Florida Department of Education



1

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:	Jesse J. McCrary, Jr. Elementary School	District Name: Dade
Principal:	Maria Calvet-Cuba	Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho
SAC Chair: Rosena 1	Norelus	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Maria E. Calvet-Cuba	Elementary Ed., Exceptional Student Education, M.S. Ed. Leadership	3	11	School Grades AYP High Standards-Reading High Standards-Math Lrng Gains-Reading Lrng Gains- Math Gains-R 25 Gains-M-25	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 C C C B A B C n/a N N N Y N Y 29 37 40 68 64 63 63 44 65 56 67 70 55 60 75 51 62 69 65 68 54 61 64 69 62 72 60 54 82 50 62 61 53 71 54 77 69 67 64 79 65 n/a
Assistant Principal	Claude Rivette	Bachelors: Rutgers University- Major: Biology Minor: Chemistry Masters: Barry University- Educational Leadership	1	2	School Grade: AYP Met?: Reading Mastery: Math Mastery: Science Mastery: Reading Gains: Math Gains: Reading Lowest 25%: Math Lowest 25%:	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 C I, D, D, F, F, D n/a N, N, N, N, N, N 44 37, 21, 24, 20, 20, 20 44 72, 55, 56, 45, 43, 46 23 35, 20, 21, 22, 18, n/a 61 47, 38, 45, 39, 41, 44 61 76, 70, 73, 62, 63, 67 75 46, 41, 54, 47, 53, 53 68 77, 71, 77, 66, 66, n/a

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)		
Reading	Christine A. Rodriguez	Elementary Ed, ESOL	3	5	School Grades AYP High Standards-Reading High Standards-Math Lrng Gains-Reading Lrng Gains- Math Gains-R 25 Gains-M-25	'12 ' 11 '10 '08 '00 '07 '06 C C C C C A A A N/A N N N Y Y Y 29 37 40 87 83 82 82 44 65 56 85 81 76 75 75 51 62 72 73 71 72 61 64 67 71 75 65 77 82 50 68 75 60 53 55 77 69 69 66 78 55N/A	
Math	Samuel Louis	Elementary Ed.	2	2	School Grades AYP High Standards-Reading High Standards-Math Lrng Gains-Reading Lrng Gains- Math Gains-R 25 Gains-M-25	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 C C C B A C A N/A N N Y Y Y 29 37 60 68 64 64 74 44 65 65 67 70 65 67 75 51 63 69 65 60 66 61 64 62 62 72 60 73 82 50 50 61 53 49 59 77 69 60 64 79 62 N/A	

						ʻ12 ʻ	1 '10	' 09	'08	'07 '·	06
					School Grades AYP	C C N/A N					
Science	Jose A. Porras	Elementary Ed., ESOL	3	4	High Standards-Reading		40				-
					High Standards-Math		56				
					Lrng Gains-Reading	75 5	62	72	73	71	72
					Lrng Gains- Math	61 64	67	71	75	65	77
					Gains-R 25	82 5) 68	3 75	60	53	55
					Gains-M-25	77 69	69	66	5 78	55 N	J/A

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Des	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date		
1.	Regular meetings of new teachers with Principal	Principal	June 2013		
2.	Partnering new teachers with veteran staff	Assistant Principal	August 2012		
3.	Creating additional opportunities for teachers to collaborate and network	Instructional Coaches	June 2013		
4.	Scheduling opportunities for teachers to visit other classrooms and schools.	Instructional Coaches	June 2013		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2.7%(1)	Teacher is currently enrolled in her last ESOL endorsement class.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
37	8(21.62%)	11 (29.73%)	11 (29.73%)	7 (18.92%)	9 (24.32%)	26 (96.30%)	1 (2.70%)	1 (2.70%)	25 (67.57%)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities	
Samuel Louis	Gillian Cavayero	Certification/Mathematics Coach	Modeling, Coaching, Conferencing	
Christine Rodriguez	Seline Paulino	Certification/Reading Coach	Modeling, Coaching, Conferencing	
Jose Porras	Katherine Yeaworth	Certification/Science Coach	Modeling, Coaching, Conferencing	

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Jesse J. McCrary provides students requiring additional remediation with the Miami Heat after-school tutoring program, the Supplemental Educational Services program and pull-out and push in intervention during the school day. Additional remediation is provided to students through before, after-school programs and Saturday School. Curriculum coaches develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program include a parental program.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Jesse J McCrary Elementary uses the district supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

• Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program

• Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL

• Training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols

Title III

Services are provided through the district to Jesse J. McCrary Jr. Elementary School for educational materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker and school counselors will provide resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students at Jesse. J. Mccrary Jr. Elementary School identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Jesse J. McCrary Jr. Elementary School will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation

Violence Prevention Programs

Jesse J. McCrary Jr. Elementary School offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporate community outreach assemblies, and counseling.

1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
<u> </u>
1
1
1
<u> </u>

Other

Parental

Jesse J. McCrary Jr. Elementary School will involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center in order to inform parents regarding available programs and their rights under the No Child Left Behind Act and other referral services. Jesse J. McCrary, Jr. Elementary school will increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our Title I School-Parent Compact (for each student); our school's Title I Parental Involvement Policy; scheduling the Title I Orientation Meeting (Open House); and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements. Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedule as part of our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement. Additionally the school will complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports and Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report. The parents of students in grades K-2nd will receive a DVD with the basic sight words in order to increase reading achievement.

School Improve Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative

Jesse J. McCrary, Jr. Elementary School receives funding under the School Improvement Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative in order to increase the achievement of the lowest performing subgroups through comprehensive, ongoing data analysis, curriculum and instruction/intervention and developing classroom libraries.

Jesse J. McCrary, Jr. Elementary School participates in the Voluntary Public School Choice Program (I Choose!), a federally funded grant. This is a district-wide initiative designed to assist in achieving the Miami-Dade County Public Schools District's Strategic Plan goal to expand the availability of and access to high quality public school choice options for all parents in Miami-Dade County. Voluntary Public School Choice grant funds are used to evaluate programs, inform parents of educational options, and reculture teaching practices to establish quality school environments.

