# Florida Department of Education



Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: Celebration K-8School	District Name: Osceola
Principal: Mrs. René Clayton	Superintendent: Melba Luciano
SAC Chair: John Warner	Date of School Board Approval:

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at		statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest
		Certification(s)	Current School	Years as an	25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
				Administrator	

Assistant Principal	Mrs. René Clayton  Mrs, Cheryl Cassano	Bachelors in Education, Masters in Education  Educational Specialist Degree  Elem Ed 1-5  Reading K-12  Ed Leadership/School Principal All Levels  Ed Leadership All Levels  Elem Ed	10	10	Pleasant Hill ES, Assist Prin, 2 years,(02-03 B, AYP-no),( 03-04 B, AYP-no), (04-05, A, AYP-no).  Pleasant Hill ES, Principal, 5 years 05-06, C- AYP – no, 06-07, B, AYP- no, 07-08 B, AYP-no, 08-09 A, AYP-95%, 09-10 C-AYPnp
Assistant Principal	Mr. Michael Ballone	Middle Grades  High School Social Stud  Ed Leadership	1	1	

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at	as an Instructional	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)	Current School	Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)
Literacy	Mrs. Rhonda Schad	Elementary K-6, Reading Endorsement	2	5	

#### **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Mentoring new teachers	Admin/Lead Team	
2. Professional Learning Support	Admin/Lead Team	
3. Positive School Community	Admin/Lead Team/PTA/SAC	
4.		

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
15 teachers out-of-field in one of following areas: ESOL, Gifted	
None rated as not highly effective	none

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

To	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
tal	of	of	of	of	of	Hi	Re	Na	ES
	Fir	Te	Te	Te	Te	gh	adi	tio	OL
Nu	st-	ach	ach	ach	ach	ĺу	ng	nal	En
m	Ye	ers	ers	ers	ers	Ef	En	В	dor
b	ar	wi	wit	wi	wi	fe	dor	oa	sed
er	Te	th	h 6-	th	th	cti	sed	rd	
of	ac	1-5	14	15+	Ad	ve	Te	Ce	Tea
In	he	Ye	Ye	Ye	va	Te	ac	rtif	che
str	rs	ars	ars	ars	nc	ac	her	ied	rs
uc		of	of	of	ed	he	S	Te	
tio		Exp	Exp	Exp	De	rs		ac	
		erie	erie	erie	gre			he	

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

nal		nce	nce	nce	es		rs	
St								
aff								
88	2%							

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Tamara Medrano	Megan Thomas, Abigail Reed,	Grade level, new to state	PLC, new teacher mentoring
Rhonda Schad	Cristy Palmer, Casey Wells, Hope Strange	Grade level, experienced	PLC, new to school mentoring

### **Additional Requirements**

#### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
THE I, I at D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
The A Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Description Description
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Guidance Counselors, Reading Coach, Administration, teachers

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the RtI team is to provide high quality instruction/intervention matched to student needs and use the performance and learning rate over time to make important educational decisions to guide instruction. The RtI team functions to address the progress of students identified by faculty and staff who are performing in the lower quartile and/or needing assistance in meeting AYP. The team uses the PROBLEM SOLVING approach to address strategies to provide support for identified students to have their needs met within the regular education setting. Decisions are data based with the academic and emotional needs of the child as the top priority.

The Rtl team will work collaboratively with the Literacy Leadership Team and PLCs in the implementation of the Continuous Improvement Model and use of progress monitoring. The Rtl team will meet 2-3 times per month/or as needed to do the following:

- Oversee the multi-tiered model of service delivery
- Determine scheduling needs, interventions, curriculum
- Review/interpret student data
- Organize and support the systematic data collection
- Monitor interventions and assessments in Tier 2 and Tier 3

Work collaboratively with LLT and PLC

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Rtl team members participate in SAC and School Improvement Planning. The goals in the SIP targeting the lowest performing students and the AYP subgroups are the areas that drive the Rtl team focus.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Formative Assessments and classroom common assessments provide the diagnostic information to identify students' level of master of instructional content. These assessments are district provided; teacher/PLC developed, and/or generated from the Data Director test bank and textbook resources and are based on the core curriculum areas.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Teachers are trained on the RtI process with overview Powerpoint presentation to the entire staff, then individual teachers and PLC/Grade Level groups are given very specific follow up training based on their needs.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Administration, Reading Coach, Grade Level Representative teachers K-8

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT will meet on a monthly basis to review RtI progress and overall data analysis of formative assessments, best practices in Literacy, plan/provide professional development in literacy for the staff, and to plan school wide literacy "fun" events.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Schoolwide challenges/events for promoting literacy, such as the AR Challenge; Professional Development for literacy/reading instruction in the classroom to maximize student engagement and progress in learning gains, connecting technology and instruction/student engagement. The challenge for CK8 school is the lowest quartile of students is performing on and above grade level, so the LLT will be targeting ways to ensure those students are continuing to make learning gains

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
N/A
*C 1 (12.0 1 g 1000 H2 (0)(1) F G
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S
For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
N/A
1 V/A
*High Schools Only
High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
1.00c. Required for Tright School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) 1.5.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
N/A
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally
meaningful?
N/A
Postsecondary Transition
N. 4. D
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  Describe strategies for improving student realiness for the public nestseen developed an annual analysis of the High School Feedback Benert.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u> .
N/A
_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1. Provide	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at	Resources/	tutoring,				
Achievement Level 3	Time/	intervention	Administration	Monitoring the progress	Formative Assessments,	
in reading.		at risk RtI			common assessments,	
	Learning	Phase 1 and	Faculty	targeted area of service.	·	
	gains	2.			Classroom observations,	
			Reading Coach		FCAT results.	
		Enrichment				
		for all			FCAT results	
		students.				
		Middle				
		school –				
		Intensive,				
		Advance				
		and Honor				
		classes.				
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Reading Odai #1A.	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Percentage of						
students scoring at						
level 3 and above						
will increase by 10%						
		92%				
	920/ (011)					
	82% (911)					

