# Brevard County Public Schools School Improvement Plan 2012-2013

Name of School:

Area:

Principal:

Area Superintendent:

SAC Chairperson:

## Superintendent: Dr. Brian Binggeli

#### **Mission Statement:**

Satellite High School, a professional learning community, will create and maintain an academic and interdependent atmosphere through on-going self-reflection and personal improvement, teaming, measurable and attainable goal-setting, student-centered learning and collaboration among all stake-holders.

#### Vision Statement:

Satellite High School students will graduate with the academic excellence and intellectual curiosity necessary for college success, career readiness, character development, responsible citizenship and life-long learning.

# Brevard County Public Schools School Improvement Plan 2012-2013

## RATIONAL – Continuous Improvement Cycle Process

## Data Analysis from multiple data sources:

| 74% of our lowest 25% population made annual learning gains in Reading on FCAT in 2012 |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
| 49% of our lowest 25% population made annual learning gains in Reading on FCAT in 2011 |  |
| 51% of our lowest 25% population made annual learning gains in Reading on FCAT in 2010 |  |
|  |  |
| 61% of Seniors were College Ready based on ACT Reading scores in 2012                  |  |
| 55% of Seniors were College Ready based on ACT Reading scores in 2011                  |  |
| 62% of Seniors were College Ready based on ACT Reading scores in 2010                  |  |
|  |  |
| 18.7 mean Reading score on PLAN Test in 2011   |  |
| 18.7 mean Reading score on PLAN Test in 2010   |  |
| 17.9 mean Reading score on PLAN Test in 2009   |  |
|  |  |
| 100% of Seniors passed the Ready to Work Reading for Information subtest in 2012       |  |
| 100% of Seniors passed the Ready to Work Reading for Information subtest in 2011       |  |
| 97% of Seniors passed the Ready to Work Reading for Information subtest in 2010        |  |
|  |  |
| 48% of students in Grades 9 and 10 scored above Level 3 in Reading on FCAT in 2012     |  |
| 37% of students in Grades 9 and 10 scored above Level 3 in Reading on FCAT in 2011     |  |
| 38% of students in Grades 9 and 10 scored above Level 3 in Reading on FCAT in 2010     |  |

47% of Seniors were eligible for Bright Futures Scholarship in 2012

48% of Seniors were eligible for Bright Futures Scholarship in 2011

51% of Seniors were eligible for Bright Futures Scholarship in 2010

#### Analysis of Current Practice:

During the 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 school years, Satellite High School focused on collaboration through Professional Learning Teams. Teachers examined each other's lessons and instructional strategies through observation and sharing of BEST practices to improve instruction. During the spring of 2011-2012, teachers in each department were videotaped teaching a lesson and shared that tape with colleagues for peer feedback. Teachers taught each other how to incorporate formative assessment in order to monitor student progress and shared strategies for optimum delivery of instruction.

#### **Best Practice:**

According to Jenson, direct instruction is not as effective as student-centered instruction. While the 2011-2012 school goals resulted in significant gains for our lowest 25% population on FCAT Reading, moving from 49% in 2011 to 74% in 2012, students earning levels 4 and 5 did not demonstrate as significant an improvement moving from 37% of students scoring levels 4 and 5 in 2011 to 48% of students scoring levels 4 and 5 in 2012.

According to Eaker, DuFour, and DuFour (2002): "The framework of the Professional Learning Community model can be

put into three themes. The school has to have a solid, shared mission, vision, values, and goals; collaborative teams that work interdependently to achieve common goals; and a focus on results as evidenced by a commitment to continuous improvement." Schools doing this work have clarity of purpose and a collaborative culture, are able to turn collective inquiry into a best practice and examine current reality, are action oriented and committed to continuous improvement, and have a strong principal who empowers teachers to be leaders (DuFour, DuFour, Eaker, & Karhanek, 2004).

## **CONTENT AREA:**

| Reading          | Math              | Science |            |  |
|------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|--|
| Language<br>Arts | Social<br>Studies | Arts/PE | Other: CTE |  |

## School Based Objective:

Satellite High School will improve Reading scores by continuing to promote and support the goals and objectives of the National Model for the Professional Learning Community.

