# Florida Department of Education



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: Weeki Wachee High School	District Name: Hernando
Principal: Troy LaBarbara	Superintendent: Bryan Blavatt
SAC Chair: Tim Hill	Date of School Board Approval: November 6, 2012

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Troy LaBarbara	Masters Exceptional Student Education/VE; Masters Educational Leadership	2	4	Assistant Principal Weeki Wachee High School 2011-2012 Reading Level 3+ 50.66%, FCAT 2.0 Level 3 50.98%, levels 4&5 49.02%, learning gains 59.30%, Lowest 25% learning gains 68.97% total non proficient AMO 1s and 2s 41.76%, Algebra 1 EOC level 3+ 56.07%, level 3 71.11%, levels 4&5 28.89%, learning gains 59.66%, lowest 25% learning gains 64.06% total non proficient (AM) 1s & 2s) 43.93%, Biology EOC level 3 – state thirds -2, 40.36%, levels 4&5 state thirds 3 31.93%, Writing level 4+ 29.66%, level 3+ 83.10%  Assistant Principal Central High School 2010-11.  2008-09 Central High School - School Grade- D - High Standards Reading 41%, Math 77%, Writing 73%, Science 31% - Learning Gains 43% Reading,77% Math, 30% Science - Lowest 25% Reading 39%, Math 63% AYP - No 79% Criteria Met 2009 -2010 School Grade - B High Standards - Reading 44%, Math 82%, Writing 84%, Science 41% - Learning Gaines - Reading 50%, Math 81% - Lowest 25%- Reading 45%, Math 78%
-----------	----------------	---	---	---	--

Assistant	Reverly Chanin	Florida Certification in Educational Leadership  Master's Degree in Educational Leadership from the University of South Florida  Florida Flementary	New to Weeki	12	Principal Eastside Elementary 2011-2012 School Grade D Reading - 44 % meeting high standards, 58% making learning gains, 56% making learning gains lowest 25% Math 39% meeting high standards , 51%making learning gains, 44% lowest 25% making learning gains, 44% lowest 25% making learning gains, Writing- 80% meeting high standards Science- 30% meeting high standards  Eastside Elementary Principal 2010-2011 School Grade "C" Reading Mastery 63% Math mastery 64% Subgroups not making AYP in reading were Total, White, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities Subgroups not making AYP in math were Total, White, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and Students with Disabilities 63% of students made learning gains in reading and 54% made learning gains in math 60% of the lowest 25% made adequate progress in reading and 52% made adequate progress in math  Eastside Elementary Principal 2009-2010 School Grade "C" Reading Mastery 76% Math mastery 72% of AYP goals met Subgroups not making AYP in reading were White, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities Subgroups not making AYP in math were the White, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and Students with Disabilities 54% of students made learning gains in reading and 55%
Assistant Principal	Beverly Chapin	Florida Elementary Education Certification University of South Florida	Weeki Wachee High School	12	54% of students made learning gains in reading and 55% made learning gains in math 47% of the lowest 25% made adequate progress in reading and 56% made adequate progress in math

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Bachelor's Degree in Social Welfare from Olivet Nazarene University	Eastside Elementary Principal 2008-2009 School Grade "A" Reading Mastery 73% Math mastery 72% 90% of AYP goals met Subgroup not making AYP in reading was the Students With Disabilities Subgroups not making AYP in math were the Total Population, Economically Disadvantaged, and Students With Disabilities 67% of students made learning gains in reading and 61% made learning gains in math 76% of the lowest 25% made adequate progress in reading and 59% made adequate progress in math  Deltona Principal 2007-2008 School Grade "B" Reading Mastery 72% Math Mastery 68% 92% met AYP goals Subgroups not making AYP in reading was the Students With Disabilities
	Subgroups not making AYP in math were the Economically Disadvantaged and the Students With Disabilities
	Deltona Principal 2006-2007 School Grade "B" 74% mastery in reading 74% mastery in math 69% AYP goals were met Subgroup not making AYP in reading was Students with
	Disabilities Subgroups not making AYP in math were Economically Disadvantaged and Students With Disabilities

Assistant Principal	Susan Dean	BA Psychology MA Guidance and Counseling MEd Ed Leadership	New to Weeki Wachee High School	3	2011-2012 Central High School, Grade pending Reading – 53% meeting high standards, 62% making learning gains, 68% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 53 % meeting high standards, 53% making learning gains, 71% of lowest 25% making learning gains Writing – 77% meeting high standards  2010-2011 Central High School, Grade B Reading – 44% meeting high standards, 43% making learning gains, 29% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 82% meeting high standards, 75% making learning gains, 65% of lowest 25% making gains Writing – 77% meeting high standards Science – 42 % meeting high standards AYP 74%  2009-2010 Central High School, School Grade B Reading – 44% meeting high standards, 50% making learning gains, 45% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 82% meeting high standards, 81% making learning gains, 45% of lowest 25% making gains Writing – 84% meeting high standards Science – 41% meeting high standards Science – 41% meeting high standards AYP 82%  2008-2009 Central High School, School Grade D – High Standards Reading 41%, Math 77%, Writing 73%, Science 31% - Learning Gains 43% Reading, 75 Math, 30% Science - Lowest 25% Reading39%, Math 63% AYP NO 79% Criteria Met 2009-2010 B, High Standards, 44% Reading, 81% Math, 7% Writing, 42 % Science – Learning Gains 43% Reading, 75% Math, Lowest 25% Learning Gains, 29% Reading, 65% Math AYP 74% Met
------------------------	------------	---	--	---	---

tant Sara ipal
-------------------

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
-----------------	------	--------------------------------	--	--	---