	1	lı a	h a	1 2	1 2	h a	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		complexity of FCAT 2.0	FCAT 2.0 and NGSSS,	Administration. Faculty Reading Coach	Teacher use and success of the professional development.	PLC notes and assessments.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		training in maximized use of AR, Leveled Library	Targeted AR training with the Faculty to enhance use of AR.  Student recognition.	Media specialists Faculty Reading Coach	Periodic checking of class and individual AR reports, progress monitoring.		
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		
Reading Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							

data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:	2.1	0.1	2.1	h 1	2.1	
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Students scoring	L			L		
	The number		Administration	Number of teachers enrolled	_	
Achievement Levels		participation			courses.	
			Faculty	strategies.		
		endorsement			Increase of level 4 and	
			District Gifted Specialist.		5 results and advanced	
		sponsor a			students in lower grades.	
	implementin					
	g strategies.	school.				
				1		
				1		
				1		
	I			I		

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
Percentage of students scoring at level 3 and above will increase by 10%					
5% in level 3 5% in levels 4-5					
	54%	59%			

	1	h a	h a	h a	h a	h a	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		Teacher impleme ntation of differentiate d instruction and higher order thinking and levels of complexity.		3	Formal and classroom assessments, Classroom performance.	Formative Assessments, common assessments, FCAT results.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
		None.	Continue involvement in the Battle of Books/ Analyze This!	Media specialist Reading Coach	Development of middle school and elementary teams.	Battle of the Books and Analyze This! Competitions.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	•	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at	and learning gains with the high achieving students	interest levels, individualize	Administration, Faculty, Reading Coach	Student work samples, project based learning, CWTs, PLC meetings,	District provided assessments, Accelerated Reader and STAR performance reports, FCAT results, and FAIR results, Common Core Standard's Checklists (K-2		

Reading Goal #2B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

	h 1	h 1	h 1	h ı	h i	
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
Percentage of						
students making						
learning gains in						
reading.				Review effectiveness of plans and	Student work samples,	
reading.			L			
	Consistently		RtI team		ongoing progress	
	and accurately	in common planning to	N. 6. 11 (1. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.		monitoring results, PLCs,	
	engaging students in	anticipate	Media specialists	Charting student progress/data	Assessment Results	
	most effective	atudant naada		tracking, RtI meetings		
	strategies based	and properly	Faculty	racking, Rti incetings		
	on student need	engage in				
		tutorial/	Reading Coach			
		enrichment to	1	Periodic checking of class		
		ensure all needs		1 to . 1to . t do 1 A D		
	Access and	are met.		and marriadar rife reports.	AR reports.	
	training in					
	maximized					
	use of AR					
	ase of fift			School wide incentives.		
		Targeted AR				
		training with				
		the Faculty				
		to enhance				
		use of AR.				
		Student				
		recognition.				
		l				

	1		1				
Reading Goal #3A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
reading Godi #311.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
D							
Percentage of students making							
learning gains in							
Reading and will							
increase by 10%							
	78%	88%					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		Use of	Purchase and	Administration	Training attendance	Student achievement.	
		guided reading	implementation of Fountas and Pinnell guided reading	Reading Coach	Lesson plans		
			level library for K-6.	reading Coach	Lesson plans		
		library		Faculty	Monitoring of student		
					progress.		
				Media Specialists			

	1	h a	h a	h a	h a	h 2	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
		Vertical	Developing a curriculum	Administration	Vertical articulation	Timeline	
			and resource timeline.		meetings.		
		of reading	Utilize the Common Core	Faculty		Lesson plans	
		standards	Standards progression		Implementation of the		
		and			timeline.	Achievement reports.	
			Establish common planning.	reduing couch		rieme vement reports.	
		resources	Establish common planning.				
2D El +1			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
o D. I Torrau	DD.1.	рв.1.	DB.1.	DB.1.	DB.1.		
Alternate					ĺ		
Assessment:		ĺ			ĺ		
Percentage of		ĺ	l		ĺ		
students making		ĺ	l		ĺ		
learning gains in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Ĭ							
					ĺ		
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for			ĺ		
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in	l		ĺ		
	this box.	this box.			ĺ		
					ĺ		
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
	1						

			•		•	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of				1		
improvement for the						
following group:						
4A. FCAT 2.0:	4.1	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
Percentage of						
_	Resources/	Provide	Administration, teachers,	Monitoring the percentage	Formative Assessments,	
	Time to	tutoring for	LiteracyCoach, RtI team		common assessments,	
learning gains in	double dose				FCAT results.	
		RtI Phase				
	with gaps in			l		
		2 students		l		
				l		
		with specific		l		
		student				
		needs being				
		met during				
		the iii				
		tutorial time.				
		T T/*11*				
		Utilize				
		intervention				
		materials				
		such as		l		
		Voyager,		l		
		PMRN		l		
		activities,		l		
		Triumphs,		l		
		LLI, Teen		l		
				l		
		Biz, double		l		
		block		l		
	1	intensive		l		
		Reading		l		
				l		
				l		

Reading Goal #4A:  The number of students making learning gains in the lowest 25% will increase by 10% school wide	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	69%	79%					
		Scheduling and funding.		Admin, teachers, Literacy coach	Voyager reading scores.	Formative Assessments, common assessments, FCAT results.	
		Levels 3 and	4.3.  Provide enrichment/targeted instruction		4.3.  PLC progress monitoring	Formative Assessments, common assessments, FCAT results.	

	les a	l	lim i	lum a	lin i		
	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
Looming going in							
learning gains in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #4B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data						
school will reduce							
their achievement	2010-2011						
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Limitations in background knowledge, academic vocabulary, ELL	ESOL tutoring and strategies implemented by the regular classroom teacher.	ESOL assistant, Literacy coach and administration.	Formal assessments, progress reports and report cards.	AYP results.	
	Increasing AYP targets by 7% each year for all subgroups					
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Subgroups, as identified by AYP, will increase scores to meet AYP goals.						
AYP goal for 2011- 2012 for all subgroups is 86%						