#### Strategies:

| Barrier | Action Steps | Person<br>Responsible | Timetable | Budget | In-Process |
|---------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|------------|
|         |              |                       |           |        | Measure    |

| 1.Teacheracquiringanewsetforinstructionaldeliverythroughprofessionaldevelopmentandpeercoaching.                              | 1. Continue<br>professional<br>development to<br>train teachers to<br>assist each other<br>as peer coaches<br>to explore and<br>expand teaching<br>techniques.  | Current Peer<br>Coaches<br>Administrators<br>Department Chairs | August 2012-May<br>3013 | \$500.00 for<br>substitutes | IPPAS |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 2. Providing<br>time for<br>teacher<br>observations<br>as well as<br>encourage-<br>ment and<br>motivation to<br>participate. | 2. Through<br>PLT process<br>teachers will<br>collaborate on<br>effectiveness of<br>student centered<br>classrooms.<br>Allow time<br>for dialogue<br>about what<br>works, what<br>doesn't work and<br>barriers teachers<br>are facing while<br>making the<br>paradigm shift to<br>student-centered<br>learning. | Principal<br>Teachers  | August 2012-May<br>3013 | \$500.00 for<br>substitutes | IPPAS |
| 3.Teachers<br>need time to<br>meet with<br>students<br>and build<br>relationships<br>with students<br>and families           |   | Principal<br>Teachers  | August 2012-May<br>3013 | 0                           | IPPAS |

| 4.ACT and<br>PLAN tests<br>are not a high<br>priority to<br>students and<br>families   | 4. Make students<br>and parents<br>aware of<br>importance of<br>these exams in<br>college readiness<br>and the class<br>schedule<br>selection<br>process.   | Principal<br>Guidance Service<br>Professional<br>Guidance<br>Counselors | August<br>3013 | 2012-May | 0 | IPPAS<br>ACT Data<br>PLAN Data               |
|--|---|---|----------------|----------|---|--|
|  | Information<br>shared<br>through parent<br>newsletters, IPS<br>meetings, pre-<br>administration<br>sessions.  |   |                |          |   |  |
| 5.Rising test<br>scores on ACT<br>needed for<br>Bright Futures<br>Scholarship<br>eligibility in<br>2013 and 2014                     | 5. Awareness<br>through<br>information sent<br>home in parent<br>newsletters, IPS<br>meetings and<br>in classroom<br>presentations.   | All Teachers<br>Reading Coach<br>Guidance<br>Counselors                 | August<br>3013 | 2012-May | 0 | Bright Futures<br>Eligibility Data           |
| 6.Non fiction<br>reading<br>materials<br>are more<br>prevalent on<br>FCAT Reading,<br>SAT, ACT and<br>Advanced<br>Placement<br>exams | 6. Increase<br>the amount<br>of non-fiction<br>materials and<br>include writing<br>synthesis in<br>programs across<br>the curriculum.<br>Teachers to<br>utilize materials<br>shared through<br>Reading Coach<br>and Reading<br>Literacy<br>Leadership Team<br>meetings. | All Teachers<br>Reading Coach<br>Media Specialist                       | August<br>3013 | 2012-May | 0 | FCAT Data<br>ACT Data<br>SAT Data<br>AP Data |

## EVALUATION – Outcome Measures and Reflection

#### **Qualitative and Quantitative Professional Practice Outcomes:**

Satellite High School will become a National Model recognized by All Things PLC during the 2012-2013 school year.

Three teachers will be trained to be peer coaches during the 2012-2013 school year as indicated by the number of classroom observations noted on an end of year teacher survey.

We want teachers to make movement toward student centered learning as indicated on an end of year teacher survey.

We want teachers to increase non-fiction reading for understanding to 70% as indicated on an end of year teacher survey.

We want teachers to model BEST practices in instruction as measured by results of an end of year teacher survey.