					2011-2012 Central High School, Grade pending Reading – 53% meeting high standards, 62% making learning gains, 68% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 53 % meeting high standards, 53% making learning gains, 71% of lowest 25% making learning gains Writing – 77% meeting high standards  2010-2011 Central High School, Grade B Reading – 44% meeting high standards, 43% making learning gains, 29% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 82% meeting high standards, 75% making learning gains, 65% of lowest 25% making gains Writing – 77% meeting high standards Science – 42 % meeting high standards AYP 74%
Reading	Kathryn Eppley	BA English Med Curriculum and Education	New to Weeki Wachee	8	2009-2010 Central High School, School Grade B Reading – 44% meeting high standards, 50% making learning gains, 45% of lowest 25% making learning gains Math – 82% meeting high standards, 81% making learning gains, 45% of lowest 25% making gains Writing – 84% meeting high standards Science – 41% meeting high standards AYP 82%  2008-2009 Central High School, School Grade D – High Standards Reading 41%, Math 77%, Writing 73%, Science 31% - Learning Gains 43% Reading, 75 Math, 30% Science – Lowest 25% Reading39%, Math 63% AYP NO 79% Criteria Met 2009-2010 B, High Standards, 44% Reading, 81% Math, 7% Writing, 42 % Science – Learning Gains 43% Reading, 75% Math, Lowest 25% Learning Gains, 29% Reading, 65% Math AYP 74% Met

					2007-2008 School Grade A High Standards Reading 68%, 72%, Learning Gains 64% Reading, , Lowest 25% Reading 71%, AYP – NO 95% Criteria Met 2008-2009 West Hernando Middle School grade A 74% AYP criteria met, 65% High Standards Reading, 63% Learning Gains Reading, 65% Learning Gains Reading Lowest 25% 2008-2009 Central High School School Grade D – High Standards Reading 41%, Learning Gains 43% Reading, Lowest 25% Reading39%, AYP NO 79% Criteria  2006-2007 A High Standards 65% Reading,— Learning Gains – 59% Reading, Reading 65%, 83% AYP – NO 87% Criteria Met
Reading	Kimberly Koparan	BS Secondary English Education, MA Ed Curriculum Instruction EdSpecialist Educational Leadership, Endorsed Gifted, Reading, ESOL	New to Weeki Wachee	New position placed Sept. 2012	

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
-------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to
are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an	support the staff in becoming highly effective
effective rating (instructional staff only).	
All teachers are in field and have received an effective rating.	

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
48		18	16	14	25	15	7	1	14

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name   Mentee Assigned   Rationale for Pairing   Planned Mentoring Activities
--

Angela Kennedy	Chris Landi	Content Area, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Angela Kennedy	Kelli Landi	Content Area ,Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Donna Carmack	Genevieve Greenfield	Content Area ,Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Donna Carmack	Brandon Sedgley	Proximity, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Nancy Jacobs	Charlene Ross	Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Nancy Jacobs	Tahiri Sabino	Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Mary Long	John Malandrucco	Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review

Mary Long	Danny Fierro	Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Mary Long	Linda Rogers	Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Craig Witte	Charles Harris	Content Area, Proximity, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Craig Witte	Arthur Rosenberg	Content Area, Proximity, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Craig Witte	Robert Wiggins	Content Area, Proximity, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Kirsten Mihok	Patricia Piros	Content Area, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review
Morgan Burburan	Trevor Rigby	Content Area, Proximity, Clinical Educator Trained	New teacher orientation, observations, conferences, professional development, technology assistance, school and district procedures review

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team: Troy LaBarbara, Principal, Beverly Chapin, Assistant Principal, Susan Dean, Assistant Principal, Sarah Shelby, Assistant Principal, SondraTerry School Psychologist, Teresa Estrada, School Social Worker, Nikki Limbris, , Behavior Specialist, Kathy Eppley District Reading Coach , English Department Angela Kenndy, Math Department Chair, Jane Owsianiecki, Reading Department Chair, Karen Scot, Guidance Department Chair Summer Clark, Assessment teacher Noreen Sheeler, Janet Jones, Staffing specialist

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team will meet monthly to review and analyze school wide, course specific as well as student specific data. An agenda will be developed and followed facilitated by a designated Department Chair or Assistant Principal. The specific needs of identified students at risk and or lowest quartile will be reviewed. The problem solving model will be utilized to best analyze and develop data based interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the Rtl problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS leadership team is comprised of a representation of subject area teachers, administrators and district staff. Subcommittees were selected to review historical data and strategies for the SIP with an emphasis on the individual learner's needs. Based the historical data, implemented the problem solving model, goals are developed.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Data source for all areas is complied in our data management system, Performance Matters. This houses individual student and school wide data on all tested areas of Fcat, EOC, and Pert, ACT, SAT, AP, PSAT, CELLA and the Florida Alternative Assessment.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

All faculty have received training in Performance Matters, by either District level staff or school based staff. MTSS procedures will be incorporated into WWHS "Green Book" and compilation of information provided teachers yearly. Professional Development will be provided by the Reading Coach to explain the process to the staff.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Teachers will continue to implement the school wide PBS program with support from the leadership team and District level representatives. SAC will be consulted for strategies and incentives for students and staff.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Kimberly Koparan Reading Coach, English and Reading teachers: Angela Kennedy, Karen Scott, Nancy Jacobs, Shirley Rutter, Kara Gregory, Mary Long, Assessment teacher, Noreen Sheeler, Kirsten Mihok, ESE teacher, administrative representative, Susan Kern, Media Specialist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will meet monthly to promote literacy activities and strategies to increase student achievement and student proficiency.