White: 85%	White:					
Black:73%	Black:					
Hispanic74%:	Hispanic:					
Asian: 90%	Asian:					
American Indian: 86%	American Indian:					
Pacific Islander: 100%						
	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	5A.2.	
	_	Use of the Voyager reading program.	Literacy coach	Voyager reading scores.	AYP results.	
	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	
		Provide enrichment/targeted instruction				

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
C C 1 2 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	рв.1.	SB.1.	рв.1.	рв.1.	ов.1.	
Language Learners			L	L		
	Scheduling/		ESOL assistant, Literacy		AYP results.	
satisfactory progress	language	tutoring/	coach and administration	progress reports and report		
in reading.		ESOL		cards.		
		Strategies				
	international	Shangies				
		Rosetta				
	throughout	Stone				
	the school	program				
		where				
		applicable				
		аррисавіе				
	Russian,					
	Portuguese,					
	Spanish					
	•					
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
_	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
ELL students will						
increase scores to						
meet AYP goals.						
grade fri gouis.						

	49%	59%					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		_	Use of the Voyager reading program.	Literacy coach	Voyager reading scores.	AYP results.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
with Disabilities						
	Scheduling/	ESE support	VE teachers, RCS and	Formal assessments,	Formative Assessment Results	
satisfactory progress				progress reports and report	PCAT. I	
in reading.		ESE		cards.	FCAT results	
		CoTEach,			AYP reports	
		Consultation			F 1 12	
		S.			RtI Data trackers	
				RtI Data trackers		
		T.1		PLC Progress monitoring		
		Identifying		18 114 1 11 8	PLC Progress monitoring	
		areas of weakness				
		and working				
		intensively				
		to meet the				
		students				
		needs.				
		Follow				
		IEP and				
		implement				
		best				
		practices				
		such as				
		scaffolding,				
		graphic				
		organizers				

<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Percent of students scoring 3+ will increase by 10%							
	52% 3+	62%					
	_	Scheduling/ targeted instruction	5C.2. Use of the Voyager reading program.	Literacy coach	Voyager reading scores	FORT Tesults  AYP reports  RtI Data trackers  PLC Progress monitoring	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
Disadvantaged	L		L	L .		
students not making	Scheduling/	Additional	Literacy coach and administration.		AYP/Assessment results.	
satisfactory progress	targeted instruction	Reading tutoring/		reports and report cards.		
in reading.	msuuction	Mentoring				
g.		of at risk				
		students and				
		FIT (Families in				
		Transition)				
l l	Attendance					
	as mentoring/					
	tutoring					
	opportunities					
	11					
			ĺ	l		
			ĺ	l		

Reading Goal #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Students with Disabilities will increase scores make satisfactory progress in reading.							
Overall Percent of students scoring 3+ will increase by 10%							
	74%	84%					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		Scheduling /targeted instruction	Use of the Voyager reading program.	Literacy coach	Voyager reading scores.	AYP/Assessment results.	
		Access to resources	Frovide resources: supplies, uniforms, food pantry items, books.		5E.3.	5E.3.	
			Scholastic R.E.A.L Mentorinng program				

# **Reading Professional Development**

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with **Strategies through Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content/Topic

and/or PLC Focus

Subject

Grade Level/

PD Facilitator

and/or

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Regularly scheduled meetings

and Continuous Improvement

Model

Person or Position Responsible

for Monitoring

Data Analysis and all **Progress Monitoring** 

PLC Leader Admin, Reading Coach

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Faculty

Grade Levels (all)

Lang Arts Department

PLC groups

1st/3rd Wed Whole Faculty

2<sup>nd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs

2<sup>nd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> Thur Grade

Levels/Departments

Admin, Coach, PLC Leads

**June 2012** Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Best Practices in all Reading Instruction- High Lang Arts Department PLC groups		Admin, Reading Coach	Faculty Grade Levels (all) Lang Arts Department PLC groups	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty  2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs  2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade Levels/Departments	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Impact Strategies/						
Planning models						
Curriculum Focus and CIM	all	Admin, Reading Coach, Lead Teachers	Faculty Grade Levels (all) Lang Arts Department PLC groups	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty 2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs 2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade Levels/Departments	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/	edea)			
materials and exclude district funded				
activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1:						
Will increase by 10%						

	Test A1 K- 54%					
	1 <sup>st</sup> -91%					
	2 <sup>nd</sup> -70%					
	Level B1- 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 0%					
	4 <sup>th</sup> - 46%					
	5 <sup>th</sup> -14%					
	Level C1-6 <sup>th</sup> -40%					
	7 <sup>th</sup> -40%					
	8 <sup>th</sup> -88%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
manner similar to non-ELL			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
students.	2.1.	2.1.			2.1.	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:	•				
	<u></u> _					
ELLstudents will increase						
performance at grade level in a manner similar to						
non-ELL.						

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
					2.2.
8th- 50%					
7 <sup>th</sup> -40%					
Level C1-6 <sup>th</sup> -40%					
5th-29%					
4th- 50%					
Level B1- srd-20%					
$2^{nd}$ – $60\%$					
1 <sup>st</sup> -50%					
Test A1- K-23%					

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
ELLstudents will increase performance at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL.						
	Test A1- K- 5%					
	1 <sup>st</sup> -50%					
	2 <sup>nd</sup> -62%					
	Level B1-3 <sup>rd</sup> -22%					
	4 <sup>th</sup> -46%					
	5 <sup>th</sup> -25%					
	Level C1-6 <sup>th</sup> -50%					
	7 <sup>th</sup> -50%					
	8th <sup>th</sup> - 75%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

oded)	<u> </u>		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources  Description of Resources  Description of Resources	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source  Funding Source	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Amount

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3	Continue	Teacher	Administration	Attendance records	Formative assessments/	
		training in			FCAT results	
	participation	Go Math	Faculty			
		(K-5), new				
		NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				