Qualitative and Quantitative Student Achievement Expectations:

#### FCAT2

Follow grade 9 look at not only lowest 25% gains but rise and fall of levels 4 and 5

Grade 10

look at not only lowest 25% gains but rise and fall of levels 4 and 5

PLAN test acts as baseline for grade 11 ACT

Look at PLAN data for classroom placement (i.e. AP placement)

Grade 11

ACT Scores Impact on college readiness

**AP Scores** 

Grade 12

PERT Scores following College Readiness coursework

**AP Scores** 

End of Year Parent Survey

Follow the number of teachers with high expectations of their students

• As of Spring 2012, 74% (392 students) of Satellite High School students in Grades 9 and 10 performed at or

above satisfactory (Level 3 or higher) on the FCAT2 Reading. As of Spring, 2013, the desired goal is for 78% (544 students) of students to demonstrate satisfactory progress as measured by FCAT2.

- As of Spring 2012, Satellite High School had a mean Reading Score of 18.7 on the PLAN test and as of Spring 2013, the desired goal is for the mean Reading score to move to 19.0.
- As of Spring 2012, 61% (163 students) of rising seniors were College Ready based upon ACT Reading scores. By Spring 2013, the desired goal is for 68% (184 students) of rising seniors to be College Ready.
- As of Spring 2012, Satellite High School seniors taking the Ready to Work exam had a 100% pass rate on the Reading for Information subtest. By Spring 2013, the desired goal is to maintain a 100% pass rate on the Reading for Information subtest.
- Expand student-centered classrooms to include peer coaching and model classrooms.
- Broaden parameters of RTI to reach larger percentage lowest 25% population of students. Utilize counselors and exceptional education teachers to serve as sources of support for all RTI teams.
- Saturday School redefined to Monday Afterschool program to expand parameters of detention to allow for tutoring opportunities and teacher contact.

# **APPENDIX A**

## (ALL SCHOOLS)

| 1. | Reading Goal  | 2012 Current<br>Level of<br>Performance  | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance |
|----|---|--|--|
|    | (Enter percentage<br>information and the number<br>of students that percentage<br>reflects ie. 28%=129<br>students) | (Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students that<br>percentage reflects ie.<br>31%=1134 students) |  |

| Anticipated Barrier(s):                 |                     |                     |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1.                                      |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |
| Strategy(s):                            |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |
| 1.                                      |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |
| FCAT 2.0                                | 25%=137<br>students | 28%=194<br>students |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | students            | Students            |
|   |                     |                     |
| Barrier(s):                             |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |
| Strategy(s):                            |                     |                     |
| 1.                                      |                     |                     |
|   |                     |                     |

| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in   Reading   Barrier(s):   Strategy(s):   1. | 50%=1 student | Only 1<br>student will<br>take FAA<br>2012-2013 |
|---|---------------|---|
| FCAT 2.0  | 48%= 269      | 50%=347   |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Reading  | students      | students  |
| Barrier(s):   |               |   |
| Strategy(s):  |               |   |
| 1.  |               |   |
|   |               |   |

| Florida Alternate Assessment:   Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Reading   Barrier(s): | 0             | Only 1<br>student will<br>take FAA<br>2012-2013 |
|---|---------------|---|
| Strategy(s):<br>1.  |               |   |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making learning Gains in Reading      | 50%=1 student | Only 1<br>student will<br>take FAA<br>2012-2013 |
| Barrier(s):   |               |   |
| Strategy(s):  |               |   |
| 1.  |               |   |

| FCAT 2.0  | 74%= 108 | 78%=541  |
|---|----------|----------|
|   | students | students |
| Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in Reading | Students | Students |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Barrier(s):   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Strategy(s):  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| 1.  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:   |          |          |
| Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in Reading |          |          |
| reitentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in Reading | 0        | 0        |
| Barrier(s):   | 0        | 0        |
| Barrier(0)  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Strategy(s):  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| 1.  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six  |          |          |
| years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50%:                |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| Baseline data 2010-11:  |          |          |
|   |          |          |
|   |          |          |
| L   |          |          |

