# Florida Department of Education



Liberty Middle School

School Improvement Plan (SIP)

## Form SIP-1

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: Liberty Middle School	District Name: Hillsborough
Principal: James Ammirati	Superintendent: MaryEllen Elia
SAC Chair: Jennifer DeMik	Date of School Board Approval:

### **Student Achievement Data:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Highly Qualified Administrators**

List your school's highly qualified administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	James Ammirati	Master's Degree	6	6	2007-2008 (90%, A) 2008-2009 (82%, A) 2009-2010 (82%, A) 2010-2011 (82%, A) 2011-2012 (A)
Assistant Principal	Lucy White	Master's Degree	5	12	2007-2008 (90%, A) 2008-2009 (82%, A) 2009-2010 (82%, A) 2010-2011 (82%, A) 2011-2012 (A)
Assistant Principal	Angela Brown	Master's Degree	9	7	2007-2008 (90%, A) 2008-2009 (82%, A) 2009-2010 (82%, A) 2010-2011 (82%, A) 2011-2012 (A)

### **Highly Qualified Instructional Coaches**

List your school's highly qualified instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,

			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Cassie Hernandez	Reading K-12 English Education 6-12 Elementary Education ESOL Endorsement	2	2	2011-2012 (A)

## **Highly Qualified Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Teacher Interview Day	General Directors	June 2012	
2. Recruitment Fairs	Quincy Bell	July 2012	
3. District Mentor Program	District Mentors	Ongoing	
4. District Peer Program	District Peers	Ongoing	
5. Performance Pay	General Director of Federal Programs	July 2012	
6. Regular meetings of new teachers with Principal	Principal	Ongoing	
7. Partnering new teachers with veteran staff	Assistant Principal	Ongoing	
8. Leadership Opportunities	Principal	Ongoing	

### **Non-Highly Qualified Instructors**

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field (not ESOL certified) and not highly qualified.

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
10	Currently Enrolled in Coursework

### **Staff Demographics**

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Qualified Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
84	8%	20%	46%	25%	44%	74	12%	6%	26%
	(7)	(17)	(39)	(21)	(37)	(88%)	(10)	(5)	(22)

### **Teacher Mentoring Program**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

(District EET Mentor)	Jennifer Acocella - First Year Teacher Joseph Canessa - First Year Teacher David Dick – First Year Teacher Kaitlin Smith – First Year Teacher Liberty Kelly – First Year Teacher Jessica McRae – First Year Teacher	The district-based mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the areas of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, coteaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assessments, conferencing and problem solving.

### **Additional Requirements**

### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

The Leadership team includes:

- Principal- James Ammirati
- Assistant Principal for Curriculum- Lucy White
- Assistant Principal for Administration- Angela Brown
- Guidance Counselor Kathy Sparks
- School Psychologist Claudia Long
- Social Worker Javon Lucas
- Academic Coach: Reading Cassie Hernandez
- ESE teacher C. Rabe
- Subject Area Leaders: Angela Bordner, Philip Cagno, Jen Demik, Elaine Bolack
- Team Leaders Grier, Cochran, Campbell, C. Rabe, Merritt, Gillis,
- SAC Chair- Jen Demik
- ELP Coordinator- Angela Brown
- ELL Representative- Bonnie Fuhrmeister
- Attendance Committee Representative- Javon Lucas
- Behavior Team Representative Ernest Wood

(Note that not all members attend every meeting, but are invited based on the goals and purpose for the meeting)

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

#### Elementary/Middle/High

The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:

- 1. Review school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs at all grade levels.
- 2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels. We have tiered support for content areas, behavior, and attendance.
- 3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains.
- 4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.
- 5. PLC's will work to monitor student progress and report changes to the MTSS Leadership Team for the targeted students.

The Leadership team meets regularly (bi-weekly for attendance, behavior, and academic, and monthly as a whole). Specific responsibilities include:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of instructional delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Teachers will facilitate interventions during class time, lunch and learns, or students will attend ELP.
- Facilitate the implementation of specific programs (e.g., Extended Learning Programs during and after school; Saturday Academies) that provide intervention support to students identified through data sorts/chats conducted by the PLCs and reviewed by the Leadership Team.
- Conduct Reading Rolling Inservice
- Organize and support systematic data collection (e.g., district and state assessments; during-the-grading period school assessments/checks for understanding(in formal))
- Assist and monitor teacher use PLC goals per unit of instruction. (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through the:
  - $\circ \quad \text{Implementation and support of PLCs} \\$
  - Review of teacher/PLC core curriculum assessments/chapters tests/checks for understanding (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
  - o Implementation of research-based scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions- specifically grappling with text and text dependent questioning.
  - $\circ \quad \text{Communication with major stakeholders regarding student outcomes through data summaries, conferences, and SAC\ .}$
- On a monthly basis, assist in the evaluation of teacher fidelity data and student achievement data collected during the month.
- Support the planning, implementing, and evaluating the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs and Leadership Team by content area, behavior, and attendance.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

#### Elementary/Middle/High

- The Chair of SAC is a member of the Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The administration, leadership team, teachers and SAC are involved in the School Improvement Plan development and monitoring throughout the school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work of the Leadership Team and all PLC's.
- Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the Leadership Team/PLST monitors the effectiveness of instruction and intervention by reviewing student data as well as data related to student samples and observation.
- The Leadership Team/PSLT communicates with and supports the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by distributing Leadership Team members across the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, the Leadership Team members who are part of the PLCs regularly report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The Leadership Team/PSLT and PLCs both use the problem solving process (Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design and Implementation and Evaluation to:
  - o Use the problem-solving model when analyzing data(our data takes the academic, behavior, and attendance attributes into consideration as a whole:
    - 1. What is the problem? (Problem Identification)
    - 2. Why is it occurring? (Problem Analysis and Barrier Identification)
    - 3. What are we going to do about it? (Action Plan Design and Implementation)
    - 4. Is it working? (Monitor Progress and Evaluate Action Plan Effectiveness)
  - o Identify the problem (based on an analysis of the data disaggregated via data sorts) in multiple areas curriculum content, behavior, and attendance
  - Develop and target interventions based on data.
  - Identify appropriate progress monitoring assessments to be administered at regular intervals matched to the intensity of the level of instructional/intervention support provided.
  - Review progress monitoring data at regular intervals to determine when student(s) need more or less support (e.g., frequency, duration, intensity) to meet established class, grade, and/or school goals.
  - Each PLC develops PLC action plan for SIP strategy implementation and monitoring.
  - o Assess the implementation of the strategies on the SIP using the following questions:
    - 1. Does the data show implementation of strategies are resulting in positive student growth?
    - 2. To what extent are we making progress toward the school's SIP goals?
    - 3. If we are making progress, what can we do to sustain what is working?
    - 4. What barriers to implementation are we facing and how will we address them?
    - 5. What should we do next? What should be our plan of action?

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### Elementary Middle/High

The following table contains a summary of the assessments used to measure student progress in core, supplemental and intensive instruction and their sources and management:

## Core Curriculum (Tier 1)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible
FCAT released tests	School Generated Excel Database	Reading Coach/Math Coach/AP
Baseline and Midyear District Assessments	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall	Leadership Team, PLCs, individual teachers
Subject-specific assessments generated by District-level Subject Supervisors in Reading, Language Arts, Math, Writing and Science Monthly Writing Formatives, Civics Formative, Math Formatives	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall PLC Logs	Leadership Team, PLCs, individual teachers
FAIR	Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network Data Wall	Reading Coach/Reading PLC Facilitator
CELLA	Sagebrush (IPT)	ERT
Teachers' common core curriculum assessments on units of instruction/big ideas.  PLC's will keep current unit data for each content	Ed-Line PLC Database PLC logs	Individual Teachers/ Team Leaders/ PLC Facilitators/Leadership Team Member
Reports on Demand for Updated Behavior and Attendance	District Generated Database	Leadership Team- Behavior Crew
Reading Semester Exams	School Based Test	Reading Coach

### Supplemental/Intensive Instruction (Tiers 2 and 3)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible for Monitoring	
Ongoing assessments within Intensive Courses	Timed Reading Plus	PLC/Individual Teachers	
Fluency and Comprehension Weekly Checks			
FCAT 2.0 Skill Practice for ELP and Enrichment	Florida Ready Reading	Individual Teachers	

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Leadership Team/will continue to work to build consensus with all stakeholders regarding a need for and a focus on school improvement efforts. The Leadership Team will work to align the efforts of other school teams that may be addressing similar identified issues.