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Professional development will focus on Comprehension Instructional Sequence to develop and maintain advanced comprehension skills for all students.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

The Reading Coach will work with content area and elective teachers to provide professional development in CIS, Comprehension Instructional Strategies. Teachers will implement CIS strategies for vocabulary acquisition, comprehending complex text and the development and implementation of higher order questioning. Guided instruction in comprehension strategies will be modeled before, during, and after reading, and will include previewing, self-questioning, making connections, visualizing, monitoring, and evaluating.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Through the Career and Technical Education programs at WWHS, students are afforded the opportunity to participate in both academic and technical coursework leading to industry certification and/or credits at post-secondary institutions. Academic and Technical teachers may collaborate with the career specialist at the school to develop programs and integrate the curriculum.

The implementation of the Allied Health Academy, Engineering Technology Academy, Power and Energy Technology Academy, and Environmental Technology Academy is designed to integrate academics and course work to prepare students for entry level positions. Currently, in addition to the academies listed above, other career and technical programs are Digital Video Production and Web Design.

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance counselors provide ongoing advisement on appropriate coursework to meet each student's chosen graduation plan and program based on the students' completion of a career and education planning course taken in seventh or eighth grade, as required by the A++ bill. The district, Chamber of Commerce, and Education Foundation collaborate to sponsor Parent-Student Expo/College Night, which is designed to provide students with a one-stop opportunity to obtain information on post-secondary programs of study.

Yearly meetings are held with the students, with invitations sent to parents to join, to ensure that each is aware of requirements for graduation. Counselors discuss post secondary options with the students and help them choose their courses accordingly. Each spring, students and their parents complete schedule requests based on their post secondary interests, i.e., college preparatory or work force related.

### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Weeki Wachee High School will have its first graduating class this year, 2013. High School feedback report information is not available.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem -Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1	1A.1.Sc	1A.1.Reading Coach,	1A.1.Formative	1A.1.FAIR data, Read	
			Administration	assessments, review of	180 data, FCAT,	
Achievement Level 3 in		wide		lesson plans, walk through		
reading.		impleme		data, Danielson evaluation	assessments	
		ntation				
	ary skills	of CIS				
	to read	(Compr				
		ehensio				
	text	n				
		Instructi				
		onal				
	areas.	Sequen				
		ce)				
		focusing				
		on				
		vocabul				
		ary				
		acquisiti on and				
		literacy				
		based				
		strategi				
		es.				
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013				
9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> grade	Level of Performance:*	Expected Level of				
students achieving		Performanc				
level 3 will increase by		e:*				
7 percentage points						
	26%	33%				
	(n=156)	(n=199)				
	( 100)					

		Studen ts lack analytic al skills allowing them to discri minate among distracte	given opportunities for practice and guidance within classes across content areas.		1A.2. Formative assessments, review of lesson plans, walk through data, Danielson evaluation	1A.2. FAIR data, Read 180 data, FCAT, lesson plans, formative assessments	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
and 6 in reading.	Classroom teachers s need to recognize when to change instruction in the context in the context instruction in the context in the context instruction in the context instruction in the context in the co	Profes sional develo pment differe ntiating		3B.1. Progress monitoring, Formative assessments, Checks for understanding	3B.1. FAA, FAIR		
Reading Goal #1B: No students qualify for this subgroup. No students were tested 2012. Students eligible to tests this year will create the base line	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

No student data for 2012, no students tested.	33% (n=1)					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

			Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  2A.1.Administration,	I	Evaluation Tool  2A.1.PERT Assessment	
at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.	promoting higher cognitive complexity.	Develo pment in CIS model.	Reading Coach	assessments, walkthroughs, FAIR Data		
reading Godi #211	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance				
	25% (N=150)	32% (n=193)				

		lack the requisite vocabul ary skills	Administration	assessments, review	1A.1.FAIR data, Read 180 data, FCAT, lesson plans, formative assessments	
		nts lack			1A.2. FAIR data, Read 180 data, FCAT, lesson plans, formative assessments	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above						
	Performance: *	Expected				

Enter E	Enter		
numerical ni	numerica		
data for l d	data for		
current ex	xpected		
level of le	evel of		
performance pe	erform		
in this box. an	nce in		
th	his box.		

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.Com	3A.1.The	3A.1.Reading Coach,	3A.1.Formative	3A.1.FAIR Testing,	
Percentage of	plexity of	consistent	Administrators,	assessments, Checks for	FCAT	
students making	FCAT 2.0	impleme	Classroom Teacher	understanding		
learning gains in	questions	ntation of				
reading.	which	the Gradual				
B	increased	Release				
	the level	Model				
	difficulty.	utilized to				
		increase				
		students'				
		ability to				
		answer				
		higher				
		order				
		questions.				