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

<u>Principal</u>: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

<u>Assistant Principal</u>: Assists principal in ensuring the school-based team implements RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

<u>Grade Level Teachers</u>- Provide information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, ESOL and SPED teachers provide information about ELL and SPED best practices, participate in student data collection, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/ instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

<u>Instructional Coach(es) Reading/Math/Science</u>: Develop, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

<u>School Counselor</u>: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

<u>Speech Language Pathologist</u>: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

Social Worker: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

<u>School Psychologist-</u> Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Interventionist- Delivers the TIER 2 interventions and conducts the ongoing progress monitoring.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our school, our teachers, and in our students? The team meets monthly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks who are in the high zone, students at the moderate zone or the low zone for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. The team will make recommendations for Tier 3 activities.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The MTSS Leadership Team meets with the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provides data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; help set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitate the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and align processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Interim Assessments, Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), STAR

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Interim Assessments, Monthly Assessments

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Interim Assessments, Monthly Assessments, STAR

End of year: FAIR, FCAT, Interim Assessments, STAR

Frequency of Data Days: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during the opening of school meeting, the two professional development days, teachers' common planning time and small group sessions, throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during the opening of school meeting, the two professional development days, teachers' common planning time and small group sessions, throughout the year.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
Jesse J. McCrary, Elementary School's Literacy Leadership Team includes the following members:
Maria Calvet-Cuba, Principal
Claude Rivette, Assistant Principal
Christine Rodriguez, Reading Coach
Samuel Louis, Math Coach
Jose Porras, Science Coach
Joy Foley, Media Specialist
Sophonie Maneus, ELL Teacher
Melanie Hall, Kindergarten Teacher
Barbara Leyva, First Grade Teacher
Christine Vazquez, Second Grade Teacher
Kyonel Rivera, Third Grade Teacher
Noemi Guillaume, Third Grade Teacher
Manuel Alvarez, Fifth Grade Teacher Carla Christian, Fifth Grade Teacher
Carly BirdSong, Kindergarten Grade Teacher
Bibi Wazidali, Gifted Teacher
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
Jesse J. McCrary, Elementary School's Literacy Leadership Team collaborates on a monthly basis to set goals, identify strategies and to promote school-wide literacy. The Literacy
Leadership Team will strive to build a community of lifelong readers and instill the love of reading in all students.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
Family Reading Night -Reading Under the Stars -Book Fairs I Caught You Reading Program, AR Super Stars

Family Reading Night, -Reading Under the Stars, -Book Fairs, I Caught You Reading Program, AR Super Stars -Reading Across the curriculum Fair- Author's Night, Dr. Seuss Birthday Celebration

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Jesse J. McCrary, Jr. Elementary School receives supplemental funds beyond the State of Florida funded Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten Program (VPK). Funds are used to provide extended support through two full-time highly qualified teachers and two full-time paraprofessionals. This assists with providing young children with a variety of meaningful learning experiences, in environments that give them opportunities to create knowledge through initiatives shared with supportive adults.

The ESSAC recommends the utilization of the guidance counselor, community involvement specialist, registrar, social worker, office staff, and the administration to render services to our early childhood students and their families throughout the school year.

Low performing students are identified early through the administration of the Early Childhood Observation System (ECHOS) and certified teachers will work with students using strategies and developmentally appropriate academics after the specific weaknesses have been identified.

Reading coaches will provide professional development to teachers as a result of the ECHOS assessment.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

0	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. The	1A.1.	1A.1. Administrators	1A.1.Review of the	1A.1. Interim and	
	area of	Increase		Accelerated Reader Reports		
Achievement Level 3		the amount			Quarterly evaluate	
in reading.	as noted on			lessons plans created during		
8	the 2012	independent			STAR Report	
	administ	time by		Review of student work	1	
	ration of	incorpor		folders to monitor the use of		
	the FCAT	ating the		higher order questioning and		
	reading	use of the		graphic organizers		
	test was	Accelerated		Common Lesson Planning		
		Reader		of Interactive Whiteboard		
	Category	Program.		flipcharts		
	2, Reading			Quarterly review of the		
	Application.			interactive journals		
		active				
		reading				
	ability to use					
		novel-based				
	strategies to					
	comprehend					
		components				
	for the grade					
	level due to					
		Release of				
		Responsibilit	t			
	questioning and lack of	y Model.				
	interactive	Teachers				
	reading	include				
		higher order				
	strategies.	questions (as				
		well as the				
		answers) in				
		lesson plans				
		and require				
		students to				
		respond to				
		them during				
		instruction				
August 2012	1		I			

		in their interactive notebooks.			
<u></u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	18% (48)	22% (57)			

 1A.2. The	1 A 2 During augligit whole	1 A 2 Administrators	1A.2.Classroom	1A.2. Interim and	
	1A.2. During explicit whole				
area of	group instruction and	Reading Coach	Observations and Review		
deficiency	differentiated instruction,	Faculty	of student work folders to		
as noted on	3 rd -5 th grade teachers will		monitor the use of higher	interactive notebooks.	
the 2012	incorporate the science		order questioning and		
administ	series, Blue Planet Diaries		graphic organizers		
ration of	into the pacing guides		Common Lesson Planning		
the FCAT	with a focus on the use of		of Interactive Whiteboard		
reading	the Depth of Knowledge		flipcharts		
test was	Questions and graphic		Quarterly review of the		
Informati	organizers.		interactive journals		
onal Text/					
Research					
Processes.					
Due to the					
students lack	Σ.				
of ability to					
comprehend					
and interpret					
information					
al text from					
a variety of					
sources.					
sources.					
1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	1B.1. Students lack the skills to comprehend and interpret instructional level text from a variety of sources.	Stud ents	Faculty Reading Coaches Administration	Review of student work	Reports	
		Vocab ulary should be introdu ced to studen s with picture s and print. Picture	ı t			

	s should be faded for long term compr ehensi on and retenti on. Students must be		
Reading Goal #1B: 2012 Current. Reading Goal #1B: Level of	provided with visual choices as presented in the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA). 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		

N/A	N/A					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	2A.1. The	2A.1.	2A.1. Administrators	2A.1. Classroom	2A.1. Interim and Monthly	
Students scoring		Schedule	Reading Coach	Observations and Review	Assessments	
at or above	deficiency	a separate	Faculty	of student work folders to	Quarterly evaluate	
Achievement Levels		Common	-	monitor the use of higher	interactive notebooks	
8		Planning		order questioning and		
	administ	Session		graphic organizers		
		for those				
		teachers		Coaches and Administrators		
	reading test	higher levels		take part in common		
	indicated that % of our	of classes		planning		
	levels 4 and	or clusses.		Review of student		
	5 remained	Utilize		Differentiated Instruction		
	the same	Common		Notebooks		
	level or	Core		TOCOOORS		
		exemplars in		Monitoring of program		
	8	differentiate		implementation		
		d instruction		1		
		through				
		Literature				
		Circles				
		TT				
		Use inquiry-				
		based learning				
		as anchor				
		activities.				

