Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Hyde Grove Elementary School	District Name: Duval
Principal: Jeffrey Royal	Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Dannals
SAC Chair:	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Jeffrey Royal	Master of Education Degree in Educational Leadership, University of North Florida Bachelor of Arts in Education, Jacksonville University State of Florida Professional Educators Cartification in	0	5	Greenfield Elementary- 2008 - B High Standards Reading - 68% Math - 72% Writing - 84% Gains - Reading - 66%, Math - 75% 2009 - A High Standards Reading - 74% Math - 76% Writing - 69% Gains - Reading - 73%, Math - 75% 2010 - B High Standards Reading - 74% Math - 73% Math - 73%
			j		High Standards Reading – 74%
Assistant Principal					

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Writing/ Science	Sara Dean	Bachelors Elementary Ed. K-6 ESOL PreKindergarten/ Primary Education	10	1	2011-2012 Hyde Grove Boulevard Elementary School D Math Achievement Level: 32% Reading Achievement Level: 24% Writing Achievement Level: 42% Science Achievement Level: 20% Learning Gains Reading: 51% Learning Gains Math: 54% Lowest % Reading: 50% Lowest % Math: 54%
Reading	Tamisha Curry	Master of Education Bachelors of Education Reading Endorsement Elementary Education K- 6 ESOL Reading K-12	0	0	2011-2012 Oak Hill Boulevard Elementary School C Math Achievement Level: 67% Reading Achievement Level: 60% Writing Achievement Level: 37% Science Achievement Level: 37% Learning Gains Reading: 51% Learning Gains Math: 64% Lowest % Reading: 36% Lowest % Math: 75%
Mathematics	Vacant		0	0	

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date

1. DCPS Human Resource Department will provide the school with a list of all highly qualified applicants that have applied for available positions. Administration will interview applicants and offer positions to those most qualified. Once teachers are on staff, a mentor, along with a team leader will be provided to those teachers to assist in transitioning into the Duval County School System. New hires will also meet with an administrator on a monthly basis to discuss any issue that teacher may need to address or want assistance	Mr. Royal, Principal	Ongoing
--	----------------------	---------

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective	
13% [3] teachers are not currently highly qualified	They will be HQ when their professional certificate is issued from the state.	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

1 -	Total Jumber of structional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
	31	3(10%)	7 (10%)	8 (26%)	13 (42%)	13 (42%)	28 (90%)	3 (10%)	1 (3%)	14 (45%)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

No. of the state o		D.: 1.0 D.:	DI INC. C. A. C. C.
Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

Sara Dean	Kimberly Mills Patricia Yon	Both Kimberly Mills and Patricia Yon are new to Hyde Grove Elementary. Mrs. Mills serves as a 5 th grade teacher and Mrs. Yon serves as the school Guidance Counselor. As a member of the school Instructional Support Team, Mrs. Dean is able to provide support for all the responsibilities of a guidance counselor as well as a classroom teacher; including but not limited to Planning effective instruction, implementing positive discipline and CHAMPs, and differentiating instruction.	If an administrator recognizes that data shows that a teacher is in need of intervention, the administrator will meet with the teacher to
-----------	--------------------------------	---	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1				
			coach; assignment to a mentor teacher; or assigned to ongoing professional development offered by the district.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Jenny Lyon	Banyan Botkin	Ms. Botkin is new to our VE Pre-K Team. She has experience out-of- county in this area, and Ms. Lyon is also a VE Pre-K teacher. Ms. Lyon is National Board Certified, and has extensive experience with Pre-K students.	Teachers new to Hyde Grove Elementary School or new to a grade level will be provided a mentor teacher. Teachers with and/ or Clinical Education training will be used as the mentors. Mentor and mentees will be required to meet on a monthly basis. Support will also be given to the new teachers from district and school administration, reading coach, guidance counselors and media specialist. Mentee teachers are also provided the opportunity to visit model classrooms within the school and district. If an administrator recognizes that data shows that a teacher is in need of intervention, the administrator will meet with the teacher to discuss areas of concern/need, review available options, and assist the teacher in the development or revision of the IPDP to reflect the appropriate interventions. Administrators will be reviewing data following each progress monitoring period; however through observation (both formal and informal) an administrator may identify a need for intervention at any time. Options for assisting the teacher include, but are not limited to, one on one coaching

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1					
			opportunities with the reading coach; assignment to a mentor teacher; or assigned to ongoing professional development offered by the district.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1					
			opportunities with the reading coach; assignment to a mentor teacher; or assigned to ongoing professional development offered by the district.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1					
			opportunities with the reading coach; assignment to a mentor teacher; or assigned to ongoing professional development offered by the district.		