Reading Goal #3A: Total number of students participating in FCAT 2.0 making learning gains will increase 4 percentage points	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	59% (n=338)	63% (n=359)				
		of student reading	3A.2.FCAT FOCUS and Compass Learning Odyssey will be used within classroom to increase students' reading endurance on a consistent basis.	assessments, Checks	3A.2. FAIR Testing, FCAT, and FCAT FOCUS	
		of test taking skills and	3A.3.Provide students with skills and strategies to address areas of concern (time management, pre-reading strategies, break-down of test questions)	assessments, Checks	3A.3. FAIR Testing, FCAT, and FCAT FOCUS	

Reading Goal #3B: 2012 Current					
No student data is available for this subgroup. No students were tested 2012. Students eligible to tests this year will create the base line.  No student data Evel of Performar e:*  No students data is available for estable in e.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3B.2.		3B.2. 3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to	Antic ipated	Strateg y	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier					
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1. Classroom	4A.1. Formative	4A.1. FAIR, FCAT	
			teacher, Reading	classroom assessments,	, -	
			Coach, Administration			
		implem		assessments, FOCUS		
	le with			reports and Compass		
	reading			reports		
	compr					
	ehensi	(Compr				
		ehensio				
		n				
		Instructi				
		onal				
		Sequen				
		ce)				
		focusin				
		g on				
		vocabul				
		ary				
		acquisit				
		ion				
		and				
		literacy				
		based				
		strategi				
		es.				
		FOCUS				
		and				
		Compa				
		ss				
		Learnin				
		g				
		Odysse				
		У				

		2013					
	Current						
lowest 25% will increase learning gains in reading by 3 percentage		<u>cted</u> Level					
		of					
ı.	mance:						
		mance:					
	_	*					
	69%	72%					
	(100)	(104)					
		ack of student reading endura nce.	and Compass Learning Odyssey will be used within classroom to increase students' reading endurance on a consistent basis	teacher, Reading Coach, Administration	assessments, Checks for understanding, FOCUS reports and Compass reports	3A.2. FAIR Testing, FCAT	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2010-2011  Black 45%  Hispanic 33%	Black 45% Hispanic 35% ELL SWD 11%	Black 54% Hispanic <mark>44%</mark> <mark>ELL</mark>	Black 59% Hispanic <mark>50%</mark> ELL SWD 42%	Hispanic <mark>55%</mark> ELL	Hispanic <mark>61%</mark> ELL SWD 55%	White 76% Black73% Hispanic <mark>67% ELL SWD 62% ED—FRL-71%</mark>
Reading Goal #5A:  In six years, Weeki Wachee High School will demonstrate increases in student proficiency in reading reducing the achievement gap by 50%							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5B.1.classroom teacher, Reading coach, Administration	5B.1. Formative assessments, observation, increase in student participation	5B.1. FAIR, FCAT	
Reading Goal #5B:  Student subgroups not making satisfactory progress in reading will decrease by the following percentage points: White 5 Black 4 Hispanic 4		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	White:46% (n=216) Black:65% (n=17) Hispanic :65% (n=54) Asian:n/a American Indian:n/a	White: 41%(n=194) Black:61% (n=16) Hispanic:61% (n=51) Asian: n/a American Indian:n/a				

			5B.2. Formative		
knowledge to make		· '	·	FCAT	
connections to lessons	the use of appropriate	Reading coach,	observation,		
presented.	content materials and	Administration	increase		
	technologies.		in student		
			participation		
5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
					5C.1.FAIR, FCAT, CELLA	
Language Learners (ELL) not making				plans reflect ELL	CELLA	
satisfactory progress				strategies		
in reading.	with fidelity.	ment to				
		address				
		appropriate				
		ELL strategies				
		and their				
		implementa				
		tion.				
Reading Goal #5C:		2013 Expected Level of				
English Language Learners	Performance:*	Performance:*				
not making						
satisfactory						
progress in						
reading will						
decrease 25%.						
	<b>2007</b> ( <b>200</b> )	# < 0 < 0 < # (0)				
	78% (n= 7/9)	56% 9(n=5/9)				

		Limited clustering of ELL students diminishing the ability of support provided.	so support can be better provided.	Reading Coach , Administration	assessments, lesson plans reflect ELL strategies, documentation indicating less support required	5C.2. FAIR, FCAT, CELLA	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipate d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Students lack reading endurance due to processing deficits.			5D.1. Formative assessments, classroom observation, walkthrough, students graph individual progress	5D.1.FCAT, FAIR		

Students with	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	78% (n=73)	74% (n=69)				
		Limited vocabulary	•	5D.2. Formative assessments, classroom observation, walkthrough, students graph individual progress	5D.2FCAT, FAIR	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
following subgroup: <b>5E. Economically</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1. Classroom	5E.1. Compass reports,	5E.1.FACT. FAIR,		
			teacher, lab manager,		student grades.		
			administration	formative assessments	Student grades.		
		s within the					
		school day					
8		for students					
		to access					
		technology					
		when					
	technology	needed.					
	in the						
	community						
	to do						
	transportati						
	on.						
		<u>2013                                    </u>					
	Current	Expected					
disadvantaged	Level of	Level of					
students not making							
satisfactory progress	<u>e:^</u>	<u>e:*</u>					
in reading will							
decrease by 5							
percentage points.							
	55%	50%					
	(n=208)	(n=191)					
		5E.2.	5E.2. Monitor students	5E.2.Teachers,	5E.2. Review cafeteria	5E.2. FCAT, FAIR,	
		Welfare of	obtaining nutrition for	counselors,	reports	Student grades	
		the child.	breakfast and lunch.	Administration			

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

## **Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach
CIS( Comprehension Instructional Sequence)	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Standards Based Reading Instruction	Supplemental Reading AMSCO "Mastering the Florida Reading Retaker 2.0", Reader's Handbook Student Guide for Reading and Learning,	District	5,000.00
Modes of Writing	Advanced Placement English Language	District	2,000.00
7,000.00 Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CPS units	Student Response System	District	10,000.00
Computers	Classroom computers (3 per class)	District	21,000.00
31,000.00 Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AP teacher institute training	AP Language teacher training	Title II	1,800.00
AP teacher institute training	AP Literature teacher training	Title II	1,500.00
3,300.00 Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
41,300.0 Total:			