As the District's RtI Committee will develop resources/RtI tools and staff development sessions will be conducted with staff as needed. Professional Development sessions, as identified by teacher needs assessment will occur during faculty meeting times or rolling faculty meetings. The Leadership Team will send school team representatives to ongoing RtI trainings/support sessions that are offered district-wide.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Response to Intervention (RtI) has also been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. In order to support MTSS in our schools, we will:

- Consistently promote the shared vision of one system meeting the needs of ALL students with MTSS as the platform for integrating all school initiatives (i.e., PLC, PSLT, and SAC meetings, school-wide CHAMPS
- Provide designated school personnel with knowledge and experience to support coordination and implementation of MTSS.
- Provide continued training and support to all school based personnel in problem solving, responding to student data and the use of a systematic method to increase student achievement.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Reading Leadership Team serves as the school's literacy Professional Learning Community. The team is comprised of:

- Principal- James Ammirati
- Assistant Principal for Curriculum- Lucy White
- Reading Coach- Cassie Hernandez
- Reading Teachers- Krystle Morrison, Elizabeth Keen
- Media Specialist- Molly Hays
- Teachers across content areas- Tamara Denslinger, Debroah Rhoades, Regina Cochran
- Language Arts Subject Area Leader- Elaine Bolack, Joesph Merritt, Michael Novello

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT is a subset of the Problem Solving Leadership Team. The team provides leadership for the implementation of the reading strategies on the SIP and supports schoolwide initiatives listed below.

The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instruction support is provided to all teachers.

The principal also ensures that the LLT monitors reading data, identifies school-wide and individual teachers' reading-focused instructional strengths and weaknesses, and creates a professional development plan to support identified instructional needs in conjunction with the Problem Solving Leadership team's support plan. Additionally the principal ensures that time is provided for the LLT to collaborate and share information with all site stakeholders including other administrators, teachers, staff members, parents and students.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Implementation and evaluation of the SIP reading strategies across the content areas Selecting/Identifying Complex Text, Shifting to Sharing Complex Text and Identifying and Creating Text Dependent Questions to Deepen Reading Comprehension.
- Professional Development- Strategic Reading Rolling Inservice Monthly
- Co-planning, modeling and observation of research-based reading strategies within lessons across the content areas
- Data analysis (on-going)- progress monitoring through FCIM lessons and reading practice
- Implement K-12 Reading Plan
- POWER Reading Time daily (Providing Opportunities with Everyday Reading) research based
- WOW- word of the week: focus on testing verbiage
- Extreme Read Liberty Style- Parents, Student, Teacher book club (Fall and Spring)
- Fluency Bee in the Spring
- Promote Literacy through Surprise Readers through closed circuit

#### NCLB Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Project CRISS, Level 1 training, which is a 12 hour initial training with a mandatory six hour follow-up component will have the opportunity to take district-offered Project CRISS, Level 1 trainings throughout the school year.

The reading coach is required as a part of her job description to provide on-site support of the implementation of the Project CRISS Strategic Lesson Plan model through professional development opportunities, as well as, coaching opportunities. A yearly action plan is created by the reading coach that outlines what Project CRISS professional development will be offered. A monthly written update allows the reading supervisor to monitor the progress of each coach's action plan. Reading Coach will do several coaching cycles with the Reading teachers and content area teachers as well.

Content-specific (mathematics, social studies, science and language arts) Project CRISS follow-up trainings are offered on request at school sites and as district-offered trainings throughout the school year.

Demonstration classroom opportunities focusing on the implementation of content-based literacy strategies are mandated by the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan at each site. The reading coach is responsible for scheduling and facilitating pre-observation, during observation, and post-observation activities and discussion. This year Demonstration classrooms will focus on Text Dependent Questionig, Costas Level and Questioning.

A Reading Leadership Team is mandated by the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan at each site. The principal is the chairperson of the committee and the reading coach is an integral member, guiding the data review, creation of an action plan, progress monitoring of the plan and evaluation of the plan each school year. The RLT has representation from each content area and is responsible for reporting back to the school their findings and instructional decisions.

Each Subject Area PLC is responsible for reviewing their students' literacy data and creating lessons that are responsive to identified student needs. PLCs are responsible for the creation and implementation of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model, Mini-Assessments and re-teach lessons based on the on-going collection of student data. Common assessments on chapter tests are used to identify effective reading strategies and guide instruction for re-teach or enrichment.

Reading coaches are responsible for assisting content teachers with the integration of differentiated instruction strategies into their content area classrooms. With content teachers, Reading coaches co-plan, co-teach, observe and provides feedback.

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student cou	rse selections, so that students' course of study is personally
meaningful?	

### **Postsecondary Transition**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## **Reading Goals**

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. FCAT 2.0: Students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
scoring proficient in	-Teachers		<u>Who</u>	<u>Teacher Level</u>	-The student evaluation	
reading (Level 3-5).	knowledge		-Principal	-Teachers reflect on lesson	tool includes their own	
Ecter 5 3):	base of this	Across all Content		outcomes and use this	progress monitoring of	
	strategy needs	<u>Areas</u>	-Reading Coach		the FCIM strategy for the	
	professional	Reading	-PLC's		specific content area.	
	development.	comprehension			Some tools include:	
	Training for	improves when	<u>How</u>	grading system data to	-AVID reflection and	
		students are	-Reading PLC Logs	calculate their students'	learning logs	
	being rolled out		-Language Arts PLC	μ υ	-AVID critical reading	
	in 12-13.	grappling with	Logs	FCIM lessons.	strategies (marking the	
	-Training	complex text.	-Social Studies PLC	PLC Level	text, pausing to connect,	
	all content		Logs	-Using the individual teacher		
	area teachers		-Elective PLC Logs	data, PLCs calculate the	task, summarizing)	
	to the same	select/identify	-PLCS turn their logs	FCIM data across all similar		
	extent as LA		into administration,	classes.	Comprehension Checks	
	and Reading		PLC members, and	-PLCs reflect on lesson	- LDC Teaching Tasks	
	teachers.		Reading Coach as each	outcomes and data used to	- Semester Exams	
	-Learning to	used in the content	unit ends.	drive future instruction.	-Sentence starters	
	use the tools		-Administration and	-For each of the similar		
	to search for		Reading Coach rotate	classes, PLCs chart their		
	complex text		through PLCs looking	overall progress towards the		
			for complex text	Text Complexity goal.		
			discussion as well as	Leadership Team Level		
		Jupport.	the academic crew of	-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
			RTI to present data as	Area Leader/ Reading		
			relevant to grappling	Coach share data with the		
			with complex textAdministration/ SAL	Leadership Team and RTI		
		schoolwide to	shares the positive	team.		
				-Data is used to drive		
			outcomes observed in PLC meetings on a	teacher support and student		
			monthly basis.	supplemental instruction.		
			monuny basis.			
		and				
		<u>understanding</u>				
		complex texts.				
		Action Steps				
		Action steps for				
		this strategy are				
		outlined on grade				
		level/content area				
		PLC action plans.				
		_				