<u></u>	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	10% (25)	11% (29)					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1. The	2B.1.	2B.1. Administrators	2B.1. Review of the	2B.1. Interim and	
Alternate		Increase		Accelerated Reader Reports		
Assessment:		the amount	Faculty		Quarterly evaluate	
Students scoring at	as noted on			lessons plans created during		
or above Level 7 in		independent		common lesson planning		
reading.		time by		Review of student work		
0		incorpor		folders to monitor the use of		
	the Florida	ating the		higher order questioning and		
		use of the		graphic organizers		
	Assessment			Common Lesson Planning		
	Indicates	Reader		of Interactive Whiteboard		
	that students	Program.		flipcharts		
	lack the			Quarterly review of the		
	ability to use			interactive journals		
	a variety of	will				
	strategies to	incl				
	comprehend					
		access points	5			
	for the grade					
	level due to	eir				
	the lack of	less				
	Cognitive	on				
		plans and				
	and lack of interactive	req				
	reading	uire stuc				
	strategies	ents				
		to respond to				
		the	,			
		m				
		duri				
		ng				
		instruction				
		in				
		thei				
		r				
		Interactive				
		not				
		ebo				

		oks.					
Reading Goal #2B: The results of the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicated that 50% (1) of students scored at or above a level 7 in reading. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain th	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A i	N/A	1	'	1	1	
						2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
			3A.1. Administration		3A.1. FAIR,	
	noted on				SuccessMaker Reports	
		the FAIR		progress and placement into		
		Phonics		appropriate intervention		
		Inventory,		program.		
		and the Initial				
		Placement				
		test for				
	students	Success				
		Maker				
		during the				
		first week				
		of school to				
	by % as	implement				
	1	the				
		Intervention				
		Program				
		before,				
		during, and				
		after school				
		as well as				
		providing for extended				
		for extended learning				
		opportunities				
		throughout				
		the day.				
		· · ···· · · ·				

Reading Goal #3A: <u>Reading Goal #3A:</u> The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicated that 75% of the students made learning gains. The goal for the 2012 - 2013 school year is to increase student achieving learning gains by 5 percentage points to 80% (124).	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	75% (116)	80% (124)					
		Teachers lack the strategies to implement differentiate d instruction effectively.	chats every six weeks	Reading Coach Faculty	3A.2. 6-week Data review during RTI meetings. Lesson plans Walk-throughs and observations Student data folders Sign-in sheets	3A.2. FAIR data Interims Monthly Assessments	

	<u> </u>		1	
3A.3.	3A.3. Provide Professional	3A.3. Administrator		3A.3. Interactive journal
Teachers	Development using John	Reading Coach	notebooks	rubrics
lack	Hattie's Visual Learning	Faculty	student work folders	
effective	Study into Effective		Teacher lesson plans	
strategies	Learning Strategies with		_	
	an emphasis on the most			
accelerate	successful strategies.			
learning				
and close	Incorporate the use of			
the gap in	formative evaluation and			
instruction.	descriptive feedback in the			
	interactive notebooks to			
	accelerate student learning.			
	accelerate student rearring.			
	Create a school-wide			
	initiative encouraging the			
	use of formative evaluation,			
	descriptive feedback, and			
	forming Student/Teacher			
	relationships through the			
	Lesson Study Process.			

2D Elevide	201 4	DD 1 The	2D 1 Administration	2D 1 Anolysis of the		İ	İ
3B. Florida	3B.1. As	3B.1. The	3B.1. Administration		3B.1. FAIR,		
Alternate	noted on	FAIR	Reading Coach	Reports to determine	SuccessMaker Reports		
Assessment:	the 2012	Phonics		progress and placement into			
Percentage of	administra	Inventory,		appropriate intervention			
students making	tion of the	and the		program.			
learning gains in	FAA, the	Initial					
reading.	percent of	Placement					
	students	test for					
	making	Success					
	learning	Maker					
	gains:	during the					
	8411191	two weeks					
		of school to					
		implement					
		the					
		Intervention					
		Program					
		before,					
		during, and					
		after school					
		as well as					
		providing					
		for extended					
		learning					
		opportunities	5				
		throughout					
		the day.					
		Instruction					
		must be					
		done in					
		multisensory	,				
		format					
			I		1		

<u> </u>	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	/V/A	IV/A			

teacher.	
3B.2. 3B.2. Conduct RTI data 3B.2. Administrator 3B.2. 6-week Data review 3B.2. FAIR data Teachers chats every six weeks to determine effective strategies to strategies for these students. Faculty Lesson plans Monthly Assessments Faculty Conduct bi-weekly On- observations Student data folders Sign-in sheets Sign-in sheets Ensure differentiated instruction addresses students' needs according to data through common planning with the reading teacher as well as the ESE Student at the students' needs according teacher as well as the ESE Student at the students' needs according teacher as well as the ESE Student at the students' needs according teacher as well as the ESE Student at the students' needs according teacher as well as the ESE	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	4A.1. As	4A.1	4A.1. School Administration	4A.1. Review the FAIR	4A.1. FAIR Phonics	
Percentage of	noted on the	Administer	RTI Leadership Team	Phonics Inventory	Inventory	
			Reading Coach		2012 FCAT Assessment	
			School Faculty			
	2012 FCAT					
		Teachers				
	Test, the	will conduct				
	number of	on-going				
		progress				
		monitoring				
	25% making	to ensure				
	learning	that				
		Phonics and				
		Phonemic				
	-	Awareness				
	μ Ο	Instruction				
	r	is being				
		implemented	L			
		in				
		differentiat				
		ed learning				
		groups.				