End of Reading Goals

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

#### **Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
listening/speaking.	CELLA data, targeting students areas of	opportunities and how students may access programs.	1.1. ELL teacher, Administration, Para professional for translation	1.1. Increased attendance in programs as reported in attendance log.	1.1. Formative assessments, teacher observation, CELLA	

CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
By the end of the						
2012-2013 school						
year, the percent						
of ELLs making						
progress on the						
CELLA listening and						
speaking assessment						
will increase from						
_75% in the 2011-						
12 school year to 77%						
(District objective).						
Weeki Wachee High						
School does not have an						
ELL subgroup.						
	75% (n=9/12))					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
level text in English in a manner similar to non-			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
ELL students.						

scoring proficient in reading.	mainstream English/ Language Arts and core content classroom teachers in best	monitor students progress and teacher concerns		2.1. Student increased progress, more frequent communication with teachers	2.1. Contact log, student grades, CELLA	
CELLA Goal #2:  By the end of the 2012-2013 school year, the percent of ELLs making progress on the CELLA reading assessment will increase from _33_% in the 2011-12 school year to 50%	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	33% (n=4/12).					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.	English/Language Arts and core	monitor students progress		2.1. Student increased progress, more frequent communication with teachers		
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	83% (n=10/12).					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include a place to a late of the section of the sec			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide all students access to	Additional site licenses for Rosetta	Title III	1,500.00
Rosetta Stone	Stone online		
1,500.00 Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase participation in extended	Transportation for extended day	Title III	5,000.00
day activities.	programs for students		
5,000.00 Subtotal:			
6,500.00 Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

hool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	are unable to distinguish between relevant and	problem for clarity, think alouds, Gradual Release Model, use of manipulativ	Administration	1.1. Formative assessments, classroom observation, student work products	1.1. FAA, Post test	

<u>#1:</u>	Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					
	.not available	335 ( n=1)					
		1.2. Students are unable to translate word problems.	1.2.Graphic organizers, , CIS, use of manipulatives	teacher, case manger, Administration	1.2. Formative assessments, classroom observation, student work products	1.2. FAA, Post test	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
No student data is available for this subgroup. No students were tested 2012. Students eligible to test this year will create the base line.							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:  3. Florida Alternate	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy 3.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool  3.1.		
Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.				J.1.	J.1.		
in the second se	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

		i	1			
Algebra 1 EOC	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"				Strategy		
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:		4 4	4.4.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	4.4.5.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4		
S	1.1.	1.1.		1.1. Data chats each 4 ½		
		Implement		weeks with Principal, walk		
Level 3 in Algebra 1.		goal		through, observation		
		directed				
		planning				
	requiremen					
		teacher				
		with				
		deliberate				
		practice.				
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012	2013				
		Expected				
Students scoring		Level of				
	Performanc					
		e:*				
will increase by 3						
percentage points.						

	Level 3 40% (n128).	Level 3 43% (n=138)					
	(11120).	1.2. Students lack required level of reading compreh ension to meet the requireme nts of test specification (cognitive and text complexity, vocabulary)	Instructional Sequence		1.2. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation	1.2. Algebra EOC	
		lack required level of	additional support via after school tutoring, person success tracker, compass odyssey	1.3.Classroom teacher, Administration	1.3. Data Chats, student grades, formative assessments	1.3.Algebra EOC	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.	Teacher lack of understan ding of the requirements of EOC	Implement goal directed planning among teacher with deliberate practice.	Liaison	2.1. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation		
Students scoring		2013 Expected Level of Performand e:*				
	Level 4 and 5 16% (n=52).	Level 4 and 5 24% (n=77).				

Sila re le re c c e m re n s			Reading Coach	2.2 Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation	2.2. Algebra EOC	
c v 2 ir p h o c	complexity, rocabulary) 2.3. Lack of instruction promoting higher	3.3. Continue to provide professional development utilizing CIS model of instruction and Gradual Release model of	Reading Coach	2.3. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, lesson plans	2.3. Algebra EOC	

2.4	2.4 Continue to provide	2.4 Classroom teacher,	2.4 Data chats,	2.4 Algebra EOC	
Students	professional development	Administration	walkthrough,		
lack	utilizing CIS model of		observation, lesson		
required	instruction and Gradual		plans		
level of	Release model of				
math	instruction. Pearson				
foundation	Success tracker,				
al skills to	Compass Odyssey				
meet the					
requireme					
nts of test					
specificatio					
ns.					

Based on ambitious but achievable	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),							
identify reading							
and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years	Deceline data 2040 2044	Display 740/	Disak 220/	Disals 400/	Black 47%	Disale 520/	Black 60%
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011	I .	Black 33%	Black 40%			
school will			Hispanic 41%	Hispanic 47%			Hispanic 65%
reduce their			White 48%	White 48%			White69%
achievement gap				ELL			ELL
by 50%.				SWD 38%			SWD 59%
		ED-FRL 50%	ED-FRL 43%	ED-FRL 48%	ED-FRL 54%	ED-FRL 60%	ED-FRL 66%
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
#3A:							
In six years,							
Weeki Wachee							
High School will							
demonstrate							
increases in							
student proficiency							
in math reducing							
the achievement							
gap by 50%							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	1		Responsible for Monitoring	Determine			
data and reference to				Effectiveness of			
"Guiding Questions,"				Strategy			
							[
*							
data and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		

subgroups	not address cultural differences.	instruction within the	teacher, Reading Coach,	3B.1.Lesson plans, observation, walkthroughs,	3B.1. Formative assessments, EOC		
	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box. White:40%(102 Black:71%(10 Hispanic59%(25: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White:48%(122 Black:33%(5 Hispanic:41%(17 Asian: American Indian:	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