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 62% to 65%.	Performance:*				
	62%	65%			

1.2. 1.2. 1.2.
Common Core         Who         Teacher Level         The student evaluation tool
Reading Strategy - Principal - Teachers reflect on includes their own progress
Across all Content -AP lesson outcomes and use monitoring of the FCIM
Areas -Reading Coach this knowledge to drive strategy for the specific
Common Core -Subject Area Leaders future instruction. (FCIM) content area.
Questions of all types -Teachers use the on-line Some tools include:
and levels are How grading system data to -AVID reflection and learning
necessary to scaffold -Reading PLC Logs calculate their students' logs
students' - Language Arts PLC Logs progress towards their - Using text support
understanding of -Social Studies PLC Logs PLC FCIM lessonsAVID critical reading
complex text. Teachers -Elective PLC Logs PLC Level strategies (marking the text,
need to understand and - PLCS turn their logs -Using the individual pausing to make connections
at the word/phrase, Coach as each unit ends. across all similar classesFluency and Comprehension
sentence, and -Administration and -PLCs reflect on lesson Checks
paragraph/passage Reading Coach rotate outcomes and data used to LDC Teaching Tasks
levels (Webb's, through PLCs looking for drive future instruction Semester Exams
Bloom, Costas). complex text discussion as -For each of the similar
Student reading well as the academic crew classes, PLCs chart their
comprehension of RTI to present data as overall progress towards
improves when relevant to grappling with the Text Complexity goal.
students are required to complex text. <u>Leadership Team Level</u>
provide evidence to -Administration/ SAL -PLC facilitator/ Subject
support their answers shares the positive outcomes Area Leader/ Reading
to text-dependent observed in PLC meetings Coach share data with the
questions. Scaffolding on a monthly basis.  Leadership Team and RTI
of students' grappling team.
with complex text -Data is used to drive
through well-crafted teacher support and
question assists instruction.
and achieving deeper
understanding of the
author's meaning. All
content area teachers
are responsible for
implementation.
Action Steps
Action steps for this
strategy are outlined on

			grade level/content area PLC action plans.				
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
2. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in reading.		See	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
25.5.5 For Similaring.		Goals					
		1 & 2.					
Reading Goal #2:  The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will		2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
increase from 36% to 39%.							
	36%	39%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

3. FCAT 2.0: Points for students making Learning Gains in reading.		See Goals 1 & 2.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Reading Goal #3:  Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 64 points to 67 points.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	64	67					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	33.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.		See Goals 1 & 2	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
Reading Goal #4: Points earned from students in the bottom quartile making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 55 points to 58 points.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	55	58					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	1	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

5. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5:						
5A. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		See Goals 1 & 2	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
Trowning Cour morr.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

	Black:36 Hispanic:52 Asian:93 American Indian:NA	White:77 Black:42 Hispanic:57 Asian:93 American Indian:NA	5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	5A.2	
		5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
5B. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.		See Goals 1 & 2	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Reading Goal #5B:  The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/ FAA Reading will increase from 43% to 49%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	43%	49%					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

	_					
5C. English Language	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	5C.1	1
Learners (ELL) not	-The majority	ELLs	<u>Who</u>	Teacher Level	- The student evaluation	1
making satisfactory	of the teachers	comprehension	-School based	-Teachers reflect on lesson	tool includes progress	1
progress in reading.	are unfamiliar	of course content	Administrators	outcomes and use this	monitoring of the FCIM	1
progress in reading.	with this	improves through	-ESOL Resource	knowledge to drive future	strategy for the specific	1
	strategy.	participation in	Teachers	instruction.	content area.	1
	The ERT	the <b>Cognitive</b>		-Teachers use the on-line	Some tools include:	1
	will provide	Academic_	<u>How</u>	grading system data to	-Academy of Reading	1
	support with	<u>Language</u>	- ERT walk-throughs	calculate their students'	Data	1
	implementation		using the walkthrough	progress towards their PLC	-Writing Formatives	1
	of CALLS	Approach _	form from:	plan.	-Math Formatives	1
	strategies.	(CALLA) strategy		PLC Level	-On going informal	1
		across Reading,		-Using the individual teacher		1
		Language Arts,		data, PLCs calculate the	tools:	1
		Math, Social		ELL data across all classes.	- AVID reflection and	1
		Studies and		-PLCs reflect on lesson	learning logs	1
		Science.		outcomes and data used to	-Activating background	1
				drive future instruction.	knowledge	1
		Action Steps		-ERTs meet with Reading,	-AVID critical reading	1
		-ESOL Resource		Language Arts, Social	strategies (marking the	1
		Teacher (ERT)		Studies and Science PLCs	text, pausing to make	1
		provides		on a rotating basis to assist	connections within a	1
		professional		with the analysis of ELLs	text, and responding to a	1
		development to		performance data.	writing task)	1
		all content area		- For each class, PLCs	-Fluency and	1
		teachers on how to embed CALLA		chart their overall progress towards the ELL goal.	Comprehension Checks	1
		into core content		Leadership Team Level		1
		lessons.		-PLC facilitator shares		1
		-ERT observes		ELL data with the Problem		1
		content area		Solving Leadership Team.		1
		teachers using		-Data is used to drive		1
		CALLA and		teacher support and student		1
		provides feedback,		supplemental instruction.		1
		coaching and		-ERTs meet with RtI team to	, I	1
		support.		review performance data and		1
		-District Resource		progress of ELLs (inclusive	<b>"</b>	1
		Teachers		of LFs)		1
		(DRTs) provide				1
		professional				1
		development to				1
		all administrators				
		on how to conduct				
		walk-through				

	26%	33%			
<u> </u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
		fidelity checks for use of CALLACore content teachers administer and analyze ELLs performance on assessmentsTeachers aggregate data to determine the performance of ELLs compared to the whole groupBased on data core content teachers will differentiate instruction to remediate/enhance instruction.			

		-Lack of understanding teachers can provide ELL	5C.3 ELLs (LYA, LYB & LYC) comprehension of course content/standards improves through participation in the following day-to-day accommodations on core content and district assessments across Reading, LA, Math, Science, and Social Studies:  1. Extended time (lesson and assessments) 2. Small group testing 3. Para support (lesson and assessments) 4. Use of heritage language dictionary (lesson and assessments)	Who -School based Administrators -ESOL Resource Teachers	Analyze core curriculum and district level assessments for ELL students. Correlate to accommodations to determine the most effective approach for individual students.	5C.3 -The student evaluation tool includes progress monitoring of the FCIM strategy for the specific content area. Some tools include: -Academy of Reading Data -Journeys Data where applicable -Writing Formatives -Math Formatives -On going informal progress monitoring using tools: - AVID reflection and learning logs -Activating background knowledge -AVID critical reading strategies (marking the text, pausing to make connections within a text, and responding to a writing task) -Fluency and Comprehension Checks	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

	i					
5D. Students with	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
Disabilities (SWD) not	-Need to	<u>Strategy</u>	<u>Who</u>	Teacher Level	-Need to provide a school	
making satisfactory	provide	SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on lesson	organization structure	
progress in reading.	a school	achievement	Administrator,	outcomes and use this	and procedure for regular	
progress in reading.	organization	improves	Assistance Principal	knowledge to drive future	and on-going review of	
	structure and	through the	ESE Specialist	instruction.	students' IEPs by both	
	procedure	effective and		-Teachers use the on-line	the general education and	
	for regular		<u>How</u>	grading system data to	ESE teacher. To address	
	and on-		IEP Progress Reports	calculate their students'	this barrier, the APC will	
	going review	of students'	reviewed by APC	progress towards their PLC	put a system in place for	
	of students'	IEP goals,		and/or individual SMART	this school year.	
	IEPs by both	strategies,		Goal.		
	the general	modifications,		PLC Level		
	education	and		-Using the individual teacher	r l	
	and ESE	accommodations		data, PLCs calculate the		
	teacher. To	l ·		SMART goal data across all		
	address this	-Throughout		classes/courses.		
	barrier, the	the school year,		-PLCs reflect on lesson		
	APC will put	teachers of		outcomes and data used to		
	a system in	SWD review		drive future instruction.		
	place for this	students' IEPs		-For each class/course, PLCs	s	
	school year.	to ensure		chart their overall progress		
		that IEPs are		towards the SMART Goal.		
		implemented		Leadership Team Level		
		consistently and		-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
		with fidelity.		Area Leader/ Department		
		-Teachers (both		Heads shares SMART		
		individually and		Goal data with the Problem		
		in PLCs) work		Solving Leadership Team.		
		to improve upon		-Data is used to drive		
		both individually		teacher support and student		
		and collectively,		supplemental instruction.		
		the ability to				
		effectively				
		implement				
		IEP/SWD				
		strategies and				
		modifications				
		into lessons.				
	5D.2.	5D.2.				
			5D.2.			

 Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
28%	35%				
	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	

## **Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strategic Reading Strategies	ALL	Cassie Hernandez	School wide	9/2012-5/2013	Student Samples, Coach Cycle	Cassie Hernandez
Bookstudy: Never Work Harder than Your Students	ALL	Cassie Hernandez		11/2012-1/2013	Student Samples and Coaching Opportunity	Cassie Hernandez

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

CRISS Content Trainings	ALL	SDHC	Open to all	9/2012-5/2013	Follow Up Coaching Cycle with Reading Coach	Cassie Hernandez
----------------------------	-----	------	-------------	---------------	--	------------------

End of Reading Goals

## **Elementary or Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary School Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring proficient in mathematics (Level 3-5).	-Lack of knowledge of CCSS -Teachers at varying understanding of the intent of the CCSS -Teachers at varying understanding of the mathematical practices within the CCSS	Strategy Students' math skills will improve through participation in lessons designed to increase knowledge of depth and rigor of content. Teachers will also use the DOE links to the NGSSS and CCSSM	Teacher Principal AP Math SAL  How Monitored -Classroom walk- throughs observing lessons designed with rigor and depth PLC Recording Document – Unit Based	I.1. PLCs – Periodic (weekly or bi-weekly) progress monitoring of assessment scores, daily teacher observations, and response through modification of lesson plans based on data are reviewed to determine the number of students demonstrating proficiency toward benchmark attainment.  PLCs will review unit assessments and chart the increase in the number of students reaching at least 75% mastery on units of instruction.  PLC facilitator will share data with the Problem Solving Leadership Team.	I.1.  4x per year  District Baseline and Mid- Year Testing  Form A  Form B  NGSSS(optional) -Semester Exams  During the Grading Period -Chapter Tests -Benchmark mini assessments -Math AVID Cornell Notes -Math AVID Tutorials -Math AVID High Level Learning Logs	
Mathematics Goal #1: The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 69% to 72%.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	69%	<b>72%</b>				

1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
-Lack of		Who		2x per year	
	Students' math skills		assessments and chart the		
	will improve through		increase in the number of		
-Lack of	the use of <b>technology</b>			Year Testing	
technology	and hands-on		75% mastery on units of		
hardware	activities to implement	How Monitored		Form A	
-Teachers	the Common Core	-Classroom walk-throughs		Form B	
at varying			PLC facilitator will share		
understanding of	addition, students will			-Semester Exams	
the intent of the	practice taking on-line		Solving Leadership Team.		
CCSS	assessments to prepare			During the Grading Period	
	students for on-line		Leadership Team will	-Chapter Tests	
	state testing.		review assessment data	1	
				-Benchmark mini assessments	
	Action Steps		•		
	-PLCs write SMART			-Math AVID Cornell Notes	
	goals based on each				
	Grading Period of			-Math AVID Tutorials	
	material -As a Professional				
				-Math AVID High Level	
	Development activity			Learning Logs	
	in their PLCs, teachers spend time				
	sharing, researching,				
	teaching, and modeling				
	technology and hands-				
	on strategiesPLC teachers instruct				
	students using the core curriculum,				
	incorporating strategies				
	from their PLC				
	discussions.				
	-As a Professional				
	Development activity,				
	teachers use data to				
	discuss technology and				
	hands-on activities/				
	strategies that were				
	effective.				
	-Based on data,				
	teachers re-teach skills				
	using appropriate				
	materials.				
	materiais.				

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
scoring Achievement Levels 4 or 5 in		See					
mathematics.		See Goals					
		1 & 2.					
Mathematics Goal #2: The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from 40% to 43%.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
increase from 1070 to 1570.							
	<b>40%</b>	43%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

3. FCAT 2.0: Points for students making learning gains in mathematics.	3.1.	See Goals 1 & 2.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	72	75					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	33.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

4. FCAT 2.0: Points for	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
students in Lowest 25%	1						
making learning gains in		See					
mathematics.		$C_{\alpha\alpha}1_{\alpha}$					
		See Goals					
		1 & 2.					
		$ 1 \propto 2.$					
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Points earned from students	Performance:*	or renormance.					
in the bottom quartile making							
learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Math will increase from							
64 points to 67 points.							
	64	67					
			1.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	1
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify	Barrier			How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the			
and define areas in need of			indenty be monitored?	effectiveness of strategy?			
improvement for the following subgroup:							
Based on Ambitious bu		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

5. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Math Goal #5:						
5A. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics		See Goals 1 & 2	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
Math Goal #5A:  The percentage of White_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 80% to 82%.  The percentage of Black_students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 48% to 53%.  The percentage of Hispanic students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Math will increase from 60% to 64%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Black:48 Hispanic:60 Asian:93 American Indian:NA					5A.2. 5A.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool	Student Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			·	data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?			
5B. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		See Goals 1 & 2	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5B: The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged_ students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/ FAA Math will increase from 32% to 39%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	32%	39%					
		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier		fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
improvement for the following subgroup:							

<b>7</b> 0 D <b>2</b> 11 T	Iso 1	Is 0. 1	50.1	50.1	50.1	<u> </u>
5C. English Language	5C.1.	5C.1. ELLs (LYs/LFs)	5C.1. Who		5C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not					<u>2x per year</u> District Baseline and Mid-	
making satisfactory		comprehension	-School based			
progress in mathematics.		of course	Administrators		Year Testing	
		content/standard	-District Resource	knowledge to drive future instruction.	Samartan Faransa	
		improves through	Teachers -ESOL Resource	Teachers use the on-line	Semester Exams	
		participation in	Teachers			
	-The majority of the math	the <u>Cognitive</u> Academic	reachers	grading system data to	Daving the Conding	
	teachers are		II	calculate their students'	During the Grading	
		<u>Language</u>	How -Administrative and	progress towards their PLC and/or individual ELL	Period -Common assessments	
	unfamiliar with	Approach_		SMART Goal.	(pre, post, mid, section,	
	this strategy. To address				end of unit)	
		in math.	form from:	-Using the individual teacher		
	the school	III IIIaui.		data, PLCs calculate the	-Math AVID Cornell	
	will schedule	Action Steps			Notes	
	professional	-ESOL Resource		across all classes/courses.	110103	
	development	Teacher (ERT)		-PLCs reflect on lesson	-Math AVID Tutorials	
		provides	Instruction	outcomes and data used to		
		professional		drive future instruction.	-Math AVID High Level	
		development to all		-ERTs meet with Math	Learning Logs	
		math area teachers		PLCs on a rotating basis to		
		on how to embed		assist with the analysis of		
		CALLA into core		ELLs performance data.		
		content lessons.		-For each class/course, PLCs		
	I	-ERT models		chart their overall progress		
	courses.	lessons using		towards the ELL SMART		
	-ELLs at	CALLA.		Goal.		
	varying levels	-ERT observes		Leadership Team Level		
	of	content area		-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
		teachers using		Area Leader/ Department		
		CALLA and		Heads shares SMART		
		provides feedback,		Goal data with the Problem		
	acculturation is			Solving Leadership Team.		
	not consistent	support.		-Data is used to drive		
	across core	-District Resource		teacher support and student		
	courses.	Teachers		supplemental instruction.		
	I	(DRTs) provide		-ERTs meet with RtI team to		
		professional		review performance data and		
		development to		progress of ELLs (inclusive		
		all administrators		of LFs)		
		on how to conduct				
		walk-through				
	effectively	fidelity checks for				

