# Florida Department of Education



School Improvement Plan (SIP)

# for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012-2013

#### 2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: Highridge 3024	District Name: Palm Beach
Principal: Joseph Lee, Assistant Superintendent	Superintendent: Wayne Gent
SAC Chair: Antoinette Porter	Date of School Board Approval: December, 2012

## **Student Achievement Data:**

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

#### **Administrators**

List your school's on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Princ ipal, Director	Dr. Joseph Lee Ed.D-Education		Assistant Superintendent , 2012		Acknowledged as Turn Around Principal for the significant Improvement of an elementary school in the Palm Beach School
		El. Educations GR. 1-6 Esol Endorsement			
		Gifted Endorsement			
		School Principal All Levels			

Assistant	Linda Mackin, Assistant	Master Ed.	4	11	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing group to
Principal	Principal	Leadership			report rating
		Florida Certification:			
		Biology 6 - 12			AP-Highridge: 2010-2011
		School Principal (all			Reading Declining
		levels)			Math Improving
					Overall Rating: Declining
					AP-Highridge: 2009-2010 maintaining (Reading maintaining, Math improving)
					Overall Rating: Maintaining
					Highridge
					2008 – 9
					Reading: maintaining
					Math: declining
					Overall Rating: Declining
					Mastery Reading: 38%, Math 38%
					AP 2007 – 8 Eagle Academy:
					Mastery AYP Met in Reading and Math

# **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of Years at	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
Area		Certification(s) Current School		an Instructional Coach	along with the associated school year.
	Funding not available for coaches this year.			Instructional Coach	

# **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
			Years at	an	data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
Area		Certification(s)	Current School		
				Instructional	along with the associated school year.
				Teacher	j
	Noreen Ikonen	Master Mathematics and	3	25	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing
		Emotionally Disturbed			group to report rating
Math High					
School		Prof. Cert Math 6-12, EH			
		K-12, ESOL endorsed			
					FY11: Math Rating Improving

Math 6-8	Krishen Rambarran	Bachelor Prof. Cert Math grades 5-9	2	14	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing group to report rating
Reading/ English Language Arts	Rebecca Tedesco	Bachelor Prof. Cert. English 6-12	1	13	FY11 and FY12: Math Rating Improving FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing group to report rating
		Reading Endorsed ESOL Endorsed			FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing group to report rating
					FY 11: Reading Declining FY 10 (Eagle Academy): Reading Improving
Support Facilitator	Mary Taylor	Master Reading  Prof Cert Ed Med Spec PK-12, Reading K-12, VE K-12, ESOL K-12	2	12	FY11: Reading Declining
Science 5 - 12	Dr. Donald Buddle	Ph.D Agriculture Science  Prof Cert Ag 6-12, Bio 6-12, Ed Lead All, ESE K-12, Gen Sci 5-9, MG Endorsed, Reading Endorsed	1	32	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing group to report rating  No prior data

2012-2013	<del></del>	Tian Juvenne Justice Educ	ation i rogran	15	
Social	Leila Ajlani	Bachelor Ed. Social	2	2	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing
Studies/		Science			group to report rating
Reading 6-					
8		Prof. Cert. El Ed K-6,			
		English 6-12, Soc Science			
		6-12, Reading Endorsed			FY11: Reading Declining
					FY10: Reading maintaining
Social	Gerald Glocker	Bachelor Education VE	2	13	FY12: Highridge, not enough students in testing
Studies		K12			group to report rating
High					
School		Prof Cert ESOL K-12, MG			
		Integrated, VE K-12			
					No Prior data
Reading,	Mary Beth Roberts	Bachelor in Education,	12	21	No Prior Data
HS		Prof. cert: Mental			
		Retardation K12, SLD			
		K12, Psychology 6-12,			
		Reading Endorsed, ELL			
		Endorsed, English 5-9.			

# **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable	
			(If not, please explain why)	
1. Recruiting: as needed, attend District Job Fairs	Manager Personnel, Assistant	ongoing		
	Principal, Area Superintendent			
2. Retaining: new teachers are paired with veteran staff	Assistant Principal	ongoing		
and work with Assistant Principal and mentor in the				
Educator Support Program				

3. Retaining: All teachers participate in professional development to support instruction and have opportunities at learning team meetings to work with teachers in same content area from other schools	Assistant Principal, Profession Development Team	ongoing	

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	Not applicable

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

То	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
tal	of	of	of	of	of		Re	Na	
Nu	Fir	Te ach	Те	Te	Те	gh	ad	tio	ES
m	st-	ach	ach	ach	ach	ly	ing	nal	OL
ber	Ye	ers	ers	ers	ers	Eff	En	Во	End
of	ar	with	with	with	wi	ect	dor	ard	orse
In	Te	1-5	6-	15+	th	ive	sed	Ce	0150

