FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Eustis Heights Elementary School	District Name: Lake
Principal: Douglas Kroulik	Superintendent: Dr. Susan Moxley
SAC Chair: Habeeb Shafeek	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Douglas Kroulik	Bachelor of Science degree from Bemidji State University and a Master of Science degree in Educational Leadership from Nova Southeastern University in Ft. Lauderdale	5	15	Principal, EHES: Grade C Reading Mastery 40%, Math mastery 46%, 29% Science mastery, Writing mastery 61%; Black, Economically Disadvantaged, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities did not meet AMOs in reading and Black, White, and Economically Disadvantaged did not meet AMOs in math
Assistant Principal	Brenna Burkhead	BS- Elementary Education, University of Central Florida; Master of Library and Information Science, University of South Florida; Certification- Educational Leadership Certification; University of Central Florida, School Principal Endorsement	2	7	AP, EHES: Grade C Reading Mastery: 40%, Math mastery: 46%, Science Mastery: 29%, Writing Mastery: 61%. Black, Economically Disadvantaged, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities did not meet AMOs in reading and Black, White, and Economically Disadvantaged did not meet AMOs in math.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math	Kim Jorgenson	Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education. Certification in Elem. Ed. and ESOL	1.5	9	Math Coach, Eustis Heights Elementary 2010-2011: Grade: Grade C Reading Mastery: 40%, Math mastery: 46%, Science Mastery: 29%, Writing Mastery: 61%. Black, Economically Disadvantaged, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities did not meet AMOs in reading and Black, White, and Economically Disadvantaged did not meet AMOs in math.
Literacy	Michelle Wiseman	BS- Specific Learning Disabilities K-12, University of Central Florida, MS- Reading K- 12, Nova Southeastern University, Reading Certification	.5	9	Literacy Coach, Eustis Heights Elementary 2011-2012: Grade: Grade C Reading Mastery: 40%, Math mastery: 46%, Science Mastery: 29%, Writing Mastery: 61%. Black, Economically Disadvantaged, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities did not meet AMOs in reading and Black, White, and Economically Disadvantaged did not meet AMOs in math.

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Eustis Heights Elementary offers a nurturing work	Principal,	Ongoing
environment and supports and offers a variety of	Assistant	
Professional development opportunities throughout the school year.	Principal (TQR),	
Five NBCT teachers and twelve Clinical Ed. Trained teachers serve	Instructional	
as mentors to assist as needed	Coach	

2. Administration uses Ventures for Excellence tool in hiring new	Principal, Assistant Principal	Ongoing
teachers.		
3.		
4.		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
56	9%	22%	31%	38%	45%	98%	14%	11%	98%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kerri Snovak	1 st year teacher	New teacher-Lead Teacher has taught same grade	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal
Kim Neas	1 st year teacher	New teacher- Inclusion Paired teacher	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal
Nancy Duncan	1 st year teacher	New teacher- NBCT teacher of same grade	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal
Kim Jorgensen	1 st year teacher	New teacher- Math coach	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal
Noel Harvey	Struggling teacher	Teacher in need of improvement-lead teacher	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal
Linda Bob	Teacher in needs of improvement	Teacher in need of improvement- ESE Specialist	BOY meet and greet, 1 month informal

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Eustis Heights Elementary is a school-wide Title I school. We receive federal Title I dollars to support our efforts. Ninety percent of the Title 1 dollars purchase four teaching positions and a teacher assistant that supplement reading, math, character development, and writing instruction for all subgroups and at risk students. The balance is used for parent involvement activities, to support a parent resource center and professional development. We have an in-house, all day Pre-K/VPK program and the Eustis community supports a Head Start program which feeds students into our school. Eighty-six percent of our students are on the "Free/Reduced" lunch program and we serve over 590 breakfast meals in the morning. We are a center that supports three ASD units, three ESE Pre-K units, two EBD units, two gifted units, and a one day a week K-2 county gifted unit. The district provides allocations for an Extended Learning Center which operates a before and after school program. SES services provide tutoring for our students; local agencies such as Boy/Girl Scouts, YMCA, and various private sponsors support enrichment activities for our students. Title I also funds a full time Literacy Coach and Family School Liaison.

In order to comply with the Differentiated Accountability Model the school will implement a state approved, evidenced based curriculum model and will adopt a school wide reform model based on the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.

Title I, Part C- Migrant: Were we to receive any migrant student(s) our plan of action would be the following: 1. Ensure students are receiving free breakfast and lunch; 2. Take a needs assessment of the student to include – Is the child on grade level?; Is the student age appropriate for the grade they are placed?; Are they reading, writing, and performing math on grade level?; Do they have fairly complete records from their previous school?; Do they have any health concerns?; Does the child qualify for ELL assistance?; 3. If the student is in jeopardy in any of the above needy areas, we will refer them for social work, put them on a high priority for intensive reading and/or math tutoring or in-class instruction, have a parent conference to make sure parent is knowledgeable of school expectations: 4. Notify the Title 1 office, specifically the Migrant Education Program Specialist to see if their program is already aware of the student and see how efforts between the school and the MEP can be coordinated.