The percentage of ELL students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT, FAA Math will increase from 34% to 41%.				5C.2. 5C.3.	
Mathematics Goal #5C:	CALLA fidelity check walk-through.	use of CALLAMath teachers set SMART goals for ELL students for upcoming core curriculum assessmentsMath teachers administer and analyze ELLs. In particular, teachers aggregate data to determine the performance of ELLs compared to the whole groupBased on data math teachers differentiate instruction to remediate/enhance instruction.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			

Based on the analysis of student	Anticipate 1	Ctuatage	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	i -	
achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool	Student Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify	Darrier		fidelity be monitored?	data be used to determine the			
and define areas in need of			indenty be monitored?	effectiveness of strategy?			
improvement for the following				effectiveness of strategy:			
subgroup:							
5D. Student with	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
		Strategy	Who		-Need to provide a school		
Disabilities (SWD) not		SWD student	Principal, Site		organization structure		
making satisfactory	provide	achievement	Administrator,		and procedure for regular		
progress in mathematics.	u senoor		Assistance Principal		and on-going review of		
		the effective	ESE Specialist		students' IEPs by both		
		and consistent	ESE Specianse		the general education and		
	procedure for	implementation	How	grading system data to	ESE teacher. To address		
		of students' IEP	IEP Progress Reports	calculate their students'	this barrier, the APC will		
	501115 1011011		reviewed by APC		put a system in place for		
		modifications, and	leviewed by 711 C		this school year.		
		accommodations.		Goal.	uns school year.		
	education and	-Throughout		PLC Level			
	ESE teacher.	the school year,		-Using the individual teacher			
		teachers of SWD		data, PLCs calculate the			
		review students'		SMART goal data across all			
	barrier, the APC will put a			classes/courses.			
	APC WIII put a	that IEDs are		-PLCs reflect on lesson			
	system in place	implemented		outcomes and data used to			
		*		drive future instruction.			
	year.	consistently and					
		with fidelity.		-For each class/course, PLCs			
		-Teachers (both		chart their overall progress towards the SMART Goal.			
		individually and in PLCs) work					
				Leadership Team Level			
		to improve upon		-PLC facilitator/ Subject			
		both individually		Area Leader/ Department			
		and collectively,		Heads shares SMART			
		the ability to		Goal data with the Problem			
		effectively		Solving Leadership Team.			
		implement IEP/		-Data is used to drive			
		SWD strategies and	1	teacher support and student			
		modifications into		supplemental instruction.			
		lessons.					
			l	1	l		

manenaties don was.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	32%	39%					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	

End of Elementary or Middle School Mathematics Goals

# <u>Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals \*(Middle and High Schools ONLY)</u>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

proficient in Algebra (Levels 3-5).	-Teachers at varying understanding of the intent of the EOC -Teachers at varying understanding of the mathematical practices within the CCSS	Strategy Students' math skills will improve through participation in lessons designed to increase knowledge of depth and rigor of content. Teachers will also use the DOE links to the NGSSS and CCSSM highlighting the depth and rigor of each of the benchmarks.  Action Steps Action steps for this strategy are outlines on the grade level PLS unit plans	Teacher Principal AP Math SAL  How Monitored -Classroom walk- throughs observing lessons designed with rigor and depth PLC Recording Document – Unit Based	or bi-weekly) progress	1.1.  4x per year District Baseline and Mid-Year Testing  Form A Form B NGSSS(optional) -Semester Exams  During the Grading Period -Chapter Tests -Benchmark mini assessments -Math AVID Cornell Notes -Math AVID Tutorials -Math AVID High Level Learning Logs	
Algebra Goal #1:  The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013Algebra EOC will increase from 88% to 90%.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	88	90				

1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
-Lack of	Strategy	Who	PLCs will review unit	2x per year	2x per year	
infrastructure	Students' math	- Principal	assessments and chart the		District Baseline and Mid-	
				4x per year District Baseline and		
to support			increase in the number of		Year Testing	
technology	through the use		students reaching at least	Mid-Year Testing	g , F	
-Lack of	of <u>technology</u>		75% mastery on units of		Semester Exams	
technology		How Monitored	instruction.	Form A		
hardware	on activities to	-Classroom walk-	L	Form B	During the Grading Period	
-Teachers	implement the		PLC facilitator will share	NGSSS(optional)	-Chapter/Unit Tests	
at varying	Common Core		data with the Problem	-Semester Exams	-Benchmark mini assessments	
understanding	State Standards. In		Solving Leadership Team.			
of the intent of			The Problem Solving	During the Grading		
the CCSS	will practice taking		Leadership Team will	<u>Period</u>		
	on-line assessments		review assessment data for	-Chapter Tests		
	to prepare students		positive trends.			
	for on-line state			-Benchmark mini		
	testing.			assessments		
	Action Steps					
	-PLCs write			-Math AVID Cornell		
	SMART goals			Notes		
	based on each			M d AMB T d i d		
	Grading Period of			-Math AVID Tutorials		
	material			-Math AVID High Level		
	-As a Professional			Learning Logs		
	Development			Learning Logs		
	activity in their					
	PLCs, teachers					
	spend time sharing,					
	researching,					
	teaching, and					
	modeling					
	technology and					
	hands-on strategies.					
	-PLC teachers					
	instruct students					
	using the core					
	curriculum,					
	incorporating					
	strategies from					
	their PLC					
	discussions.					
	-As a Professional					
	Development					
	activity, teachers					
	,,	l .	ļ.	ļ	·	

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

		use data to discuss					
		technology and					
		hands-on activities/					
		strategies that were					
		effective.					
		-Based on data, teachers re-					
		teach skills using					
		appropriate					
		materials.					
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Strategy	Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool	Student Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify			fidelity be monitored?	data be used to determine the			
and define areas in need of				effectiveness of strategy?			
improvement for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Achievement Levels 4 or 5	1						
in Algebra.		See					
in riigebru.							
		See Goals					
		1 & 2.					
		$ 1 \propto 2.$					
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
riigeora Goar #2.	Level of	of Performance:*					
The percentage of students	Performance:*						
scoring a Level 4 or 5 on							
the 2013Algebra EOC will							
increase from 48% to 51%.							
	48	51					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		Ļ	L	L	L		

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

_							
Г		2 2	2 2	2 2	2 2	2.2	
- 1		2.3	4.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
- 1							
- 1							
- 1							

End of Algebra EOC Goals

**Mathematics Professional Development** 

Mathematics From	icssional De	relopinent				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Analyzing exams	6-8	-Math SAL/ Coach	Math Departmental and course- specific PLCs	After the administration of the test	PLC logs	APC
ELL Strategies		English Language	All teachers			
	6-8	Language Learner Resource Teacher (ERT)	Faculty Professional Development and on-going PLCs	On-going	Classroom walkthroughs	Administration Team
Technology and Hands- On Activities (animations, agile mind, textbook resources)		Resource Teacher (ERT)  Math SAL and	Math Departmental PLCs and	On-going On-going in science PLCs 3 times per month	Administrators/science coach conduct	Administration Team  Administration Team