Reading Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of	, ,				
<u>Reading Goal #4:</u>		Performance:*					
The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicated that 82% (40) of the lowest 25% students made learning gains on the 2012 FCAT.							
The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the learning gains of the lowest 25% by 3 percentage points to 43 %. narrative for the goal in this box.							
	82% (40)	87% (43)					
		current reading program	intervention through ETO's Foundational Skills Intervention Program focusing on the lowest 25%.	RTI Leadership Team School Faculty	4A.2. Review OPM data	4A.2. FAIR	

Students lack vocabulary and comprehension skills.	individual data chats with students as well as during	Reading Coach RTI Leadership Team Students	1 0	4A.3 FAIR data Interims	
	innugine Deuring).				

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data	29%	35%	42%	48%	55%	61%
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A:							
The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicated that 29% of students achieved level 3 proficiency. The goal for the 2012- 2013 school year is to increase the level 3 student proficiency by 6 % percentage points to 35%.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student 5B.1.	Ĺ	5D 1	CD 1	5D 1	5D 1	i i	
			5B.1.		5B.1.		
subgroups by	notod on tho	5		Review Mini-Assessments	Mini-Assessments		
ethnicity (White,		vocabulary development through Elements of	Reading Coach	Review Interim	Interim Assessments		
Black, Hispanic,	ation of the 2012	through Elements of	Community Involvement	Assessments			
Asian American Formities	adding rest, the	Vocabulary.	Specialists	Weekly vocabulary			
Black sub	group did not		1	assessments			
satisfactory progress make AM	IOS.		Faculty				
in reading.	4	the Introduction portion of	1 dealty				
Students 1							
and backg		whole group lessons (e.g.,					
	· μ	introduce word on day					
		1, match the word to the					
reading ee	-	picture on day 2, use cloze					
Hispanic:		sentences on day 3, etc.)					
Asian:	r ·	Teachers ensure that ELL					
American In	ndian:	and ESE students are					
	r	partnered or grouped with					
	Î	non-ELL and/or non-ESE					
		students during the They Do					
		portion of the lesson.					
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Curren		2013 Expected Level of					
Results of the 2011-		Performance:*					
2012 FCAT Reading Test							
indicated that 29 % (62)							
of students in subgroups							
Black, 42% (12) in							
subgroups Hispanic, achieved proficiency.							
achieved pronciency.							
The goal is to increase							
student proficiency by 5 %							
in subgroup Black and							
4% in subgroup Hispanic							
during the 2012-2013 school year.							
school year.							

Black: 29% (62) Hispanic:42% (12) Asian: NA	White: Na Black:34% (72) Hispanic:46% (13) Asian: NA American Indian: NA					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5C. English	5C.1. As	5C.1.	5C.1. Administration	5C.1. Monitor the use of	5C.1. Interim	
Language Learners	noted on the	Weekly	Reading Coaches	word walls, vocabulary	Assessments	
(ELL) not making	administra	planning	Faculty	notebooks, and writing	Bi-weekly assessments	
satisfactory progress		meetings	Bilingual Department	journals	Theme skills tests	
in reading.		with the	ELL Teacher	through classroom walk-	Theme skins tests	
in reading.		ELL teacher		throughs		
	Test the	to design		linoughs		
	English	whole				
		group and				
	Learner	differentiate				
		d instruction				
	has limited	lessons with				
		an emphasis				
		on Words				
		and Phrases				
		Teachers				
		follow				
		a daily				
		vocabulary				
		routine				
		during the				
		Introduction				
		portion of				
		whole group				
		lessons (e.g.,	,			
		introduce				
		word on day				
		1, match				
		the word to				
		the picture				
		on day 2,				
		use cloze				
		sentences on				
		day 3, etc.)				
		Teachers				
		ensure				
		that ELL				

		and ECE	1		
		and ESE			
		students are			
		partnered			
		or grouped			
		with non-			
		ELL and/			
		or non-ESE			
		students			
		during the			
		They Do			
		portion of			
		the lesson.			
		Grouped			
		with non-			
		ELL and/			
		or non-ESE			
		students			
		during the			
		They Do			
		portion of			
		the lesson.			
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
	Level of	Level of Performance:*			
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 76 % of the					
English Language Learner					
subgroup did not make					
satisfactory progress.					
The goal is to increase					
student proficiency by 7 %					
percentage points from 24% (19) to 33% (26) during the					
(19) to 33% (26) during the					
2012-2013 school year.					
	24% (19)	33(26)			

		5C.2. Due to limited - language exposure, the ELL subgroup is deficient in Tier 2 vocabulary	of Vocabulary into daily instruction.		word walls, vocabulary	5C.2. Interim Assessments Bi-weekly assessments Theme Skills Tests	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress interpre-	Admini sary d and ext from ety of Admini Admini ster the Phonics Inventory	SD.1. In-house RTI protocols RTI Data Chats OPM Data	5D.1. OPM Data SuccessMaker Data Reports	
	ention Program. Administe r, monitor, and interpret OPM data from the Foundatio nal Skills Interventio n Program during RTI data chats every 6 weeks to determine			

		effectiven ess of the interventio ns.					
Reading Goal #5D: The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 90% of the Students With Disabilities subgroup did not make satisfactory progress. The goal is to increase student proficiency by 16 % percentage points from 10% (3) to 26% (7) during the 2012-2013 school year.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	10%(3)	26%(7)					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5E. Economically	5E.1.Teach	5E.1.	5E.1. Administration	5E.1. Review Accelerated	5E.1. FAIR	
Disadvantaged	ers lack the		Reading Coaches	Reader Reports	Interim and Monthly	
students not making		development		Reader Reports	Assessments	
satisfactory progress		on the	i acuity		Assessments	
in reading.	motivate	Accelerated				
in reading.	students	Reader				
	to read	Program				
	through the	with an				
		emphasis on				
	Reader	motivation				
	Program and					
	incorporate	support.				
	effective	support.				
	follow-up	Teachers				
	strategies	provide				
	to ensure	students				
	student	opportunities				
	success.	to read and	2			
	Success.	respond				
		in writing				
		to their				
		Accelerated				
		Reader				
		books				
		during their				
		Independent				
		Center.				
		Incentivize				
		our School-				
		wide				
		implement				
		ation of the				
		Independent				
		Center				
		incorpor				
		ating the				
		Accelerated				
		Reader				
August 2012			1	1		