		~				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:	00.4	20.4	00 / 511 5	2015 "	101 FOG	
				0 0 0	3C.1. EOC	
		Provide		assessments, teacher		
Learners (ELL)	lack	increased	Teacher, Administration	observation,		
	English	level of				
		support				
		in Math				
Algebra 1.		classes				
	understand					
		Paraprof				
		essional				
	problems	presence				
		and				
	l .	support.				
		Increase				
	l .	commu				
		nication				
		between				
		ELL				
		teacher				
	l .					
	l .	and content				
		area				
		teachers.				
Algebra 1 Goal #3C:		2013				
<u> </u>		Expected				
ELL students	Level of	Level of				
	<u>Performanc</u>					
			1			
satisfactory	<u>e:*</u>	<u>e:*</u>				
progress in						
Algebra 1 will						
decrease by 33%						
l L			I .	l .		

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	67% (n=2/	33% (n=1/		I	I		
	3)	33% (II-I/ 3).					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following							
subgroup:							
		1	3D.1.Classroom teacher,		3D.1. Progress		
				assessments, walkthroughs,	monitoring, EOC		
satisfactory progress	processing			observation			
0	skills to						
	answer complex						
	questions.						
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:	2012	<u>2013</u>					
Ct. do mto veitle		Expected					
Students with disabilities		Level of Performanc					
not making	<u>e:*</u>	<u>e:*</u>					
satisfactory							
progress in Algebra 1 will							
decrease by							
4 percentage							
points							

59% (n=33 makii progi	3).not (n=30) not making			
		teacher, Case managers, Administration	3D.2. Progress monitoring, EOC	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	Students often lack confidence and motivation	RtI PBS strategies, data chats,	teacher Administration, attendance clerk		3D.2. Progress monitoring, EOC		
	Current Level of Performanc	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					
	50% (n=\100)	45% (90)					
		3E.2.	3E.2.			3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Level 3 in Geometry.	Teacher lack of understan ding of the requiremen ts of EOC	Implement goal directed planning	Liaison	1.1. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, formative assessments		

Current Level of Performanc	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*				
Level 3 34% (n=108)	Level 3 37% (n=117).				
lack required level of reading compreh ension to meet the requireme nts of test specificatio n (cognitive	lack required level of reading compreh ension to meet the requireme nts of test specificatio n (cognitive and text complexity,	Reading Coach	1.2. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, formative assessments	1.2. Geometry EOC	

		Students lack required level of foundation math skills to meet the requireme nts of test specificatio ns.	1.3.Provide students with opportunities for additional support via after school tutoring, person success tracker, compass odyssey	1.3.Classroom teacher, Administration	1.3. Data Chats, student grades, formative assessments	1.3.Algebra EOC	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.	Teacher lack of understan ding of the requiremen ts of EOC	Implement goal directed planning among teacher with deliberate practice.	2.1.Administration, PD Liaison	2.1. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, formative assessments			
Students scoring at or above	Current Level of Performanc	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Level 4	Level 4					
	and 5 28%					
(n=79)	(n=8)					
	2.2.		2.2. Administration, Reading Coach	2.2. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, formative assessments	2.2. Geometry EOC	
	instruction promoting	3.3. Continue to provide professional development utilizing CIS model of instruction and Gradual Release		2.3. Data chats each 4 ½ weeks with Principal, walk through, observation, lesson plans, formative assessments	2.3Geometry EOC	

2.4 Students lack required level of math foundational skills to meet the requirements of test specifications.	Continue to provide profes sional	Classroom teacher, Administrati on	2.4 Data chats, walkthrough, observation, lesson plans			
Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years  3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2012-2013  Baseline data 2011-2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

Geometry Goal #3A:			I		1	
Geometry Goar #3A.						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Worldoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroups:						
3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
o z v s c a a c a c	V V VI . 1.					
gubaroung by	White:					
subgroups by	Black:					
ethnicity (White,	Black: Hispanic:					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	2013 Expected				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3B:  Data not available until achievement	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3B:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:  2012 Current Level of	Level of				

data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
American Indian:	American Indian:					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
				0 0 0	3C.1. EOC	
				assessments, teacher		
		increased	Teacher, Administration	observation,		
		level of				
		support				
		in Math classes				
	skills to understand					
		Paraprof				
		essional				
		presence				
		and				
		support.				
		Increase				
		commu				
	l .	nication				
	l .	between				
		ELL				
		teacher				
		and content				
		area				
		teachers.				
		<u>2013                                    </u>				
#3C:		Expected				
<b>                                     </b>	Level of	Level of				
	<u>Performanc</u>					
	<u>e:*</u>	<u>e:*</u>				
levels have been						
established	N/A	N/A				
	1 1/21	11/21				

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
1		Level of					
pala nol avaliable	Performance:*	Performance:*					
until achievement							
levels have been							
established.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		DD.2.	βD.2.	5D.2.	βD.2.	5D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

				•	•		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Geometry Godinist.	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
gour in inis oox.							
Data not available							
until achievement							
levels have been							
established							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		JE.4.	DE.2.	DE.2.	DE.2.	JE.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	
F 1 CC							