End of Mathematics Goals

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals** 

Elementary and widdle School Science			<u> </u>			
Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
1. FCAT 2.0: Students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
scoring proficient (Level		See				
3-5) in science.						
		Rea				
		ding Goals				
		Goals				
		1 & 2.				
Science Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
The percentage of students	Level of Performance:*	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*				
scoring a Level 3 or higher on						
the 2013 FCAT Science will increase from 61% to 64%.						
110111 0170 to 04%.						
	61%	64%				

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

		l	l	l	I. a	l. a	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier			data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.1.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
scoring Achievement		See					
Levels 4 or 5 in science.							
		Rea					
		ding					
		1					
		Goals					
		1 & 2					
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance: *					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Science will							
increase from 23% to 26%.							
	23%	26%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
•					•		

### **Science Professional Development**

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Professional Development (PD) aligned with						
Strategies through Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strategic Reading Strategies	ALL	Cassie Hernandez	School wide	9/2012-5/2013	Student Samples, Coach Cycle	Cassie Hernandez
Harder than Your Students	ALL	Cassie Hernandez		11/2012-1/2013	Student Samples and Coaching Opportunity	Cassie Hernandez
CRISS Content Trainings	ALL	SDHC	Open to all	9/2012-5/2013	Follow Up Coaching Cycle with Reading Coach	Cassie Hernandez
Technology and Hands- On Activities (animations/ Gizmos, scientific probeware, laboratory technology)			Science Departmental PLCs and course-specific PLCs	On-going in science PLCs 3 times per month	Administrators/science coach conduct targeted walk-throughs to monitor Hands-On Activity implementation.	Administration Team

End of Science Goals

# Writing/Language Arts Goals

Writing/ Language Arts Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

		1	Ĭ	<u> </u>		
1. Students scoring	-Not all teachers	Strategy	Who	See "Check" & "Act" action		
at Achievement	know how to		Principal	steps in the strategies column	-AVID reflection and	
Level 3.0 or higher	plan and execute	mode-specific	APC		learning logs	
in writing.	writing lessons	writing will	SAL			
8	with a focus	improve through	L		-AVID critical reading	
	on mode-based	use of Writers'	District (Writing Team,		strategies (marking	
	writing.	Workshop/daily	Supervisors, Writing		the text, pausing to	
	-Not all teachers		Resources, Academic		connect, responding	
	know how to		Coaches, and DRTs)		to a writing task,	
	review student	specific writing.	L		summarizing)	
	writing to		How Monitored			
	determine trends	Action Steps	-PLC logs		-AVID Written	
	and needs in	-Based on	-Classroom walk-throughs		Response to text	
	order to drive	baseline data,	Observation Form			
	instruction.	PLCs write	-Conferencing while			
	-All teachers	SMART goals	writing walk-through tool.			
	need training to	for each Grading				
	score student	Period.				
	writing accurately					
	during the 2012-	n,				
		Plan:				
	using information					
	provided by the	Development for				
	state.	updated rubric				
	-Students lack knowledge of	courses -Professional				
	conventions,	Development				
	organization,	for instructional				
	depth, clarity, and					
	control.	specific writing				
	control.	-Training to				
		facilitate data-				
		driven PLCs				
		-Using data				
		to identify				
		trends and drive				
		instruction				
		-Lesson planning				
		based on the		ĺ		
		needs of students		ĺ		
				ĺ		
		<i>Do</i> :		ĺ		
		-Daily/ongoing		ĺ		
		models and		ĺ		

<u>.</u>	<u>i</u>		
application of			
appropriate			
mode-specific			
writing based on			
teaching points			
-Daily/ongoing			
conferencing			
conterencing			
Check:			
Review of daily			
drafts and scoring			
monthly demand			
writes			
-PLC discussions			
and analysis of			
student writing to			
determine trends			
and needs			
Act:			
<i>Act:</i> -Receive			
additional			
professional			
professional			
development in			
areas of need			
-Seek additional			
professional			
knowledge			
through book			
studies/research			
-Spread the			
use of effective			
practices across			
the school based			
on evidence			
shown in the best			
practice of others			
-Use what is			
learned to begin			
the cycle again,			
uic cycle agaill,			
revise as needed,			
increase scale if			
possible, etc.			

		-Plan ongoing monitoring of the solution(s)			
The percentage of students scoring <b>Level</b> 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writes will increase from 85% to 88%.		Level of Performance:*			
	85%	88%			

1.2.	1.2	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	Strategy	Who	Teacher Level	1.2.
teaching of		-Principal		-AVID reflection and learning
	8,	-AP		I. ~ J
		-AP -Instruction Coaches		logs
	8, 2, 2		use this knowledge	-AVID critical reading
teachers.	skills improves through	-Subject Area Leaders	to drive future	strategies (marking the text,
		-PLC facilitators of like	instruction.	pausing to connect, responding
	real transfer properties	grades and/or like courses	-Teachers maintain	
at pacing	lessons/activities/tasks		then abbeddinents in	to a writing task, summarizing)
	that promote high levels	<u>How</u>	the on-line grading	AVID Weitten Bennenge to
		PLCS turn their logs into	system.	-AVID Written Response to
LDC lessons.			Freachers use the on-	text
		after a unit of instruction is	line grading system	
	Within PLCs	complete.	data to calculate	
	Before the unit		their students'	
	-Create norms	their logs.	progress towards	
	-Unnack an assessment and	-Administrators and coaches	the development of	
	rubric.	attend targeted PLC meetings	their individual/PLC	
	-Set SMART goals for the		SMART Goal.	
		•	PLC Level	
		-Administration shares the	-Using the individual	
	Decide on a way to		teacher data, PLCs	
	pre-assess the skins and	on a monthly basis.	calculate the SMART	
		-Administrative walk-	goal data across all	
	( What pro assessment will		classes/courses.	
	We all abe.	implementation of strategy	-PLCs reflect on	
	Choose the uneron		lesson outcomes and	
	detivities teachers will ase	-Administrator and coach		
	to abbebb statements		data used to drive	
	anderstanding arong the		future instruction.	
	way to the abbeddinent.		-For each class/	
	recirect on ourners and	with staff the progress of	course, PLCs chart	
	barrens in our time jean	strategy implementation	their overall progress	
	before.	monthly.	towards the SMART	
	-Look at student assessment	-Auministration snares the	Goal.	
	exemplars (previous	positive outcomes observed	Leadership Team	
		in PLC meetings on a	<u>Level</u>	
	avanabic).	monthly basis.	-PLC facilitator/	
	-Visit the pacing guide and		Subject Area Leader/	
	determine the pacing for		Department Heads	
	the unit.		shares SMART Goal	
	-Decide on common		data with the Problem	
	terminology to use with		Solving Leadership	
	students and during PLC		Team.	
			-Data is used to drive	
	terminology to use with		Solving Leadership	
	discussions.		-Data is used to drive	

1 1		
-Look at the grammar	teacher support and	
instruction opportunities	student supplemental	
provided in the unit and	instruction.	
determine their potential		
usage.		
-Decide on		
which vocabulary terms		
need to be taught during the		
unit.		
-Discuss the student's		
curriculum checklist.		
-Determine how the PLC		
would like to grade the		
assessments in order for		
there to be consistency		
among grade levels.		
n i d i		[
During the unit		
-Determine:		
What is working?		
Is there a need to enrich the		
instruction? How?		
What isn't working?		
Is there a need to		
supplement the instruction?		
How?		
Are the needs of our ELL/		
SWD being met?		
How can civics be added		
into instruction?		
Is there a need for a		[
demonstration classroom and/		[
or teacher swap?		[
-Conduct a pacing check.		[
-Bring anchor activities		[
(artifacts) to assess student		[
understanding.		
-Discuss effective student		
placement (If plausible		
discuss how classroom		
environment might help a		
student that is struggling in		
a class. Could a change of		[
		[
class period or teacher help?)		