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Services are provided through the district for educational materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Eustis Heights has an ELL coordinator to screen and monitor services for all ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals, etc.) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. New this year, Title I provides a Migrant/Homeless Advocate. Eustis Heights has a Homeless Liaison who coordinates with the District Homeless Social Worker to provide support and services for our homeless families. When we receive homeless students the homeless student liaison, our guidance counselor, has a protocol to follow that may include the following steps: 1. Ensure students are receiving free breakfast and lunch; 2. Take a needs assessment of the student to include the following; Is the child on grade level?; Are thay age appropriate for the grade they are placed?; Are they reading, writing, and performing math on grade level?; Do they have fairly complete records from their previous school?; Do they have any health concerns?; Do they have immediate concerns for their safety and well being?. 3. If the student is in jeopardy in any of the above needy areas, we will refer them for social work, put them on a high priority for intensive reading and/or math tutoring or in-class instruction, have a parent conference to make sure parent is knowledgeable of school expectations.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Supplemental Academic Instruction dollars will be used to assist with the instruction of at-risk students.
Violence Prevention Programs
Eustis Heights implements the Too Good for Violence program published by the Mendez Foundation.
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Head Start is a feeder program for our Kindergarten classes.
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

Douglas Kroulik, Principal; Brenna Burkhead, Asst. Principal; Kristin Svenson, Guidance Counselor; Michelle Wiseman Literacy Coach; Cheryl Parmelee, CRT; David Johnson, School Psychologist, Kim Jorgenson, Math Coach; Sherry Thorton, Social Worker; Linda Bob, ESE Specialist.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The MTSS team meets once a month to review data from ODR, attendance, academic and guidance related areas. Then members of the MTSS leadership team disseminate information to other pertinent teams, i.e. PBS, RtI and grade levels.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The MTSS leadership team reviews the school wide data, both behavioral and academic, to develop and implement the SIP. The team also reviews the progress monitoring data to make adjustments throughout the school year.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Tier 1: FAIR, LBA/mini-benchmarks, FCAT, class grades, ODR, attendance, PBS, CELLA, NNAT2, WriteScore; Tier 2/3: SIPPS, FCRR toolkit, TCA, Harcourt Intervention (Reading), Intervention modules and Soar to Success (Math). Assessments that do not have their own data management systems are processed through EduSoft.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Training for MTSS will be ongoing throughout the school year. Topics will include: RtI process, data analysis, DI, PBS and high yield strategies. The MTSS team will monitor fidelity of progress by CWT and reviews of documentation, i.e. lesson plans, RtI forms and student data.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Weekly data meetings will be conducted. Teachers in each grade level will meet with content area coaches. During meetings student data will be analyzed to determine student needs and formulate a plan of action. The plan will be implemented in the classroom and reviewed for effectiveness following FCIM.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Douglas Kroulik- Principal, Brenna Burkhead- Assistant Principal, Michelle Wiseman- Literacy Coach, Cheryl Parmelee- CRT, Peggy Picallo- Media Specialist, Grade Chairs: Amy Miller-K, Johanna Hinckley-1st, Whitney Bonner- 2nd, Tushena Scott- 3rd, Julie Schuler-4th, Mary Baker-5th, and Kimberly Neas-ESE

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The Literacy Leadership Team meets monthly to discuss Reading initiatives. This year's focus will be increasing the rigor in the core reading instruction. Topics will include: Text Complexity, Think Alouds, Modeling, DOK/ Higher Order Questioning, Close Reading and the Writing/Reading Connection. Also school wide initiatives such as AR, MyOn Reader, Being a Writer and Differentiated Instruction for All Learners (DIAL) time.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? DIAL and Being a Writer. DIAL time is built into the schedule for all grade levels. Within the DIAL time SIPPS (Systematic Instruction in Phonics, Phonemic Awareness and Sight Words) will be used for all students performing below expectations. We are receiving PD from Developmental Studies Center which will increase the fidelity of our implementation of SIPPS in grades 1st-5th.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