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted	
through a 1 hour remediation time built into every classroom teacher instructional	
schedules to address reading and math deficiencies. Also, the school added an additional	
hour after school to address deficiencies in the area of Reading.	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
District Social Worker provides resources and support to migrant students and parents.	
Title I, Part D	
N/A	
Title II	
Continue to purchase small equipment to support classroom instruction	
Title III	
Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district	
support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.	
Title X- Homeless	
The district Homeless Social Worker will provide resources such as clothing, school	
supplies, and social services referrals for students identified as homeless to eliminate	
barriers for a free and appropriate education.	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
We will use our SAI funds to fund or supplement teacher salaries to facilitate before,	
after, and Saturday school tutoring.	
Violence Prevention Programs	
In support of the Superintendant's goal to establish safe and secure schools, the district	
provides Foundations and Champs training to our school's behavior team. Through this	
training Hyde Grove Elementary established core beliefs and systems that reduced and	
eliminated school violence. We will continue to use Second Steps Violence Prevention	
Program along with CHAMPS and Foundations.	

Nutrition Programs We will continue to participate in Breakfast in the Classroom which allows every child regardless of economic need to have a free breakfast to begin the day. Free and Reduced lunch applications will be distributed at the beginning of the year and updated as needed. Blessings in a Back Pack: Students who receive free and reduced lunch receive a bag of food items each Friday for the weekend. The food is provided through community donations and Publix.	
Housing Programs	
Head Start	
Hyde Grove offers VPK so transitions from Pre-K to Kindergarten will be seamless	
for our students. District Head start staff works from Hyde Grove once a week to test	
incoming Pre-K Students.	
Adult Education	
N/A	
Career and Technical Education	
N/A	
Job Training	
N/A	
Other	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/	
Intervention (RtI)	
School-Based MTSS/RtI Team	
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.	Mrs. Dean: School Based Science/Writing Coach
Mrs. Yon, School Guidance Counselor	Mrs. Murray, School ESE Liaison
Mrs. , School Psychologist	K-5 Grade level Chairs
Mrs. Curry, School Based Reading Coach	

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets on a monthly basis to analyze data and discuss success of intervention programs that have been implemented. If intervention is not being successful with a student, team makes a decision on whether to implement another intervention strategy or change tiers.

Guidance counselors and Grade Level Chair (classroom teacher) maintain documentation and share any information that is pertinent to child's success.

School psychologist assures that intervention strategies have been implemented with fidelity. She is also considered the case manager for each individual student

Reading coach's role is to assist in gathering and analyzing the literacy data. She will also assist in providing the intervention specialists with strategies.

Math coach's role is to assist in gathering and analyzing the math data. She will also assist in providing the intervention specialist with strategies.

ESE Teacher's role is to assist with the implementation of Tier II and Tier III interventions that the team develops.

Administrator's role is to make sure that intervention strategies are implemented with fidelity as well as provide time for meetings.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school based RtI Leadership team provides input for the development of the SIP. The team will meet following interim assessment tests throughout the year to review the goals of the SIP and evaluate the school's progress towards meeting those goals.

MTSS Implementation	
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.	
All instructional staff will utilize Inform for District managed data, each teacher will maintain a data notebook with specific concerns and intervention that are appropriate for each student.	
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.	
RtI training will initially be conducted during the initial PLCs so teachers understand the importance of evaluating students and developing a plan for intervention immediately.	
Describe the plan to support MTSS.	
Follow up support will be provided during grade level common planning, early release training, and on an as needed basis with individual teachers by the RtI Leadership Team.	