·	
	-Plan strategies to
	differentiate.
	-Plan higher order thinking
	questions.
	-Discuss portfolio
	Discuss portions
	implementation (Success/
	Barriers).
	-Discuss baseline date/data
	from anchor activities/data
	from EAs.
	-Determine whether teachers
	want to add additional criteria
	want to add additional criteria
	to the EA rubric.
	-Discuss additions to the
	writer's checklists.
	During the assessment
	-Agree upon a date when
	all assessments need to be
	completed.
	-Discuss successes and
	challenges.
	After the assessment
	Participate in an assessment
	Norming session (Data to
	be discussed after EAs are
	be discussed after EAs are
	all scored).
	After all assessments have
	been scored
	-Reflect on the unit.
	-Reflect on the
	effectiveness of the PLC
	(survey).
	-Revisit portfolios.
	-Identify the skills
	students struggled with
	and determine which
	activities in further lessons
	will readdress the skills
	needing to be re-taught or
	strengthened.
	-Recognize successes and

celebrate.
In the classroom
During the lessons.
teachers:
-Post essential questions
and daily objectives.
-Explicitly reference
connections between
the following: essential
une following, essential
questions, daily objective,
and assessment.
-Select learning strategies
as needed.
-Group students
appropriately.
-Scaffold instruction
building towards higher
complexity.
-Model and provide
opportunities for guided
and independent practice
of skills aligned with the
assessment.
-Select academic
vocabulary from text to
be used during a unit of
instruction.
-Use multiple types of
formative assessment and
provide consistent checks
for student understanding.
-Use data during the lesson
and after the assessment to
inform instruction.
During the lessons.
students:
-Understand the criteria
which will be used to
evaluate their work.
-Understand the purpose
of the lesson and its
connection to the

		assessmentThink critically and creativelyActively draw upon prior knowledge and use that knowledge to connect with lesson goalsKnow when, why, and how to use strategies when appropriate free of teacher supportCollaborate within structured groupingSelf assess understanding of contentUse academic vocabulary in written and oral responses.  After the lessons, teachers: -Post exemplars of student workSelf reflect on lessons.		1.3.	1.3.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# Writing/Language Arts Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Springboard Pacing	6-8	Academic Coach	Language Arts Teachers PLC-grade level and vertical teams	On-going	throughs	Principal APC SAL PLC Facilitators

End of Writing Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
of attendance data, and	Barrier		Who and how will the fidelity		Tool	
reference to "Guiding			be monitored?	data be used to determine the		
Questions", identify and				effectiveness of strategy?		
define areas in need of						
improvement:						

4 A., 3	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1	
1. Attendance	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
	-Attendance	<u>Tier 1</u>	Attendance committee	Attendance committee will	Instructional Planning	
	committee needs	The school will		monitor the attendance data	Tool Attendance/	
	to meet on a	establish an		from the targeted group of	Tardy data	
	regular basis	attendance	the Principal on a monthly	students.	Ed Connect	
	throughout the	committee	basis and shared with			
	school year.	comprised of	faculty.			
	-Need support	Administrators,				
	in building and	guidance				
	maintain the	counselors,				
	student database.	teachers and other				
		relevant personnel				
		to review the				
		school's				
		attendance plan				
		and discuss school				
		wide interventions				
		to address needs				
		relevant to current				
		attendance data.				
		The attendance				
		committee will				
		also maintain a				
		database of				
		students with				
		significant				
		attendance				
		problems and				
		implement and				
		monitor				
		interventions to be				
		documented on the				
		attendance				
		intervention form				
		(SB 90710) The				
		attendance				
		committee meets				
		every two weeks.				

Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*			
1. The attendance rate will increase from 94% in 2011-2012 to 96% in 2012-2013.		Attendance Rate.*			
2. The number of students who have 10 or more <b>unexcused</b> absences throughout					
the school year will decrease by 10%					
3.T he number of students who have 10 or more <u>unexcused</u> tardies to school					
throughout the school year will decrease by 10%.					
		96%			
	Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
	151	135			
	Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
	3	2			

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.	) )					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
EdLine	6-8	Tech Specialist	School-wide	September and then an as needed basis	Random check of EdLine postings	AP

### End of Attendance Goals

#### Suspension Goal(s)

Suspension	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process to			
	Decrease			
	Suspension			

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation	
of suspension data, and	Barrier		Who and how will the fidelity	How will the evaluation tool	Tool	
reference to "Guiding			be monitored?	data be used to determine the		
Questions", identify and				effectiveness of strategy?		
define areas in need of						
improvement:						

4 0	4 4	1		1 1	IDIZIE ELGIODD	r	
1. Suspension	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	UNTIE , EASI ODR		
	There needs to be	Tier 1	<u>Who</u>	- PSLT /Behavior Committee	and suspension data		
	common school-	- CHAMPS will	-PSLT Behavior		cross-referenced with		
	wide expectations	be implemented	Committee	Discipline Referrals	mainframe discipline		
	and rules for	to address school-	-Leadership Team	ODRs and out of school	data		
		wide expectations	-Administration	suspensions, ATOSS data			
		and rules, set		monthly.			
		these through staff		monuny.			
		survey, discipline					
		data, and provide					
		training to staff					
		in methods for					
		teaching and					
		reinforcing the					
		school-wide rules					
		and expectations.					
		<b>1</b> •					
		-Providing teachers					
		with resources					
		for continued					
		teaching and					
		reinforcement of					
		school expectations					
		and rules.					
		-Leadership					
		team conducts					
		walkthroughs					
		using a CHAMPS					
		walk-through					
		form (generated					
		by the district RtI					
		facilitators).					
		ĺ					
		The data is shared					
		with faculty at a					
		monthly meeting,					
		tracking the overall					
		improvement of the					
		faculty.	1				
		nacuity.					
		-Where needed,					
		administration					
		conducts individual					

		teacher walk-			
		through data chats.			
C C . 1 //1.	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected			
Suspension Goal #1: 1. The total number of		Number of			
In Calcal Common of	In –School	In- School			
In-School Suspensions will decrease by 10%.	Suspensions	Suspensions			
will decrease by 10%.	*	*			
2. The total number					
of students receiving					
In-School Suspension					
throughout the school					
year will decrease by					
10%.					
10/0.					
3. The total number					
of Out-of-School					
Suspensions will					
decrease by 10%.					
decrease by 1070.					
4. The total number of					
students receiving Out-					
of-School Suspensions					
throughout the school					
year will decrease by					
10%.					
1070.					
	110	402			
	448	403			
	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected			
	of Students	Number of Students			
	Suspended	Suspended			
	In-School	In -School			
	217	195			
	2012 Number of Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of			
	Suspensions	Out-of-School			
	Suspensions	Suspensions			
		1			
	575	517			
	I	1			

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

2012 Total Nur of Students Suspended Out- of- School	nber 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
285	256					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional Development						
(PD) aligned with Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CHAMPS – "P80/20"		AP Intervention Specialist	School-wide	On-going	Administration and guidance walk- throughs	Administration and guidance walk-throughs

End of Suspension Goals

# **Health and Fitness Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	cs, merade m	e number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the pe	recinage (c.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
data, identify and define	Barrier		Who and how will the fidelity	How will the evaluation tool	Tool		
areas in need of improvement:				data be used to determine the			
				effectiveness of strategy?			
1. Health and Fitness	1.		1.APC	1.Checking student schedules	1.		
Goal		School students	Guidance				
		will engage in					
		the equivalent					
		of one class					
		period per day					
		of physical					
		education for					
		one semester					
		of each year					
		in grades 6					
		through 8					
Health and Fitness Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level :*	Level :*					
During the 2012-2013 school							
year, the number of students							
scoring in the "Healthy Fitness							
Zone" (HFZ) on the Pacer							
for assessing aerobic capacity							
and cardiovascular health will							
increase from 65% on the							
Pretest to 75% on the Posttest.							