| Student subgroups by ethnicity NOT making satisfactory progress in          | Enter numerical data for current<br>level of performance | Enter numerical data<br>for expected level of |
|---|--|---|
| reading :   | lever or performance                                     | performance                                   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  | AMO-20%                                       |
| White:  | FCAT- 28%=37 students                                    |   |
|   | AMO- 24%   |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| Black:  |  |   |
|   | FCAT-63%=5 students                                      |   |
|   | AMO- 15%   |   |
|   |  |   |
| Hispanic:   | FCAT-35%=20 students<br>AMO-28%                          | AMO-23%                                       |
|   |  | /   |
|   |  |   |
| Asian:  |  |   |
| Asidii.   | FCAT-60%=3 students                                      |   |
|   | AMO- 0   |   |
|   |  |   |
| American Indian:  | 0  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Reading | FCAT-80%=8   |   |
|   | students   |   |
| Barrier(s):   |  |   |
|   | AMO-0  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| Strategy(s):  |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| 1.  |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | •  | 1   |

|  |              | <b>.</b> |
|--|--------------|----------|
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Reading | FCAT-28%=37  |          |
|  | students     |          |
|  |              |          |
| Barrier(s):  |              |          |
|  | AMO-55%      |          |
|  |              | AMO-54%  |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| Strategy(s):   |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| 1.   |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in      | FCAT-40%= 51 |          |
| Reading  | students     |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| Barrier(s):  | AMO-35%      |          |
|  | ANO 33 /     | AMO-29%  |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| Strategy(s):   |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
| 1.   |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |
|  |              |          |

# Reading Professional Development

| PD Content/Topic/Focus | Target Dates/<br>Schedule | Strategy(s) for follow-up/monitoring |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|

| CELLA GOAL | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy | Person/Process/<br>Monitoring |
|------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
|------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|

| 2012 Current Percent of Students<br>Proficient in <b>Listening/</b><br><b>Speaking:</b> | Teaching<br>Language<br>Skills | Giving teachers BEST strategies to use  | ESOL Itinerant<br>Teacher |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
|   |                                | Track teacher ESOL course enrollment    | ESOL Contact              |
|   |                                |   | Administration            |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students<br>Proficient in <b>Reading:</b>                       | Teaching<br>Language<br>Skills | Giving teachers BEST strategies to use  | ESOL Itinerant<br>Teacher |
|   |                                | Track teacher ESOL<br>course enrollment | ESOL Contact              |
|   |                                |   | Administration            |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students<br>Proficient in <b>Writing</b> :                      | Teaching<br>Language<br>Skills | Giving teachers BEST strategies to use  | ESOL Itinerant<br>Teacher |
|   |                                | Track teacher ESOL<br>course enrollment | ESOL Contact              |
|   |                                |   | Administration            |

| Mathematics Goal(s): | 2012 Current<br>Level of<br>Performance  | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance  |
|----------------------|--|---|
| 1.                   | (Enter<br>percentage<br>information and<br>the number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter percentage<br>information and<br>the number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) |

| Anticipated Barrier(s):                 |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 1.                                      |     |  |
| Strategy(s):                            |     |  |
| 1.                                      |     |  |
| FCAT 2.0                                | N/A |  |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 |     |  |
| Barrier(s):                             |     |  |
| Strategy(s):                            |     |  |
| 1.                                      |     |  |
|   |     |  |

| <b>Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in Mathematics | 50%=1 student |  |
|--|---------------|--|
| Barrier(s):  |               |  |
| Strategy(s):   |               |  |
| 1.   |               |  |
|  |               |  |
| FCAT 2.0   | N/A           |  |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Mathematics                     |               |  |
| Barrier(s):  |               |  |
|  |               |  |
| Strategy(s):   |               |  |
| 1.   |               |  |
|  |               |  |

| Florida Alternate Assessment:                               | 0             |  |
|---|---------------|--|
|   |               |  |
| Chudente econing at an above Lovel 7 in Mathematics         |               |  |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Mathematics         |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| Barrier(s):   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| Strategy(s):  |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| 1.  |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:                               | 50%=1 student |  |
|   |               |  |
| Percentage of students making learning Gains in Mathematics |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| Barrier(s):   |               |  |
| barrier(s):   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| Strategy(s):  |               |  |
|   |               |  |
| 1.  |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |
|   |               |  |