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model	9-12	Reading Coach	All teachers school-wide	Semester 1	Lesson plans, observation, walk through, data chats	Administration
CIS (Comprehension Instructional Sequence)	9-12	Reading Coach	All teachers school-wide	Semester 1	Lesson plans, observation, walk through, data chats	Administration
Common Core Implementation	9-12	District Level Staff	All math teachers	On going	Lesson plans, observation, walk through, data chats	Administration

# <u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

(11200110111001000 20000000 (1110010101010			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CPS units	Student Response System	District	10,000.00
Computers	Classroom computers (3 per class)	District	21,000.00
31,000.00 Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
31,000.00 Total:			
			-

End of Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Science Goal #1:  Limited student	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
data is available for this subgroup. One student was tested 2012.	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 G	20125 . 1					
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of					
Litter marrante joi me	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
No student data							
is available for							
this subgroup.							
No students were							
tested 2012.							
lesteu ZVIZ.	Enternment 1	Enternance 1					
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	require more classroom instruction and assessm ent with respect to higher order questioning and levels of cognitive complexity.	Provide continued profes sional develop ment in Gradual Release Model of instruction.	Coach	1.1. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	1.1. EOC	
Biology 1 Goal #1: Students scoring at achievement Level	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance :*				

	Level 3+ 40%( n-134)	43% (n=142)					
		1.2. Students require more opportu nities to develop stronger reading compre hension skills and vocabulary acquisitions skills		school based Reading Coach	1.2. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	1.2.EOC	
			1.3. Provide professional development in 5 E Model of instruction.	and school based Reading Coach, Science	1.3. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	1.3. EOC	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.3. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data		

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	more classroom		1.1.District Reading and school based Reading Coach	1.1. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	1.1. EOC	
Biology 1 Goal #2: Students scoring Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1 will increase by 7percentage points.	<u>e:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

requestions of the control of the co	development in CIS quire pre portu les to velop longer lading mpre lasion lls and cabulary quisitions	school based Reading Coach	2.2 Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	2.2.EOC	
requestions of the control of the co	development in 5 EModel of instruction.  ore puiry sed arning tivities in truction	and school based	2.3. Formative assessments, observation, walkthrough data	2.3.EOC	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

# **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach
CIS( Comprehension Instructional Sequence)	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AP Biology required labs	Lab materials and supplies	District	5,000.00
AP Biology review books	Review materials supplemental to text	District	500.00
5,500.00 Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CPS units	Student Response System	District	10,000.00

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Computers	Classroom computers (3 per class)	District	21,000.00
31,000.00 Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Inquiry based learning	Biology teacher training	District	500.00
500.00 Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
37,000.00 Total:			

End of Science Goals

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	1A.1.L imited Profes sional develo pment opportu nities for teachers to become proficient in effective writing strategies.	fessional	English Depart chair, Administration		1A.1.DWAP, FCAT Writing results		
Writing Goal #1A: The number of students scoring a level 3.0 or higher on the writing assessment will increase by 3 percentage points	2012 Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					
	Level 3+ 82 % (n=241)	Level 3+ 85%					
		1A.2. Inconsiste nt writing across content areas.	1A.2. Opportunities will provided students to practice within an across all content areas.	District Reading Coach, classroom teachers		1A.2. DWAP, FCAT Writing results	

		dents not familiar with the standards and convention s of English required in the assessment to be proficient.	skills.	teachers, Administration, Reading Coach	Classroom observations, walkthrough observations	1A.3. DWAP, FCAT Writing results	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment:	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.		
Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.							
Writing Goal #1B:  No student data is available for this subgroup. No students were tested 2012. WWHS has no students currently enrolled requiring testing.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

# **Writing Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FCAT 2.0 Writing	9-12	English Teacher	All teachers	Semester 1	Classroom observations, walkthroughs	Administration

## Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence-based Frogram(s)/iviaterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
00.00 Total:			

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1 1	1.1.Attendance Secretary,	1 1 Monthly attendance	1.1.Monthly attendance	I	
1. Attenuance	Reinfor	1.1. Students					
	cement			uata review	reports		
	ettendence	will be .	Guidance				
	attendance	surveyed					
	and on-time	by grade					
	arrival to	level to					
	school is	determine					
	inconsisten	appropriate					
	t. Students	and desired	1				
	with perfect	reinforces					
	attendance	(earning					
	receive a	privileges,					
	certificate.	points, etc.)					
	This may	23(3, 3(0.)	1				
	not be as						
	rewarding						
	for students						
	as the	1					
	possibility						
	of earning						
	privileges						
	either by						
	class or						
	grade level						
Attendance Goal	<u> 2012                                   </u>	<u>2013                                    </u>					
<u>#1:</u>	<u>Current</u>	Expected_					
		Attendance					
Increase daily	Rate:*	Rate:*					
attendance by 2							
percentage points							
	91%(816)	93%(856)					
	( /	( /		Į		ļ	

Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Number of					
Students with	Students with					
Excessive_	Excessive (10					
Tardies (10 or more)	more)					
Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
data for current number of	data for expected number of					
students tardy in	students tardy in					
this box.	this box.					
	1.2.	1.2. Data chats with	1.2.Adminsitration,	1.2.Data Chat Logs	1.2. Monthly attendance	
		students and parents to	Teachers, Guidance		reports	
	and parents	discuss the importance				
		and correlation between				
		attendance and academic				
		achievement.				
	impacts of					
	excused or					
	mont due					
	ment due					
	ment due to lack of instructiona					
	unexcused absences on student achieve					

# **Attendance Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			1
(PD) aligned with			

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI/PBS	IU_17	School Psychologist	School - wide	Semester 1	Monitoring student data	Administration, attendance secretary

# Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.  Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