		Program					
Reading Goal #5E: The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 72% of the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup did not achieve proficiency. The goal is to increase student proficiency by 7 % percentage points from 28 % to 35 % during the 2012-2013 school year		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	28% (72)	35% (90)					
		teachers lack basic knowledge of how to use data to provide focused instruction during differentiate d instruction.	with the Reading Coach as each assessment is taken. Teachers set class-wide goals and post classroom data charts to display student progress following each assessment. Teachers use relevant and current data to create flexible student groups. Conduct a lesson study on the use of running records in guided reading.	Reading Coaches Faculty	Assessment, OPM assessments Grouping templates PLC sign-in sheet	5E.2. FAIR Interim and Monthly Assessments	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	, Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Accelerated Reader Program Reading Coaches Media Specialist	IK - 7	Reading Coaches	Faculty	August 2012	Trackers	School Administration Reading Coaches RTI Team
Formative Assessments		Reading Coaches	Faculty	September 2012	Classroom observations Student work folders	School Administration Reading Coaches RTI Team
Descriptive Feedback		Reading Coaches	Faculty	September 2012	Classroom observations Student work folders	School Administration Reading Coaches RTI Team
Lesson Study	K _ >	Reading Coaches	Reading Teachers	September 2013	Teachers sign-in sheets Lesson plans created for lesson study	School Administration Reading Coaches School Faculty

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Keauing Dudget (insert lows as ne		[
Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Comprehensive lessons with an emphasis	Composition Notebooks	Title I	\$1,500.00
on differentiated instruction and Words	Chart paper		
and Phrases.	Dry erase markers		
	Index cards		
	Zip-lock bags		
Make and Take Workshops for	Supplies needed to create data based centers	School Improvement Grant	\$1,200.00
differentiated instruction with a focus	for differentiated groups.		
on phonic intervention as well as			
comprehension enrichment.			
Subtotal: \$2,700.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Incorporate the use of research-based	Reading A-Z	Title I	\$70.00
software focusing on the benchmarks			
Subtotal:\$70.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide professional development in	substitute coverage	SIG	\$1,600.00
comprehensive lessons with an emphasis			
on differentiated instruction.			
Provide professional development and	substitute coverage	SIG Grant	\$1,600.00
conduct a lesson study on the use of			
effective learning strategies.			
Subtotal:\$ 3,200.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Create a professional library to enhance	Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800	02-School Account	\$38.00
the capacity of the teachers.	Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement by		
	John Hattie		
	The Daggett System for Effective		
	Instruction Bundle by Willard R. Daggett		\$120.00
	Leadership to Support All Students Bundle		
			\$210.00
Subtotal:\$368.00			\$210.00
Total:\$6338.00			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1. Teachers lack the knowledge on how to increase the amount of listening and speaking conducted in the classroom. 2012 Current Percent of Students	 Professional development on effective listening and speaking ELL strategies. Create an ELL instructional focus calendar with ELL strategies to infuse during common planning sessions. 	1.1. ELL Teacher Administration Faculty		1.1. FAIR Interim Assessments Oral Reading Fluency On-Going Progress Monitoring	
CELLA Goal #1: Based on the 2012 CELLA data 25% of students were proficient in Oral Skills. The goal for 2012 – 2013 is to increase the Oral Proficiency Sills to 30%	Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					

	reinforce their listening and speaking skills.			3. Teacher lesson plans Classroom Observations	 FAIR Interim Assessments Oral Reading Fluency On-Going Progress Monitoring 	1.2.
		listening and speaking. 1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring	2.1. The area of	2.1. Weekly planning	2.1.	2.1. Monitor the use of	2.1.	
	.deficiency as noted on the			word walls, vocabulary in		
Ĩ	2012 administration of the				Bi-Weekly OPM	
		group and differentiated			Assessments	
	0 1	instruction lessons with		classroom walkthroughs.	Theme Skills Tests	
		1 1	ELL Teachers			
	or regressed.	phrases.				
	The ELL subgroup has	Teachers follow a daily				
		vocabulary routine during				
		the introduction portion of				
		whole group lessons (e.g.				
		introduce word on day				
		1, match the word to the				
		picture on day 2, use cloze				
		sentences on day 3, etc.)				
		Teachers ensure that ELL				
		and ESE students are				
		partnered or grouped with				
		non-ELL and/or non-ESE				
		students during the "they				
		do" portion of the lesson.				
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students	5				
Based on the 2012	Proficient in Reading:					
CELLA data, the	18% (30)					
percentage of students who were proficient in Reading						
skills was 8%. The goal						
of for 2012 – 2013 is to increase the Reading Skills						
to 14 %						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					

	program does not provide a structured instructional plan targeting phonics and phonemic awareness.	intervention through ETO's Foundational Skills Intervention Program focusing on the lowest 25%. Request that the ELL teachers attend a professional development on the Build-Up/Spiral- Up and Foundational Skills program.	Administration RtI Leadership Team ELL Teachers	data and professional development sign-in sheets.	2.2. FAIR data Interims
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring	2.1. Students lack	2.1. Through common	2.1.	2.1. On-going progress	2.1. FAIR	
8				monitoring	Interims	
		students will plan to provide		6		
				Assessments from the		
				Build Up/Spiral Up		
	μ.	writing.		program		
		Through common planning				
		teachers will plan to teach				
		basic conventions and				
		phonics in differentiated				
		instruction.				
		Provide additional				
		intervention through				
		ETO's foundational skills				
		intervention program.				
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
Based on the 2012 CELLA						
data 8 % of students were						
proficient in writing.						
TI 1 66 2012						
The goal of for 2012 – 2013 is to increase levels in						
writing 12%						
-						
	8 % (13)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
L	1			1	1	