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team	
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).	Ellen Menendez, Second Grade Teacher
	Tamisha Curry, Reading Coach
Jeffrey Royal, Principal	Sara Dean, Science/Writing Coach
Stacy Barnett, Fifth Grade Teacher	

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).	
The Reading Coach will coordinate the monthly LLT team meetings. The goal of the Literacy Leadership Team will be to create reading leaders across the campus. These reading leaders will participate in discussion and problem solving during the meetings and will turn-key the information to their grade level teammates. The team will function as a Professional Learning Community. Each member will be vested in the success of all students and work towards meeting the identified goals that mirror that of the DCPS Blueprint for Reading. Additionally, the Reading Coach will be the spearhead of the Read It Forward Jax. Program at our school.	
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?	
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? How to effectively use the results of FAIR to move our students and their teachers in appropriate goal setting for learning to read and reading to learn. We will also work on significantly bumping up the complexity of our reading instruction and student tasks to better align with FCAT 2.0.	
The Literacy Leadership Team will meet to review the most recent data and problem solve ways to meet the needs of students at the individual, class and school levels. The team will also spend time developing ways to provide enrichment to those students who are showing continual mastery. Professional development needs will also be discussed, planned and implemented through the input of the team. Community involvement activities will be planned to bridge the gap between home and school literacy. These activities will be aligned with RIFJ and the superintendent's six reading strategies we are focusing on.	

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Hyde Grove houses 2 VPK programs, and 3 Pre-K DD programs that will transition students to Kindergarten by the end of the year. Students will constantly observe Kindergarten classes and take a "In-School Field Trip" to be immersed in the Kindergarten setting.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0:	to scaffold instruction to build	Read aloud using grade level and complex text	Reading Interventionist	ı e	1A.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight	

Reading Goal #1A: 35% (47)of all students will score at level 3	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		35% (47)of					
	of Students						
		will score at level 3					
		1A.2.S	1A.2. Whole group	1A.2. Reading Coach	1A.2.Checklist	1A.2. Monthly analysis	
		tudents	progression reading time			of checklist	
			that includes teacher				
		e difficulty	monitoring				
		reading with					
		stamina					
		1A.3.	1A.3. Reading Coach will	1A.3 Reading Coach	1A.3. Ongoing	1A.3. FAIR Tool Kit/	
		Reading	plan lessons with teachers		progress monitoring	Limelight	
			after each assessment				
			to separate skills from				
		Benchm arks are	benchmarks				
		not being	Teacher will provide 30				
			minutes of instructional				
		in isolation	time during reading to				
			address Reading skills				
		instruction.					

E	nter numerical	Enter numerical			
da	ata for	data for			
си	urrent level of	expected level of			
pe	erformance in	performance in			
th	is box.	this box.			

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
		2A.1.	2A.1.Classroom teacher	2A.1.	2A.1. Rubric for	
Students scoring	Students	Teacher	Reading Coach	Student Samples and	Final Project/Student	
at or above	scoring	will give		Products	Samples	
Achievement Levels	_	reading			1	
4 in reading.		inventory				
	lacked	to				
		determine				
	_	student				
	and/or high	interests				
	interest					
	content that	Teacher				
	μ.	will create				
	sustaina	enrichment				
	bility of	groups that				
	proficiency					
		critical				
		thinking				
		during Core				
		instruction				
			1	I.		

Reading Goal #2A: 20% (27)of all students will score a 4 or above.	<u>Current</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*				
		20%(27) of all students will score a 4 or above.				
		dents lack	2A.2.Provide enrichment sessions during state provided additional hour	Independent Student	2A.2. Rubric for Final Project/Student Samples	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.						

Percentage of students making			Reading Interventionist	Monitoring –	Evaluation Tool 3A.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight		
reading.	instruction to build students up to grade level text.	complex text		assessinents			
Reading Goal #3A: 75% (100) of students will make learning gains	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	gains	will make learning gains					
	3A.2. Students lack at home reinforcement		Target small groups using the Reading XL extra hour of instruction	Team	\mathcal{C}	3A.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight	

2012-2013 School Im	provement Plan ((SIP)-Form	ı SIP-1
---------------------	------------------	------------	---------

