

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: Richard Milburn Academy	District Name: Volusia
Principal: Samuel E. Smith	Superintendent: Dr. Margaret Smith
SAC Chair: Sam Grot	Date of School Board Approval:

### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Samuel E. Smith	Master of Arts	7	22	RMA has not received a grade for SY 2011-2012. No other data reported
Assistant Principal					

### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1. The school is analyzed for needs and projection of future students. The school promotes itself for new teachers via the RMA web-site, newspaper advertisement, career fairs and CareerBuilder.com. The school also draws high quality, effective teachers by communicating with VCSD for displaced or retired teachers looking for employment.	Sam Smith Art Sands	Ongoing	
2. The administration and governing body recognize the need to retain high quality, effective teachers and offers incentives for the teacher to stay at RMA. The incentives include: additional benefits, increase in salary, and PTO.	Sam Smith Art Sands	Ongoing	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	N/A

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
12	0	50% [6]	33% [4]	24% [2]	16.5 [2]	40% [5]	24% [2]	0	36% [3]

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
N/A			

### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable. Programs supported by Title I at Richard Milburn Academy include:

#### Title I, Part A

- •Reading Intervention Teacher to provide interventions for students in need via a push-in model
- •Math Intervention Teacher to provide interventions for students in need via a push-in model
- •Supplemental Tutoring before or after school
- •Supplemental materials and supplies needed to close the achievement gap
- •Supplemental funds for on-going staff development as determined by the results of FCAT data

### Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

The district receives funds to support the N & D programs to accelerate the rate of student achievement and close the achievement gaps for students in these programs. Services are coordinated with district DJJ and Neglected programs. Students are transitioned from DJJ centers back into the district schools with a transition plan to ensure academic and social success

Title II

The district provides ongoing Professional Development in the core subject areas to ensure quality instruction and student success

Title III

The District ESOL Coordinator and staff provide ongoing support and Professional Development to teachers to ensure instructional best practices are utilized. Teachers consistently progress monitor the ELL students to identify specific needs, target interventions/enrichments to ensure the appropriate pathway toward graduation. At RMA 91.1% teachers and administrators are ESOL Certified

Title X- Homeless

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The district provides remedial and supplemental instructional resources to students who fail to meet performance levels. RMA provides remedial and supplemental instructions for those students who fail to meet performance standards. RMA utilizes the following:

Before/After School Tutoring in Math

Before/After School Tutoring in Reading

Summer School

Violence Prevention Programs

RMA offers the following non-violence and anti-drug programs:

Student mentoring program

Peer Mediation program
Crisis training program
Suicide prevention program
Bullying program
Peer Counseling
Nutrition Programs
RMA offers a variety of nutrition programs including:
Free and Reduced Meal Plan
Wellness Policy School Plan
Health classes
Personal Fitness classes
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
RMA offers curriculum to student in Career, On the Job Training, and Technical Education Program
Tob Training
RMA offers students' career awareness opportunities through job shadowing opportunities, guest speakers from business and industry, and field trips to
pusiness and industry locations.

Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensure that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensure adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty.

School Counselor will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Support the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI. Communicates with parents by relevant meetings.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching. Encompasses Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Reading Teacher: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

The team meets monthly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify student who are either meeting/exceeding expectations or those who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks. For those students who are at risk, tiered level supports are in place to address the deficits and to ensure grade-level proficiency as appropriate.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team functions synergistically with all school teams and organizations internal and external.

The Problem Solving/RtI Leadership Team will meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic, behavioral and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school's RtI leadership Team functions as a natural extension of the school's Problem Solving Team (PST). The school's PST includes RtI as an explicit step of problem solving and addresses individual as well as class, grade-level and school-wide issues. The PST is embedded in the infrastructure of the school. Core members of the PST are the principal, school counselor, ESE Coordinator, and the Reading Teacher. In addition, since parent collaboration is essential for the success of PS/RtI implementation, parent input will be actively sought to enhance student outcomes. The school's leadership team will focus PS/RtI meetings around two PLC essential questions: 1) "How will we respond when they don't learn?" and 2) "How will we respond when they already know it?" The team meets regularly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify student who are either meeting/exceeding expectations or those who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks. All students are at risk, tiered level supports are in place to address the deficits and to ensure grade-level proficiency as appropriate

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, FAIR, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), FCAT Simulation, PLAN

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA)

End of year: FAIR, FCAT, EOC Exam Biology, EOC Exam Algebra I

Frequency of Data Days: monthly for data analysis or as determined by principal

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided to staff through faculty meetings, grade level meetings, and individual teacher and parent consultations in order to scale up understanding of MTSS. Specific training is provided on intervention design, data collection, and development of hypotheses and goal statements. School staff has access to web-based state training on MTSS. Job-embedded learning through academic and behavioral data analysis and progress monitoring will enhance the acquisition and application of MTSS.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The principal will be the individual responsible for the support. He will insure that thru staff and personal development that MTSS is adhered to and followed.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensure that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensure adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty.