EHES works in conjunction with our local daycare and head start programs to arrange as day for visitations. Students are escorted around the school to become familiar with the enrichment programs provided, visit Kindergarten classrooms to get a look at their future teachers in action, and finally a visit to the cafeteria for a snack. This seems to reduce anxiety and increase excitement to promote a smoother transition. Eustis Heights also has a Title I Pre-K/VPK unit on site with a highly qualified teacher in addition to a paraprofessional that services student for a full day program.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1A: 2012 Current Level of We will increase our number of students scoring satisfactory in reading by 8 percentage points. 20%(50) at level 3	1A.1. Single focus instruction	IA.1. DI during reading block	1A.1. Administration with Literacy Coach assistance	1A.1. Increased use of DI as a result of literacy stations, Kagan, Thinking Maps and small group instruction driven by data	1A.1. Data collected during CWTs conducted by Admin and Coaches jointly.	
	1A.2. Lack of higher order questioning	1A.2. Focus on DOK ¾ Utilize task cards for HOQ	1A.2. Administration	1A.2. Increased higher order questioning evidenced by classroom walkthroughs	1A.2. TEAM evaluations / Instruction Look for checklist	
	1A.3. Needed professional development in instructional strategies	1A.3. Model classrooms Peer mentoring	1A.3. CRT	1A.3. Increase in participation both observing and modeling	1A.3. Teacher survey	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
N/A 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerica data for current level of level of performance in this box. this box.						
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvemen	student achiever ions," identify ar it for the followin	nent data and id define areas in ig group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance:* 20% (53) at level 25% (70) at level 4 or above			2A.1. Teacher expectations	2A.1. Deliberate Practice Plan	2A.1. Administration	2A.1. Growth in plan determined through observations	2A.1. TEAM
reading by 5 percentage points.			2A.2. Lack of relationship building	2A.2. Building classroom community through Kagan/Being a Writer	2A.2. CRT/Writing Coach/Literacy Coach/PBS Coach	2A.2. Increase in Attendance and decrease in behavior reports	2A.2. PBS data
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L	Assessment: evel 7 in read	Students ling.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		- -	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			3A.1. Students' lack of foundational skills	3A.1. Use of SIPPS with struggling readers. Additional PD and teacher	3A.1. Reading Resource Teachers Literacy Coach	3A.1. Student progression out of SIPPS groups	3A.1. SIPPS assessment tools
Reading Goal #3A: We will increase our number of students making learning gains in reading by 10 percentage points	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 58% (145) making learning gains	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 68% (191) making learning gains		support from the Developmental Studies Center.			
(88 students)			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le	Assessment arning gains	Percentage in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percer lowest 25% making low	ntage of stud earning gains	ents in s in reading.	4A.1. Students' lack of confidence about their ability to read with proficiency	4A.1. Students will improve self confidence through increased	4A.1. Administration	4A.1. Increased student confidence as evidenced through Data	4A.1. Student DATA chats Student DATA folders
Reading Goal #4A: We will increase our number of Lower 25% students making learning gains in reading by 10 percentage points.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 61% (37) of lower 25% making learning gains	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 71% (49) of lower 25% making learning gains		growth on scales confirming progress Student use of Thinking Maps		notebooks	
roomige point.			4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.
4B. Florida Alternate	Assessment	Percentage	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
of students in lowest 2 gains in reading. N/A	25% making	learning					
IN/A	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		-	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: We will increase our n satisfactory in reading 1	Baseline 2010-20 43% scoring sat umber of students 13 percentage point	data 011 s scoring ts to %53.	40% satisfactory	53 % Satisfactory	57% satisfactory	62% satisfactory	<mark>67%</mark> Satisfactory	72% satisfactory
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		nt data and define areas ubgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p Reading Goal #5B: We will increase our White students scoring satisfactory in reading by 18 percentage points We will increase our Black students scoring satisfactory in reading by 13 percentage points We will increase our Hispanic students scoring satisfactory in reading by 9 percentage points	by ethnicity (a, American Indi orogress in read 2012 Current 20 Level of Level of Level of Enter numerical End data for current dat level of exp performance in per this box. this White: 60% Wh (51) (76 Black: 20% Bla (15) (33 Hispanic: 36% His (15) (28 Asian: N/A Asian: N/A Indi	(White, ian) not ling. <u>13 Expected</u> <u>vel of</u> <u>rformance:*</u> <u>iter numerical</u> <u>ta for</u> <u>pected level of</u> <u>rformance in</u> <u>is box.</u> hite: 78% 6) ack: 33% 3) spanic: 45% 8) sian: N/A merican dian: N/A	5B.1. White: performance perception Black: Lack of foundational skills Hispanic: Access to reading materials in English language 5B.2.	 5B.1. White: Use of data to alter Teachers' belief that students are performing satisfactory Black: Use of SIPPS curriculum Hispanic: Increase use of Accelerated Reading Implementation of Thinking Maps 5B.2. 	5B.1. White: Literacy Coach Black: Reading Resource Teachers Hispanic: Media Specialist 5B.2.	 5B.1. White: Increase in students scoring satisfactory on progress monitoring tool. Black: Student progression out of SIPPS groups Hispanic: Increase in Hispanic students' STAR reading score 5B.2. 	5B.1. White: mini ben assessments Black: SIPPS as Hispanic: Accele STAR reading a 5B.2.	chmark sessment tools erated Reader/ ssessment
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		ELL) not eading.	5C.1. Ability to successfully use the English Language	5C.1. The use of Rosette Stone Software program	5C.1. ELL Paraprofessionals CRT	5C.1. Students will continue to progress in their use of the	5C.1. CELLA scores
Reading Goal #5C: We will increase our ELL	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		Utilize Thinking Maps		English language	
students scoring satisfactory in reading by 20 percentage points	18% (5) 0J ELL students at satisfactory	58% (8) of ELL students at satisfactory					
			5C.2. Parents inability to help at home because of language barrier	5C.2. Parent training with provided resources for checkout	5C.2. Family Liaison	5C.2. Increased attendance of ELL parents	5C.2. Sign-in sheet
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas ir need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	sabilities (SV progress in re	VD) not eading.	5D.1. Student testing anxiety	5D.1. Continuous reviews of test taking strategies and reassurance of	5D.1. ESE Specialist	5D.1. Decreased anxiety during practice tests and progress	5D.1. Teacher observation
Reading Goal #5D: We will increase our SWD	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		abilities.		monitoring	
students scoring at satisfactory in reading by 12 percentage points	11% (4) of SWD students at satisfactory	23% (10) of SWD students at satisfactory					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5E.1. Lack of parent support	5E.1. Provide morning tutoring for students not scoring satisfactory	5E.1. Administration Literacy Coach	5E.1. Student performance increase in progress monitoring	5E.1. Mini benchmark assessments FAIR	
Reading Goal #5E: We will increase our ED students scoring at satisfactory in reading by 12 percentage points	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 33% (73) of ED students at satisfactory	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 45%(100) of ED students at satisfactory		SIPPS instruction during DIAL	CRT Family School Liaison		
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities									
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
SIPPS Training	1-5	Consultant	1-5 grade teacher	October 2012	Site-based follow-up assistance	Reading Resource Teachers				
Thinking Maps	K-5	Consultant	K-5 grade teachers	September 2012	District support	CRT				
Common Core/Text Complexity	K-5	Literacy Coach	K-5	On going	District Support	Literacy Coach				
Kagan Training	K-5	Consultant	K-5 grade teachers	July 2012	District Coaching	CRT				