ſ				
- 1				
- 1				
- 1				
- 1				
- 1				
- 1				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance.* T5%(26) of students in the lowest 25% in reading will make gains
data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Coach Reading Interventionist Monitoring — Bi weekly FCIM assessments Reading Goal #4A: Reading Coach Reading Coach Reading Goal #4A:
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* in the lowest 25% in reading will AA. 1. Reading Coach AA. 1. Ongoing Progress AA. 1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Monitoring — Bi weekly FCIM assessments 4A. 1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight/ Bi weekly FCIM assessments
in need of improvement for the following group: 4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of Students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Interventionist Monitoring — Bi weekly FCIM assessments
for the following group: 4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of Students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Interventionist wish assessments 4A.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight/ Bi weekly FCIM assessments
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of Students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Re
Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Read aloud demonstrated using grade e difficulty using grade level text text Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 75%(26) of students in the lowest 25% in reading will Read aloud demonstrated using grade level and using grade level and using grade level and using grade level and using grade level of Performance.* Performance:* Read aloud demonstrated using grade level and using grade level of Performance.* Performance:* Performance:*
students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*
25% making e difficulty using grade level text text Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* P
learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* 1
reading. Level text Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance:* Idshing grade complex text Idshing grade complex I
Reading Goal #4A: Reading Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance:*
Level of Performance:* 75%(26) of students Performance:* in the lowest 25% in reading will
Level of Performance:*
in the lowest 25% in reading will
in the lowest 25% in reading will
MINKP VAINS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
45% (15) of 75%(26) of
students in students in
the bottom the bottom
quartile quartile
will make will make
learning learning
gains gains
4A.2. Reading Coach 4A.2. Weekly 4A.2. Houghton Mifflin 4A.2. FAIR Tool Kit/
Reading and gradually release the Assessment
Groups teacher after mastery.
using
pause and
check

	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A: In six years, 69% of students will be proficient in reading							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5A.1. Reading Skills and Reading Benchmarks are not being addressed in isolation during instruction.	5A.1. Reading Coach will plan lessons with teachers after each assessment to separate skills from benchmarks Teacher will provide 30 minutes of instructional time during reading to address Reading skills		5A.1. Ongoing progress monitoring	5A.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight		

Reading Go 48% of stu be proficie	dents wil	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		White:N/A Black:54% Hispanic:N/A Asian:N/A American Indian:N/A	White: Black: 59% Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2. Students demonstrate difficulty reading with stamina	1	Reading Coach &	Comprehension	5B.2. Houghton Mifflin	
				Whole group progression reading time that includes teacher monitoring				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Students demonstrat e difficulty using grade level text	Read aloud using grade level and complex text	5D.1. Reading Coach Reading Interventionist	5D.1. Ongoing Progress Monitoring – Bi weekly benchmark assessments	5C.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight/	
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	of students did not make satisfactory	with disabilities will make				

5D.2.	5D.2. Guided Reading	5D.2. Reading Coach	5D.2. Weekly	5D.2. Houghton Mifflin	
Students	Groups using pause and		Comprehension		
demonstrat	check		Assessment		
e difficulty					
reading	Whole group progression				
with	reading time that includes				
stamina	teacher monitoring				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Students demonstrat e difficulty using grade	aloud using grade level and	Reading Interventionist		5E.1. FAIR Tool Kit/ Limelight/		
Reading Goal #5E:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	students made satisfactory	56% (70) of students will make satisfactory progress in reading					
		5E.2. Students demonstrat e difficulty reading with stamina	Groups using pause and		5E.2. Weekly Comprehension Assessment	5E.2. Houghton Mifflin	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Using FAIR Matrix	3-5	Reading/ Instructional Coaches	Grade Levels 3-5	Common Planning Days Following Each FAIR Assessment Period	Observation of Small groups	Reading Coach
Using Complex Text	3-5	Reading Coach	Grade Levels 3-5	Early Release Monthly	Observation of Read Alouds	Reading Coach

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reading XL	Florida Ready (Curriculum Associates)	Title I	2,028.92
Book of the Month	Individual Teacher Copies (30)	Title I	3,000.00
Subtotal: 4,398.92			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Success Maker	30 Licenses	Title I	10,000.00
Subtotal:10,000.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Success Maker Representative		Title I	
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: 14,398.92			
E 1 CD 1: C 1			•

End of Reading Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level 3	Novice	- Utilize	Principal	Classroom Observations	- Classroom	
in mathematics.	intermedia				Observations	
	te teachers	created by	Math Coach		- Mini-assessments	
	lack of	the district			based on benchmarks	
	experience	to align				
		benchmark/				
	knowledge					
	using Core					
	curriculum:					
		Investigatio				
		ns				
	Investigatio					
	ns.					

