

UNISIG APPLICATION

01 - Alachua



Karen Clarke, Superintendent

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the UniSIG Application	3
Part I: Eligibility and Program Requirements	4
Eligible Schools and Allocations	4
Assurances	4
Supports for School Improvement	5
Part II: Needs Assessment	0
Problem Identification	0
Problem Analysis	0
Part III: District Problem Solving	0
Goals Summary	0
Goals Detail	0
Action Plan for Improvement	0
Implementation Timeline	0
Professional Development Summary	0
Technical Assistance Summary	0
Part IV: Budget	0

Purpose and Outline of the UniSIG Proposal

Under section 1003 of Title I of the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, each state educational agency (SEAs) shall reserve funds for school improvement, no less than 95 percent of which shall be used to make grants to local educational agencies (LEAs) on a formula or competitive basis, to serve schools implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or targeted support and improvement activities under section 1111(d).

For 2017-18, Unified School Improvement Grants (UniSIG) will be awarded on a formula basis. Eligible applicants are local educational agencies (LEAs) with funded Title I schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as well as LEAs with high schools, Title I or non-Title I, that have a four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate of 67 percent or less, regardless of the school grade earned.

Part I: Eligibility and Program Requirements

The district reviews the list of eligible schools and allocations and ensures the requirements of UniSIG are met by providing assurances and summaries as applicable.

Part II: Needs Assessment

For each eligible school, the district demonstrates it has completed a thorough analysis of state-, district-, and school-level data (qualitative and quantitative) in order to identify points of strength and opportunities for growth to inform strategic goal formulation.

Part III: District Problem Solving

The district documents one or more strategic goals for improving the identified schools, anticipates barriers to the goals, identifies strategies to reduce or eliminate the barriers, and creates an implementation plan for each strategy to include both action steps and monitoring activities.

Part IV: Budget

The district incorporates a budget that indicates the amount of UniSIG funds the district will use in each eligible school. Each budget line item, except indirect costs, is directly tied to an action step identified in Part III.

LEA Eligibility and Program Requirements

Eligible LEA and Allocations

Eligible applicants are local educational agencies (LEAs) with funded Title I schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement (CS&I) or targeted support and improvement (TS&I). This includes LEAs with high schools, Title I or non-Title I, that have a four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate of 67 percent or less, regardless of the school grade earned.

Funding amounts are calculated based on the most recently released school grades and Survey 3 preliminary data containing student enrollment. The per-pupil allocation also takes into account the percent of economically disadvantaged students.

LEAs will complete a **UniSIG application** within the CIMS platform located at www.floridacims.org. The UniSIG application will be prepopulated with a list of eligible schools and allocations.

School ID	School Name	Allocation
0071 *	Lake Forest Elementary School	\$214,930.38
0111 *	Joseph Williams Elementary School	\$284,422.88
0321 *	Idylwild Elementary School	\$343,987.88
Total School Allocations		\$843,341.14
District Grant Administration		\$44,386.39
Total District Allocation		\$887,727.53

LEA Assurances

Request for Applications

We have read the Request for Applications (RFA) in its entirety and understand the intent and requirements of the Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) program.

YES

General Terms, Assurances, and Conditions

We understand the LEA must have a signed statement by the agency head certifying applicant adherence to FDOE's General Terms, Assurances, and Conditions for Participation in Federal and State Programs.

YES

Risk Analysis

We understand the LEA must have a Risk Analysis (DOE 610) on file with the Florida Department of Education in order to apply for UniSIG.

YES

Supplement, not Supplant

We understand that each school the LEA will serve must receive all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of UniSIG funds.

YES

Allocation to Schools

We understand the LEA must allocate 100 percent of funds (less indirect costs) generated under UniSIG to the eligible schools.

YES

Alignment of Strategies

We understand strategies to be implemented under this program must be aligned with Florida's State Board of Education Strategic Plan.

YES

Alignment of Schoolwide Improvement Plans (SIP)

We understand each strategy to be funded under this program must be identified as an **Activity**, with associated budget lines for each school to be served.

YES

Greatest Need

We understand programs, activities, or strategies to be implemented must specifically address the academic achievement of schools and students that demonstrate the greatest need.

YES

Instructional Programs

We understand evidence-based instructional programs must be implemented.

YES

Extended Learning Time

We understand that extended learning time activities required of an elementary school designated as one of Florida's lowest performing must not be funded through UniSIG.

YES

Deliverables and Monitoring

We understand deliverables will be monitored quarterly by the LEA for each school site funded through UniSIG. The LEA must monitor deliverables and be prepared to submit them to the Bureau of School Improvement (BSI) upon request. BSI reserves the right to do desktop or on-site monitoring of each school site and LEA.