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

CELLA budget (Insert rows as ne		1	
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase the amount of listening and	Jazz Chants Old and New Student Book by	SIG	\$40.00
speaking by our ELL students through	Carolyn Graham		
poems and chants.	Grammar Chants Student Book by Carolyn		
	Graham		
	Granam		
Subtotal:\$ 40.00			
· · ·			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase the amount of listening and	Jazz Chants Old and New CD by Carolyn	SIG	\$64.00
speaking by our ELL students through	Graham		
poems and chants.	Grammar Chants CD by Carolyn Graham		
	Graninai Chanas CD by Caroryn Granani		
Subtotal:\$ 64.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Address ELL strategies through	Book: Teaching English Language	SIG	\$25.00
differentiated instruction	Learners, a Differentiated Approach		

Subtotal:		
Total:\$25.00		

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0: 1A.1. The area of1A.1.1A.1. Administration, Mathematics Coach,1A.1. Coaching Continuum Log ,1A.1. Monthly Assessments,	
Achievement Level 3 deficiency ongoing Mathematics teachers Classroom observations Interim Assessments,	
in mathematics. as noted on professional 2013 FCAT 2.0	
the 2012 development Mathematics Assessm	nt
administ on the	
ration of effective	
the FCAT use of	
Mathematics manipulative	
Test was s and ways to	
fractions. incorporate	
This during small	
deficiency group and	
was due whole group	
to limited instruction	
use and to build	
real world conceptual	
examples understandin	
when g in students.	
teaching	
fraction Teachers	
concepts. plan for and include	
the use of	
manipulati	
ves during	
instruction.	
Teachers	
model	
the use of	
manipula	
tives each	
time before	
students	
work	
with them	
individually	
or in small	

		i	i	i	
	groups.				
	Incorporatin				
	g Category 1,				
	number s and				
	base ten and				
	fraction				
	Provide				
	students				
	with the				
	opportunity				
	to be				
	involved in				
	collaborative				
	strategies				
	Make use				
	of better				
	probing				
	techniques,				
	wait-				
	time, and				
	redirection				
	to ensure				
	equitable				
	opportunity				
	12 Current 2013 Expected				
#1 A · Le	<u>vel of</u> Level of				
101	rformance:* Performance:*				
The results of the 2012					
FCAT 2.0 Mathematics					
Test indicated that 27%					
(70) of students achieved					
level 3 proficiency. The goal for the 2012-					
2013 school year is to					
increase the level 3 student					
proficiency by 7 percentage					
points to 34% (88).					

27% (70)	34% (88)					
	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	B.1. The	1B.1.	1B.1. Administration,	1B.1. Coaching Continuum	1B.1. Monthly	
	rea of		Mathematics Coach,		Assessments,	
			Mathematics teachers	Classroom observations	Interim Assessments,	
			SPED Teacher	Clussiooni observations	2013 FAA Mathematics	
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in th		development				
		on the				
		effective				
		use of				
		manipulative				
		s and ways to				
		incorporate				
		during small				
		group and				
		whole group				
		instruction				
		to build				
		conceptual				
		understandin				
		g in students.				
		Train				
		teachers to				
		effectively				
		implement				
		Access				
		Points.				
		Provide				
		students with				
		opportunities				
		to learn				
		concepts				
		using				
		manipulati				
		ves visuals,				
		number lines				
		and assistive				
		technology.				
August 2012						

#1 B :	2012 Current Level of	Utilizing interactive journal for instructional purposes 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The results of the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicated that 50% (1) of students achieved levels 4, 5, or 6. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the amount of students scoring levels 4, 5, or 6 on the FAA.							
	N/A .	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2. I	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. As	2A.1.	2A.1. Administration,	2A.1. Differentiated	2A.1. Monthly	
Students scoring	evidenced	Teachers	Mathematics Coach,	Instruction evidenced in	Assessments,	
at or above	on the 2012		Mathematics teachers	lesson plans.	Interim Assessments,	
Achievement	FCAT 2.0	and provide	whathematics teachers	lesson plans.	2013 FCAT 2.0	
Levels 4 and 5 in	Math, the	differentiate		Rubrics for collaborative	Mathematics Assessment	
mathematics.	higher	d instruction		learning assignments.	Rubrics in student work	
mathematics.	performing	that is		icarining assignments.	folders and interactive	
	students	aligned to		Rubrics for interactive	journals.	
	struggled	students'		journals evidenced in	journais.	
	with	specific		student work folders.		
	Number,	needs.		student work folders.		
	Operations	needs.		Coaching Continuum		
	and	Teachers		Log,		
	Problems	utilize		Classroom observations		
	and	technology				
	Geometry	to				
	and	differentiate				
	Measuremer					
	t concepts	(i.e.,				
		. SuccessMak				
	This was	er, GoMath).				
	due to the	ci, Goinain).				
	limited use	Based				
	of	on data,				
	assessment	challenge				
	data to	students by				
	differentiate					
	instruction.	them skills				
	During	to be taught				
	extended	in the future				
	learning	"look ahead"	,			
	opportunitie	sso that they				
	, these	can be used				
	students	as peer				
	were not	tutors.				
	provided					
	enough	Incorporate				
	opportunitie	sproject based	1			
	to practice					
A		-				

	activities				
complex	that				
and rigorous	encourage				
performance	students				
tasks that	to think				
foster	critically and				
creativity	apply their				
and higher	knowledge				
order	in a real				
thinking.	world				
C	setting.				
	Ũ				
	Teachers				
	require				
	student				
	accountable				
	talk to justify	7			
	correct				
	answers				
	and explain				
	incorrect				
	answers.				
	Create				
	Interactive				
	Journals				
	following				
	the ETO				
	recommen				
	ded format				
	structured				
	graphic				
	organizers				
	(i.e. flip				
	charts, Venn				
	diagrams,				
	foldables,				
	webs, t-				
	charts,				
	•	•	•		

Mathematics Goal 2012 Cur #2A: Level of Performation Performation The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicated that 15% (38) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the levels 4 and 5 student proficiency by 9 percentage points to 18% (47).	Level of					
15% (3	(47) 18% (47)					
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1. The	2B.1.	2B.1. Administration,	2B.1. Differentiated	2B.1. Monthly	
Alternate	area of	Teachers		Instruction evidenced in	Assessments,	
Assessment:			Mathematics teachers	lesson plans.	Interim Assessments,	
Students scoring at	as noted on		SPED Teacher	Coaching Continuum Log,	2013 FAA Mathematics	
or above Level 7 in		data to create		Classroom observations		
mathematics.	administratio		5			
mathematics.	n of the FAA					
	Mathematics					
	test was	groups.				
	none.	Teachers				
		plan for				
		and provide				
		differentiate				
		d instruction				
		that is				
		aligned to				
		students'				
		specific				
		needs.				
		Train				
		teachers to				
		effectively				
		implement				
		Access				
	_	Points.				
	_					
		Provide				
		students with				
		opportunities	5			
		to learn				
		concepts				
		using				
		manipulati				
		ves visuals,				
		number lines				
		and assistive				
		technology.				