Mathematics Goal #1A: 40%(54) of students will score a Level 3 or higher on the FCAT 2.0.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		40% (54)					
		of students					
		will score a level 3 or					
		higher.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		instruction using the appropriate level of complexity	differentiate Higher Order Questions in their lesson plans and label pre-scripted questions as High complexity, Medium complexity, and Low complexity		Observe use of differentiation strategies during lessons.	-Classroom Observation	

					•		
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
						Classroom Observation	
		Teachers	Math coach will facilitate	Math Coach	Classroom Observation		
		ability to	professional development				
		use and	on how to use and create				
		create item	1				
		analysis	student performance				
		to help					
		increase					
		student					
		performanc					
		<u></u>					
	I			n v l n			
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	ZA.1.	ZA.1.		
at or above		*****	n · · ·				
Achievement	Novice		Principal	Classroom Observations	- Classroom		
Tarrels 4 and 5 in	I	framework			Observations		
mathematics.	te teachers	created	Math Coach		- Mini-assessments		
mathematics.	lack of	by Math			based on benchmarks		
	experience	Coach					
		to align					
	1	benchmark/					
	using Core						
	curriculum:						
	I	and Math					
		Investigatio					
	Investigatio	ns					
	ns.						

20% (27)of students	2B.2 10% (13)	2B.2. 20% (27)					
will score a level 4 or	of students scored a level	of students will score a level					
E an Alan DCAT	000104 4 10 101	4 or 5 on the					
	FCAT	FCAT					
	2B.3.	2B.3.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		Level 4	-Teachers will	Principal	Classroom observations	Classroom observations	
				_		Monitor Lesson Plans	
				Iviatii Coacii	IVIOIIIIOI LESSOII I IAIIS	Violittoi Lesson i lans	
			challenge students by				
			asking Higher Order				
		potential	Questions				
		Level 3 and					
		Level 4 due					
		to not being					
		challenged					
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		Lack of	Math coach will provide	Math Coach	Classroom observations	Classroom observations	
			professional development				
			using student data to				
			develop small group				
		during	instruction				
		instruction					
		1.					
1		time					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	Lack of High Order Question ing Skills during Instruction	will plan lessons to challenge students	3A.1. Principal Math Coach	3A.1 .Classroom observation Monitor Lesson Plans	3A.1. Classroom observation Monitor Lesson Plans	
Mathematics Goal #3A: 70 %(94) of students will make learning gains on the math FCAT	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	learning	70%(94) of students will make learning gains				

3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
Differe ntiation Instruction	Math coach will provide professional development to all 3-5 Math Teachers using student data to help develop small group instruction	Classroom Teacher	Classroom observations	Classroom observations	
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
Students not receiving Tier 2 and	Math Interventionist will be providing Tier	Principal		Classroom observations	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
25% making learning gains in mathematics.	not receiving Tier 2 and Tier 3 instruction		Principal Math Coach	Classroom Observations	Classroom observations	
#4A: 70% (94)of students	Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

studer the lo quart showe	1^					
	Lack of Differe ntiation Instruction		Math Coach	4A.2. Classroom observation	4A.2. Classroom observation	
	Teachers ability to use and create item	4A.3. Math coach will facilitate professional development on how to use and create item analysis to increase student performance	Math Coach		4A.3. Classroom Observation	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
·	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
In six years, 74%							
of students will							
be proficient in							
mathematics							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by			Principal	Item Analysis data	Item Analysis data		
ethnicity (White,	Lack of identification	Math Interventionist will	Math Coach	Formal and Informal	Formal and Informal		
Black, Hispanic,	of students not making	be providing Tier 2 and	Classroom Teachers	Assessments	Assessments		
Asian, American		Tier 3 through push-in or		District Benchmark	District Benchmark		
Indian) not making	Mathematics	pull-out intervention		Data	Data		
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: By 2013, 57% (57)of students in subgroups will show satisfactory progress in mathematics	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Hispanic: Asian:	White: Black: 57% (57) Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2. Lack of Differentiation Instruction during instruction time	5B.2 Math coach will provide professional development using student data to develop small group instruction	5B.2. Math Coach	Classroom observation	5B.2. Classroom observation	
		5B.3. Students not receiving Tier 2 and Tier 3 instruction	Math Interventionist will	Principal Math Coach		5B.3. Classroom observation s	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1		
Language							
Learners (ELL)							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.							
		2013					
#5C:		Expected_					
		Level of					
	<u>Performanc</u>						
N/A	<u>e:*</u>	<u>e:*</u>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1	5D.1	5D.1	5D.1	5D.1	
with Disabilities						
(SWD) not making						
satisfactory progress						
in mathematics.						
THATHETHATICS COUL		2013 Expected				
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				
	r criormance.	r criormance.				
N/A						
1 1/12						