#1 A ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	74% (430)	84%					
	79% 3+	89% 3+					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		Home and school use of technology applications.	modeling.	Faculty	Lesson plans  Home communication  Website links	Common assessments. Technology reports.	
		Meet the needs of struggling math students		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1 <b>D</b> .	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
	inis dox.		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3	Continue	Teacher	Administration	Attendance records	Formative assessments/	
in mathematics.	teacher	training in			FCAT results	
	participation	Go Math	Faculty			
	in summer	(K-5), new				
	training.	NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				

#1 A ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
The percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 will increase by 10% school wide.						
	74% (430)	84% L3				
	79% 3+	89% 3+				
		Home and school use of technology	1.2.  Teacher training and modeling.	1.2. Lesson plans Home communication	Common assessments.  Technology reports.	
		applications.	Student access to online textbooks.	Website links		

	l	1.3.	1.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
		1.3.	1.5.	IA.J.	IA.J.	IA.J.	
		N. F	T				
		Meet the	Training and use of				
		needs of	intervention resources from				
			the math curriculum				
		math					
		students					
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	i	
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in		ĺ					
mathematics.							
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	i criormanee.	i criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	10.2	10.2	ID 2	ln a	
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

		~				
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier					
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1	2.1.	
Students scoring						
at or above	Continue	Teacher	Administration	Attendance records	Formative assessments/	
		training in			FCAT results	
	participation	Go Math	Faculty	1		
	in summer	(K-5), new				
	training.	NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				
	I					
	I					
	I	I	I	1		

#2 A ·	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The number of students scoring at level 4 and 5 will increase by 5% school wide.							
	48%	53%					
			Teacher training and modeling.	Administration Faculty	2.2.  Lesson plans  Home communication  Website links	2.2.  Common assessments.  Technology reports.	
				2.3	2.3	2.3	

2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	LD.1.	20.1.	ED.1.	20.1.	ED.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2B:	Level of	Level of					
<u> </u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data for					
		uata for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	L	-	L		
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Вагнег					
Ouestions "identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
Percentage of						
students making	Continue	Teacher	Administration	Attendance records	Formative assessments/	
learning gains in	teacher	training in			FCAT	
mathematics.	participation		Faculty			
iiiiiciiiiiiiiiii	in summer	(K-5), new		l		
	training.	NGSSS and		l		
	uannig.			l		
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		

Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students making learning gains in Math	1						
will increase by 5% school wide							
	84%	89%					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2 Common assessments.	
		Home and school use of	Teacher training and modeling.	Administration	Lesson plans	Technology reports.	
		technology applications.		Faculty	Home communication		
			Student access to online textbooks.		Website links		
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
		None	Participate in District/State/ National competitions programs.	Faculty	Participation records	Competition results	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
students making learning gains in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #3B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

4A. FCAT 2.0:	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
Percentage of						
students in lowest	Personnel	Teachers	Faculty and administration,	Student work samples and	Classroom assessments,	
25% making		provide one-	RtI team	progress.		
learning gains in	time for	on-one and			Formative assessments,	
mathematics.		small group		Tracking data when	FCAT results.	
	interventions			necessary.		
	outside the	support				
	math period.	within the				
		class period.				
	Basic skills					
	gaps	Utilizing				
	gaps	manipulative				
		s				
		GoMath				
		Interventions				
		Program for				
		RtI Phase 2 students.				
		students.				
		Math				
		tutorials				
		before/after				
		school and				
		during lunch				
		period for 6-				
		δ.				

Mathematics Goal #4A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains will increase by 10%							
	73%.	83%.					
		4.2. Funding	4.2. Saturday and after school tutoring.		Attendance records	4.2. Classroom assessments, Formative assessments, FCAT results.	
		Levels 3 and	4.3.  Targeted instruction/ data chats/challenging curriculum	Teachers/Admin	4.3.  Progress monitoring of formative assessments/ PLC process	4.3.  Classroom assessments,  Formative assessments,  FCAT results.	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
	тБ.1.	D.1.	TD.11	TD.1.	TD.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#4B:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
·	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	A C C A IR	Q; ,	D 0				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
c D t S t u u t i i t	5B.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.		
	meeting AYP target	Saturday and after school tutoring./ interventions during the day/targeted instruction	Faculty and administration	Attendance records, PLC process	Classroom assessments, formative assessments, AYP reports		

A.2
YP reports
3.3.
. }

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	2 12 111 2 3 7				
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of			Responsible for Wontoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the following subgroup:						
5C. English	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
Language Learners		[ -···		[		
(ELL) not making	Scheduling	ESOL	ESOL assistant and	Progress reports and report	A VD reports	
(ELL) not making	limitations		administration	cards.	ATT Tepotts.	
satisfactory progress	Illilitations	tutoring	administration	carus.		
in mathematics.						
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
		l		l		

Mathematics Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
ELL students will							
increase scores to meet AYP goals.							
Increase percent in level 3 and above by 10%							
	51%	61%					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
with Disabilities					1	
	Scheduling/	ESE support	VE teachers, RCS and	Formal assessments,	Formative Assessment Results	
satisfactory progress				progress reports and report	PCAT I	
in mathematics.		ESE		cards.	FCAT results	
		CoTEach,			AYP reports	
		Consultation			F 1 12	
		S.			RtI Data trackers	
				RtI Data trackers	1	
				Tar Bata trackers		
		T.1(10.1		PLC Progress monitoring		
		Identifying			PLC Progress monitoring	
		areas of weakness			1	
		and working			1	
		intensively			1	
		to meet the			1	
		students			1	
		needs.			1	
		Follow			1	
		IEP and			1	
		implement			1	
		best			1	
		practices			1	
		such as				
		scaffolding,				
		graphic				
		organizers				