YES

Supports for School Improvement**Improvement Planning**

Describe how the LEA will support each school identified as Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) in developing and implementing a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP).

The LEA provides technical assistance for all administrators who serve our CS&I schools via two hour meeting at our district office. The LEA will also schedule regular school visits at the request of school administrators to work with school improvement teams.

Alignment of Resources

Describe the process through which the LEA identifies and aligns all district resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular, policy) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs to align to interventions in Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained, and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The LEA provides Tiered Support to schools based on the needs of their students. District resources are allocated and support is deployed so that the schools with the highest needs also receive the highest level of support in terms of personnel, curriculum support, and professional development. Data based problem solving is used for the implementation and monitoring of our School-wide Improvement Plans. This includes funding an FCIM Instructional Coach at all Title I schools who are part of the school based leadership team which analyzes student data with the purpose of making instructional decisions to meet the needs of students. These school-based teams develop intervention groups for individual or small-group instruction. School leadership teams, along with district support, collect and analyze student data, conduct Data Chats, develop plans for professional development and coaching support for teachers and school leaders. Teachers are supported with standards based, data driven planning during collaborative team professional learning sessions. Educational Planning Team meetings are held to review and discuss students' progress monitoring plans, problem solve and make decisions using a multi-tiered system of supports. The school administrative team, with support from the Curriculum Division staff, provide continuous support with monitoring and implementation of School-wide Improvement Plans during onsite visits, classroom walk-throughs, and professional development activities. FCIM Instructional Coaches receive focused, needs based training facilitated by the Title I Department that include experts from other district departments.

Support for interventions at each high-needs school is coordinated at the district level. Additional resources are provided to schools on a need and eligibility basis from federal sources including Title I, part A; Title II-A; and Title III. These funds and resources are used, in accordance with program guidelines, to support the purchase of supplementary curriculum materials, provide instructional support personnel, and teacher training. Title II-A funds curriculum coaches and subject-area specialists, teacher mentors, and direct teacher training. High-need schools receive priority for Title I-A funding and for support personnel. Additional staffing and support for curriculum programs are provided by district curriculum specialists. Each school is provided with funding for school-based staff development that is coordinated at the district level to assure alignment with school-wide improvement goals and objectives.

District Policies and Practices

Identify specific policies and practices the LEA shall seek to add, modify, or remove in order to establish or strengthen systems that support school-based leadership teams to implement interventions. Provide the rationale for the proposed changes and the steps required to make the modifications, including person(s) responsible for implementation and follow-up.

The district will negotiate with the Collective Bargaining Unit and develop MOU's to establish policies and practices. This will include, but is not limited to: Extended Day compensation, Incentive Pay, Bonus Pay, and any policies and practices for addressing ineffective teachers and staff. Principals have the autonomy to make curriculum and

instructional decisions in order to meet the needs of their students. The district turnaround lead is responsible for implementation and follow up.

Operational Flexibility

Provide the LEA's definition of "operational flexibility" provided to Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools to enable full and effective implementation of the Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP).

Schools across the district are provided instructional units sufficient to meet class-size requirements and based on a standard district-wide allocation formula. The basic instructional unit allocation formula applies to CS&I and TS&I schools; however additional "flexible" staffing units will also be provided. The additional teacher units may be scheduled to best meet the needs of the school and will provide added flexibility in scheduling, staffing, and may be used to provide additional intensive remediation, to eliminate multi-age/multigrade combination classes, and/or further reduce class size. Each principal of a DA school is given complete flexibility in staffing his/her school with the most qualified personnel. Additional funding is provided to all high-need schools through federal programs (Title I) and district resources. Principals are given budgetary flexibility within federal program guidelines.

External Partners

Describe the LEA's rigorous review process to recruit, screen, select, and evaluate any external partners with whom the LEA will partner.

The LEA currently has no plans to collaborate with an External Partner.

Dissemination

Provide the methods for dissemination of this application to stakeholders (i.e., students, families, school staff and leadership, and local businesses and organizations) and describe the methods and timelines for reporting student progress, including how communication is provided in a parent-friendly, understandable format, and in multiple languages, if necessary.

Information about the LEA's Title I Plan/UniSIG Plan will be disseminated and marketed through the LEA's Title I webpage once approved by the Bureau of Federal Programs. Information regarding the District and School level plans will be discussed at School Advisory Council (SAC) meetings which take place monthly from September through May. The complete plan will be made available and housed in each school's Parent and Family Resource Area Notebooks after approval so that families, staff, and community members may have access. They will also be posted on each school's website and made available to individuals in print version as requested. School grades will be made available to families and published in local news media. The Management Information System (MIS) will generate information to families. Information will also through daily internet access and the local media.