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following						
subgroup:						
	5E.1	5E.1	5E.1	5E.1	5E.1	
Disadvantaged	Lack of	Math				
students not making	identific		Math Coach	Classroom Observation	Classroom Observation	
satisfactory progress		nist will be				
lin mathamatics		providing				
		Tier 2				
	Disadvanta					
	ge students					
	not making					
	satisfactory					
		intervention				
	Mathematic					
	c					
	3					
Mathematics Goal	2012	2013				
#5E:		Expected Expected				
<u> шЭГ.</u>		Level of				
50% (63)of ED		Performanc				
	e:*	<u>e:*</u>				
	<u>c. </u>	<u>c. </u>				
show satisfactory progress on the						
Mathematics FCAT						
munemunes FCAI						

of ED students	50 % (63) of students will show satisfactory progress					
	Lack of Differe ntiation Instruction	4E.2 Math coach will provide professional development using student data to develop small group instruction	Math Coach	4E.2. Classroom observation	4E.2. Classroom observation	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional					
Development					
(PD) aligned with					
Strategies through					
Professional					
Learning			1		
Community (PLC)			1		
or PD Activities					
Please note that each					
strategy does not require a			1	1	
professional development or PLC activity.					
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
FCAT type questioning	Florida Ready	Title I	2,028.91
Subtotal: 2,028.91			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Successmaker	Computer based	Title I	5,000
Quantiles	Computer based diagnostics	Title I	2,000
Subtotal: 7,000.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Successmaker representative	Professional development	Title I	
Pearson representative	How to implement core curriculum EnVisions	Title I	
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: 9,028.92			
E 1 0) (1	-	-	-

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	pedagogy in science instruction.	Profes sional develop	Instructional Coach	- Student Focused Talks	IA.1 Instruction Rubric -Classroom walk throughs -Science Look-Fors - Teacher Observations	

Science Goal #1A: By 2013, 30% (12) of our fifth grade students will score at proficiency on the FCAT 2.0 science test.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	20% (8) students scored proficient	30%(12) students will score proficient				
		students to read grade	1A.2. Explicit teaching of non-fiction text features/ Structures by collaborating with reading teacher and teach science non-fiction texts as a part of the reading block - Integrate writing into science instruction.	1A.2Classroom walk throughs - Science Journals - Small group observations	1A.2District Benchmarks/ PMA's -Write Score! Assessments -Anecdotal Notes	

		of student opport unities/ exposure-	-Inquiry-based hands-on learning	1A.3. Classroom Teachers	1A.3Interdisciplinary units -Diagnostics/Surveys for student knowledge -Science Journals -Focus Walks	1A.3Surveys -Diagnostics Assessments -Lesson Plans -Student Work	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	enrichment for above proficiency students and their learning styles/ intelligence	Provide materials to increase the knowledge and interest of these students i.e., web	Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	2A.1Maintain and update bank of enrichment activities/tasks -Collaborative planning	2A.1. Observations Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning		

Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
-	Level of	Level of					
By 2013, 10% (4)of	Performance:*	Performance:*	1				
students will score			1				
at levels 4 and 5			1				
on the FCAT 2.0			1				
Science Test			1				
Science Test			1				
	00/(0) of	100/ (4)					
	0%(0) of	10% (4)	1				
	students	students					
	scored	will score	1				
	above 4 on		1				
	the Science		1				
	FCAT	Science	1				
		FCAT					
			1	,	1		
		1 ' '				2A.2. Observations	
		Curriculum	on materials and	Administration	-Science Journals	Focus Walks	
		Curriculum is not	on materials and involve students in	Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning	Focus Walks Lesson Plans	
		Curriculum is not	on materials and involve students in	Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs	
		Curriculum is not	on materials and involve students in	Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels	Focus Walks Lesson Plans	
		Curriculum is not relevant	on materials and involve students in demonstrations	Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student	on materials and involve students in demonstrations	Administration Classroom teachers	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest	on materials and involve students in demonstrations	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3.	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3.	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the concrete to	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/ PMA's	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific concepts	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based assessments	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the concrete to the abstract	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific concepts	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based assessments -Evaluation of student	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/ PMA's	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the concrete to the abstract	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific concepts Use of Write Score!	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based assessments	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/ PMA's	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the concrete to the abstract	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific concepts Use of Write Score! Science to guide	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based assessments -Evaluation of student	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/ PMA's	
		Curriculum is not relevant to student interest 2A.3. No transfer from the concrete to the abstract	on materials and involve students in demonstrations 2A.3 Scaffolding of student instruction as they move from concrete to abstract scientific concepts Use of Write Score!	Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach 2A.3. Administration Classroom teachers Instructional Coach	-Science Journals -Collaborative Planning across grade levels 2A.3. Analysis of student scores and data from various curriculum based assessments -Evaluation of student	Focus Walks Lesson Plans Benchmarks /PMAs Assessments for/of learning 2A.3Science Journals -District Benchmarks/ PMA's	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Integrating writing and reading into science instruction.	$K-5^{th}$	Instructional Coach/ Reading Coach	K – 5 th Science Teachers	Grade Level PLC	Teachers will collaborate with their grade levels to gain a better pedagogy of science and science instruction when integrating into reading and writing.	Instructional Support Team
Use of technology to enhance science instruction	$K-5^{\text{th}}$	Instructional Coach/ Reading Coach	K – 5 th Science Teachers	Grade Level PLC	Teachers will create a grade level bank of technology rich centers to enhance and scaffold science instruction.	Administration Instructional Coach

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Write Score! Science	Science Assessments for scrimmage of Big Ideas and FCAT Cumulative	Title 1	\$1,438. 80
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gizmos	Computer based	District	n/a
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: 1,438.80			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	lack of prior effective writing instruction	with all the teachers on various effective writing	Instructional Coach Reading Coach	1A.1. Class walk throughs Plan Checks Chats with students Student work tied to a rubric	1A.1. Dist. Prompts Scores on prompts showing growth	
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

studer level 3	knowledge of how to differentia te writing with small group instruction		Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach	student writing products Differentiated Group documentation	1A.2. District Writing Prompt data Writing Portfolios FCAT results Write Score! Writing	
	conferences during writing instruction.	Model for teachers using the Coaching Learning	Instructional Coach Classroom Teachers	Review/Analyze student writing products Class walk throughs	1A.3. District Writing Prompt Data Write Source! Writing Data Portfolios FCAT Writing Results	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Conferencing during Writing	K-5	Instructional Coach	School Wide	Grade Level PLC		Principal School Coach
Scoring Diagnostic Writing Prompts: FCAT Writing Holistic Scoring Rubic	K-5	Instructional Coach	School Wide	Grade Level PLC	Review scoring of writing as well as peer scoring	Principal School Coach
Writing Portfolios	K-5	Instructional Coach	Analyzing Student Work in writing to differentiate instruction	Grade Level PLC	School-wide portfolio system Student Writing Pieces	Principal School Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Write Score! Writing	Writing Assessments that are then analyzed and provide teacher feedback to differentiate instruction.	Title 1	\$1,035.94
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: 1,035.94			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: 1,035.94			

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	.1.	1.1 Parents	Guidance Counselor	1.1 Analyzing student	1. Data from School	
(absentee data to observe	Messenger reports	
r		notified		for decrease in AIT	School absentee	
ŀ	1	via School		referrals	data	
				reterrais	uata	
	. 1	Messenger phone call				
I F	. 1	-				
	1 .	each day		Attendance Referrals that		
		that their		are submitted to the State		
		child is			submitted to the State	
		absent from			Attorney.	
		school.				
		The				
		Attendance				
		Intervent				
		ion Team				
		will meet				
		weekly to				
		analyze				
		attendance				
		data and				
		sign				
		attendance				
		contracts				
		with				
		parents.				