#5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Students with disabilities will increase scores to meet AYP goals.							
Increase percent in level 3 and above by 10%							
	37%	<b>47%</b>					
	-	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	DD.1.	
Disadvantaged	l					
students not making	Scheduling/	Additional	Literacy coach and administration.	Formal assessments, progress	AYP/Assessment results.	
satisfactory progress	targeted	Math tutoring/		reports and report cards.		
satisfactory progress	instruction	Mentoring of at				
in mathematics.		risk students and				
		FIT (Families in				
		Transition)				
	Attendance					
	as mentoring/					
	tutoring					
	opportunities					
	1					
	l					
	1					
	1					
	1					
	l					

Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
Students with disabilities will increase scores to meet AYP goals.					
Increase percent in					
level 3 and above by 10%					
	65%	75%			

5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5E.2.	
/targeted instruction	Time for Use of the GoMath Intervention program.	Literacy coach	Progress monitoring results	AYP/Assessment results.		
5E.3.						
Access to resources outside of school						
	Provide	5E.3.  Administration, Counselors, Community outreach groups such as Celebration 34747 Cares	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
	Scholastic R.E.A.L Mentorinng program					

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

iddle School	Mathemat	iPs (Godenha-					
		Solving					
		Process to					
		Increase					
		Student					
		Achievem					
		ent					
	on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
	achievement data	Barrier					
and refe	erence to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
	ons," identify and						
	areas in need of						
	ovement for the						
foll	owing group:	1					I

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3			Administration		Formative assessments/	
in mathematics.	teacher	training in			FCAT results	
	participation	Go Math	Faculty			
		(K-5), new				
	training.	NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				

Mathematics Goal #1A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring 3 and above will increase by 10%							
	79% (463) 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> g	89%					
	5 -0 g	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		Home and school use of technology	Teacher training and modeling.	Administration Faculty	Lesson plans  Home communication	Common assessments. Technology reports.	
		applications.	Student access to online textbooks.		Website links		
		1.3.  Meet the needs of struggling math students	Training and use of intervention resources from the math curriculum	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1 <b>D</b> .	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
	inis dox.		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
				1			
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	212)				
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of			responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
improvement for the						
following group:						
2A. FCAT 2.0:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring						
	Continue	Teacher	Administration	Attendance records	Formative assessments/	
Achievement	teacher		Administration		FCAT results	
		training in	F16		rcar results	
	participation	Go Math	Faculty			
mathematics.	in summer	(K-5), new				
	training.	NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				
THE COURT OF THE PARTY OF THE P	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#2A:		Level of Performance:*				
	ci formance.	i ci ioimance.				
				l		
				l		
Students scoring levels 3				l		
and above will increase by						
10%						

		i		•	•		
	49%						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		Home and	Teacher training and	Administration	Lesson plans	Common assessments.	
		school use of	modeling.				
		technology		Faculty	Home communication	Technology reports.	
		applications.					
					Website links		
			Student access to online				
			textbooks.				
		1.3.	1.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		Meet the	Training and use of				
			intervention resources from				
			the math curriculum				
		math					
AD 27 AV		students 2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
-2011011444	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2B·	Level of	Level of					
<u>π2D.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
1		I					

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	212)				
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
Percentage of						
students making	Continue	Teacher	Administration		Formative assessments/	
learning gains in	teacher	training in			FCAT	
mathematics.	participation	Go Math	Faculty			
	in summer	(K-5), new				
		NGSSS and				
		new middle				
		school				
		programs.				
				1		

Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3.2.  Home and school use of technology applications.	Teacher training and modeling.  Student access to online textbooks.	3.2. Administration Faculty	3.2.  Lesson plans  Home communication  Website links	3.2 Common assessments.  Technology reports.	
			3.3.  Participate in District/State/ National competitions programs.		Participation records	3.3.  Competition results	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

			•		•	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of						
define areas in need of						
improvement for the following group:						
	4.1.	4.1.	<b>4</b> .1.	4.1.	4.1.	
	4.1.	H.1.	H.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
Percentage of						
	Personnel	Voyager	Faculty and administration	Voyager reports	Classroom assessments,	
25% making	limitations.	math will				
learning gains in		be available			Formative assessments,	
mathematics.		to use as			FCAT results.	
mathematics.		needed		l	i CAT ICSUITS.	
		needed		l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
		ĺ		l		
				1		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		
				l		

Mathematics Goal #4A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				
			4.2. Saturday and after school tutoring.	Attendance records	4.2. Classroom assessments, Formative assessments, FCAT results.	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4B:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
			ĺ				
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of	ĺ				
	performance in	performance in	ĺ				
	this box.	this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	
		TD.3.	TD.J.	TD.3.	TD.J.	TD.J.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
subgroups by						
ethnicity (White,	White:	Saturday and after school	Faculty and administration	Attendance records, PLC	Classroom assessments,	
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	Black:	tutoring./ interventions during the day/targeted		process	formative assessments, AYP reports	
Indian) not making	Hispanic:	instruction			•	
satisfactory progress						
in mathematics.	Asian:					
	American Indian:					
	Hispanic subgroup					
	meeting AYP target					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of				
<u>#5B:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	l			
	White:	White:				
	Black:	Black:				
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:				
	Asian:	Asian:				
	American Indian:	American Indian:				

	Personnel limitations.	Voyager math will be available to use as needed	Faculty and		5A.2 AYP reports	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
C C Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
Language Learners						
(ELL) not making	Scheduling		ESOL assistant and		AYP reports.	
satisfactory progress	limitations	tutoring	administration	cards.		
in mathematics.				1		

Mathematics Goal #5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	this box.	performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
with Disabilities (SWD) not making	Funding	Saturday and	Faculty and administration	Attendance records	AYP reports	
satisfactory progress	and student	after school	racuity and administration	Attendance records	ATT Toports	
in mathematics.	participation.	tutoring.				

Mathematics Goal #5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<del>π3D.</del>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
<b>3</b>							
	Enter numerical data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 cison of 1 ostilon	1 focess Osed to Determine	Evaluation 1001	
and reference to "Guiding	Burrer					
Ouestions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
Disadvantaged						
students not making satisfactory progress	Funding	Saturday and	Faculty and administration	Attendance records	AYP reports	
satisfactory progress	and student	after school				
in mathematics.	participation.	tutoring.				
in mathematics.						