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded acti	vities/materials and exclude district funded a	activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Thinking Maps (see PD below)				
SIPPS (see PD below)				
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
10 iPads	Hardware and applications	SIG A	10,000.00	
				10,000.00
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Thinking Maps	Manuals & Consultant	Title I	10,000.00	
SIPPS	Manuals & Consultant	Title I	8,000.00	
				18,000.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Reading Support	2 paraprofessionals	Title I	54,000.00	
				54,000.00
				\$82,000.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition						
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: We will increase our ELL students scoring Proficient in Listening/Speaking by 5 percentage points. (33	1.1. Students' inability to speak and interpret English. <u>s</u>	1.1. Use of Rosette Stone Software Assistance from ELL Paraprofessional	1.1. CRT ELL Paraprofessional Classroom Teacher	1.1. Use of Rosette Stone software as evidenced through Classroom walkthroughs	1.1. Software Data availabe			
students)	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: We will increase our students scoring Proficient in Listening/Speaking by 5 percentage points. (16 students)	2.1. Students' anxiety to read English text.	 2.1. Increased use of Accelerated Reader program 2.2. 	 2.1. CRT Ell Paraprofessional Classroom teacher 2.2. 	2.1. Increase in ELL students' STAR reading score and Accelerated Reading points 2.2.	2.1. STAR Reading Assessment Accelerated Reader 2.2.			
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.			

Students write in English at g non-EL	grade level in a manner similar to L students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr	oficient in writing.	2.1. Students need to increase writing	2.1. Implement Being a Writer in the	2.1. Literacy Coach, classroom	2.1. Increase in ELL students' scores	2.1. Write Score
CELLA Goal #3: We will increase our students scoring Proficient in Listening/Speaking by 5 percentage points. (15	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 20% (15) of ELL students at Proficient.	and expressing ideas in English.	Classrooms Teachers Utilize task cards	teachers	on monthly writing prompts.	FCAT rubric EduSoft baseline Monthly Prompts
students)		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountIndext ConstructionIndext ConstructionIndext ConstructionIndext ConstructionIndext ConstructionSubtotalTechnologyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountRoseta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictAmountIPadsIpads applicationsDistrictIndext ConstructionSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotalConstructionSubtotalConstructionStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotal <td cols<="" th=""><th>Include only school-based funded activ</th><th>ities/materials and exclude district funded a</th><th>ctivities/materials.</th><th></th></td>	<th>Include only school-based funded activ</th> <th>ities/materials and exclude district funded a</th> <th>ctivities/materials.</th> <th></th>	Include only school-based funded activ	ities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.					
StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountInternational DevelopmentInternationalInternationalInternational	Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)								
IndexI	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountRosetta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictIndexIPadsIPads & applicationsDistrictIndexSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotalColspan="3">StrategyOherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotalSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalSubtotal <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
SubtaliTechnologyStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountRosetta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictIncomeIPadsIPads applicationsDistrictSubtatalProfessional DevelopmentStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountIncomeIncomeIncomeSubtatalOtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtatalOtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtatalSubtatalOtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceStrategyDescription of ResourcesStrategyDescription of ResourcesStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding Source									
TechnologyStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountRosetta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictIndext Context C	Subtotal:								
StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountRosetta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictImage SoftwareIPadsIPads & applicationsDistrictImage SoftwareSubtotalProfessional DevelopmentStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountSubtotalOtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesSubtotalOtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceSubtotalSubtotalSubtotalStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmount	Technology								
Rosetta StoneLanguage SoftwareDistrictIndext ConstraintsIPadsIPads & applicationsDistrictIndext ConstraintsSubtotal:Professional DevelopmentStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountIndext Colspan="3">Subtotal:Indext Colspan="3">Subtotal:Indext Colspan="3">Subtotal:OtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmount	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
IPadsIPads & applicationsDistrictInternational ContentProfessional DevelopmentStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountImage: Image: Im	Rosetta Stone	Language Software	District						
Subtoble Professional Development Funding Source Amount Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Subtoble Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Subtoble Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount	IPads	IPads & applications	District						
Professional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Image: Strategy Image: Strategy Image: Strategy Image: Strategy Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount				Subtotal:					
StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountImage: StrategyImage: Strategy	Professional Development								
Image: state s	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
Image: constraint of the second se									
Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount									
Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount				Subtotal:					
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount	Other								
	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
ELL ParaprofessionalBilingual supportDistrict Allocation20,000.00	ELL Paraprofessional	Bilingual support	District Allocation	20,000.00					
Subtotal:\$20,000.00				Subtotal:\$20,000.00					
Total:\$20,000.00									