2012	-201	J SCII	1001 11	mpro	V CIIIC	11 t I .	ıan o	uvcn	inc ot
str	ach	Yea	14	Yea	Ad	Te	Te	rtif	d
uc	ers	rs of	Yea	rs of	van	ac	ach	ied	
tio		Exp	rs of	Exp	ced	her	ers	Те	Tea
nal		erie	Exp	erie	De	S		ac	cher
Sta		nce	erie	nce	gre			her	S
ff			nce		es			S	
7	0	12	50	38	42	10	50	0%	63
(sh		% (	%	%	%	0%	%		%(
are		1 of	(4)	(3)	(3)		(4),		5),
d		8)					plu		plus
bet							s 1		1
we							pen		pen
en							din		din
thr							g		g
ee									
(3)									
Alt									
ern									
ati									
ve									
Sc									
ho									
ols									
:									
Hi									
ghr									
idg									
e,									
C									
A									
RP									
,									
Ke									
lly									

## **Teacher Mentoring Program**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor	Mentee	Rationale for	Planned
Name	Assigned	Pairing	Mentoring
			Activities

No new	No new	
teachers.	teachers.	

\*Grades 6-12 Only- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

1. In collaboration with the Highridge Family Residential Center, students read 20 minutes nightly (Monday through Thursday) and record in their journal composition book.

- 2. Build vocabulary school wide: teachers will develop weekly vocabulary lists to be used by all teachers to reinforce ownership of vocabulary by students (use it 17 times and it is yours). Students will keep vocabulary section in each content area of their school binder.
- 3. Biweekly school level meeting with teachers, and assistant principal discussion on what's working and what is not working, basing on common assessments, diagnostics, FAIR, oral reading fluency and SRI data and common assessments to be determined.
- 4. All non-reading teachers will participate in Content Area Reading Strategies training throughout the year with peers and district training.
- 5. Walkthroughs following Marzano observations methods will be conducted by administrators to ensure all teachers are teaching reading strategies.

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- 1. The majority of students in this school are enrolled for 90 days (60 school days) after which they return to their home SAC school.
- 2. All students participate in the online "Choices" program, taking the Learning and Interest Inventories.
- 3. All students participate in a presentation by the Career/Graduation coach during the Student Orientation on the first day enrolled in classes. High School students have individual or small group follow-up meetings with Career/Graduation upon request by student or parent.
- 4. Students explore career opportunities in their content courses through teacher instruction and research opportunities.
- 5. Students are enrolled in six classes while at this school and if Intensive Reading and Intensive Math are not required per state requirements, students are enrolled in a career exploration course.
- 6. The relationships between subjects and relevance to the students' future are applied through project based instruction and the implementation of Career Courses offered (if students not enrolled in Intensive Reading and/or Intensive Math. Resources from the state adopted textbooks which are designed for intensive instruction will be utilized. Computerized programs or instructional software in addition to Internet Instructional Websites such as FCAT Explorer, Discovery Education, Destination, and National Geographic Kids will also be utilized. Teachers will utilize instructional strategies or best practices discussed in Professional Learning Communities to provide different methods of providing instruction to student in non-mastered areas. Resources and strategies provided at professional development workshops will also be utilized. Students will also participate in tutorial session provided through Title I, Part D.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?
Students are enrolled in this school for 90 days (60 school days) and return to their home SAC school. The guidance counselor meets with students to review career goals and academic plans. The Graduation/Career coach meets with students and parents to discuss graduation option and assists with planning Career exploration events. All students complete Choices Career Learning Styles and Interests Inventory with Resource Teacher and spend 200 minutes a month in Career Exploration.
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u> .  Student will be allowed to participate in E2020 credit recovery in an effort to increase their Grade Point Average (GPA) for
graduation purposes. The guidance counselor meets with students upon their arrival to the program to review and discuss
their plans for success and ensure that they have the correct courses they need to prepare them for graduation. Students are made aware of graduation requirement. Students participate in a Career Fair during the school year, at
which professionals from the local communities come out and provide students with information regarding their careers.

#### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## **Reading Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

### **Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process**

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
READING GOALS	Solving			
READING GOALS	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

2012-2013 School Imp		<u>'lan Juvenil</u>	<u>e Justice Education</u>			
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the following				Strategy		
group:						
1. Percentage of students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
making learning gains—						
	Ct. doute	Cahaalida	A anintant Duin aim al	EAID Joko	DMDNI	
				FAIR data	PMRN	
in reading.	have varied		Teachers	CDI 1	CDI 1	
		lists to be		SRI data	SRI data reports	
$\vdash$	levels and	used by all				
	classes are	teachers and			Vocabulary Test	
Reading Goal #1:	multiple	to be kept			grades	
	grade levels.	in student		Vocabulary Tests		
		binders.				
		Marzano				
		6-STEPS				
		Building				
		Academic				
		Vocabulary				
		research-				
		based				
		strategies				
		in all				
		classrooms.				
		Students				
		will keep				
		notebooks.				
		Usage				
		supported				
		through Word				
		Walls and				
		repetition				
		in content				
	ĺ	classes.				

2012-2013 School Imp	I OVCINCIIL I	ian Juvenn	c sustice Education	i i i ugi aiiis		
Once common assessment instrument for Reading is determined, 70% of students participating in program for 10 or more weeks (50 school days) will demonstrate gains in reading.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Data not available at this time. None of the students were in attendance for more than 90 days.	students				
		Number of days student enrolled in program at school (3 month program)	1.2. Student data will be reviewed by teacher	Administrator	1.2. Student data profiles through EDW	

2012-2015 School Improvement Plan Juvenn				
1.3.	1.3. Students will	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
	receive differentiated			
		A during at madic m	LVID	C4 - 1 4 : -
110,141118		Administration		Student progress is
	analysis of prior year			monitored through
students in	FCAT, FAIR Reading	Reading Teacher	SRI	assessment between
mixed-ability	Comprehension, Maze			testing periods. Lesson
classroom		ESE contact		plans will be reviewed
populations	results and teacher			by administration for
and	observation:	Support Facilitators		differentiated instruction
and				and observed during
frequency	Ti i. D. t			
		School Based Team	through Core K12	classroom walkthroughs.
	core instructional			
	needs by reviewing			
	assessment for all.			
	Plan differentiated			
	instruction using			
	evidence-based			
	instruction/intervention			
	within 100 minute			
	reading block.			
	Tier ii: Plan			
	supplemental			
	instruction/intervention			
	for students not			
	responding to core			
	instruction. Focus			
	of instruction is			
	determined by review			
	of assessment data			
	and will include after			
	school and in-school			
	tutorial remediation/			
	enrichment.			
	Tier iii: Provide			
	additional learning			
	opportunities before			
	and during schools			
	utilizing alternate			
	strategies and materials.			

	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	
	Experience in using	Teachers incorporate "Checks for	*	Review of lesson plans for checks	CoreK12	
		Understanding" throughout a lesson		for understanding. Walkthroughs		
		(e.g., fist or five, thumbs up/down/ middle and student				
		accountable talk) to ensure students				
		are obtaining the knowledge and skills				
		to answer the Essential Question by the end of				
		class with a final Check for Understanding				
		(e.g., exit ticket, journal response, and board races).				

2012-2013 School Improvement P	lan Juvenil	e Justice Education	Programs		
	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
	Awareness of performance Level and ability: Classroom time for teachers to meet with students individually.	Teachers will utilize time during a 15 – 20 independent reading or independent "You Do" time to conference with students individually at least once every three weeks to review progress and set goals. Supported with Administrator and Guidance data chats. Progress will be tracked through FAIR and Toolkit Assessments, Core K12 Interim Benchmark assessments.		documentation of conferences	AP/Guidance conferences with student to determine awareness of learning. and discuss.  PMRN, Core K12
	experience in writing scales. Training students in how to use scales.				1.6 Core K12 assessments

Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs), Reading and							
Math Performance Target							

2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Not applicable. The number of students accountable at this school site was less than 10. Accountable students included students who were present for February FTE, tested at the school site and had date for two (2) prior years).			
Reading Goal #2:  Reduce achievement gap by 10% or match District reduction in achievement gap.				

# **Reading Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School In PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Florida Assessment of Instruction in Reading (FAIR) and	5 - 12	Mary Taylor, Mary Beth Roberts	Reading Teachers, AP,	Sept. 13, 2011 training of AP	FAIR Reports	Assistant Principal
PMRN training for utilizing data				Sept. 6, Teacher training		
				Learning Team meetings		
Using Common Core, EDW and Item Specifications to develop student information for progress monitoring	All teachers grades 5-12	Quality Assurance support team, District training	All Teachers	Sept., 2011 – June 2012	Administrative classroom walkthroughs	Administration
Content Area Reading Strategies and CRISS training	All teachers grades 5-12		ALL Teachers	Biweekly staff meeting and lesson study meetings	Observed implementation through walkthroughs and lesson plans	Administration
Marzano Building Academic Vocabulary Six Step Training	5 -12	Study Group	All Teachers	Early Release and/or during Staff Meetings September 2011	Vocabulary Tests, Reading Comprehension in FAIR and through Core K12	Assistant Principal

