# **Florida Department of Education**



# 2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: W.D. Hartley Elementary	District Name: St. Johns
Principal: Joy C. Taylor	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Whitney Buell	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

#### **Student Achievement Data:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Highly Effective Administrators**

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Joy C. Taylor	BA Language Arts Ed, University of Florida; Master of Arts in Reading with certification in Ed Leadership, University of North Florida; certification, English 5-12, Middle Grades, ESOL, Reading (k-12), and School Principal, State of Florida	1	18	<ul> <li>WDH: 2011-2012-A-HS Reading, 76%, HS Math, 79%, HS Writing, 93%, HS Sci., 72%, LG Reading, 80%, LG Math, 76%, LQ Reading, 74%, LQ Math, 57%</li> <li>SJTHS: 2010-2011-maintaining, 35% Reading proficiency, 41% Math proficiency, 48% LG Reading, 64% LG math, 41% LQ Reading, gains, 53% LQ math gains, AYP-no</li> <li>SJTHS: 2009-2010-F, 32% Reading proficiency, 41% Math proficiency, 20% science prof., 41% LG reading, AYP-no, 20% science prof., 41% LG reading, AYP-no, (82% criteria met)</li> <li>SJTHS 2008-2009-F, 28% HS reading, 34% HSmath, 60% HSwriting, 7% HSsci, 54% LG reading, 69% LGains math, 66% LQ eading, 71% LO math, AYP-no (77% criteria met)</li> <li>Ketterlinus Elementary-2007-2008-A,88% HS reading, 64% LG math. 53% LQr, 74LOm, AYP-no (92% criteria met)</li> <li>KES,2006-2007-A,87% HS rd, 76% HSm,83% LG rd,56% LGm, 84% LGrd,84% LQr,51% LQm, AYP-no, 95% criteria met).</li> </ul>
Assistant Principal	Patrick McGee	BA-Elementary Ed Eastern Michigan University ME-Ed Leadership Walden University	2	2	Hartley Elementary: 2011-2012-A-HS Reading,76%,HS Math, 79%,HS Writing, 93%, HS Sci.,72%, LG Rd, 80%, LG Math,76%, LQR,74%, LQM,57% Hartley Elementary: 2010-2011-A,91% Reading proficiency, 91% math proficiency;68% LG Reading, 76% LG math,71% LQ reading LG, 77% LQ math LG, AYP no (92%)

### **Highly Effective Instructional Coaches**

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,

			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instructional Literacy Coach/ reading	Shelley Ferrari	B.A. Flagler College ESOL endorsed National Board Certified, Reading Endorsement	12	4	WDH: 2011-2012-A-HS Reading,76%,HS Math, 79%,HS Writing, 93%, HS Sci.,72%, LG Reaingd,80%, LG Math,76%, LQR,74%, LQM, 57% 2010-2011-91% Reading proficiency, 91% Math proficiency, 68% LG reading, 76%LG math, 71% LQ reading gains, 77% LQ math gains, AYP no (economically disadvantaged students failed to meet proficiency standards in reading, students with disabilities failed to meet proficiency standards in reading and math. Outstanding success as classroom teacher here for 9 years including exceptional gains and student proficiency.

### **<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
Continue to provide a culture of excellence, instructional support and caring.	Principal Joy Taylor, ILC Shelley Ferrari, Teacher mentors	Ongoing	(If not, please explain why)
Continue to foster a true learning community in which teachers are open and willing to have a creative exchange of strategies and best practices.	Principal Joy Taylor, ILC Shelley Ferrari,	Ongoing	
Only highly qualified teachers are considered for open instructional positions.	Principal Joy Taylor, District HR	Ongoing	
New teacher mentors provide support to new hires.	Principal Joy Taylor, Teacher mentors	Ongoing	

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
N/A			

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages	include the number	of teachers the	percentage repre	sents (e.g.,	70% (35)).
01 01			r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		( )).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
43	2% (1)	14% (6)	30% (13)	54% (23)	47% (19)	100% (43)	7%(3)	16% (7)	79% (34)

#### **Teacher Mentoring Program**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

Debbie Coates	Shannon Sugrue	Shannon is a first-year teacher serving as the Assoc. Teacher with Mrs. Coates in the 5 <sup>th</sup> grade. Mrs. Coates is a highly effective teacher who will be able to model instruction and observe and coach Ms. Sugrue in their shared classroom.	Co-planning weekly and as needed, co- teaching, modeling and observing daily. Teacher will provide regular feedback to AT, recommend professional development and arrange for coaching from ILC as needed.
Amy Kelley	Ashley Powers	Mrs. Kelley is a highly effective ESE teacher who will provide direction and support for Mrs. Powers as needed. Ashley is a second year teacher new to Hartley who will also be part of the ESE team.	Co-planning weekly, shared resources and materials, monthly ESE webinar meetings
Rosalind Mason	Amber Phillips	Amber is an experienced teacher new to Hartley who will be paired with second grade teacher, Ms. Mason. Ms. Mason and Ms. Phillips will plan together, co-teach and assess results.	Weekly team planning, regular co- teaching, lesson observations

### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II	
Title III	

Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Principal Joy Taylor, Assistant Principal Patrick McGee, ILC Shelley Ferrari, Psychologist Sherry Durr, Speech Pathologist Rachelle McCranie, Guidance Counselor Brigid Garbini, and Behavior Specialist, Josie Bokowski.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/ coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets weekly to implement a strategic problem-solving system in order to:

- a. review universal screening data and link results to instructional decisions;
- b. review progress monitoring data at each grade level and homeroom to identify students who are meeting/exceeding
- benchmarks, or are at moderate or high risk for not meeting benchmarks.
- c. develop individual intervention or enrichment plans based on data above, determine appropriate supplemental materials and support, plan professional development for teachers and provide resources.

d. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions

- related to student progress.
- e. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about RtI implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problemsolving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Hartley's SIP is a direct result of the problem solving process used by our RtI team. The RtI Team regularly collaborates to

problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate curriculum implementation and interventions, and make decisions related to

student progress. Recommendations developed by the RtI team drive goals and strategies reflected in the SIP.

#### **MTSS Implementation**

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science,

Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FLKRS, and Thin Link to help determine progress toward mastery

of FCAT 2.0 tested benchmarks. Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT), Pearson Access, Discovery Education, PerformanceTracker, and eSchoolPlus are used to disaggregate data, determine lowest quartile, "five-star" and "bubble" students based on free and reduced lunch status, ESE, minority and ELL status and previous year's proficiency and gains scores on FCAT 2.0.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Our Literacy Leadership team is comprised of: Instructional Literacy Coach, Grade level Chairs, Media Specialist, Principal, Assistant Principal, Speech teacher and guidance counselor

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT meets monthly to discuss data, issues, and challenges and to plan initiatives and activities related to the development of school-wide literacy. The ILC takes the lead role of the team and plans the agenda. The team secretary records notes which are later shared with teachers. Members gather input and feedback from their grade level teams to share at each meeting and suggest items for future meeting agendas.

#### What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Implementing instruction and monitoring progress based on Common Core Curriculum Standards and strategies will be the focus for the coming year. During LLT meetings, a monthly CCSS instructional strategy focus will be discussed and a 5-question study guide prepared to focus further study by the PLC grade level teams. LLT members will facilitate study discussions with their teams and bring back questions and suggestions from their peers. LLC will also assist in planning for professional development related to CCSS.

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

#### **Postsecondary Transition**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in	need the knowledge and skills	development and PLCs will focus on strategies	Coach	la.RtI and Leadership team review the data from progress monitoring including Discovery	<b>1a</b> Formative assessments including Discovery Education probes, Discovery Education,, Classroom assessments,	
reading.	move students to FCAT 2.0mastery.	related to CCSS which will help students build skills at FCAT 2.0- appropriate rigor levels.		Education, FCAT 2.0, classroom assessments, Focus Calendar probes, AR Records, Great Leaps & Anecdotal Records.	AR Records, Great Leaps, anecdotal records, and FCAT 2.0	
	instruction and assessments must mirror the rigor and cognitive complexity expected of the FCAT 2.0	1a2. Key strategies associated with CCSS will be implemented school-wide in order to expose students to challenging text and tasks required of FCAT 2.0		teams to create and plan	la 2.Teacher lesson plans, Discovery Education, Discovery Education Probes, curriculum chapter and unit tests, FCAT 2.0	

Reading Goal #1a: 25% of Hartley's 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> graders will score at achievement level 3 in reading.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	22%(62)	25%(75)			
Reading Goal #1b:					
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.					
<u>Reading Goal</u> #1b:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					

	Anticipated Barrier			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above	need the knowledge, skills and strategies necessary to	2a1. Professional development and PLCs will focus on strategies related to CCSS which will help teachers know	2a1. Principal, ILC	reviewed by teachers, ILC regularly to determine	2a.1Professional Development logs, curriculum chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education reports, FCAT 2.0	

Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Leve 58% of Hartley's <sup>Perfe</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> graders will score at or above	2 Current 2013 Expected el of Level of ormance:* Performance:*			
achievement levels 4 and 5 in reading				
Reading Goal #2b:				

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	<b>55%</b> (154)	58%(177)				
		already scoring at high levels of	differentiate curriculum and instruction for their higher functioning students.	classroom observations will	2a2. Lesson Plans, Classroom walkthroughs and observations, Discovery Education, FCAT 2.0	

		need to be aware of their own progress toward	2a3. Teachers will meet regularly with students to review their individual data and set goals for growth	2a3.Classroom teachers	reflect scheduled data chats.	2a3. Lesson Plans, Discovery Education probes and Formative Assessments, FCAT 2.0	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: <b>3a. FCAT 2.0:</b> <b>Percentage of</b> <b>students making</b> <b>Learning Gains</b> <b>in reading</b>	Barrier 3a.1. Teachers must provide effective support, interventions, and enrichment with research- based resources to ensure students at all levels make learning gains.	3a.1.Effectively use paraprofessional s, staff, and volunteers to incorporate Read Naturally, Great Leaps, Individualized	<u>Progress Monitoring</u> 3a.1.Classroom teachers, ILC		Evaluation Tool 3a. 1. Discovery Education, curriculum chapter and unit tests, FCAT 2.0		