School Counselor will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Support the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI. Communicates with parents by relevant meetings.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching. Encompasses Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Reading Teacher: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

The team meets monthly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify student who are either meeting/exceeding expectations or those who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks. For those students who are at risk, tiered level supports are in place to address the deficits and to ensure grade-level proficiency as appropriate.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Monthly meetings to discuss vision and goals.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To increase student achievement.

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

#### **NOT APPLICABLE**

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

All classroom teachers utilize effective reading strategies in order to meet the instructional needs of the students. Each teacher works independently for a synergistic impact upon the students

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

RMA offers students elective courses in foreign language, business, technology, and career study. Many of these courses focus on job skills and offer students internships. A daily focus of the school is for teachers and students to ask each other, "why are we learning this?" to ensure that instruction is always relevant. Teachers are also provided reading materials and "bell ringers" that are based on current events

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

RMA offers students elective courses in foreign languages, career, technology, and On the Job Training. Many of these courses focus on job skills and offer students internships. Every year, after FCAT testing, curriculum is selected based upon the needs of the student. Input is received from students, parents, and faculty.

#### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

A variety of strategies have been implemented to prepare high school students for post secondary education and employment. Specific programs and or initiatives that are used at the school and district level:

Career Classes

On the Job Training Curriculum

Career and Technical Education Classes

College Rep Visits

Career Workshops

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readin	ng Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
reference to "Guiding Question	tudent achievement data and ons," identify and define areas in for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Students achieving proficiency [FCAT Level 3] 7	n reading.  012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of	Large number of students low SES, ESE, ethnic minority, impacted by	IA.1. Students identified through FAIR will receive additional reading instruction using scientifically research based strategies	1A.1. Sam Smith Kimber Taft	IA.1. Ongoing monitoring of formative and summative assessment data Track student growth	
		Poor Attendance 1A.3. Apathy	IA.2. Incentive  IA.3. Utilize reward system; extrinsic leading to intrinsic; target instruction	I.A.2. Kimber Taft & Cherise Gordon I.A.3. Kimber Taft	Increase in attendance per Cross Pointe	IA.2. FCAT, FAIR, DA, Teacher Assessments, & VCSD S244 IA.3. FAIR
N/A. P	Assessment. Students	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
	·		1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.		1B.2. 1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Achievement Levels 4 in	Achievement Levels 4 in Teaunig.		2A.1. Professional development on Charlotte Danielson's Framework 3b: Using Questioning and	Curriculum Team	Ratio of higher level questions to	2A.1. Walk through Evaluation
Lev Per	12 Current vel of Level of Performance:* 13% [4] 14%		Discussion techniques [Domain 1]		assessed during wark through	
proficiency [FCAT Level 4]	<sup>th</sup> 20% [3] 21%					
1%		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Asscoring at or above Leve	bocooment Students	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Le N/A. Per En dat lev	12 Current vel of rformance:*  reter numerical ta for current tel of rformance in s box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in t for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
learning gains in read Reading Goal #3A: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current devel of performance in		3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
					3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.
of students making lean Reading Goal #3B:	Assessment: Percentage arning gains in reading.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
				3B.2. 3B.3.	3B.2. 3B.3.	3B.2. 3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define need of improvement for the following group	areas in	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in le	owest 4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
25% making learning gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Level of					
N/A <u>Performance:*</u> <u>Perform</u>	ance:*				
Enter numerical Enter ni data for current data for					
level of level of	•				
performance in perform this box. this box.					
	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Objectives (AMOs), iden	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A:							
N/A							
reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in or the following subgroups:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
making satisfactory p Reading Goal #5B: N/A	, American Indian) not rogress in reading.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  Indian:  American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:				5B.1.	
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p	rogress in reading.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	rogress in reading.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	advantaged students not	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
making satisfactory p	rogress in reading.					
reduing Gour #5E.	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of					
11/11	Performance:* Performance:*  Enter numerical Enter numerical					
	data for current data for expected					
	level of level of performance in					
	this box. this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

## **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities  Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus Subject Subject PLC Leader PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring								
PD Topic=Learn to analyze and interpret reading data to drive classroom instruction	Reading 9-12	Ms Taft	All faculty	Initial training September 1, implementation within 30 days	Reports on students' academic progress pulled from Performance Matters following reading assessments	Ms. Taft		