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	Iathematic	s Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	f student achieven tions," identify an it for the following	ment data and nd define areas in ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	nts scoring a	t	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Achievement Level 3	in mathema	tics.	Inexperienced teachers	Coaching and Modeling Assistance	Math Coach	Coaching cycle classroom walk through observations	Instructional Look For Checklist	
Mathematics Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				Student Data	Mini benchmark assessment	
We will increase our number of students scoring at satisfactory in	26% (50) at satisfactory	31% (84) at satisfactory						
math by 5 percentage points (73 students)			1A.2. Time/classroom management needed for small group instruction	1A.2. Implement use of math centers to assist with time management	IA.2. Math Coach/ Administrative team	1A.2. Small groups occurring during math time resulting in increased student scores	1A.2. Instructional Look For Checklist Mini benchmark assessments	
			1A.3. Student engagement	1A.3. Implementation of Thinking Maps and Kagan Structures	1A.3. CRT Math Coach	1A.3. Increased engagement observed through CWTs	1A.3. Instructional Look For Checklist	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	e Assessment , and 6 in ma	: Students thematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	athematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Studen Achievement Level 3	nts scoring at in mathematics.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1A:	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	data for current data for expected level of level of performance in this box.						
	nno 5041 - mio 5041	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5,	Assessment: Students and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1B:	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Studen Achievement Levels 4 <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #2A: We will increase our number of students scoring above satisfactory in math	nts scoring at and 5 in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 19% (25) scoring at or above 4	t or above thematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 21% (59) scoring at or above 4	2A.1. High order questioning	2A.1. On site professional development on higher order questioning as well as Coaching Cycle with targeted teachers Training in 8 mathematical strategies and implementation of task cards	2A.1. Math Coach /Administrative team	2A.1. Higher order questioning strategies evidenced by classroom walkthroughs	2A.1. Instructional Look for checklist TEAM
by 2 percentage points (53 students)			2A.2. Struggle with change in math pedagogy	2A.2. Coaching Cycle with targeted teachers	2A.2. Math Coach	2A.2. Change in pedagogy evidenced by classroom walkthroughs	2A.2. Instructional Look for checklist TEAM
			2A.3. Lack of STEM knowledge/resources	2A.3 Professional development through videos/activities provided by math coach	2A.3. Math Coach	2A.3. STEM activities evidenced by classroom walkthroughs	2A.3. Instructional Look for checklist
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L	Assessment: evel 7 in mat	Students hematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percer learning gains in mat Mathematics Goal #3A: We will increase our number of students making learning gains in math by	entage of students making thematics.2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*69% (172) gmaking learning gains79% (221) making learning gains		3A.1. Students' lack of foundation concepts	3A.1. Math center activities based on foundational concepts with differentiation when needed Math Club/Tiger Tutoring provided for level 1 and 2 students	3A.1. Math Coach	3A.1. Student performance increase in progress monitoring	3A.1. Mini benchmark assessments
10 percentage points.		-	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics.	Assessment: arning gains	Percentage in	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics			4A.1. Students' lack of foundation concepts	4A.1. Math Club/Tiger Tutoring provided for level 1 and 2 students	4A.1. Math Coach and Tutoring Teacher	4A.1. Student performance increase in progress monitoring	4A.1. Think Central Data
Mathematics Goal #4A: We will increase our number of Lower 25% students making learning	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 75% (46) of Lower 25% making learning gains.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 80% (56) of Lower 25% making learning gains		Use of Thinking Maps to develop and process mathematical foundational concepts			
gains in math by 5 percentage points.	-		4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.
4 B. Florida Alternate of students in lowest 2 gains in mathematics	4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning rains in methametics		4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
Mathematics Goal #4B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>44% scoring satisfactory</u>	46 % satisfactory	53% satisfactory	58% satisfactory	63% satisfactory	<mark>67%</mark> satisfactory	72% satisfactory
Mathematics Goal #54 We will increase our numb satisfactory by7 percentage	<u>A:</u> er of students scoring at points to 53% (149 students)						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quess need of improvement	f student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
5B. Student subgroug Black, Hispanic, Asiar making satisfactory I <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5B:</u> We will increase our White	5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: #5B: Wa will increase our White		5B.1. White: Professional development on higher order questioning. Modeling by math coach	5B.1. White: Math Coach	5B.1. White: Higher order questioning evidenced by classroom walkthroughs and student performance increase in progress monitoring.	5B.1. White: Instructio Checklist Mini benchmark	onal Look for
students scoring satisfactory in math by 8 percentage points We will increase our Black students scoring satisfactory in math by 8 percentage points We will increase our Hispanic students scoring satisfactory in math by 3 percentage points to exceed AMO	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Level of performance in this box.White: 67%White: 75%(56)(73) Black: 28%Black: 36%(24)(36)Hispanic: 47%Hispanic: 47%(25)(29)Asian: N/AAmericanIndian: N/AIndian: N/A	Black: Lack of problem solving strategies	Black: STEM based classroom activities	Black: Math Coach	Black: STEM activities evidenced by classroom walkthroughs and student performance increase in progress monitoring	Black: Instructic Checklist Mini benchmark	onal Look for assessments
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	1		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not	5C.1. Language barrier	5C.1. Spanish delivery of math	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		curriculum software when available	ELL paraprofessional	Technology software data	Success maker data
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected #5C: Level of Level of Performance:*			Technology contact	compared to progress monitoring data	Think Central data Mini benchmark assessments
AMO Target Made	-				
	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5D.1. Student testing anxiety	5D.1. Continuous reviews of test taking strategies and reassurance of	5D.1. ESE Specialist	5D.1. Decreased anxiety during practice tests and progress	5D.1. Teacher observation
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected #5D: Level of Level of Performance:*		abilities.		monitoring	
AMO Target Made	-				
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1. Lack of parent knowledge and	5E.1. Family math night to strengthen	5E.1. Math Coach	5E.1. Parent survey on usefulness of	5E.1. Attendance sheets/surveys
Mathematics Goal #5E: We will increase our SWD students scoring at	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 42% (94) of ED students at satisfactory	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 48% (107) of ED students at satisfactory	support	parent ability to support Resources available for check out	Family School Liaison	program Increased amount in resource check outs	Check out forms
sansfactory in main by 6 percentage points			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding Ques need of improveme	f student achiever tions," identify ar nt for the followir	nent data and nd define areas in ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	e Assessment: , and 6 in ma	: Students thematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	nts scoring at	or above	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Achievement Levels	4 and 5 in ma	thematics.					
Mathematics Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L	e Assessment: /evel 7 in matl	Students hematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perce learning gains in mat	entage of students making thematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal <u>#3A:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*Enter numericalEnter numericaldata for currentdata for expectedlevel ofperformance inthis box.this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics.	e Assessment: Percentage earning gains in	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Anticipated Barrier	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	
sistore for Molinoring Effectiveness of Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring			nt for the following group:	need of improvemen
4A.1. 4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	ntage of students in	4A. FCAT 2.0: Percent
				earning gains in	lowest 25% making lo
					mathematics.
				2012 Current 2013 Expected	Mathematics Goal
				Performance:* Performance:*	<u>#4A:</u>
				Enter numerical Enter numerical	Enter narrative for the
				aata for current aata for expected level of level of	goal in this box.
				performance in performance in this box	
4A.2. 4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	mis box. mis box.	
44.3	443	443	443		
	TI 1.0.	TI 1.5.	TT 1.5.		
(D.1	4D 1	4D 1	4D 1		
4B.1. 4B.1.	4B.I.	4B.I.	4В.1.	e Assessment: Percentage	4B. Florida Alternate
				25% making learning	or students in lowest a
				2012 Current 2013 Expected	Mathematics Goal
				Level of Level of	#4B:
				Performance:* Performance:*	
				data for current data for expected	Enter narrative for the
				level of level of	goui in inis oor.
				this box. this box.	
4B.2. 4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.		
4B.3. 4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.		
4A.2. 4A.2. 4A.3. 4A.3. 4B.1. 4B.1. 4B.2. 4B.2. 4B.3. 4B.3.	4A.2. 4A.3. 4B.1. 4B.2. 4B.3.	4A.2. 4A.3. 4B.1. 4B.2. 4B.3.	4A.2. 4A.3. 4B.1. 4B.2. 4B.2.	data for current level of performance in this box. data for expected level of performance in this box. data for expected this box. data for expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected Level of performance in this box. data for current level of performance in this box.	4B. Florida Alternate goal in this box. 4B. Florida Alternate of students in lowest 2 gains in mathematics Mathematics Goal #4B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #57 Enter narrative for the goa	Baseline dat	a 2010-2011						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Hispanic: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: Asian: Asian: Asian: American Initian: Initian:		5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Languag	5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
making satisfactory	progress in m	athematics.					
Mathematics Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis o reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvemen	of student achiever stions," identify ar t for the following	nent data and ad define areas in subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Di making satisfactory	isabilities (SW progress in m	D) not athematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	student achievement data and ions," identify and define areas in for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
--	--	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------	
5E. Economically Dis making satisfactory p	advantaged students not progress in mathematics.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box. this box.						
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier n	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in performance in this box.	l d				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Level of Enter numerical Level o	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achieven tions," identify an nt for the followin	ment data and nd define areas in ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate A students making lear mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: 1 ning gains in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Percentage of 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achieven tions," identify an nt for the following	ment data and nd define areas in ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate A students in lowest 25 th in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: 1 % making lest 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Percentage of arning gains 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	4.1.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.	4.1. 4.2.
			4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
			4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical level of performance in performance in this box. Enter numerical level of level of performance in this box.	T d				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical level of level of performance in performance in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical evel of level of performance in this box.	T d				
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data	2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:								
Enter narrative for the goa	d in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		nt data and define areas in bgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
3B. Student subgrou Black, Hispanic, Asia making satisfactory Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ps by ethnicity (n, American Indi progress in Alge 2012 Current 20 Level of Le Performance:* Pe Enter numerical Endata for current data for current data level of level of performance in performance in Black: Black: Hispanic: Hi Asian: As American Ar Indian: Indian:	(White, ian) not ebra 1. <u>113 Expected</u> <u>rebrance:*</u> <u>inter numerical</u> <i>ta for expected</i> <i>vel of</i> <i>rformance in</i> <i>is box.</i> hite: ack: spanic: sian: merican dian:	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achieven tions," identify an for the following	nent data and id define areas in subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Languag	ge Learners (I	ELL) not	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
making satisfactory j	progress in A	lgebra 1.					
Algebra 1 Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achieven tions," identify an for the following	nent data and id define areas in subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Di making satisfactory ₁	sabilities (SW progress in A	D) not lgebra 1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier in	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disa making satisfactory pr	dvantaged students n ogress in Algebra 1.	t ^{3E.1.}	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. L d d le p th	012 Current 2013 Expected .evel of Level of Performance:* Performance Inter numerical Enter numerical ata for current data for expected evel of level of erformance in performance his box. this box.	i⊥ ⊫ al n				
		3E.2. 3E.3.	3E.2. 3E.3.	3E.2. 3E.3.	3E.2. 3E.3.	3E.2. 3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry	y EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in at for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<u>Geometry Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of performance in performance in performance in this box. this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvemen	student achievement data and tions," identify and define areas in the for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 in Geo	t or above Achievement ometry.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box. this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A:	Baseline data 2011-20	12				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achievement data and tions," identify and define are for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgrou Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory <u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ps by ethnicity (White, n, American Indian) not progress in Geometry. 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expec Level of Performance:* Performance Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical evel of Performance: N Performance Black: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American American Indian: Indian:	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: ::* iccal in	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Languag	e Learners (I	ELL) not	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
making satisfactory j	making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improvement	f student achiever tions," identify ar for the following	nent data and id define areas in subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Di making satisfactory ₁	sabilities (SW progress in G	D) not eometry.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas ir need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this here in	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this here in					
	uns 004.	<i>aus 00x</i> .	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profes	sional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies th	hrough Professional Lo	earning Community (PLC) o	r PD Activities
		_	Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.	
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., early release) g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Thinking Maps	K-5	Consultant	K-5 Grade teachers	September 2012	District Support	CRT
Kagan	K-5	Consultant	K-5 Grade teachers	July 2012	District Coaching	CRT
STEM	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Teachers	On going	District Support	Math Coach
Higher Order	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Teachers	On going	CWTs	Administrative Team