11 -£ 11 -£	
#5E: Level of Level of	
Performance:* Performance:*	
Enter narrative for the	
goal in this box.	
Enter numerical Enter numerical	
data for data for current level of	
performance in performance in	
this box. this box.	
5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2.	
5E.3. 5E.3. 5E.3. 5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.3.	1.3.	
		~					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Wolltoning	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	Z.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
3							
1						l	
1	I	ĺ				I	
1						l	
1	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				l	
1	data for	data for				l	
1	current level of	expected level of				I	
1	performance in	performance in				l	
	this box.	this box.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L .	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
1	1	ĺ				l	
						l	

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	63					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Ouestions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #3:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
9							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
				1			
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		5.4.	0.2.	D.2.	0.2.	0.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of improvement for the							
following group:							
	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	r er formance.	renormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Sout in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	perjormance in this box.	perjormance in this box.					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
					l		

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			•	•		•
Algebra 1 EOC	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier			Effectiveness of		
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	_		
define areas in need of				Strategy		
improvement for the						
following group:	1.1. Teacher	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
1. Students scoring at Achievement	training, student		1	1.1.	1.1.	
Level 3 in Algebra 1.	attendance					
Level 5 III Algebia 1.						
			Faculty, Admin	PLC, classroom mini assessments	СВТ,	
		strategies		-learning growth chart	Formative assessments	
		-vocabulary			i officervo assessments	
					Rubrics	
		-manipulatives		conferences	Portfolios	
		-Boot Camp			1 011101103	
		-Technology- graphing				
		calculator				

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	17% (14).	17% 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			Cross curriculum writing				
			1.3. Professional development	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels</b>							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							

 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
83% (69).	83%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics							
performance target for the							
following years	D P J. 4. 2010 2011						
3A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	reison of Fosition	Flocess Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of			reesponsible for Montoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
improvement for the							
following subgroups:							
o Br staatint	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	XX/1-:4						
	White:						
Black, Hispanic,	Black:						
Asian, American Indian) not making	rr:i						
satisfactory progress	Hispanic:						
in Algebra 1.	Asian:						
=	American Indian:						
	rincilcan mulan.		ļ.				

Algebra 1 Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	tevet of performance in this box.	of performance in this vox.					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroup:							
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
riigeora i Goar #50.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of				1			
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
	this box.	this box. 3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		5D.2.	DD.2.	BD.2.	υD.2.	υD.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for		l			
	aata jor current level of	aata for expected level of		l			
	performance in	performance in		l			
	this box.	this box.	hr. e	27.0	27.0	27.0	
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Geometry Goal #1:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	1	1.0	1 2	1 2	1 2	1 2	1
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
					[ · · ·		
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above					ĺ		
Achievement Levels					ĺ		
4 and 5 in Geometry.					ĺ		
		2013 Expected					
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
					ĺ		
					ĺ		
					ĺ		
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for			ĺ		
	current level of	expected level of			ĺ		
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.			ĺ		
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
					ĺ		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
					ĺ		

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2011- 2012					
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by							
ethnicity (White,	White:						
Plack Hignoria	D1 1						
Asian, American	Black:						
	Hispanic:						
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.	Asian:						
	American						
	Indian:						
Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	communec.	2 CITOIIII GIOC.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of performance in					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	222 DUA:	orens UUA.					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American	American					
	Indian:	Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

Г		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
							i

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of				1			
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
001211511	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
		2013 Expected					
-	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	haa	han	haa	h c a	
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
ronowing subgroup.						I	

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical					
	aata jor current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

#### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional Development

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professiona development or PLC activity. PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	1	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants  (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data Analysis and	all	PLC Leader Admin,	or school-wide)  Faculty	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole	Regularly scheduled meetings	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Progress Monitoring	all	Reading	racuity	Faculty	and Continuous Improvement	Aumin, Coach, PLC Leaus
		Coach	Grade Levels (all)		Model	
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs		
			Math Department			
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade		
			PLC groups	Levels/Departments		
Best Practices in Mathematics	all	Admin, Reading	Faculty	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Instruction- High		Coach	Grade Levels (all)	•	Model	
Impact Strategies/				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs		
Planning models			Math Department			
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade		
			PLC groups	Levels/Departments		

Curriculum Focus-	all	Admin,	Faculty	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole	Regularly scheduled meetings	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
NGSSSs, new Math		Reading		Faculty	and Continuous Improvement	
Text and CIM		Coach, Lead	Grade Levels (all)		Model	
		Teachers		2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs		
			Math Department			
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade		
			PLC groups	Levels/Departments		

# $\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}\ (\text{Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only colored board founded			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3	Resources	Continue	Faculty and administration	Lesson plans.	Formative Assessments,	
in science.	and supplies	science lab			classroom assessments,	
	for hands-on	activities for			PLC results	
	lab activities	all grades				
	in the	un grudes.			FCAT results	
	classroom.				I CAT Tesuits	
	Classicolli.					
		Increase				
		the use of				
		technology				
		in the				
		classroom.				
		Classicolli.				
G : G 1 //1 t	2012 G	2012 F				
Science Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Percentage of						
students seering						
students scoring 3 and above will						
increase by 10%						
1			I	l .		

	i	i	1	1		•	1
	78%	88%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			Establish a school wide	Faculty and administration	School calendar	Participation results	
		and teacher	science fair (K-5, 6-8)				
		participation					
		in science					
		fair and					
			Re-establish science nights.				
		nights.					
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
			Professional development	Faculty and administration	Enrollment in courses	Formative assessments.	
		adopted	and training.				
		curriculum			Attendance records	Classroom assessments.	
		resources.			D	DI C	
					Progress monitoring of	PLC results	
					performance.	FCAT results	
					Laccon plane	reat tesuits	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	Lesson plans. 1B.1.		
Alternate	12.1.	15.1.	15.1.	15.1.	10.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
perence.							

