter narrative for the goal | | Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box. | | | | | |
| in this box. | Graduation Rate:* | | | | | | |
| *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school | Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box. | Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box. | | | | | |
| year. | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | _ | | Please note that each Strategy does not | require a professional developmen | t or PLC activity. | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g. , Farly Release) and Schedules (e.g. , Frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funde | ed activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | rials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to (35)).

PARENT INVOLVEMENT PLAN HAS NOT YET BEEN RELEASED BY DOE.

(PIP) please include a direct you to this

the percentage (e.g. 70%

| (35)). | | | - | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Parent Involv | Parent Involvement Goal(s) | | | Problem-se | orving 1 rocess to 1 | arent involvement | |
| "Guiding Questions," identif | Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| #1: Increase the number of instances that parents are involved in an activity on campus | 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:* There were 357 documented instances of parents participating in activities on campus | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:* There will be 450 documented instances of parents participating in activities on campus | Parents are not participating in school activities | Student planners Parent Portal Websites Marquee Phone homes School wide newsletter Classroom newsletters Vary time of school activities Breakfast with the principal Breakfasts for more and dads Parent conferences night Provide child care parent in-service opportunities. Parent Involvement Coordinator | Committee at for | • Palent Surveys | Comparison of parent involvement data from previous year and this year's parental involvement. |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |

| • Identify strategies to assist parents with limited parental resources to support their children's academics needs | Make it/Take it events Literacy Events Parent Resource Center Child Care Parent Involvement Coordinator Conferencing | ● Principal | Title 1 records of parent involvement Contact logs, EPTS Parent surveys | Comparison of parent involvement data from previous year with this year's parent involvement data. |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Increase Parent/School/Co mmunity Communiction | Student planners Marquee Parent portal Staff and school websites Newsletters Participation in city events and activities Newspaper articles Recognition programs for family and business support Literacy events Invite community members to participate in school events Support reading, math, science and writing intiatives | Title 1 Lead teacher Title 1 Parent Coordinator, Principal | Communication logs, Sign in sheets EPTs Comate surveys | Comparison of parent involvement data from previous year with this year's parent involvement data Comparison of parent involvement data |

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Book study – 101 Ways to Create Real Family Engagement - Building Better schools by Engaging Support Staff | | Title 1 Lead Teacher | School wide | September-May | Follow-up book study activities | Principal |
| District Training on website development | K-5 | Digital Educators | School wide | September, then ongoing coaching | Observation | Principal |
| | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget

| Include only school-based funded activi | ties/materials and exclude district funded acti | vities /materials. | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtota |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Parent portal, School Website (Edline) teacher websites (Ed line) | Parents will be able to access school related information via the internet by using these platforms | School, SAC | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtota |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Book Study | Enhancing parent engagement | Title 1 | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtota |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Parent Literacy Events and work shops | Opportunities to help parents help their children. | Title 1 | \$2,100.00 (already allocated in other sections) |
| | | | Subtota |
| | | | Total:\$500.0 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| STEM Goal(s) | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| STEM Goal #1: | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

STEM Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or School-wide) Person or Position Responsible and Schedules (e.g., frequency of Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring) Person or Position Responsible meetings) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based fund | led activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | erials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

| CTE Goal(s) | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| CTE Goal #1: | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | 1.2. | 1.2 | 1.2. | 1.2 | |
| | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | |
| | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | |

CTE Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PLC Leader PLC Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Monitoring | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based fun | ded activities/materials and exclude district fun | nded activities /materials. | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | terials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | • | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Addition | al Goal(s) | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | t |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|-----------------|------|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1. Additional Goal | h012 Ct | 0012 F | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
| Enter narrative for the goal in this box. | Level :* Enter numerical data for current | 2013 Expected Level :* Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box. | | | | | |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Additional Goals Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) Person or Position Responsible for | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based fu | nded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/M | laterials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | , | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | • | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Please provide the total budget from each section. | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Reading Budget | |
| | Total: 95,300.00 |
| CELLA Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| Mathematics Budget | |
| | Total:\$1,500.00 |
| Science Budget | |
| | Total:\$2,400.00 |
| Writing Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| Civics Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| U.S. History Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| Attendance Budget | 1000000 |
| Titteridance Budget | Total:\$250.00 |
| Suspension Budget | I σειτιφωσσούσο |
| Suspension Budget | Total:\$1,000.00 |
| Duran and Duran dian Durk | 10ta1.\$1,000.00 |
| Dropout Prevention Budget | T. 4. LO 00 |
| D (I I (D I (| Total:0.00 |
| Parent Involvement Budget | m . 1050000 |
| | Total:\$500.00 |
| STEM Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| CTE Budget | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| Additional Goals | |
| | Total:0.00 |
| | |
| | Grand Total: \$100,950.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

| neuder, 3. Select ON, this will place | c un x in the box. | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | School Diffe | rentiated Accountabi | lity Status | |
| | Priority | Focus | Prevent | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Are you reward school? Yes | No | | | |
| (A reward school is any school that | has improved their let | ter grade from the prev | vious year or any A | graded school.) |
| Upload a copy of the Diffe | rentiated Accountabilit | ty Checklist in the desi | gnated upload link | on the Upload page |
| School Advisory Council (SA | AC) | | | |
| SAC Membership Compliance | , | | | |
| | | | | f the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, |
| racial, and economic community se | ` | | · · | ss and community members who are representative of the ethnic, ag <i>Yes</i> or <i>No</i> below. |
| | | , | | 5 |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| If No, describe the measures being | taken to comply with | SAC requirements. | | |
| | | | | |
| Describe the activities of the SAC | for the upcoming school | ol year. | | |
| | 0.00 | | | |
| | | | | is in all areas of the School Improvement Plan, Differentiated A cuss needs and concerns as expressed by the various members of |
| making decisions regarding the | | | | cuss needs and concerns as expressed by the various members of |
| <u> </u> | | 1 | | |
| | | | | |

Amount

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

| Student Recognition and Incentives | \$800.00 |
|--|-----------|
| Field trips to enhance student background knowledge and experiences in accordance with the School Improvement Plan | \$1500.00 |
| Staff training, stipends and substitutes related to the SIP goals | \$500.00 |