| FCAT 2.0  | N/A |  |
|---|-----|--|
|   |     |  |
| Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in |     |  |
| Mathematics   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Barrier(s):   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Strategy(s):  |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| 1.  |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:                                 | 0   |  |
|   |     |  |
| Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in |     |  |
| Mathematics   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Barrier(s):   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Strategy(s):  |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| 1.  |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). |     |  |
| In six years school will reduce their Achievement Gap by 50%: |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
| Baseline Data 2010-11:  |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |
|   |     |  |

| Student subgroups by ethnicity :   | Met Target Math |     |
|--|-----------------|-----|
| White:   | 74%             | 77% |
| Black:   |                 |     |
| Hispanic:  | 77%             | 79% |
| Asian:   |                 |     |
| American Indian:   |                 |     |
|  |                 |     |
|  |                 |     |
| <b>English Language Learners</b> (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics     |                 |     |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics           | 56%             | 51% |
| <b>Economically Disadvantaged</b> Students not making satisfactory progress in Mathematics | 38%             | 35% |

| Writing  | 2012 Current Level<br>of Performance<br>(Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance<br>(Enter percentage<br>information and<br>the number of<br>students that |
|--|--|--|
|  |  | percentage<br>reflects)  |
| Barrier(s):  |  |  |
| Strategy(s):   |  |  |
| 1.   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| <b>FCAT:</b> Students scoring at Achievement level 3.0 and higher in writing   | 92%=241<br>students  | 94%=291<br>students  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at 4 or higher in<br>writing | 100%= 1<br>student   |  |

| Science Goal(s) | 2012 Current Level<br>of Performance | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| (High School)   |                                      |  |

Ра ge

23

| 1.  | (Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter percentage<br>information and<br>the number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) |
|---|--|---|
| Barrier(s):   |  |   |
| Strategy(s):  |  |   |
| 1.  |  |   |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 | 67%=2  | Only 1  |
| in Science  | students   | student taking<br>FAA 2012-<br>2013   |
| Florida Alternate Assessment:   | 33%=1  | Only 1  |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 in<br>Science                      | student  | student taking<br>FAA 2012-<br>2013   |

| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,                         | NI / A |  |
|--|--------|--|
| Black, Hispanic, Asian, American                               | N/A    |  |
| Indian) not making satisfactory                                |        |  |
| progress in Algebra.   |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| White:   |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| Black:   |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| Hispanic:  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| Asian:   |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| American Indian:   |        |  |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |
| English Language Learners (ELL)                                |        |  |
| not making satisfactory progress in                            |        |  |
| Algebra  |        |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD)                               |        |  |
| not making satisfactory progress in                            |        |  |
| Algebra  |        |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged                                     |        |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students not making satisfactory |        |  |
| progress in Algebra  |        |  |
|  |        |  |

# **APPENDIX B**

# (SECONDARY SCHOOLS **ONLY**)

| Algebra 1 EOC Goal   | 2012 Current Level of<br>Performance   | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance   |
|--|--|--|
|  | (Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) |
| Barrier(s):  |  |  |
| Strategy(s):   |  |  |
| 1.   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Students scoring at Achievement level 3<br>in Algebra:                 | 43%=32<br>students   |  |
| Students scoring at or above<br>Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra: | 17%=13<br>students   |  |

| Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In<br>six years school will reduce their<br>Achievement Gap by 50%: Baseline<br>Data 2010-11 |                    |  |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,<br>Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian)<br>not making satisfactory progress in<br>Algebra.                         |                    |  |
| White:  |                    |  |
| Black:  |                    |  |
| Hispanic:   |                    |  |
| <b>English Language Learners (ELL)</b> not making satisfactory progress in Algebra  | 0                  |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra  | 59%=10<br>students |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students not making satisfactory<br>progress in Algebra   | 44%=12<br>students |  |

| Geometry EOC Goal | 2012 Current Level of<br>Performance(Enter<br>percentage<br>information and the | 2013 Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance |
|-------------------|---|--|
|-------------------|---|--|