Reading Goal #3a:		2013 Expected			
83% of students will make	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
learning gains in					
,g.	80%(241)	83%(250)			

		standards and higher cut scores make achieving learning	provide curriculum,	Classroom teachers	3a.2. Teachers will use curriculum chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education Probes and progress monitoring tests to regularly track student progress, adjust instruction and intervention and set goals for student growth.		
		must pay close attention to student progress and differentiate instruction as	3a.3 Individual teacher and grade level data from formative assessments will be reviewed regularly to track the progress of every student.			3a.3. Discovery Education, FAIR, chapter and unit tests, FCAT 2.0	
	evel of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
da cu pe	uta for urrent level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

Reading Goal#4a:4a. FCAT 2.0:Percentageof studentsin Lowest25% makinglearning gainsin reading.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		
	aware of who their lowest quartile students are so that they can effectively monitor the progress of these students and provide research-based interventions and support as needed.	meet with teachers to identify their lowest quartile students. 4a2. Teachers and ILC will plan for interventions and support for lowest quartile students.	4a 2.ILC 4a3.Principal	interventions and additional time in small groups, with paraprofessionals and volunteers will be scheduled to provide support as needed. 4a 2.Research-based interventions and additional time in small groups, with paraprofessionals and	<ul> <li>4a.1.Great Leaps, Read Naturally, Discovery Education and FCAT 2.0</li> <li>4a2.Great Leaps, Read Naturally, Discovery Education and FCAT 2.0</li> <li>4a3.Great Leaps, Read Naturally, Discovery Education and FCAT 2.0</li> </ul>	

2012 Current 20 Level of Le Performance:* Performance:	013 Expected evel of erformance:*		
77% (38) of students in the			
lowest quartile			
will make			
learning gains in reading.			
reuuing.			
4b. Florida			
Alternate			
Assessment:			
Percentage of students in			
Lowest 25%			
making learning gains in reading.			
Reading Goal #4b:			

Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2					
	74%(36)	77%(38)				
		struggling students need exposure to text complexity and tasks reflective of FCAT 2.0.	<ul> <li>4a.2. Teachers will provide an increasing percentage of text and tasks that challenges but not frustrates lowest quartile students as the year progresses.</li> <li>4a3. Teachers will provide scaffolds so students can access rigorous text.</li> </ul>	1 ,	4a.2.Lesson Plans, Observation instruments	

Reading Goal #4b:	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	
				4b.2.		
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual						
Measurable Objectives						
(AMOs), Reading and						
Math Performance Target						
Target						
5A. Ambitious						
but Achievable						
Annual						
Measurable						
Objectives						
(AMOs). In six						
year school will						
reduce their						
achievement gap by 50%.						
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	I evel of	Level of				
Pending State Provided	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Data						
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual						
Measurable Objectives						
(AMOs), Reading and						
Math Performance Target						
ranget						
	Pending State	Pending State Provided Data				
	Provided Data	Provided Data				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2015-2016	2016-2017	
						Pending State Provided Data	Pending State Provided Data
	data 2010-		Provided Data	Provided Data	<mark>Data</mark>		
<b>by ethnicity</b> (White, Black,		<mark>Provided</mark> Data					
Hispanic, Asian,		Data					
American Indian)							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
<u>Reading Goal</u> #5 <u>B:</u>							
Pending State Provided Data							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							

Reading Goal <u>#5B:</u>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define						
areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
#5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	White:	5B.1. Pending State Provided Data	Provided Data		5B.1. Pending State Provided Data	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Pending State Provided Data					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.						

Pending State Provided Data	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American	Pending State Provided Data this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:				
Reading Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						

Reading Goal	5C.1.Pending	5C Pending State	5C.1 Pending State Provided Data	5C.1. Pending State	5C.1. Pending State Provided		
#5 <u>C:</u>	State Provided		Provided Data	Provided Data	Data		
	<mark>Data</mark>	.1.	•	5C.2.			
Enter narrative for the				50.2.			
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis							
of student achievement data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroup:							
5D. Students							
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not							
making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D·	Level of Performance:*	Level of					
		Performance.					
Pending State Provided Data							
Data							
Based on the analysis of student achievement							
of student achievement							
data, and reference to							
	Pending State Provided Data	Pending State Provided Data					
	i Tovideu Data						
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.3.	
				1			1

		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Reading Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:							
#5D:	State Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data	Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data 5D.2.	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:							
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.							

#5D: Pending State Provided Data Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Pending State Provided Data						
		5 E.1. <mark>Pending</mark> State Provided Data	5E.1. Pending State Provided Data	5E.1. Pending State Provided Data	SE.1. Pending State Provided Data	5E1. Pending State Provided Data	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

# **Reading Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CCSS Reading Standards (K,1) and school-wide instructional strategies	K-5	,	All teachers and paraprofessionals	Monthly during early release Wednesdays, and more in-depth on PLC Wednesdays	Lesson plan review and classroom walkthroughs	Principal, AP
Marzano Classroom Strategies and behaviors	K-5	Shelley Ferrari, grade level chairs	All teachers	Monthly during early release Wednesdays	Classroom observations, Lesson Plan review	Principal, AP