Mathematics Goal #2B: The results of the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicated that 50% (1) of students scored at or above achievement level 7. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the amount of students scoring at or above achievement level 7 on the FAA.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1. An	3A.1.	3A.1. Administration,	3A.1. Weekly Common	3A.1. Monthly	
Percentage of		Teachers	Mathematics Coach,		Assessments,	
students making		use relevant	Mathematics teachers		Interim Assessments,	
learning gains in		and current			2013 FCAT 2.0	
mathematics.	Mathematics		2		Mathematics Assessment	
		flexible		enrichment programs		
	indicate that			through regular observations		
		groups.		and evaluations		
	making	0				
		Teachers				
		plan for				
	decreased by	and provide				
	8 percentage	differentiate				
	points when	d instruction				
		that is				
		aligned to				
		students'				
		specific				
		needs.				
	change in					
	unfamiliarity					
	of the new	in regular				
		embedded				
	and a limited					
		development				
	U U	(i.e.,				
		common				
		planning,				
		lesson study,				
		professional				
		learning				
		communities				
		γ.				
		Provide				
		students with				
		necessary	1			
		interventions	3			
		and				
August 2012		u.1.4	I]

#3A: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicated that 61% (96) of students made learning gains. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 66 % (104).	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	enrichment as reflected by assessment data. Utilizing interactive journal for instructional purposes 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	DD 1 The	D 1 Tusin	2D 1 A durinistration	3B.1. Lesson Plans	2D 1 Monthly	
			3B.1.Administration,		3B.1. Monthly	
			Mathematics Coach,	Review of lesson plans	Assessments,	
			Mathematics teachers	Classroom walk-throughs	Interim Assessments,	
			SPED Teacher	Review of student work	2013 FAA Mathematics	
		Access		folders		
	administratio					
	n of the FAA					
	Mathematics	Provide				
	test was	students with	1			
		opportunities	5			
		to learn				
		concepts				
		using				
		manipulati				
		ves visuals,				
		number lines				
		and assistive				
		technology.				
		Reinforce				
		the				
		impleme				
		ntation of				
		interactive				
		journal				
		for Math				
		instruction				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
indiana and a sea Level of	Level of					
#3 <u>B:</u>		Performance:*				
The results of the						
2012 Florida Alternate						
Assessment indicated that						
50% (1) of the lowest 25%						
made learning gains. The goal for the 2012-2013						
school year is to maintain						
the amount of students						
making learning gains						

N/A	N/A					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

		D 11			D: 11	
4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1. An		4A.1. Administration,	4A.1. Math Intervention	4A.1. Bi-weekly	
Percentage of	analysis of		Mathematics Coach,	OPM Tracker	Benchmark/Skills	
students in lowest	the 2012		Mathematics teachers		Assessments,	
25% making	FCAT 2.0	interventions			Interim Assessments,	
learning gains in		as reflected			2013 FCAT 2.0	
mathematics.	results	by			Mathematics Assessment	
	indicate that					
		data through				
		tutorial				
	fundamental	groups				
	skills	before and				
	(addition,	after school.				
	subtraction,	Teachers and	l l			
	multiplic	interventioni				
	ation, and	sts meet on a				
	division).	regular basis				
	Í Í	to review the				
		intervention/				
		enrichment				
		curriculum,				
		discuss				
		ongoing				
		data, and				
		other issues				
		pertaining				
		to student				
		progress.				
		Teachers				
		and				
		interventioni				
		sts track and				
		monitor				
		student				
		progress				
		through the				
		use of an				
		established				
		system.				
		During the				
4 2012						

	2012-2013		
	school year,		
	intervention		
	groups will		
	remain fluid		
	and address		
	specific		
	specific skills		
	identified by		
	data from		
	the Go Math		
	Pre-		
	Requisite		
	Skills		
	Assessment.		
	During the		
	During the		
	first nine		
	weeks, math		
	interventions		
	will address		
	prerequisite skills that		
	skills that		
	are geared		
	towards		
	building		
	mathematica		
	l fluency.		
	Conducting		
	planning		
	with the		
	interventioni		
	sts to review		
	lesson		
	and model		
	manipulative		
	usage,		
	review skills		
	calendar,		
August 2012			J

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicated that 77% (37) of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the amount of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains by 5 percentage point to 82% (39).	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	and address students' progress Implement an afterschool 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	77% (37)	82% (39)					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data 2010-2011	50%	54%	59%	63%	68%	73%
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Math test indicated that 50% of students achieved Level 3 proficiency. The goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the Level 3 student proficiency by 4% percentage points to 54%.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	administration of the 2012 FCAT Math Test, the Black subgroup did 48% make AMOS.	Create a weekly initiative (Fantasy Fact Fridays) to encourage students to work on mastering the basic facts and provide incentives.		5B.1. Review of assessment data and mad minutes, Multiplication ladders			
--	---	--	--	---	--	--	--