Science Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for						
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Students scoring						
at or above	Resources	Continue	Faculty and administration	Lesson plans.	Formative Assessments,	
<b>Achievement Levels</b>	and supplies	science lab			classroom assessments,	
4 and 5 in science.	for hands-on lab activities	activities for			PLC results	
	lab activities	all grades.				
	in the				FCAT results	
	classroom.					
		T				
		Increase the use of				
		technology				
		in the				
		classroom.				
		Classicolii.				

Percentage of students scoring 3 and above will increase by 10%	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	799/	000/					
	78%	<b>88%</b> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		Student and teacher participation in science fair and science nights	Establish a school wide science fair (K-5, 6-8)  Re-establish science nights.	Faculty and administration	School calendar	Participation results	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
		adopted curriculum	Professional development and training.	Faculty and administration	Enrollment in courses  Attendance records	Formative assessments.  Classroom assessments.	
		resources			Progress monitoring of performance.  Lesson plans.	PLC results FCAT results	

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Science Goal #2B:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

# Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Science Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data,	Barrier	Strategy	1 CISON OF 1 OSITION	Trocess Osca to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
student achievement data,	Darrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions", identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
5							
1						l	
1		ĺ			l	l	
	Endon money 1	Entermonent 1					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical			l	l	
	aata jor current level of	data for expected level of				l	
1	performance in	performance in			l	l	
	this box.	this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		r	<b>[</b>	<b></b> .	<b>[</b> -2-	<b>T</b>	
		ĺ				l	
	<del> </del>	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.5.	<b>L</b> .J.	2.3.	L.J.	<b>L</b> .3.	
1		ĺ				l	
		<u> </u>					

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Biology 1 Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
goal in this box.						

		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.3.	1.5.	1.5.	
		_					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Despensible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the		ĺ	l			I	
following group:		ĺ	l			l	
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
1 0			<b>[</b>				
at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels</b>							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
						l	
		ĺ	l			l	
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for	l			I	
	current level of	expected level of				l	
	performance in	performance in				l	
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		ĺ	l			l	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
						l	
		ĺ	l			l	
			!	ļ.	ļ		

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

#### **Science Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator and/or

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or

school-wide)

1st/3rd Wed Whole Faculty

Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Admin, Coach, PLC Leads

Data Analysis and all **Progress Monitoring** 

Admin, PLC Leads

Grade Levels (all)

Faculty

2<sup>nd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs

Model

Math Department

2<sup>nd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> Thur Grade

**PLC** groups

Levels/Departments

Best Practices in all Science Instruction- High Impact		Admin, PLC Leads	Faculty  Grade Levels (all)	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Strategies/Planning			Grade Levels (all)	2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs	de.	
models			Math Department	•		
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade		
			PLC groups	Levels/Departments		
Curriculum Focus- NGSSSs, Discovery	all	Admin, PLC Leads, Lead	Faculty	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Science, and CIM		Teachers	Grade Levels (all)		Model	
				2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs		
			Math Department			
			PLC groups	2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade Levels/Departments		

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

# **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Students scoring at		[ · · ·				
Achievement Level	Training faculty	Continue the	Literacy Coach	Participation in training	Florida Writes and Osceola	
3.0 and higher in	and students.	use of the PDA			Writers results.	
writing.	C	module. And	Faculty			
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Cross content writing.	expanding with the Core				
	witting.	Connections				
		writing				
		strategies to meet the needs				
		of the Common				
		Core Standards.				
Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of				
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				
	r criormance.	errormance.				
Damandage						
Percentage of students scoring						
3 and above will						
increase by 1%						
increase by 170						
		93%				
	92%					

1.2.	1.2.	ľ	1.2.	11 2	1 2
1 1		Į.	1.4.	1.2.	1.2.
and fa	aculty understan	student/parent ding of the writing rubric ing parent workshop.	Literacy coach, Literacy council, Administration		Florida Writes and Osceola Writers results
1.3.	1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Stude	ent interest Continue to publish	opportunities for students I their writing	Media specialist	Published books	Published books
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1B.1.	1B.1.	
Level of Performance:* Level Performance:	Expected lof ormance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical Enter	numerical				
data for data fo current level of expect performance in perfor this box. this bo	for ted level of rmance in ox.				
IB.2.	. 1B.2.		1B.2.	1B.2.	IB.2.
IB.3.	. 1B.3.		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

# **Writing Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus	·	and/or	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		•
		PLC Leader				
Data Analysis and			Faculty	1st/3rd Wed Whole	Regularly scheduled meetings	
Progress Monitoring			,	Faculty	and Continuous Improvement	
	all	Admin,	Grade Levels (all)		Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
		Reading		2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs		
		Coach, Lead	Lang Arts Department			
		Teacher		2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade		
			PLC groups	Levels/Departments		

Best Practices in Writing Instruction- High Impact Strategies/Planning models	all	Admin, Reading Coach, Lead Teachers	Faculty Grade Levels (all) Lang Arts Department PLC groups	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty  2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs  2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade Levels/Departments	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads
Curriculum Focus- PDA Writing and CIM	all	Admin, Reading Coach, Lead Teachers	Faculty Grade Levels (all) Lang Arts Department PLC groups	1 <sup>st</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wed Whole Faculty 2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Wed PLCs 2 <sup>nd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> Thur Grade Levels/Departments	Regularly scheduled meetings and Continuous Improvement Model	Admin, Coach, PLC Leads

## Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Writing Goals

# Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.	Students				Formative Assessments,		
	background		E 14 /A 1 :				
	knowledge with Social Studies	Marziano	Faculty/Admin	Ongoing monitoring			
	content	to build			End of Course Exam		
	content	background			End of Course Exam		
		background, scaffold					
		learning					
	Fact recall	rearming .					
		Practice quizzes					
		ĺ					
		Utilize new					
		Civics Core					
		Curriculum					
		Resources					
		including online resources.					
Cirrier Cont #1:		2013 Expected					
Civics Goal #1:	Level of	Level of					
		Performance:*					
D							
Percentage of							
students score level							
3 will be equal to the							
state.							
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
				-			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	reison of Position	Flocess Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding							
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	<b>[</b>		2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
<b>5</b>							
Percentage of							
students score level							
3 will be equal to the							
state.							
state.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
1		this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
				<u> </u>			
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
1							

#### **Civics Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or PLC Leader (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or

school-wide)

District CIVICS

7<sup>th</sup> grade Civics

District Lead, PLCs and district trainings of Ongoing CIVICS curriculum Dept Lead

Ongoing—weekly PLCs

Administration

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Civies Duaget (misert tows as need	<del></del> )		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Civics Goals

# U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
		_					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Danierikla fan Manitanina	Effti			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
	h 1	0.1	h 1	h 1	b 1		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels</b>							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
U.S. History Goar #2.	Level of	Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:**					
F4							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
1							
1		ĺ					
1		ĺ					
-	E-stan and	E-stan and	<b> </b>				
1	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
1	data for	data for					
1	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
1	perjormance in this box.	perjormance in this box.					
	IIIIS UUX.		2.2.	h 2	2.2	2.2.	
1		۷.۷.	<b>Ľ</b> .4.	2.2.	2.2.	<b>⊬</b> .∠.	
	ļ						
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
1							
				<u> </u>			

#### **U.S. History Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

## U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

# **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position  Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine  Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	activities during school calendar.	Student recognition program. Positive reinfor cement incentives.	1.1.  Dean and Administration	1.1. Attendance records	1.1. Attendance records	
	2012 Current Attendance	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				

95%						
	95%					
2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Number of	Number of					
Students with	Students with					
Excessive	Excessive					
Absences	Absences					
(10 or more)	(10 or more)					
(10 or more)	, ro or more,					
F4	F4					
Enter numerical data for current	enter numerical data for expected					
number of	data for expected number of					
	box.					
2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Number of Students with					
	Excessive					
Tardies (10 or	Tardies (10 or					
more)	more) Enter numerical					
data for current	data for expected					
number of	number of					
students tardy in this box.	students tardy in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

## Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Attendance Goals

# **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		IIIC HUIHOCI OI SI	r	represents next to the per	reentage (e.g. 7070	(33)).	•
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Goar(s)							
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
reference to "Guiding							
Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of improvement:							
	1 1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Consistency	Positive student reinforcement		Referral records	Referral records.		
			Dean and administration				
		negative behavior	Dean and deministration				
		with positive					
		with positive behavior					
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
	of In -School	Number of					
	Suspensions						
		In- School					
Suspensions		Suspensions					
P							
	K-5 6/965						
	IX-3 U/7U3						
	.6%						
	6-8: 24/535						
	4.5%						
	/ 0						

2012	2 Total Number	2013 Expected			İ		
		Number of Students					
Susn	pended	Suspended					
	*	•					
In-S	School I	In -School					
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected number of					
stude	lents suspended	students suspended					
1							
in-sc	school	in- school					
2012	2 Total	2013 Expected					
	1	Number of					
Nur	mber of Out-of-						
Scho	ool Suspensions	Out-of-School					
l sem	<u>coor suspensions</u>	Suspensions					
V_5		Enter numerical data					
18-5	3. 0/703	for expected number of					
1		students suspended					
.8%	<b>%</b>						
	¢.	out- of- school					
6_81	8th: 31/535						
0-0	. 51/555						
	,						
5%							
2012		2013 Expected					
of St	Students 1	Number of Students					
Susp	pended S	Suspended					
Out-	- of- School	Out- of-School_					
T	er numerical data	Enter numerical data					
		Enter numerical data for expected number of					
stude	lents suspended	students suspended					
	4	1					
aut	- of- school	out- of- school					
oui-	- oj- school (		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1	1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional **Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

Cusponsian Dudget (Insert rover as readed)

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as	s needed)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students who dropped out during							
the 2011-2012 school year.							
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for expected					
	graduation rate in	graduation rate in this box.					
		1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with

Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

# **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Total Parent participation/ transportation/ outside obligations	workshop	SAC and PTA Administration, Faculty, Staff	_	Attendance logs	
		Provide a workshop on PIV and FCAT explorer.				
		Update school website to include text books links and school activities. (Email blast, C2C schools)				

	2012 Current Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent					
#1:	Involvement:*	Involvement:*					
All parents will be informed of student progress and at least							
75% will participate in at least							
one school based activity such as open house, parent night,							
performances, etc.							
*D1							
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who							
participated in school activities, duplicated or							
unduplicated.							
	75% of every class	75% or more					
		every class					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			Meet in late spring to update SIP.	SAC	Attendance logs	Attendance logs	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
			Use of student agenda for grades K-8	PTA, Counselors, Administration, Faculty	Teacher/parent feedback	Teacher/parent feedback	
			Provide parent activity nights and parent information nights.				

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Instructional Technology Support	SAC FUNDSrollover	\$1,600
Subtotal: \$1,600			
·			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Childcare for SAC meetings		SAC FUNDSrollover	\$200
Subtotal: 200			
Total: \$1800			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **STEM Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

· · · · · ·

End of STEM Goal(s)

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **CTE Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or

and/or PLC Leader

school-wide)

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* when using percentages	s, merude me	number of st	udents the percentage	represents next to the per	icentage (e.g. 7076	(33)).	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving						
Additional Goal(s)	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy			
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		

Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
	Subject			Release) and Schedules (e.g.,		Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
		PLC Leader	,			

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
57EM Buuget	Total:
CTE Budget	1 otai.
CTE Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	1 Otal:
Additional Quals	Total:
	1 OTAI:
	0 177.1
	Grand Total:

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

XX Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Monthly meetings to review progress of goals, etc
---

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
School agendas for parent communications	
Instructional support	