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district funde	d activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s))/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			S	ubtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			S	ubtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Kagan	Training & manuals	SIG A	15,000.00	
			Subtotal:\$1	5,000.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Math Coach	Personnel	Title I	75,000.00	
			Subtotal:\$7	5,000.00
			Total:\$9	0,000.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and I	Middle Sci	ence Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	ents scoring a	t	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1	1A.1.	1A.1.
Achievement Level 3	in science. 2012 Current	2013 Expected	Teachers lack of knowledge in Scientific process/STEM	Professional development in STEM activities	Math Coach CRT.	STEM activities evidenced by classroom walkthroughs	Instructional Look for checklist
We will increase our number of student scoring at satisfactory in science by 16 percentage points	Level of Performance:* 29% (72) of students at satisfactory	Level of Performance:* 45% (126) of students at satisfactory	Students lack of foundational knowledge	Use of Thinking Maps to help students develop foundational and HOQ skills		Increased student performance on progress monitoring assessments	Mini benchmark assessments
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	Assessment , and 6 in sci	: Students ence.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3 <i>.</i>	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas i need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	nts scoring a	t or above	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Achievement Levels	4 and 5 in sci	ence.	Higher Order processing	STEM club	STEM Sponsor	Performance in STEM activities	Benchmark assessments
Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of		Use of Task Cards Question Stems		resulting in increased student performance on progress monitoring assessment	
We will increase our	Performance:*	Performance:*				monitoring assessment	
above satisfactory in science by 8 percentage	above satisfactory	above satisfactory					
points			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L	e Assessment evel 7 in scie	Students nce.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Science Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected					
	level of	level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		-	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High Schoo	ol Science Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis o reference to "Guiding Ques need of improveme	f student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas in ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	Assessment: Students 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current lata for expected level of evel of performance in performance in this box. this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques need of improveme	f student achievement data, and stions", identify and define areas in ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate scoring at or above I Science Goal #2:	Assessment: Students Level 7 in science.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box. this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in this box.	1							
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.			
Biology 1 Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical for the goal in this box. Enter numerical for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical for current level of performance in this box.								
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.			