# **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Inconsistent implementation of RtI process.		1.1.Adminsitration, RtI school based committee	1.1. Review of referral data	1.1.ODRs	

#1.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions							
	485	430							
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School							
	<u>52</u>	45							
	2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions							
	224	200							
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School							
	24	22							
		student engagement	instruction	Reading	instration, Coach, Rtl epartment	1.2.ODRs	<b>3</b>	1.2. ODRs	
Suspension P	rofessional	Developme	nt						
Professional									

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

**Development** 

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI/PBS	9-12	School Psychologist	School - wide	Semester 1	Administration, attendance secretary, ISS monitor

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Rti/PBS	Incentives/rewards	SAC	500.00
Subtotal:			
500.00 Total:			

#### End of Suspension Goals

## **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Prevention	Insufficient levels of support given for at risk students	1.1. Principal schedules 4 week data chats with each teacher to determine students at risk and interventions to support			1.1.Data logs, student grades	

Dropout Prevention Goal #1: Decrease the number of students considered off track based on credit and GPA		2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.					
	Graduation Rate:* Data not	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:* District rate					
		implementation of RtI to support students at risk.	FLVS, EHernando and Compass	1.2.Lab managers, credit recovery teachers, Rti team, guidance counselors	1.2.Completion reports	1.2.student grades	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI/PBS	9-12	School Psychologist	School - wide	Semester 1	nvioniionna sinaeni aaia	Administration, attendance secretary

# **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Rti/PBS	Incentive, rewards	SAC	Previously reported (500.0)
Subtotal:			
·			

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Total:		
End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involvem ent					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Activities that parents would be interested in attending or becoming involved.	of parent concerns and interested to better determine what areas are of most concern and interest.	1.1.Parent Involvement committee, Administration	1.1. Survey results	1.1. Survey result	
#1: Booster organizations on campus are very active	Current Level of Parent Involveme nt:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: *				

vailable.	35% (current student enrollment 1326 n=464)) of parents will participate in school sponsored event. 1.2.	1.2 Stratagically	.1.2.Administration,	1.2. Log sheet of	1 2 2 Log cheet data	
	Edine is a primary means of dissem inating information to parents. Those	1.2. Strategically place a computer and instructions for parents at school where they access Edline for news, parent teacher communication and students progress information.	Tech support	1.2. Log sheet of those accessing services	1.2.2. Log sheet data	

# **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
	D : 4: CD	F 1' C	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Rti/PBS	Incentive, rewards	SAC	Previously reported (500.0)
Subtotal:			
Total:			
E 1 CD . I 1 . C 1/\	•	•	•

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  Increase awareness and interest in STEM course.	articulation with feeder middle schools	with feeder middle school administration, guidance, parents and students to explain and describe available programs and benefits of student participation.	Guidance	in classes	1.1. Feeder school, parent and student feedback.
	Lack of program promotion within school.	1.2.Encourage participation through classroom guidance presentations, individual guidance meetings with students, incoming student orientation	1.2.Guidance, Teachers, Administration, Career Specialist	on class schedule menus	1.2. Number of students enrolled and participating

# **STEM Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			

	(PD) aligned with						
-	Strategies through Professional						
-	Learning						
-	Community (PLC)						
-	or PD Activity						
-	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional						
	development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	Gradual Release	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach
	CIS( Comprehension Instructional Sequence)	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach

## STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Increase inquiry based learning	Laptop cart		19,000.00	
Subtotal:				

Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Water Technology course development	Texts and workbooks		8,750.00	
Subtotal:				
27,750.00 Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:  Of the students eligible to sit for industry certification exams in all CTE courses, the passing rate will meet or exceed 50%.		1.1. Parent contact, attendance letters sent, social worker referral,	1.1.Teachers, attendance clerk, career specialist	1.1. Results of mastery texts administered prior to industry certification tests	1.1. number of industry certification earned
	prerequisites not met	1.2.Incentives/ motivators ( Gold Seal Scholarships)		1.2.Schedules built based on course requirements and students' prior course completion	·
	Class size/ bandwidth/ computer	1.3.limit student enrollment to the number of computers available	1.3.Guidance, Teachers , TIS	,	1.3. Class roster equal to the number of properly operating computers

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach
CIS( Comprehension Instructional Sequence)	9-12	Kathy Eppley	School wide	Semester 1	Classroom observation, walk through	Administration, Reading Coach

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

)		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
25 computer with windows 7 and dual monitors for the engineering lab	District	15,000.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Description of Resources  Description of Resources 25 computer with windows 7 and dual monitors for the engineering lab  Description of Resources	Description of Resources  Funding Source  Description of Resources  Funding Source  25 computer with windows 7 and dual monitors for the engineering lab  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### **Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	41,300.00 Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	37,000.00 Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
8	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
Clot History Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	10001
Attenuance Buuget	Total:
Communican Develope	1 Otal;
Suspension Budget	TO 4.1
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	500.00 Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	27,750.00 Total:
CTE Budget	
	15,000.00 Total:
Additional Goals	
Additional Court	

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School	<b>Improvement Plan</b>	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
------------------	-------------------------	------------	-------

	Total:
121,550.00	Grand Total:

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The SAC meets on a monthly basis. Its primary goal is to provide input into the SIP and to measure SIP progress throughout the year with the intent to keep focused on school improvement at each meeting. The SAC will be present at freshman orientation and WWHS open house. SAC intends to review and provide input into the school budget process. The scholarship committee will continue if interest continues.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
SAC funds will again be partially used to fund student recognition programs. SAC will consider other requests throughout the school year.	500.00