 2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate.*					
93.2%	83.88%					
	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
125	100					
	students					
Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
136	100					
	1.2. Unexpect ed illness or death in the student's family.	1.2. Creating a positive and safe learning environment by building an open line of communication with parents and caregivers concerning the student.	Worker	Î .	1.2 Monthly attendance reports	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Services		TEC Ecuaci	school wide)	1 7	Monitor that daily attendance is	
Overview	T	G : 1			1	CRT Operator
	K-5	Guidance	PLC, School-wide	lHarly release	Review the weekly calendar for	Guidance Counselor
				I .	AIT meetings with parents	

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

., non asing pere	emages, meraa	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 707)	5 (33)).	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Suspension	behavior in the classroom and	1.1. Develop a school wide discipline plan through Foundations that is articulated to teachers and modeled for students during the first weeks of school.	Principal, Guidance	of classroom referrals	1.1. Analysis of classroom referrals each month		

of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions			
1day	1 days			
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
299 Days	199 Days			

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Tote						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Impulse Control Strategies for Classroom Teachers	K-5	Guidance	School-wide	Monthly during faculty meetings	Analysis of data from classroom and administrative referrals. Notes from RTI Behavior Tier II and Tier III Interventions.	Foundations
					1	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Duager (msert to ws us			,
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Impulse Control	Impulse Control Stop and Think	Title I	94.95
	by Tonia Caselman		
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Check-in/Check-out	Mentoring program	Title I	500	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$500.00				

End of Suspension Goals

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	25, merude m	c number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ciccinage (c.g. 707)	j (<i>33))</i> .	
Parent Involvement Goal(s)							
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of	nt Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
improvement: 1. Parent Involvement	able to attend at any time because they have	Schedule and structure events that the entire family can attend and support the students at		1.1. Attendance sign in sheets and survey forms from parent involvement activities	1.1. Analysis of data gleaned from parent participation surveys.		

<u>#1:</u>		2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*			
Our goal for this school year is to increase					
parental involvement at					
Hyde Grove Elementary					
School by offering events at a variety					
of times in order to					
accommodate the various schedules that					
our parents maintain.					
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.					
	2249 volunteer	3000			
	hours	volunteer hours			

commu nication between school and home change frequ ently	Weekly communication folders, email newsletters and announcements, maintain a current web page and more frequent use of School Messenger to deliver messages via voice, text and email to parents.		counter on the web page to determine is there is increased traffic on the site, records from School Messenger that illustrate how many messages were delivered and how many were rejected by parents.		
1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Communicating with Parents: Practical Strategies for Developing Successful Relationships (Dyches, Carter & Prater)		Instructional Coaches	Grade Level Professional Learning Communities School Wide	Once a month during PLCs on Thursday and Friday Early Release Training	Wiki/Blog	Volunteer Liason

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent Nights	Teach parents how to help their child's education at home	???	500
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$500.00			
F 1 CD . I 1 . C 1/\			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
,	*		•	

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* When using percentage	es, include the	e number of s	students the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%	0 (33)).	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal School Safety	equipment is old and needs to be replaced with equipment that meets current code requirements.	grants to replace existing playground equipment.	1.1. School Advisory Council Principal	1.1. Playground will be replaced	1.1. Observe playground replacement process.		
Additional Goal #1: Decrease the number of accidents in the school by 10%	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	reports were filled out for	27 or fewer accidents were will be reported in 2013	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: 14, 398. 92
CELLA Budget	
	Totals
Mathematics Budget	T 1.0.000.00
	Total:9, 028. 92
Science Budget	
	Total: 1,438.80
Writing Budget	
	Total: 1,035.94
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:1000

2012	-2013	School	Improvement	Plan	(SIP)-Form S	SIP-1
------	-------	--------	--------------------	------	------	----------	-------

Grand Total: 26, 902.58

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes						
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.						
Degaribe the nativi	viting of the SAC for the amouning school year					
Describe the activi	vities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.					

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised August 31, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1