Ра ge

27

|   | number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter percentage<br>information and the<br>number of students<br>that percentage<br>reflects) |
|---|--|--|
| Barrier(s):   |  |  |
| Strategy(s):  |  |  |
| 1.  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Students scoring at Achievement level 3<br>in Geometry:   | 61%=104<br>student                                 |  |
| Students scoring at or above<br>Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in<br>Geometry:  | N/A  |  |
| Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In<br>six years school will reduce their<br>Achievement Gap by 50%: Baseline<br>Data 2010-11 |  |  |

| Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,<br>Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian)<br>not making satisfactory progress in<br>Geometry. |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
| White:   |  |
| Black:   |  |
| Hispanic:  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| <b>English Language Learners (ELL)</b><br>not making satisfactory progress in<br>Geometry  |  |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD)<br>not making satisfactory progress in<br>Geometry  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students not making satisfactory<br>progress in Geometry   |  |

| Biology EOC<br>Goal   | 2012 Current<br>Level of<br>Performance   | 2013<br>Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance   |
|---|---|---|
|   | (Enter<br>percentage<br>information<br>and the<br>number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter<br>percentage<br>information<br>and the<br>number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) |
| Students scoring<br>at Achievement<br>level 3 in Biology:                       | 50%   | 151   |
| Students scoring<br>at or above<br>Achievement<br>Levels 4 and 5 in<br>Biology: | N/A   |   |

| U.S. History<br>EOC   | 2012 Current<br>Level of<br>Performance   | 2013<br>Expected<br>Level of<br>Performance   |
|---|---|---|
|   | (Enter<br>percentage<br>information<br>and the<br>number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) | (Enter<br>percentage<br>information<br>and the<br>number of<br>students that<br>percentage<br>reflects) |
| Students scoring<br>at Achievement<br>level 3 in U. S.<br>History:                    | Did not<br>administer   |   |
| Students scoring<br>at or above<br>Achievement<br>Levels 4 and 5 in<br>U. S. History: | Did not<br>administer   |   |

# For the following areas, please write a brief narrative that includes the data for the year 2011-12 and a description of changes you intend to incorporate to improve the data for the year 2012-13.

**MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS)/RtI** (Identify the MTSS leadership team and it role in development and implementation of the SIP along with data sources, data management and how staff is trained in MTSS) A school administrator, reading teacher, reading coach, guidance counselors, Guidance Service Professional and school psychologist serve on our MTSS Leadership Team. A focus of the tem will be to communicate and facilitate a problem solving/decision-making system to assure assistance for struggling students. The team will plan, implement and monitor progress to improving student achievement through data collection, intervention plans and involvement with family. The team is actively involved in the development of intervention strategies and ongoing follow-up to attain student success.

**PARENT INVOLVEMENT:** Satellite High School achieved the Gold Star/Five Star Award with 24,828 volunteer hours recorded during the 2011-2012 school year by a total of 262 volunteers. Parent involvement is encouraged throughout the school year through the school's website, EDLINE, electronic newsletter and by our parent booster organizations. Parents serve on the School Advisory Council to assist in decision making for school improvement initiatives and volunteer assist with athletics, clubs, activities and special events.

## ATTENDANCE: (Include current and expected attendance rates, excessive absences and tardies)

The end of year daily attendance rate during the 2011-2012 school year was 96.34. We will continue to strive for high attendance but do not see evidence to make this a goal for improvement for our school this year.

**SUSPENSION:** Satellite High School had a 10% suspension rate during the 2011-2012 school year. We will continue our efforts to maintain a safe school and work with students using the established discipline ladder to help students correct behaviors that could cause suspension from school. We do not feel that there is a need to make this a goal for improvement for this school year.

**DROP-OUT (High Schools only):** Satellite High School's graduation rate for 2010-2011 was 98.6. We will continue our efforts to keep our graduation rate high but do not feel that this is an area needing attention for this year.

**POSTSECONDARY READINESS**: (How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.) Satellite High School strives to improve postsecondary readiness by offering and tracking students who take College Ready classes in English and mathematics, offering credit retrieval for students short of credits or needing to raise GPA's, offering computer based graduation program for students who are in danger of not graduating with their cohort.

North

Satellite High School

Dr. Ron Bobay

Mark Elliott

Jane McDermott

80%= 1 student

20%=1 student

80%=4 students