Oct. 24

Palm Beach Writes Scores

FCAT Writes and

Palm Beach Writes Rubric 5-10

District

English Teacher

English Teacher and AP

Social Studies/ Science Literacy using Common Core	5-12	North Area Trainer	MG and HS Social Studies 6-12 Science Teachers	September and October 2011	iObservations	AP
Writing Learning	ALL by Content area	Online (TrainU) and in iObservation website	All Teachers	Professional Development at School Site beginning in Sept. supported by peers in Department Meetings	Lesson Plan Review, Classroom Walkthroughs	Administration
Implications of FAIR Grades	Reading all grades	District	Reading Teachers	Oct. 25, 2012	Data Chats	Reading Teachers
Effective Reading Practices for Reading Teachers 6-8 and 9- 12	Reading all grades	District	Reading Teachers	Oct. 10, 2012	Core K12 mini assessments	Reading Teachers, AP
Just Words Training	Reading	District	Reading Teachers	Sept. 19 and 20	PMRN fluency	MS Reading Teacher
Middle School Reading	Reading	District	Reading Teacher	Aug. 15	Core K12	Reading Teacher
Best Practices for ELA Teachers	Writing/ Reading	District	English Teacher	Nov. 7, 2012	Focused Benchmark Assessments	English and AP

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

8 8 1			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutoring	After-school tutorial	Title I Part D	1667
Grand Total: \$1,667.00			

End of Reading Goals

-

# **Mathematics Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

**Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process** 

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
MATHEMATICS GOALS	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Imp	<u>rovement</u> P	<u>ian Juvenii</u>	<u>e Justice Education</u>	Programs		
1. Percentage of students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
making learning gains in						
	Professional	Incorporate	Administration	Analysis CoreK12	Result in CoreK12	
	Development				analysis in	
	time/		Math Teachers			
F	opportunity.	comprehens			Biweekly meetings	
Mathematics Goal #1:	оррогияну.	ion check of			Diviounty incomings	
Mathematics Goal #1.		mathematical			Walkthroughs	
		concepts,			, which ought	
		operations,				
		and relations)				
		into				
		instruction				
		which				
		supports the				
		Big Ideas in				
		the NGSSS.				
		me Nosss.				
Common Assessment to	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
be used to monitor student	Level of	Level of				
progress has yet to be	Performance:*	Performance:*				
determined.						
Goal 60% of students who						
are present 10 or more						
weeks will make learning						
gains.						
gams.						
	Insufficient data	60%				
	to report					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan J				
1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	writing incorporate journal writing as part of demonstrating students understanding of the process involved in problem solving.		Assessment,	Walkthroughs Performance data
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
questic to questic techni that pr critica indepe	incorporate appropriate percent of high, medium and low order questions in the classroom and on tests to reflect percent on FCAT by grade level.  Teative	Admin/AP	Review of types and number of questions correctly on Core K12 and diagnostics	
collab betwee Intens and Co	weekly to update/ ore share information e math on student areas of		practice and	Gizmos Reports, Pearsonsuccess reports, Connect assessments

2012-2013 School Improvement Pl						
	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
			Math Teacher	Progress monitored	CoreK12 assessments	
		differentiate instruction		on CoreK12		
		using rotational model		assessments created		
		to provide for learning		by benchmark focus		
		needs of students.	Administration/AP			
		Tier i: Determine				
		core instructional				
		needs by reviewing				
		assessment for all.				
		Plan differentiated				
		instruction using				
		evidence-based				
		instruction/intervention				
		within 100 minute				
		intensive math block.				
		Tier ii: Plan				
		supplemental				
		instruction/intervention				
		for students not				
		responding to core				
		instruction. Focus				
		of instruction is				
		determined by review				
		of assessment data				
		and will include after				
		school and in-school				
		tutorial remediation/				
		enrichment.				
		Tier iii: Provide				
		additional learning				
		opportunities before				
		and during schools				
		utilizing alternate				
		strategies and materials.				

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	e	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	<u>Not Applicable</u>	Not applicable. The number of students accountable at this school site was less than 10. Accountable students included students who were present for February FTE, tested at the school site and had date for two (2) prior years).					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Mathematics Goal #2:

Reduce achievement gap by 10% or match District reduction in achievement gap.

# Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Imp	rovement P	<u>lan Juveniie J</u>	ustice Education	Programs		
1. Students scoring at	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
Achievement Level 3 in						
Algebra.	Mixed grade	Teachers will	Math Teacher	Progress monitored on	CoreK12 assessments	
	and ability	differentiate	1viatii i caciici	CoreK12 assessments	Coretti2 assessments	
		instruction using	Tutor	created by benchmark		
	class	rotational model	rutor,	focus		
	Class		Administration/AP	locus		
		learning needs of				
		students.				
		students.				
		Tier i: Determine				
		core instructional				
		needs by				
		reviewing				
		assessment				
		for all. Plan				
		differentiated				
		instruction using				
		evidence-based				
		instruction/				
		intervention				
		within 100				
		minute intensive				
		math block.				
		L				
		Tier ii: Plan				
		supplemental				
		instruction/				
		intervention				
		for students not				
		responding to				
		core instruction.				
		Focus of				
		instruction is				
		determined				
		by review of				
		assessment data				
		and will include				
		after school and				
		in-school tutorial				
		remediation/				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs enrichment. Tier iii: Provide additional learning opportunities before and during schools utilizing alternate strategies and materials. 2013 Expected Level Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of of Performance:\* Performance:\* 50% of accountable will score level 3 or above. Not applicable: Highridge : 4 50% of accountable students will score 3 or students tested above. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. Based on the analysis of student Strategy Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool Anticipated Responsible for achievement data, and reference Barrier Effectiveness of to "Guiding Questions", identify Monitoring and define areas in need of Strategy improvement for the following group:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs								
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.			
above Achievement Levels								
	None							
4 and 5 in Algebra.	TOTAL							
1	ĺ							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
	Level of	of Performance:*						
	Performance:*							
25% of accountable students will								
score above Level 3.	ĺ							
Score above Level 3.	ĺ							
	ĺ							
	ĺ							
	ĺ							
	Not applicable:	25% of accountable						
	Highridge : 4	students will score 3 or						
	students tested	above.						
	ĺ							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs 2.2. 2.2. 2.3 2.3 2.3 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 Ambitious but Based on Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target Not applicable. The Baseline 3. Ambitious but number of students data 2010-Achievable Annual accountable at this Measurable Objectives 2011 school site was less (AMOs). In six year than 10. Accountable school will reduce their students included Not achievement gap by 50%. applicable students who were present for February FTE, tested at the school site and had date for two (2) prior vears). Algebra Goal #3: Reduce achievement gap by 10% or match District reduction in achievement gap.

End of Algebra EOC Goals

# **Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs											
1. Students scoring at	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1						
Achievement Level 3 in											
Geometry.	Mixed grade	Teachers will	Math Teacher	Progress monitored on	CoreK12 assessments						
		differentiate		CoreK12 assessments							
		instruction using	Tutor,	created by benchmark							
		rotational model		focus							
			Administration/AP								
		learning needs of									
		students.									
		Tier i: Determine									
		core instructional									
		needs by									
		reviewing									
		assessment									
		for all. Plan									
		differentiated									
		instruction using									
		evidence-based									
		instruction/									
		intervention									
		within 100									
		minute intensive									
		math block.									
		Tier ii: Plan									
		supplemental									
		instruction/									
		intervention									
		for students not									
		responding to									
		core instruction.									
		Focus of									
		instruction is									
		determined									
		by review of									
		assessment data									
		and will include									
		after school and									
		in-school tutorial									
		remediation/	ĺ								

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs enrichment. Tier iii: Provide additional learning opportunities before and during schools utilizing alternate strategies and materials. 2013 Expected Level Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:\* of Performance:\* 50% of accountable will score level 3 or above. Not applicable, less 50% than 10 students tested

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs											
		1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2					
		throughout year and it is difficult to identify what student	Review diagnostic data if available and provide additional assistance in targeted area. Use CoreK12 benchmarked assessments	Teacher, Assistant Principal	Teacher will monitor progress through assessments given after instruction and remediation	Core K12 assessments					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	1.3. Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.3. Evaluation Tool	1.3.					

2012-2013 School Imp	2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs							
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.			
above Achievement Levels								
4 and 5 in Geometry.	None							
Tana 3 in Geometry.								
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level						
Geometry Godi 112.	Level of	of Performance:*						
	Performance:*							
250/ 6 411 41 4 31								
25% of accountable students will score above Level 3.								
score above Level 5.								
i								
1								
	NQ, less tha 10	25%						
	NQ, less tha 10 students tested	25%						
	students tested							
	students tested		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
	students tested		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
	students tested		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
	students tested		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual	Baseline data 2010- 2011						
or match District reduction in achievement gap.	Not applicable. The number of students accountable at this school site was less than 10. Accountable students included students who were present for February FTE, tested at the school site and had date for two (2) prior years).						