### **Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Iteauing Dudget (insert tows as ne			1
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Students will learn reading strategies which will help them to master complex text and tasks.	Additional class sets of non-fiction, complex text-rich books	General operating budget, media center	\$1000
Subtotal: \$1500.00			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Yearly site license for Accelerated	Renaissance Place (Accelerated Reader)	Hydro Aluminum	\$3,546.15
Reader Program			
Subtotal: \$3,546.15			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Close study of Common Core Standards	Copy paper and binders	General operating budget	\$400.00
and Strategies			
Subtotal:\$400.			
Total \$4546.15			

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
to non-ELL students.				Strategy		

1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	1.1. Proficient ELL students should continue to be monitored closely while being challenged by curriculum and instruction at appropriate FCAT 2.0 rigor levels.	1.1. ELL students will be placed with ESOL certified teachers who will closely monitor students' progress, challenge them and differentiate instruction accordingly.	1.1.Principal	1.1. Daily work, chapter and unit tests, and formative assessments will determine student progress.	1.1.Curriculum assessments, daily work, Discovery Education, FCAT 2.0	
CELLA Goal #1: 100% of student will score proficient in Listening/Speaking.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 50% (1)					
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring	2.1. ELL students scoring proficien	t2.1 Teachers will document	2.1.Principal	2.1. Teachers' ELL	2.1.Discovery Education probes,	
	in reading must continue to	regular progress monitoring	2.1.1 Interput	logs indicate progress	chapter and unit curriculum	
proficient in Reading.	be challenged at levels equal	of their ELL students to		monitoring dates	assessments, daily work, FCAT 2.0	
	to their non-ELL peers while	ensure they continue to		and results for each	assessments, daily work, PCAT 2.0	
	being monitored closely for any	progress and excel.		ELL student. Lesson		
	regression in progress or need for	progress and excer.		plans reflect plans for		
				differentiation as needed.		
	support.			unterentiation as needed.		
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Reading :					
100% (2) students will continue to						
score proficient in Reading.						
score projectent in Reduing.						
	100% (2)					
	10070 (2)					
Students write in English at	Anticipated Barrier	Stratagy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
grade level in a manner similar	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
to non-ELL students.			for Monitoring	of		
to non-EEE students.				Strategy		
CELLA Cool #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students	ELL students proficient in	Teachers will document regular	Principal	Daily written work and quarterly	Daily written work, quarterly
CELLA Goal #3:	Proficient in Writing :	writing must continue to be	progress monitoring of their ELL		prompts will be examined on a	District probes, FCAT Writes
	rionelent in writing.	challenged at levels equal to	students to ensure they continue	2	regular basis to ensure that ELL	District probes, PCAT writes
100% (2) students will score		their non-ELL peers while	to progress and excel		students are making progress.	
proficient in writing.		being monitored closely for	to progress and exect		students are making progress.	
		any regression in progress or				
		need for support.				
		need for support.				
	50% (1) scored proficient in writing.					
1	1	1		1		1

### CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Practice with school and District writing	None required	n/a	0
prompts			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers not yet ESOL endorsed will work towards completion	n/a	n/a	0
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary	Problem-			
Mathematics	Solving			
Goals	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			

	Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	who struggle to score at mastery evels may need extra time and support using research-based nterventions neluding support and schallenge materials from he Envision adopted curriculum.	and trained support staff will	ESE teachers, parapros	will indicate student growth and	la.1.Discovery Education, Chapter and Unit tests from adopted math curriculum, Math Facts in A Flash, Smart Tutor reports FCAT 2.0	

#1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	36%(101)	39%(117)					
		challenged at the increased cognitive complexity levels	1a.2. Instruction, tasks, and assessments will mirror the cognitive complexity and format of FCAT 2.0 and will integrate strategies reflective of CCSS. Professional development will support teachers with this strategy.		1a.2.Discovery Education probes reflective of FCAT format and complexity will be administered at bi-weekly intervals. Teacher-made tests and integrated real- world projects will challenge students' higher level thinking skills.	1a.2.Discovery Education probes, Discovery Education progress monitoring, teacher-made tests and tasks	
		progress toward bench mark	la.3.1. Teachers will meet regularly with students to review assessment results and set goals for improvement.	1a.3.Classroom Teachers	1a.3. Monthly data chats with students in grades 3-5 keep students informed about progress toward benchmark mastery.	1a.3. Discovery Education probes, Discovery Education progress monitoring, chapter and unit tests, CPS reports	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1b.1.	16.1.	16.1.	16.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Anticipated Barrier	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	who are capable of achieving at higher levels need to be challenged with curriculum	will require that students collaborate, strategize, analyze, interpret, defend,	Math Coordinator	2a.1.Regular progress monitoring will indicate student growth, inform instruction and determine the need for additional enrichment.	2a.1.Curriculum chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education Probes Discovery Education Assessments, FCAT 2.0	
Mathematics Goal #2a: 45% (136) 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students will score at or above achievement levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	development will help teachers focus on the eigh Standards for Mathematical <u>Practice.</u> 2013 Expected <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>				
	42% (119)	45%(136)				