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funde	d activities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			,	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

### **Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA (	Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and und grade level in a manner simila	derstand spoken English at ar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring profici listening/speaking.	ent in  Current Percent of Students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Profic  N/A.  Enter	numerical data for current of performance in this box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Students read grade-level text in E to non-ELL st	udents.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Profic N/A	Current Percent of Students cient in Reading:  numerical data for current of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

	grade level in a manner similar to LL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
N/A	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

	7			
Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				0 Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				0 Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				0 Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0 Subtotal:
				0 Total:

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	<b>Tathematics Goals</b>	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
reference to "Guiding Quest	f student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in nt for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Studen Achievement Level 3 Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.
		1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  PASSESSMENT: Students 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
		1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	Student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in at for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	nts scoring at or above I and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal #2A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
scoring at or above L	evel 7 in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Level of performance in this box.				2B.1.	2B.1.
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal #3A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	-				
	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3B.1.			3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in t for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	nge of students in lowest gains in mathematics.	4A.1.	4A.1.	<b>4</b> A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of verformance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of verformance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
SA. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Mathematics Goal #5A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
SB. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the Black:  Hispanic:  Hispanic:  Asian:  American Indian:  Hidian:  White, White, Black:  Hispanic:  Asian:  American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p	rogress in mathematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
#5C:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box. this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	abilities (SWD) not rogress in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Abilities (SWD) not rogetal expected level of performance in this box.	5D.1.	5D.1.	\$D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas ir for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	advantaged students not rogress in mathematics.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
#5E:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

N/A

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School	Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas ir need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Studen Achievement Level 3	e e	1A.1.	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.
#1A·	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	pro com	1A.2.	1A.2.	IA.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.

		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
scoring at Levels 4, 5,  Mathematics Goal  #1B:	Assessment: Students and 6 in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical  Enter numerical	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.
goat in this box.	data for current data for expected level of level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	IB.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal #2A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.1.				2B.1.
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier in	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students makin learning gains in mathematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
#3A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expecte Level of Performance:  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	ed				
	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentagof students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the goef or the goef or mance in this box.  Enter narrative for the goef or th	1 =al ed	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in t for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	age of students in lowest	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
25% making learning	gains in mathematics.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Mathematics Goal #5A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
SB. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  White: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American Indian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:			5B.1.	5B.1.	
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p	rogress in mathematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
#5C:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box. this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	abilities (SWD) not rogress in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Abilities (SWD) not rogetal expected level of performance in this box.	5D.1.	5D.1.	\$D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	f student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p  Mathematics Goal  #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current devel of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
		5E.2. 5E.3.				5E.2. 5E.3.

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

NO DATA

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in	1.2.  1.3.  Anticipated Barrier	1.2. 1.3. Strategy	1.2.  1.3.  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	1.2.  1.3.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.2.  1.3.  Evaluation Tool
need of improvement for the following group:  2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.
Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*  No data  Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Page 1012 Current Level of Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
students making lear mathematics.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:*  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:*  2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:*  2014 Expected Level of Performance: Performance in this box.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
	1		3.3.		3.2.	3.2.

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1	1 EOC Goa	ıls	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.		t Level 3 in	Attendance	1.1. Continue to investigate and implement different strategies for	1.1. Administration	1.1. Check usage and implementation	1.1. Attendance Records	
Students demonstrating	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		students not showing progress		Observation		
			r y	1.2. Students seek immediate gratification; thus, learning a subject they cannot apply must be presented in authentic manner	1.2. Ms. Wild Ms. Ford	1.2. Teach application in real time setting	1.2. Teacher Assessments Alg. 1 FSA	

			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluati	on Tool
	reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
			h :	h :	h 1	h 1	h 1	
2. Students scoring at		hievement	2.1. Teachers are not familiar with the	2.1. Provide professional development	2.1 Mr. Smith	<ol> <li>2.1.</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring of formative</li> </ol>	2.1. VSET Evaluation	1
Levels 4 and 5 in Algo	ebra I.		Common Core Standards in math	on embedding the 8 standards or	Ms. Wild	assessments and teacher	SET Evaluation	
Algebra Goal #2:	ra Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected			Mathematical Practices into daily		observations by administrators		
	ents demonstrating Performance:* Performance:*	Level of		instruction as appropriate				
Students demonstrating		1						
proficiency in Algebra I EOC exams will increase by		2.00/0						
2%								
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
Based on ambitious but a			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	ntify reading and t for the following	matnematics g years						
3A. In six years,	Baseline dat							
school will reduce	Dascine dat	.a 2010-2011		1				
their achievement								
gap by 50%.								
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:								
N/A								
IN/A								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluati	on Tool	
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:				Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
3B. Student subgroup		<u> </u>	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Black, Hispanic, Asian			White:				[	
making satisfactory p			Black:					
making satisfactory p	nogress in Ai	gebra 1.	l .	Į		Į	l	