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional

# 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
		The Leader	MG Math Teacher	inectings)	Lesson Plan Review and comparison to District Pacing Chart	
MG Math Content Training	6-8	North Area		September	and item Specs	Assistant Principal
	ALL	Marzano Trainer	ALL	Begin August and monthly at Early Release	/	
Marzano Instructional Strategies				, and the second	IObservations	Administration
Trans math Training	Int Math Middle Grades	District Trainer	MG Math Int Teacher	September	Monitor student progress in program	Teacher
Geometry Strategies for Teachers	10	District Trainer	HS Math Teacher	Summer 2012 workshop	Lesson Plan Review and comparison to District Pacing Chart and item Specs	Assistant Principal
Geometry: Preparing for EOC and Infusing Standards for Math	10	District	HS Math Teacher	Oct. 4, 2012	Core K12	HS Math Teacher, AP
Practice Algebra I Preparing for EOC and Infusing Standards	9	District	HS Math Teacher	Sept. 19, 2012	Core K12	HS Math Teacher, AP

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Preparing for FCAT 2.0 and infusing standards for Grade 6-8 Math	6-8	District	MS Math	Oct. 31, 25, 2012	Core K12	MS Math Teacher, AP
Gizmo-secondary math and science	6-9	District	Math and Science	Sept. 28, 2012	Gizmo Reports	Ms. Math
TransMath Launch Training	6-8	District	MS Math	Aug. 22, 2012	Core K12	MS Math and AP

End of Geometry EOC Goals

**Mathematics Budget** 

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

	an ouvenine oustice Education Frogra		Г
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutoring	After-school tutoring	Title I Part D	1667
Grand Total:\$1,667.00			

End of Mathematics Goals

## **Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Biology EOC Goals</b>	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

ZOIZ ZOIC SCHOOLIMP		ium ou venin	coustice Laucution	1105141115			
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
50% of accountable students will perform at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.							
	0%	50%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Impl	2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs  2. Students scoring at or 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1.						
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels		ĺ					
4 and 5 in Biology.	None						
T and 5 in Diviogy.	T	ĺ					
		l					
		l					
D: 1 G 1//2	2012 C	2012 F					
Biology Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Level 01 Parformanca:*					
	i ci ioiinance.	i criormance.					
25% of accountable students in							
25% of accountable students in program 10 or more weeks with		l					
score above Level 3.		l					
		l					
		l					
		l					
	0% (0 of 3)	25%					

	•	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
-							

# **Science Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
		PLC Leader		meetings)		
Learn Green	6-11	District	Science, Math, Support	Oct. Teacher Workday	Science Fair Projects	Science Teacher, AP
Biology 1 Content	10	District	Science Teacher, Resource	Oct. 9, 2012	CoreK12 performance	Science Teacher, AP
Area			Teacher		-	

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs Subtotal: Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount **Subtotal:** Professional Development Description of Resources **Funding Source** Strategy Amount **Subtotal:** Other Description of Resources **Funding Source** Strategy Amount Subtotal:

End of Science Goals

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

Total: 0.0

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

2012-2013 School Imp	rovement P	<u>ian Juveniie j</u>	ustice Education	Programs		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	throughout year and it is difficult to identify what student knows and does not	Review diagnostic data if available and provide additional assistance in targeted area. Use CoreK12 benchmarked assessments	Principal	Teacher will monitor progress through assessments given after instruction and remediation	Core K12 assessments	

2012-2013 School Imp		ian suvenite s	ustice Education	1 i ugi ams			
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	No baseline data this year	50%					
				1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Hilp	I OVCINCIIL I	ian suvenne s	ustice Education	2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs								
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.							
above Achievement Levels												
4 and 5 in Civics.												
i and 5 in Civies.												
	None											
							[					
							[					
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*										
	Level of Performance:*	of Performance:*										
	remonnance.											
25% of accountable students will perform above Level 3												
perform above Level 3												
							[					
	No data	25%										
							[					
							[					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.						
			=·=·	[ ·-·	F'='							
							[					
							[					
I												

#### **Civics Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

Civics EOC

7

PLC Leader District

Social Studies Middle School Oct. 16, 2012 teacher

Core K12

SS teacher, AP

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total: 0.0				

End of Civics Goals

# U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

2012-2013 School Imp	rovement r	ian Juvenne J	ustice Luucation	Frograms			
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	٠.	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring				
and define areas in need of			Č	G			
improvement for the following				Strategy			
group:							
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
Achievement Level 3 in							
U.S. History.	Students enter	Review diagnostic	Teacher, Assistant		Core K12 assessments		
	throughout year	data if available and	Principal	through assessments given after			
	and it is difficult	provide additional		instruction and remediation			
	to identify what	assistance in targeted					
	student knows	area. Use CoreK12					
		benchmarked			l		
	know.	assessments					
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
1					I	l	