		2a.2.Students are more motivated to achieve at higher levels when they are aware of their progress and take part in setting goals toward personal improvement.	2a.2.Teachers will share assessment results with students on a monthly or as-needed basis and assist them in setting goals for improvement.	2a.2.Principal, Grade level chair		2a.2. Curriculum chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education Probes Discovery Education Assessments, FCAT 2.0.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1. Students	3a.1. Teachers	3a.1.Principal, AP.	3a.1. Regular progress monitoring	3a.1. Progress	
Percentage of	need to be	will supplement	-	will indicate student growth, inform	Monitoring three	
students making	challenged	the common core		instruction and determine the need	times a year with	
	with daily	curriculum with		for additional enrichment.	Discovery Education;	
Learning Gains in	instruction,	materials and			Classroom assessments,	
mathematics.	tasks and	assessments from	1		Discovery Education Probes,	
	assessments at cognitive	Discovery Learning,			teacher made assessments, FCAT 2.0	
		Exam View Pro			FCA1 2.0	
	levels	and				
	required by	FCAT 2.0-				
	FCAT 2.0	released items.				
		2. Students who				
		failed				
		to make learning				
		gains last year, or who				
		are				
		not mastering				
		benchmarks				
		according				
		to probe data,				
		will be				
		provided				
		additional				
		support through				
		small-group instruction or				
		research-based				
		intervention time				
		with parapro.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#3a:	Level of	Level of				
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
79%(153)4 <sup>th</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> grade						
students will make						
learning gains in						
mathematics.						
	76%(147)	79%(153)				

		struggle to find and make time to work with higher functioning students.	will incorporate time to provide enrichment for higher functioning math students.	3a.2.Principal, Grade level chair, AP	monitoring will indicate student growth, inform instruction and determine the need for additional enrichment.	3a.2. Progress Monitoring three times a year with Discovery Education; classroom assessments, Discovery Education Probes, teacher made assessments, FCAT 2.0	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box. 3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in	4a.1.Students in the lowest 25% often struggle to master basic foundation skills in math.	and Skilled parapros/ volunteers will work one on one and in small groups with	teachers, ESE	progress monitoring data	<b>4a.1.</b> Progress Monitoring three times a year with Discovery Education; classroom assessments, fluency probes, teacher assessments, FCAT 2.0	
Mathematics Goal #4a: 60%(29)of students in lowest 25% will make learning goals in mathematics.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 60%(29)				
	5770(27)	0070(27)				

		25% students need practice with solving multistep problems reflective of FCAT 2.0	<b>4a.2</b> . Teachers will supplement math curriculum with instruction and assessments from Discovery Education, Exam View Pro and FCAT 2.0- released items.	4a.2. Instructional Literacy coach (ILC), classroom teachers, ESE teachers, RtI team, parapro	4a.2. RtI, Leadership team, classroom teachers, ESE teachers Regularly review progress monitoring data	4a.2. Progress Monitoring three times a year with Discovery Education; District Formative Assessments, classroom assessments, fluency probes, teacher assessments, FCAT 2.0	
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
#4b:	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	current level of performance in this box. 2011-2012	expected level of performance in this hox. 2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Pending State Provided Data					
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier 5B.1.	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory	White: Pending State Provided Data Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	State Provided	5B.1. Pending State Provided Data	5B.1. Pending State Provided Data	5B.1. Pending State Provided Data	

Mathematics Goal #5B: Pending State Provided Data	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Provided Data White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Pending State Provided Data White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5C.1. Pending State Provided Data	5C.1. Pending State Provided Data	5C.1. Pending State Provided Data	5C.1. Pending State Provided Data		
Mathematics Goal #5C: Pending State Provided Data	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	Provided Data	Pending State Provided Data 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data	5D.1. Pending State Provided Data		

#5D: Pending State Provided Data ,	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Pending State Provided Data	Pending State Provided Data					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically		5E.1. Pending State Provided Data	5E.1. Pending State Provided Data		5E.1. Pending State Provided Data		

#5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Pending State Provided Data							
	Pending State Provided Data	Pending State Provided Data					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

ematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	

	1	1 0	1 0	1 2	1 2	1 0	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
11 11 11	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected			1	İ	
#1b:	Level of	Level of					
<u>#10:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2a.2.		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
#2b:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2b.2.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	2b.3 Strategy	2b.3 Person or Position	2b.3 Process Used to Determine	2b.3 Evaluation Tool	26.3	
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.		3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4b.2.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
following subgroup:							

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.		5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal_ #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	data for					
			5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### **Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		i					
ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Cast #2	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	<i>∠.∠</i> .	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Щ2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
						4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

		a 1	la 1	a 1	la 1	i	
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra.							
and 5 in Aigebra.							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),Reading							
and Math Performance Target							
	Baseline data						
Achievable Annual	2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.					1		

Algebra Goal #3A:							
Algeora Goal #5A.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
subgroup:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:	JD.1.	JD.1.	JD.1.	50.1.		
by culling (willie, Diack,	Black:						
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:						
Algebra.							
-							
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian: American Indian:					
	Asian:	American mulan.					
	American Indian:						
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3C. English Language</b>	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory							
progress in Algebra.							
Also the $C = 1/(2C)$	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Algebra Goal #3C:	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
				22.2		200	
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
L					ļ		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	20.2	20.2	20.2	2D 2	
						3D.2. 3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

#### **Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			· · · · · ·			
Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li> </ol>			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives	Baseline data 2010-2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
					3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory							
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions",	Barrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in							
need of improvement for the following subgroup:							

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

#### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			

Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CCSS standards and strategies	K 5	ILC, Grade- level chairs		Ingoing early release	Grade level teams and RtI team regularly review progress monitoring data	Principal

### Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Math Facts in a Flash		РТО	

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and Middle Science	Problem- Solving			
Goals	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			

	nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	must be challenged in daily work and assessments at the same cognitive complexity levels required of the FCAT 2.0.	will assign tasks and assessments which challenge students at appropriate rigor levels		lessons are appropriately challenging, while regular progress monitoring indicates student growth toward mastery of standards.	1a.1.Teacher Lesson Plans, walkthrough instruments. Discovery Education bi-weekly probes, chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education three times per year, FCAT 2.0.	
Science Goal #1a: 41% (36) of students will score at achievement level 3 in science.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	38% (34)	41%(36)				

		benefit from the learning	1a.2.Teachers will instruct students in informational text dependent writing related to their science studies		classroom walkthroughs indicate that lessons are appropriately challenging, while	1a.2. Teacher Lesson Plans, walkthrough instruments. ,Discovery Education bi-weekly probes, chapter and unit tests, Discovery Education three times per year, FCAT 2.0	
		interest in science needs to be nurtured in order to inspire them to learn more about how science relates to our world.	<ul> <li>1a.3.Discovery Science</li> <li>videos will be shown daily</li> <li>in the cafeteria before school</li> <li>to all children waiting for</li> <li>teacher pick up.</li> <li>1a4. Teachers will use science</li> <li>labs to give students the hands</li> <li>on experiences they often</li> <li>need to grasp key concepts.</li> </ul>		1a.3.Science Discovery Education and FCAT 2.0 scores will reflect improvement	1a.3.Discovery Education, FCAT 2.0	
	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			10.1.	10.1.	10.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
A	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

				i		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
group:							
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students					2a.1. Discovery		
scoring at or above	capable of	will differentiate		monitor students regularly	Education probes,		
A chiovement Levels 4 and	scoring at higher	instruction for		in order to inform and adjust	adopted curriculum		
Achievement Levels 4 and	levels need to	high achievers		instruction as needed.	chapter and unit tests,		
5 in science.	be challenged	using enrichment			Discovery Education		
	sufficiently to	activities from			three times per year		
	help them stretch				and teacher-made		
	and grow.	curriculum,			assessments.		
		supplementary					
		materials and					
		websites and					
		also provide					
		opportunities					
		for them to					
		collaborate and					
		create with other					
		students.					
							ļ
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
37%(33) of 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students will	Performance:*	Performance:*					
score at or above achievement							
levels 4 and 5 in science.							
	34% (30)	37% (33)					
	57/0 (30)	5770(33)					
			1				

		benefit from examining their own progress and	2a.2.Teachers will meet regularly with students to inform them of their progress and assist them in setting personal goals.			2a.2.Lesson Plans, Walkthrough observation instruments	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		26.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

#### End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science Problem-				
Ingh School Schenee Trostem	High School Science Problem-			

Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
						1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.		2.2.			2.2. 2.3	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

### **Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Biology EOC Goals</b>	Problem-			
	Solving			
I	Process to			

	Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Biology Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.2	1.2		1.2	
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or <sup>2.1.</sup>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. Students scoring at or <sup>2.1.</sup> above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in Biology.						
Biology Goal #2: 2012 Current.	2013 Expected					
Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.						
Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
current level of	expected level of					
performance in thi box.	sperformance in this box.					
004.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

### Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PD focused on newly- adopted text delivered by lead teachers in PLCs	K-5		PLC (all teachers by grade levels)		Lesson plans and classroom walkthroughs will reflect effective science instruction.	Principal
In grade level PLCs, teachers will plan lessons and strategies together including differentiation activities effective in meeting the needs of students at all levels.	K-5	Grade level chairs	PLC lesson study	ongoing	Lesson plans and classroom walkthroughs will reflect effective science instruction at appropriate complexity levels for all students.	Principal

# Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Professional Development	Human resources only	n/a	0	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Teachers will utilize NGConnect	NGConnect	N/A	0	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
PD on NGConnect will ensure teachers utilize resource with fidelity	NGConnect	N/A	0	

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

#### End of Science Goals

### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	Teachers may need professional development in order to provide effective	focused professional development will be provided for all teachers.		la.1. Classroom teachers regularly review students' writing prompts, and RtI Team will regularly review progress monitoring data.	<b>1a.1.</b> District created writing prompts, FCAT Writes 2.0		
Writing Goal #1a: 96% of 4 <sup>th</sup> grade students will score at Achievement level 3 and higher in writing.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	93% (85)	96% (96)					
		historically strong school-wide performance in writing will be a challenge considering	<b>Ia.2.</b> Continue student teacher conferencing, PLCs to share best writing practices and review writing prompts at all grade levels. Increase the use of mentor texts and Common Core exemplars.	<b>Ia. 2.</b> RtI Team and classroom teachers	la.2. Classroom teachers regularly review students' writing prompts and RtI Team will regularly review progress monitoring data.	1a.2. District- created writing prompts, FCAT Writes	

		la.3.Teachers will need to learn and implement Common Core strategies in order to help students become more proficient writers.	la.3.Integrate text-defended writing across all content areas.	1a.3.Principal	la.3.Classrroom teachers review District writing prompt results and plan in response to results.	1a.3.Daily writing assignments, District Prompts, FCAT Writes	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	1b.1.	1b.1.	Ib.1.	1b.1.	lb.1.		
Writing Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