		2013 Expected	Hispanic:				
			Asian:				
N/A	Performance:*	Performance:*	American Indian:				
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current	data for expected					
		level of					
	performance in						
		this box.					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
		American					
	Indian:	Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
N/A	e Ecuriners (EEE) not	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
reference to "Guiding Quest	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Dismaking satisfactory p	monities (S 11 D) not	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.

Algebra 1 Goal #3D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
N/A	Performance:*	Performance:*					
l "	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current	data for expected	1				
		level of					
	performance in						
	this box.	this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	βD.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.
1							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
riigeora i Goar #5E.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
17/21	Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

#### **Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry	y EOC Goa	als	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas ir need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.		t Level 3 in	Attendance	1.1. Continue to investigate and implement different strategies for	1.1. Administration	1.1. Check usage and implementation	1.1. Attendance Records	
Geometry Goal #1:  Students demonstrating proficiency in Geometry EOC exams will increase by 2%	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		students not showing progress		Observation		
<i>y</i> 270			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group:		nd define areas in	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Levels 4 and 5 in Geo	2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.		2.1. Teachers are not familiar with the Common Core Standards in math	on embedding the 8 standards or	Ms. Wild	2.1. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher	2.1. VSET Evaluation
Geometry Gour #2.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		Mathematical Practices into daily instruction as appropriate		observations by administrators	
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Objectives (AMOs), ider	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Baseline data 2011-201					
reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define area for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3B: N/A	, American Indian) not	American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3C: N/A	e Learners (ELL) not rogress in Geometry.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Elearners (ELL) not constant the constant to the constant		3C.1. 3C.2.	3C.1. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.1. 3C.2.
reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	3C.3. Strategy	3C.3.  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	3C.3.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	3C.3.  Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Dismaking satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3D:	abilities (SWD) not rogress in Geometry.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Particular Expected Level of performance in this box.		3D.1.		3D.1.	3D.1.
			3D.2. 3D.3.		3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.

reference to "Guiding Questi	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disa	advantaged students not	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory p						
N/A	2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:*					
	data for current data for expected level of level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/ Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Person or Position Responsib for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsib for Monitoring									

## <u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

## **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and	Middle Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding Ques	f student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas in ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.  Science Goal #1A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	
		1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Studen Achievement Levels 4	its scoring at or above	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Science Goal #2A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of evel of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of evel of this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L	Tibbebbilletti Statelles	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier in	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1:  N/A.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter of performance in this box.	ıl ed	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
ms vox.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.  Science Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expect level of performance in this box.	ıl ed	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.2.			
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.			

## **Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.  Biology 1 Goal #1:    2012 Current   Level of   Performance:*   Performance:*	Lack of knowledge of CCSS standards & literacy	1.1. Participate in professional development	1.1. Mr. Smith Mr. Grot	1.1. Monitor usage & implementation via: Interactive Student Notebooks Formal Lab Reports	1.1. Formal lab reports Biology FSA		
proficiency in Biology EOCexams will increase by 2%	1.2.	1.2.	1.3.	1.2.	1.2.		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.  Biology 1 Goal #2:  Students demonstrating proficiency in Biology EOC exams will increase by 2%  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*  0% [0]	1.1. Lack of knowledge of CCSS standards & literacy	1.1. Participate in professional development	1.1. Mr. Smith Mr. Grot	1.1. Monitor usage & implementation via: Interactive Student Notebooks Formal Lab Reports	1.1. Formal lab reports Biology FSA		
•	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

## **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Science Budget (Insert rows as needed) Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.							