2012-2013 SCHOOL	ւ ուությ ս տեւուելու ո	i ian suvenne s	ustice Education	i i ugi ailis			
U.S. History Goal #1  50% of accountable studen Level. Population change a not allow for number value	Level of Performance:*  ats will does	2013 Expected Level of Performance;*					
	No prior data.	50%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of s achievement data, and reft to "Guiding Questions", ic and define areas in need improvement for the follogroup:	ference Barrier dentify d of	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Imp	rovement r	ian Juvenne J	ustice Education	Frograms		
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in U.S. History.	None					
and 5 in C.S. History.						
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Level of	of Performance:*				
	Performance:*					
25% of accountable students will						
perform above Level 3						
	No prior data.	25%				
	o prior unin	w 5 / 0				

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

## **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
US History EOC	10	District	High School Social Studies Teacher	Oct. 16	Core K12	HS Social Studies Teacher, AP
Common Core HS Social Studies	9-11	District	High School Social Studies Teacher	Oct. 9	Core K12	HS Social Studies Teacher, AP

## U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		

funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total: 0.0				
		•	•	

End of U.S. History Goals

## **Career Education Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

**Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process** 

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CAREER EDUCATION GOAL(S)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy		

2012-2013 School Impi	rovement P	'ian Juvenii	e justice Education	i Programs		
1. Career Education Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Person to	C4d.a4.a	Career Resource	Darriarra afinarantania		
	administer	Students will	Teacher, Guidance	Review of inventories		
		00011101100	-	and portfolios, student		
	Interest and		Counselor	conversations		
	learning style	Program and				
	Inventories.	complete				
		the Learning				
		Styles				
		Inventory				
		and Interest				
		Inventory.				
		Information				
		will be				
		reviewed				
		with students				
		during				
		Student				
		Awareness				
		Meetings				
		(SAMS).				
		Students				
		will spend				
		30 minutes				
		per week				
		exploring				
		Choices				
		program and				
		completing				
		portfolio				
		during Social				
		Studies				
		classes.				
		Classes.				
		Ĩ			l	

2012-2013 School Imp	Ovement 1	ian ouvenn	c dustice Education	i i i ogi amis			
All students will participate in Choices Interest and Learning Style Inventories.							
All students will understand their learning styles and explore careers reflecting their interests at this time.							
Note: This school is a three (3) month program. Students enter throughout the year and return to home school.							
		1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		of knowledge of post- secondary opportunities			Observation of class discussion, student evaluations, Presentation Evaluations, sign-in	Surveys	

2012-2013 School Improvement I fan Juven	ic sustice Education	11105141115			
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Speakers for students	Career Coach will network with community and state agencies. Students participate in Career Day each Semester with a variety of occupations represented.	resource teacher	Observation of class discussion, student evaluations, Presentation Evaluations, sign-in	Presentation Evaluation	
1.4		1.4	1.4	1.4	
Entry and extiming,	itAll grade 8 students will complete ePep with guidance counselor prior to end of school year	Guidance Counselor	Completion of ePep	Guidance notes	

# **Career Education Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

		nt Plan Juven	ille Justice Education Pro	ograms		
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Choices Training	6-12	Florence Maitland, Lisa Keough	Career Resource Teacher, a Guidance Counselor, all students	September, common planning	Documentation of Interest and Learning Styles Inventories, Choice Program Reports	Assistant Principal
Realizing the College Dream	e 6-12	Alma Horne, Florence Maitland and Lisa Keough (guidance)	Career Coach	October, 2012, monthly	Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
State University College Admissions Training	8-12	Alma Horne	Career Coach	September, 2012, monthly	Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
ACT College and Career Readiness	8-12	Alma Horne, Florence Maitland and Lisa Keough (guidance)	Career Coach, Career Resource Teacher, Guidance Counsloer		Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
Youth Empowerment Center Workshop and Roundtable meetings	t 6-12		Career Coach	September, 2012, monthly	Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
8 Components of Graduation	8-12	Alma Horne, Lisa Keough	Career Coach, Guidance Counselor	Aug. 15, 2012	Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
Persist	12	Alma Horne, Florence Maitland	Career Coach, Career Resource Teacher	eOctober, 2012, ongoing	Observation of student meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder	Assistant Principal
Adolescent Depression Awareness Program Training	6-12	Mary Beth Roberts, Lisa Keough, Linda Mackin Alma Horne	Teacher, Guidance, AP, Career Coach	October 2012, ongoing	Documentation of notification to guidance	Guidance Counselor