# Writing Professional Development

	Professional			
	Development			
(]	PD) aligned with			
St	rategies through			
	Professional			

Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
2, 3-5	Sheila Veatch	Classroom teachers	10/2012	Lesson plan review, classroom walkthroughs	Principal
	Subject	Subject and/or PLC Leader	and/or         e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or           PLC Leader         school-wide)	rade Level/ Subject     PD Factulator and/or PLC Leader     PD Factulator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)     (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	rade Level/ Subject     PD Fachitator and/or PLC Leader     PD Fachitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)     (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)     Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring       3-5     Sheila Veatch Classroom teachers     10/2012     Lesson plan review, classroom

### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Language Arts Literacy Specialist provides professional development for classroom teachers	n/a	n/a	0
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Grade level PLCs will follow	n/a	n/a	0
Professional Development activity			
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

#### End of Writing Goals

#### **<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals</u>**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

Achievement Level 3 in Civics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
						1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

<ul> <li>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Civics.</li> </ul>	l. î	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	vel of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
data curi	ta for f rrent level of formance in this x.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

# **Civics Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each	<u>                                      </u>		

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **Civics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Civics Duuget (insent lows as need	eu)			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Suktotalı				
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

#### End of Civics Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of			5				
improvement for the following							
group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S. History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
$0.5.111$ story $0.0$ at $\pm 2.5$	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance*						
Enter narrative for the goat in this	<u> </u>						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						<b>Г</b> <sup></sup>	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				<b>—</b>	<b>—</b> —	F <sup></sup>	
L				1	1		

# **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

# End of U.S. History Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	underestimate the importance of regular attendance to school.	1.1. Principal will continuously communicate to parents and students the importance of regular attendance through the school newsletter, AlertNow messages and website postings.		1.1.RtI team meets weekly to review attendance data and plan for intervention when necessary	1.1.eSchoolPlus data	

Attendance Goal #1: 98% of students will maintain regular attendance	Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
	96%	98%					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected_ Number of Students_ with Excessive_ Absences_ (10 or more)					
	150	125					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	99	85					
		understand and appreciate the importance of regular daily attendance.	consistently strong attendance. Assemblies and coupons provided by business partners celebrate attendance.		will review attendance and generate lists of those who deserve recognition or require intervention.	1.2.eSchoolPlus attendance records	
		1.3.Parents of persistently absent students need to be held accountable for student attendance.	1.3. The attendance referral packet process will be followed for students who miss 20 or more days of school so that parents will be notified by District of impending consequences.	1.3.Principal, Guidance Counselor	1.3.eSchoolPlus attendance data will be reviewed. RtI team will inspect attendance data on a regular basis and develop interventions for students with high absenteeism.	1.3. eSchoolPlus attendance records	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
In grade level PLCs, teachers will research strategies and brainstorm to address absenteeism at their grade level.	K-5	Grade Level Chairs	Grade Level PLCs	Ongoing	Review of Attendance Data	Principal, AP

### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Mailout notices of attendance concerns	Postage budget line	Postage/operating budget	\$250.00
Subtotal:\$250.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Attendance Goals

### Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension	1.A minority	1.1. Identify	1.1Principal, .Assistant	1.1. RtI team review of	1.1. eSchoolPlus,	
1. Suspension					discipline	
	consistently	in the year for RtI	i interpar, itti team		referrals, behavior	
	present the	intervention who			plans, discipline data	
	majority of	present behavioral			plans, discipline data	
	disruptive	challenges and				
	behaviors.		1.2 Behavioral Specialist			
	Denaviors.	a behavior plan.		<ol><li>Student and school-wide</li></ol>		
		a bellavioi piali.		discipline data will be	1.2eSchoolPlus,	
		1.2.Utilize			discipline data	
		behavioral		RTI team	uiscipille uata	
		specialist to		K I I tealli		
		provide support				
		to teachers of				
			2.Principal,Guidance			
			Counselor,			
			couriseror,	2.RtI team review of		
					2.eSchoolPlus,	
					discipline	
	2.Students are				referrals, behavior	
		2. Continue to			plans, discipline data	
	by negative media		3.Guidance Counselor		plans, discipline data	
		Character				
	and peer pressure.	Counts curriculum		3.RtI team review of		
		and		discipline data		
		publicly recognize			3.eSchoolPlus,	
		students and staff			discipline	
	3. Some students	for			referrals, behavior	
		honorable			plans, discipline data	
		character.			piuno, uioeipinie uutu	
	in their lives.					
		<ol><li>Establish</li></ol>				
		mentorships for				
		students who lack				
		positive adult role				
		models.				
		11104015.				

of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions			
0	0			
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School			
0	0			
Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
	10			
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
9	7			

### **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
April 2012			

#### Rule 6A-1.099811

#### Revised April 29, 2011

			-					
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
<b>Suspension Budg</b>		s as needed)						
Include only school-ba activities/materials and	l exclude district	t						
funded activities /mate Evidence-based Progra								
	ani(s)/Materials(		(D					
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source	Funding Source		Amount	
	Subtot	al:						
Technology								
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
	Subtot	al:						
Professional Developm	nent							
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
	Subtot	al:						
Other								
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
	Subtot	al:						

Total:

End of Suspension Goals

### **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Based on the analysis of	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	1.1. 2012 Current	1.1. 2013 Expected	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*				
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.				