Science Budget (mse				
Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	·	•	Subtotal:
		·		Total:

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goa	ıls	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student acl reference to "Guiding Questions," iden need of improvement for the fo	tify and define areas in	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
IA. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1A:  Writing Goal #1A:  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  32 [37%]  39%		IA.1. Teachers outside of Language Arts do not often provide practice for students to write about their content areas	IA.1. Administer Volusia Writes schedule with fidelity in all curriculum areas Provide support and coaching to teachers on scoring		I.A.1. Monitor growth of Volusia Writes scores	I A. 1. Volusia Writes data FCAT Writing Scores	
			1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1B:  N/A.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*    1%   11    3%		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
			IB.2. IB.3.	IB.2. IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

# **Writing Professional Development**

	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Conte	ent /Topic	C 1	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release)		D D 71 D 71 C		
and/or PI	LC Focus	Grade	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	and Schedules (e.g., frequency of	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for		
		Level/Subject	PLC Leader	school-wide)	meetings)	6, 1	Monitoring		

## Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
				Total:

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and need of improvement for the following	define areas in	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Civics.  Civics Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* P Enter numerical Educate for current level of performance in p		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.2.		
Based on the analysis of student achievemereference to "Guiding Questions," identify and need of improvement for the following	define areas in	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Level of Performance:* P Enter numerical E data for current d level of le performance in p	013 Expected evel of erformance:* inter numerical ata for expected evel of erformance in its box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.2.	2.1.	2.2.		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

#### Civics Professional Development\_\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_\_

			Please note that each Strategy does no	ot require a professional developme	ent or PLC activity.	
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Civics Budget (	Insert rows as	needed)				
			ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Prog	ram(s)/Materials(	(s)				
Strategy		Descripti	on of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
Technology						
Strategy		Descripti	on of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
Professional Develop	ment					
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				Subtotal:
Other						
Strategy		Descripti	on of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal: Total:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

## **U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box.	! !	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier n	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box.	7	2.2.	2.2.	2.1.	2.2.			
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.			

**U.S. History Professional Development** 

Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

## U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/I	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attenda	ance Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance					
"Guiding Questions," ide	attendance data and reference to ntify and define areas in need of provement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		d d	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Excessive Tardies (10 or more)  Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.  Excessive Tardies (10 or more)  Enter numerical data for expecte number of students tardy in this box.	d	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		

## **Attendance Professional Development**

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
		Please note that each Strateg		development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

#### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		-		Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Im	provement Plan (	(SIP	)-Form	SIP-1

Total:

## Suspension Goal(s)

N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	•	students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).  Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Suspensi	ion Goal(s)		Problem-so	lving Process to Do	ecrease Suspension		
	sion data, and reference to "Guiding ne areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Suspension		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter n for currin-scho  2012 To Student In-Scho  Enter n for currin-scho  2012 To Student In-Scho  Enter n for curring the school  Enter n for curring the school	ssions In- School Suspensions  tumerical data for expected number of suspensions otal Number of 2013 Expected ts Suspended ool Suspended In-School  tumerical data trent number of for expected number of suspensions otal Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School  tumerical data trent number of for expected number of students suspended ool of students suspended ool						

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Suspension Professional Development** 

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
		Please note that each Strateg	y does not require a professional of	development or PLC activity.						
I and/or PLC Books I Grade Level/Subject I and/or Lie a PLC subject grade level I Release) and Schedules (e.g. I						Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

**Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Duaget (macritions a	is needed)		
Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

<u>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</u> Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout Preventio	n		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:		2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*						
N/A	data for dropout rate in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.						
		2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*						
	data for graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.						
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	
1			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	I I Grade I I Verson or Position Responsit										
		T EC Ecuaci	sensor wide)	meetings)							

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Taterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	ement Goal	l(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Parent Involvement			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Participants  (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PEC Leader  PD Participants  (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for meetings)  Person or Position Responsible for meetings)											

#### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activi	ties/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter y amatine for the goal in this how					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **STEM Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader school-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Monitoring										

#### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter a greative for the goal in this how					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or School-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring meetings)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Technology						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Professional Development						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Other						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
	Total:					

#### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

1. Additional Goal			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*  Enter numerical data for current	2013 Expected Level :*  Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or School-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring meetings)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
	·	·		Total:

# Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.  Reading Budget	
Keaung Buuget	1940.00 Total
CELLA Budget	
<u> </u>	0 Total
Mathematics Budget	
	1940.00 Total
Science Budget	
	1940.00 Total:
Writing Budget	
	970.00 Total:
Civics Budget	
	0 Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	970.00 Total:
Attendance Budget	
	975.00 Total:
Suspension Budget	
	0 Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	0 Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	700.00 Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	1940.00 Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

#### 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1 **Differentiated Accountability** N/A School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.) **School Differentiated Accountability Status** Focus Prevent Priority Are you reward school? Yes No (A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.) • Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page **School Advisory Council (SAC)** SAC Membership Compliance The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting Yes or No below. Yes No If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements. Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year. Participation in 9 and 18 week Academic Award Ceremony, Assist with Holiday Celebration and Annual Food Drive. In November, the SAC will participate in the Reading Campaign Kicker

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Funds will be spent for awards for recognition	?