ſ	<b>65%</b>	<b>75%</b>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

# **Health and Fitness Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic		PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules		
and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# **Continuous Improvement Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		$\mathcal{L}$	
Problem-			
Solving			
Process to			
Increase			

Additional Goal(s)	Student Achieveme nt				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. Continuous	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
Improvement Goal		The leadership		"Quick" PLC informal	There is still	
improvement dom	confusion on	team will	Principal	surveys will be administered	confusion on how to	
	how to conduct			during the school year every	conduct PLCs that are	
				two months. The Leadership		
				Team will aggregate the data		
		of Instruction"			of teachers and	
		log that follows		school-wide results with their		
		the Plan-Do-		PLCs. The data will provide		
		Check-Act			implementation of the	
		model. Subject			Plan-Do-Check-Act	
		Area Leader			model.	
		and/or PLC			-Still confusion on	
	by the	facilitators will			how the Plan-Do-	
	implementation	guide their			Check-Act model	
		PLCs through			works.	
	Do-Check-Act				-Still some resistance	
	model. -Still confusion	Check-Act			to staff members	
		of instruction.			attending PLCs and/	
		The work will			or arriving on time to meetings.	
		be recorded			Teachers asking	
		on PLC			for more PLC	
		logs that are			collaboration time.	
		reviewed by			Possibility of waiver	
	staff members				will be explored.	
	attending PLCs				will be explored.	
	and/or arriving					
	on time to					
	meetings.					
	-Teachers					
	asking for					
	more PLC					
	collaboration					
	time.					
	Possibility of					
	waiver will be					
	explored.					
	ļ					

Goal #1:  The percentage of teachers who strongly agree with the indicator that "teachers meet on a regular basis to discuss their students' learning, share best practices, problem solve and develop lessons/ assessments that improve student performance (under Teaching and Learning)" will increase from 40% in 2012 to 50% in 2013.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	40%	50%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## **Continuous Improvement Goals Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Top and/or PLC Focu		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Plan-Do-Check-Act Model	All teachers	Leadership Team Subject Area Leaders PLC Facilitators	School-wide	Plan-Do-Check-Act (Unit Based).	Administrator and leadership team walk-throughs Administrator and leadership attendance at PLC meetings PLC Survey data	Leadership Team

End of Additional Goal(s)

# **NEW Goal(s) For the 2012-2013 School Year**

### **NEW Reading Florida Alternate Assessment Goals**

	_		1010 / 1000001				
A. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring proficient in reading (Levels 4- 9).		See Rea ding Goal 5d	A.1.	A.1.	A.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	77	<b>78</b>					
		A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	
		A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.		See Rea ding Goal 5d	B.1.	B.1.	B.1.		
Reading Goal B: The percentage of students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	n/a	n/a					
		B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	
		В.3.	B.3.	В.3.	B.3.	B.3.	

# NEW Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving			
	Process to Increase			
	Language Acquisition			

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
C. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
proficient in Listening/ Speaking.		See				
		Reading				
		ELL Goal				
		5C.1				
CELLA Goal #C: The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013 Listening/Speaking section of the CELLA will increase from 53% to 54%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	53					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

proficient in Reading.		See Reading ELL Goal 5C.1	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #D:  The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013 Reading section of the CELLA will increase from 24% to 25%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				
	24				
					2.2.
					2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

proficient in Writing.		See Reading ELL Goal 5C.1	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #E: The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013 Writing section of the CELLA will increase from 33% to 34%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:				
	33				
			2.2. 2.3		2.2.

# **NEW Math Florida Alternate Assessment Goals**

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data,	Barrier		Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool data be		
and reference to "Guiding			fidelity be monitored?	used to determine the effectiveness		
Questions", identify and				of strategy?		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at in mathematics (Levels 4-9).		See Math Goals 1 & 2		F.1.	F.1.		
Mathematics Goal F: The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	77	<b>78</b>					
		F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	
		F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.		See Math Goals 1 & 2		G.1.	G.1.		
C.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	n/a	n/a					
		G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	
		G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	

# **NEW Science Florida Alternate Assessment Goal**

Elementary, Middle and High Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
J. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at proficient in science (Levels 4-9).	J.1.		J.1.	J.1.	J.1.		
Science Goal J:  The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	n/a	n/a					
		J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	

Π		13	13	13	13	13	
- 1		J.J.	J.J.	J.J.	5.5.	3.5.	
- 1							
- 1							
- 1							

# **NEW Writing Florida Alternate Assessment Goal**

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
		M.1.	M.1.	M.1.	M.1.	

Writing Goal M: The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	n/a	n/a					
		M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	
		M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	

# NEW Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  Implement/expand project/problem-based learning in math, science and CTE/STEM electives.	time for math, science, ELA and other STEM teachers	1.1 -Explicit direction for STEM professional learning communities to be establishedDocumentation of planning of units and outcomes of units in logsIncrease effectiveness of lessons through lesson study and district metrics, etc.	1.1 PLC or grade level lead -Subject Area Leaders		1.1 Logging number of project- based learning in math, science and CTE/STEM elective per nine week. Share data with teachers.

1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Project-based learning	6-8		Science, math, ELA and technology teachers PLCs	On-going	Administrator walk-throughs	Administration

End of STEM Goal(s)

NEW Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	<b>Problem-Solving</b>		

	Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier		Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:  . Increase the student membership in FBLA from 64 in 2011-2012 to 85 in 2012-2013 (an increase of 33%)		1.1. Increase student participation in CTSO competitions/ events.		1.1. Aggregate and analyze the data every quarter to develop next steps	1.1. Log of number of CTSO events Log of number of students who attend CTSO events
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **CTE Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Establishing or growing a CTSO.	6-8	District	CTE Teachers	October, 2012	Log of events and attendance	CTE Contact Teacher

End of CTE Goal(s)

### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header: 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

	, p	,
School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		
Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Once the state has provided information, directions for how to upload the checklist will be posted on the School Improvement Icon.

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the use of SAC funds.			
Name and Number of Strategy from the School Improvement Plan	Description of Resources that improves student achievement or student engagement	Projected Amount	Final Amount

Reading Strategy 1.2. Common Core Reading Strategy Across all Content Areas Common Core Questions of all types and levels are necessary to scaffold students' understanding of complex text. Teachers need to understand and use higher-order, text-dependent questions at the word/phrase, sentence, and paragraph/ passage levels (Webb's, Bloom, Costas). Student reading comprehension improves	Paper to support Monday Literacy activities across all content areas	928.41	
when students are required to provide evidence to support their answers to text-dependent questions. Scaffolding of students' grappling with complex text through well-crafted text-dependent question assists students in discovering and achieving deeper understanding of the author's meaning. All content area teachers are responsible for implementation.			
Mathematics Strategy 1.2  Students' math skills will improve through the use of technology and hands-on activities to implement the Common Core State Standards. In addition, students will practice taking online assessments to prepare students for online state testing.	2 Elmo Document Projectors to display and discuss student work	1000.00	

Mathematics Strategy 1.1	School Improvement Coordinator Job Descritpion	1109.09	
Students' skills will improve through participation in lessons designed to increase knowledge of depth and rigor of content. Teachers will also use the DOE links to the NGSSS and CCSSM highlighting the depth and rigor of each of the benchmarks.  Leadership Team			
Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the Leadership Team/PLST monitors the effectiveness of instruction and intervention by reviewing student data as well as data related to student samples and observation			
Final Amount Spent			