2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
L			

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)* 

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement	Problem-	-			
Goal(s)	solving				
	Process				
	to Parent				

	Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
involvement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
to "Guiding Questions", identify			-	Strategy		
and define areas in need of						
improvement:						

1. Parent Involvement	1.1An increase	1.1.1.Active	1.1.Administration,	1.1.SAC survey data will be	1.1.SAC/ Accreditation	
	in the number of	personal	Volunteer	reviewed to determine	Surveys	
Parent Involvement Goal	parents returning	recruitment of	Coordinator, Guidance	percentage of parents		
#1:	to the work force	volunteers	Counselor	involved at Hartley.		
*Please refer to the	due to economic					
percentage of parents who	reasons makes it	2. Continued				
participated in school	more challenging for parents to	on Parent/			μ	
	be involved at	Teacher				
activities, duplicated or	school.	conferences				
unduplicated.				: (	μ	
		3. Advertise				
		volunteer				
		opportunities				
		through bi-				
		weekly newsletter and			μ	
		Hartley				
		Webpage.				
		4. Continue our				
		volunteer				
		breakfast to			"	
		train new and returning				
		volunteers.				
		volunteers.				
		5. Continue		: (	ú	
		volunteer				
		luncheon in				
		spring to				
		recognize			0: i	
		volunteers.			Sign in rosters	
		6. Horace				
		Mann's "Donors'				
	1	Choose"				
		program allows				
		stakeholders to				
	1	help the school				
	1	fund classroom projects.				
		projects.				
		7. Angel Tree				
		during winter				
		holidays allows				
		stakeholders				
	1	to assist needy				
	1	Hartley families with gifts and				
		with gins and				

100% of parents will respond to SAC Parent Survey that they have participated in school activities in some capacity during the year	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	food. 8. Evening workshops will be offered to families on bullying, cyber safety and CCSS. 2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement;*				
		100% 1.2.Reaching our minority and free/reduced lunch families and getting them to be more involved is an ongoing challenge.		1.2.SAC/Accreditation surveys will be reviewed to measure parental involvement/ volunteerism.	1.2.SAC/Accreditation surveys	

### Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Teachers will continue to be involved in PTO and SAC to provide input and support in planning for parent involvement initiatives.	K-5	SAC Chair, principal	Teacher volunteers	ongoing	SAC staff surveys will be reviewed to determine level of involvement	Principal, SAC Chair

# Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Volunteer Breakfast/Luncheon	Business partner donations will be solicited	Principal's discretionary fund or business	\$600.00
	to pay for refreshments	partner donations	

Subtotal:\$600.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$600.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	•	•

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

#### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.			1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

$\mathbf{\partial}$			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Description of Resources       Funding Source         Image: Source state stat

End of CTE Goal(s)

### Additional Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
Additional Goal(s)	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal		1.1.Integrate Character Counts in daily curriculum and culture.		1.1.eSchoolPlus discipline and attendance records will reflect that Hartley students have fewer discipline infractions	1.1.eSchoolPlus, SAC Parent Surveys	
Additional Goal #1: Hartley will continue to use Character Counts in order to encourage students to integrate the seven pillars of character in their daily lives.	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				
	participate in the Character Counts Program	100% students will continue to participate in the Character Counts Program				

instruction and practice related	1.2.2.Classroom guidance lessons incorporate the Character Counts! Pillars	1.2.Guidance counselor	1.2eSchoolPlus discipline and attendance records will reflect that Hartley students have fewer discipline infractions	1.2.eSchoolPlus	
character across the school will help to reinforce behavioral expectations for all.	1.3.3.Continue Character Counts Recognition Program which includes the display of pictures of monthly honorees who demonstrate Character Counts! Pillars	1.3.Guidance Counselor	1.3eSchoolPlus discipline and attendance records will reflect that Hartley students have fewer discipline infractions	1.3.eSchoolPlus, SAC Parent Surveys	
from being involved in charitable activities which	4.Jump Rope for Hearts, Thanksgiving canned food drive, Holiday Angel Tree and Clothing Closet activities involve students in activities related to charitable giving.	Guidance Counselor	School Activities Calendar reflects that charitable activities are a priority at Hartley	School Activities Calendar	

### Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional						
Learning Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Teachers will discuss in PLCs ways to integrate character development into lessons.	K-5	Grade level chairs	Teachers	Ongoing	Weekly grade level meetings and monthly PLCs will include time to plan for character instruction	Principal

### Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
A monthly Character counts Assembly will be held to recognize students with exemplary character.	Funds are needed to purchase certificates and rewards as well as for refreshments for assembly attendees	Donations from parents and business partners	\$600.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$600.			

End of Additional Goal(s

### Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$5446.15.
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$2072.
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$250.
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:\$600.
Additional Goals	
	Total:

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

#### SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

#### Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

SAC team will develop satisfaction surveys for parents and staff and review last year's survey results and school data to provide input for the development of the 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan. SAC will approve the distribution process for school recognition funds which is developed with input from all staff. SAC will make recommendations related to school improvement throughout the year and approve recommendations for expenditures of SAC funds if applicable.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds. N/A	Amount
No funds available at this time.	