Guidance

District

8-12 Alma Horne Career Coach: relationships, September 2012 Observation of student SHIP Summit: **Assistant Principal** Student Leadership leadership, entrepreneurship meetings, documentation of individual meetings in student folder College Board Guidance, College Board Sept. 19, 2012 Student Testing Rosters **Guidance Counselor** 9-12 Counselor Workshop Career Counselor

Sept. 10, 2012

Transition Information

Guidance

High School 9-12 Counseling Directors

Meetings

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed) Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.		$\top$
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		+
		<del></del>
Strategy		Descr
		$\bot$
	Subtotal:	
Technology		
Strategy		Descr
	Subtotal:	
Professional Development		
Strategy		Descr
FDOE Drop-out prevention: Transition/Curriculum Workshop		Trave
	Subtotal: \$300.00	
Other		
Strategy		Desc
Direct Instruction Careers		Perso
Counseling and coaching for group and individuals; Career Fairs, College Fairs, Motivational Speakers		Perso
	<b>Grand Total: \$22,045.00</b>	,

End of Career Education Goal(s)

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

## **Transition Goal(s)**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

#### **Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process**

- How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?
- How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				- F F -	$\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}$	 
TRANSITION GOAL(S)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs							
1. Transition Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Unexpected withdrawals.	Communication weekly with program staff, identifying students who may unexpectedly withdraw.	Principal	Quarterly review of percent of students re-enrolled in school or other program.	Entry-Withdrawal report generated in TERMS		
	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
95% of students will transition back to school within 3 days of exiting program.	95%	95% successfully					
	<b>9</b> 370	y5% successfully transition back to home school or program they were prior to entry.					

	 	e oustree Bureaution				
	1.2.	1.2	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	out-of-county or	Email/phone contact made with comprehensive/charter school guidance counselor.		Review TERMS to track academic data	EDW Graduation	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Transition Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity

	PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
,	Adolescent	9-12	Dr. Karen	Guidance, AP, Teacher,	Oct. 19, 2012	3 student sessions, 1 hour each with	h Guidance, Teacher, AP
	Depression		Swartz	Support	,	pre and post test	,
,	Awareness Program						
	Foster Care School	5-12	Child Net,	Guidance, AP	Oct. 11, 2012	Student Enrollment	Guidance
(	Contact Orientation		SEDNET				

## Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs	
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Desc
Subtotal:	
Technology	
Strategy	Desc
Subtotal:	
Professional Development	
Strategy	Desc
Subtotal:	
Other	
Strategy	Desc
Organization materials	

End of Transition Goal(s)

-

Grand Total: \$400.00

#### Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

#### **Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process**

- What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?
- How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANCE GOAL(S)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance Goal	1.1. None., section not applicable	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Continue student	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
attendance rate of 98(%	Attendance Rate:*	Attendance Rate:*					
	98%	98%					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Number of Students	Number of Students					
	with Excessive Absences	with Excessive					
	Absences	Absences					
	(10	(10					
	(10 or more)	(10 or more)					
	U	U					
	2012 C	2012 F					
	2012 Current Number of	2013 Expected Number of					
	Students with	rumber or					
	Excessive Tardies	Ctudanta with					
	(10 or more)	Students with Excessive Tardies					
		DACCOSIVE Taidles					
		(10 or more)					
	0	0					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.0.			2.5	

#### **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

# 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

Not Applicable

**Attendance Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Subtotal:			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Grand Total: 0.0			

End of Attendance Goals

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$1, 667.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$1,667.00
Science Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Career Budget	
	Total: \$22,045.00
Transition Budget	
	Total: \$400.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
	Grand Total: \$25,779.00

#### **School Advisory Council**

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

 $\Box$  Yes

#### If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.

The high mobility rate of students in this school (students stay for 90 days (60 school days) and return to their home school inhibits participation of parents in a long term commitment to SAC. Resident staff members participate on behalf of the parents and share information to parents during weekly sessions (varied throughout the week.) The principal and school based staff meets regularly with the Highridge Family Center staff to communicate information regarding the school and student achievement. Information is sent home on a regular basis through the Family Center from the school. Assistant Principal and Lead Behavior Staff from Family Center meet with elected students to share SAC information and provide opportunity for student input to be shared at SAC meetings. Lead Behavior Staff from Family Center acts as parent liaison for parents in the program.

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Materials focusing on student organization and preparedness: binders, dividers, pen pouches, paper. pens, pencils	400.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.
Monthly meetings, participation of stakeholders in student and parent orientation meeting
Review of School Improvement Plan including improving student achievement strategies
Review of School Achievement Data
Character Education program implementation.
EDW and school web site training Administrative reports and updates to stakeholders
Administrative reports and updates to stakeholders