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	sional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t	hrough Professional I require a professional development	Learning Comm	nunity (PLC) (or PD Activity			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Science Tool kits	4	District Coach	4 th Grade	Oct 2012	Use of Kits in	classrooms	CRT, Math Coach			
Scientific Process	K-5	District Coach	k-5 Grade teachers	Nov 2012	Science Fa	ir Project	CRT			
STEM activities	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Grade teachers	On going	Use of Activities	in classrooms	Math Coach			
Science Budget (In	nsert rows as	needed)								
Include only school-b	ased funded ac	tivities/materials	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.						
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s)								
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
Science Fair		Materials		Title I		1,000.00				
	Subtotal:\$1,000.00									
Technology										
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
Professional Developm	nent									
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
Other										
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
							Total:\$1,000.00			
End of Science Goal	ls									

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writi	ing Goals			Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvement	student achieven tions," identify an it for the following	ment data and nd define areas in ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT: Students Level 3.0 and higher <u>Writing Goal #1A:</u> We will increase our students scoring at satisfactory in writing by 34 percentage points	scoring at A in writing. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 61% (51) of students at satisfactory	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 95% (79) of students at satisfactory	1A.1. Students lack of writing foundational skills	1A.1. Being a Writer Curriculum	1A.1. Literacy Coach Writing Instructor	1A.1. Students' increase in skills through implementation of Being a Writer evidenced through classroom walkthroughs.	1A.1. Instructional Look for Checklist
		-	1A.2. Student organizational skills	1A.2. Thinking Maps	1A.2. CRT	1A.2. Students' improvement in the ability to organize evidenced through classroom walkthroughs.	1A.2. Instructional Look for Checklist
			1A.3. Teachers' expectations	1A.3. Anchor Set Training	1A.3. Literacy Coach	1A.3. Teachers holding students to higher expectations in writing evidenced through an increase of student scores determined by Write Score	1A.3. Write Score Edusoft Baseline FCAT Anchor set Monthly Prompt FCAT Calibration Guide
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at 4 or higher	e Assessment r in writing.	: Students	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
		_	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.	-	
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Being A Writer	1-5	Writing Coach	School Wide	August 2012	Writing Data Meetings	Literacy Coach	
Thinking Maps	K-5	Consultant	School Wide	September 2012	District support	CRT	
Anchor Set Training	4 th	Literacy Coach	4 th Grade	October 2012	Write Score Comparison	Literacy Coach	

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	ded activities/materials and exclude district for	unded activities/materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
Being a Writer	Consultant Materials	Title I & SIG A	10,000.00				
			Subtotal:\$10,000.00				
Technology							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
			Subtotal:				
Professional Development							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
			Subtotal:				
Other							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
Writing Coach	Personnel	Title I	70,000.00				
	Subtotal:\$70,000.00						

End of Writing Goals

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

60

Total:\$80,000.00

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics	EOC Goals			Problem-Solving	g Process to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding Que need of improvem	of student achievem estions," identify and ent for the following	ent data and 1 define areas in g group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.		t Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical lata for expected level of performance in his box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding Que need of improvem	of student achievem estions," identify and ent for the following	ent data and l define areas in g group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Ci	at or above Acl vics.	nievement	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected evel of evel of performance in his box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does no	t require a professional developme	ent or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	unded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:

End of Civics Goals

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011 Total:

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. Histo	ory EOC Go	als		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis o reference to "Guiding Ques need of improveme	f student achievem stions," identify and ent for the following	ent data and d define areas in g group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring a U.S. History.	t Achievemen	t Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.							
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		
Based on the analysis o reference to "Guiding Ques need of improveme	f student achievem stions," identify and ent for the following	ent data and d define areas in g group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in U.S	it or above Acl 5. History.	hievement	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
U.S. History Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.							
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

U.S. History Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
		_	Please note that each Strategy does not	t require a professional developm	ent or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible Monitoring									

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ded activities/materials and exclude district fu	unded activities /materials.		
terials(s)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
	ded activities/materials and exclude district futerials(s) Description of Resources Description of Resources	ded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. terials(s) Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source	ded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. tetrials(s) Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Intervention

End of U.S. History Goals

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011 Total:

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)				Problem-solvin	g Process to Increase	Attendance	
Based on the analysis of a "Guiding Questions," ider impr	Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: We will increase our	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*	1.1. Lack of Parent Support Lack of resources	1.1. Parent education and training through open communication and home visits.	1.1. Guidance Counselor School Social Worker Family School Liaison	1.1. Increased attendance data Decrease in excessive tardies data	1.1. AS400 reports
attendance by assuring that parents are contacted when absences or tardies are excessive.	93.833% attendance rate 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 6.23% of students have excessive absences 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.	95% attendance rate 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 4% of students with excessive absences 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.	Lack of reporting by teachers	Clear school plan for reporting	Guidance Counselor	Increased reporting forms resulting in decreased absences and tardies	School reporting logs AS400 reports
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
		_	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)							
Include only school h	asad fundad act	tivities/motorial	and avalua district funded a	ctivities (motorials			

menude only school-based i	unded activities/materials and exclude district in	unueu activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/I	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· ·		Subtotal:
				Total:
June 2012				

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Sus	pension Goal(s)		Problem-solv	ring Process to De	ecrease Suspension	
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: We will decrease our number of suspensions by 5 percent and increase in school suspension to support academics.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions 8 total in school suspensions 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 8 students receiving in	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 15 total in school suspensions 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School 10 students receiving	 1.1. Student lack of motivation Teachers' inconsistency of PBS school wide discipline plan 	1.1. Check –in/Check-out PBS coaching, and analyzing PBS data	1.1. PBS Coach Check-in/Check out coach PBS Team PBS coach/team	1.1. Students' graduation from the Check-in/out program A decrease in the number of students receiving referrals	1.1. PBS monitoring tool AS400 reports
	school suspension 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions 149 out-of-school suspensions 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School 98 students receiving out-of-school suspension	n school suspension 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 130 out-of-school suspensions 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 50 students receiving out-of-school suspension	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Profes	sional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not	hrough Professional L require a professional development	Learning Comn	nunity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Suspension Budge	et (Insert row	s as needed)					
Include only school-ba	ased funded act	tivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s	s)					
Strategy		Description of Resources		Funding Source		Amount	
				·			Subtotal:
Technology							
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Other							
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
				1		1	Subtotal:
							Total:

End of Suspension Goals
Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)				Problem-solv	ing Process to D	ropout Prevention	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention	n		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school	Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box. 2012 Current Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box. 2013 Expected Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
year.			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	unded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)				Problem-solv	ing Process to Pa	arent Involvement	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: We will increase the number of parents working with their pre- school children in preparation for school readiness.	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:* O% of parents working in conjunction with school on effort	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:* 5% of parents working in conjunction with school on efforts	 1.1. Parents' lack of knowledge Parents' lack of trust Parents' lack of confidence 	 1.1. Provide 6 parent nights in community to provide training and resources on pre-readiness strategies for entering kindergarten 	1.1. Family School Liaison CRT Assistant Principal Pre-K chair	1.1. Increase in initial kindergarten assessments	1.1. FAIR FLKRS
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible Monitoring								

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funde	ed activities/materials and exclude district fu	unded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	rials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
				Subtotal:			
Technology							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
				Subtotal:			
Professional Development							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
				Subtotal:			
Other							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
Family Curriculum Nights	Materials and meals	Title I					
				Subtotal:\$5,785.00			
	Total:\$5,785.00						

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<u>STEM Goal #1:</u> We will implement county guidelines for becoming a STEM school.	1.1. Making sure all elements are completed	1.1. Tracking of Wiley's Warm-ups Science Fair STEM activities Family Science/Math night Science tool kits STEM bowl participation	1.1. STEM sponsor Math Coach CRT	1.1. Being Awarded as a STEM school	1.1. District personnel	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

STEM Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
		_	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.	-		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							
Science Tool kits	4	District Coach	4 th Grade	Oct 2012	Use of Kits in classrooms	CRT, Math Coach		
Scientific Process	K-5	District Coach	k-5 Grade teachers	Nov 2012	Science Fair Project	CRT		
STEM activities	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Grade teachers	On going	Use of Activities in classrooms	Math Coach		

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fu	inded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Res Monitoring									

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	inded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of CTE Goal(s)				

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Enter narrative for the goal in							
this box.	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fu	inded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · · · ·		· ·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			· · · · ·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$82,000.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total:\$20,000.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$90,000.00
Science Budget	
	Total:\$1,000.00
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$80,000.00
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total·
CTF Budget	i oturi.
	Tatalı
Additional Cools	10(a).
	(T) ()
	Total:

Grand Total:\$273,000.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Create add hoc committee to create goals to be completed in time for state submission.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Teacher requested Grants	2,089.14

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1