#5B: Results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT 2.0 Math Reading Test that 44% (94) of students in the Black subgroup and 62 % (18) in White subgroup achieved proficiency. The goal is to increase student proficiency by 7 % in subgroup Black and 9 % in subgroup Hispanic, subgroup Hispanic, from 44% to 53 % for subgroup Black and 62% to 71 % for subgroup Hispanic during the 2012-2013 school year.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black:44% (94) Hispanic:62% (18) Asian: NA American Indian: NA	White: N/A Black: 53% (113) Hispanic: 71% (21) Asian: NA American Indian: NA					
						5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5C. English	5C.1. As	5C.1.	5C.1. Administration	5C.1. Monitor the use of	5C.1. Interim	
Language Learners	noted on the	Teachers	Math Coach	word walls, interactive math		
(ELL) not making	administratio	ensure that	Faculty	journals through classroom		
satisfactory progress	n of the 2012	ELL and	Bilingual Department		Graphic Organizers	
in mathematics.	FCAT 2.0	ESE students	ELL Teacher	wark unoughs	Graphice Organizers	
in mathematics.	Math Test	are partnered				
	1,10011 1,000	or grouped				
		with non-				
		ELL and/				
		or non-ESE				
		students				
		during the				
	vocabulary	They Do				
	thus making	portion of				
	it difficult	the lesson.				
	for them to	Grouped				
	decode and	with non-				
		ELL and/				
	what they	or non-ESE				
	are expected	students				
	to do.	during the				
		They Do				
		portion of				
		the lesson.				
		Incorporate				
		the use				
		of ELL				
		Strategies				
	1	such as				
		graphic				
		organizers				
		(Frayer				
		Model,				
	1	Concept				
	1	Maps, etc.)				
		in order to				
	1	build content	t			
		vocabulary.				

		1					
The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT 2.0 Math Test indicate that 57% of the English Language Learner subgroup did not make satisfactory progress. The goal is to increase the ELL subgroup student proficiency by 11 %	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
percentage points from 43 % to 53% during the 2012-2013 school year.							
	43% (34)	54% (43)					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
			5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D Ctordents	5D 1	Turin	5D.1. Administration	5D.1. Common Planning	and Interim Assessments	
	FT 1	5D.1. Train		-	5D.1. Interim Assessments	
	Teachers	teachers to	Math Coach SPED Teacher		Bi-weekly assessments	
	lacked the	effectively	General Education Teacher		2013 FCAT 2.0 Math	
satisfactory progress	knowledge	implement			Results	
in mathematics.	of effective	Access				
	strategies	Points.				
	for SWD					
	students	Provide				
		the SPED				
	implement	teacher				
	the Access	with an				
	- · · ·	opportunity				
		to share best				
	4.4.	practices for				
	Assessment.					
		SWD with				
		the general				
		education				
		teachers				

#5D: The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Math Test indicate that 81% of the SWD subgroup did not make satisfactory progress. The goal is to increase the SWD subgroup student proficiency by 15 percentage points from 19% to 34% during the 2012-2013 school year.	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	19%(5)	34%(9)					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5E. Economically	5E.1.Teach	5F 1	5E.1. Administration	5E.1. Review	5E.1. Interim and Monthly	
		Professional		SuccessMaker Report	Assessments	
students not making	knowledge	development	Faculty	Successiviaker Report	Assessments	
satisfactory progress	on how to	on the	1 acuity			
	motivate	SuccessM				
	students	aker Math				
		Program				
	basic math	with an				
		emphasis on				
	fundamental					
		and teacher				
		support.				
	effective	Support.				
	follow-up	Teachers				
	strategies	provide				
	to ensure	students				
	student	opportunities	3			
	success.	to				
		demonstrate				
		their mastery	,			
		of a specific				
		set of facts				
		on a weekly				
		basis.				
		Incentivize				
		our School-				
		wide				
		implement				
		ation of the				
		Independent				
		Center				
		incorporating	5			
		the				
		SuccessMa				
		ker Program				
		by giving				
		students				
August 2012		PBS points				

	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		ĺ	Í			
		for meeting					
		goals and					
		making high					
		marks in the					
		program.					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u>#5E:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	Feriorinance.	Ferformance.					
The results of the 2011-							
2012 FCAT 2.0 Math							
Test indicate that 44%							
of the Economically							
Disadvantaged subgroup							
achieved proficiency.							
The goal is to increase							
student proficiency by 10							
percentage points from 44%							
percentage points from 44% to 54% during the 2012-							
2013 school year							
2015 Senoor year							
	44%(113)	54%(138)					
		0					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		2.2.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			- 	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
		2.5.					
	~ 1 _ 1 X C				1		

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	-		-		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.		1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected Level of					
<u>#1B:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		12.2.					
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Deer doer the small	Audiained 1	Cture to an	Daman an Daaitian	Durance Used to Datan	E T		
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions," identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
	27.1.	27.1.	27.1.	27.1.	2		
Students scoring at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.	2012 G						
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormanee.	r criormanee.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		- x.J.				<i></i>	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		511.2.	571.2.	571.2.		511.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
maintinants.							

#3B [.]	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4. 1		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
sungroups ny	White: Black:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
Asian, American	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
(ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal_ #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress	5						
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5D:		Level of	1				
	Performance:*	Performance:*	4				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			4				
goai in this box.			1				
			4		1		
			1				
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of			'		
	performance in	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		(- · - ·					
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
			·		<u></u> /	L/	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.	2012 0	2012 5 (1					
#5E·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5E.2.	
				5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		<u> </u>					
50111 111111111111111111111111111111111							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2	2.2		2.2	2.2	
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	A (* *) (1	<u> </u>	D D'(İ.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups: 3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 • • 4 (3371 • 4	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
Asian, American	Asian: American Indian:						
Indian) not making	interioun indiun.						
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
m Algebra 1.				l			

Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.				3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

<u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.			1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	0,5	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:	0.1	0.1	2.1	2.1	0.1		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
•	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.	2.2	2.2	<u></u>	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	۷.۷.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years 3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

<u> </u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning	()	ſ '	[/	í	1
Community (PLC)	1 1	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1
or PD Activities	1 1	1 '	('	1	1 '	1
Please note that each strategy does not require a	1 1	1 !	1	1	1	1
professional development or PLC activity.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Use Manipulatives Lesson Study	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Math Teachers		Teacher Sign-in Sheets, Lesson plans created for Lesson Study	Math Coach, Administration
Differentiated Instruction	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 Math Teachers	September 2012	Common Planning Agenda	Math Coach
SuccessMaker		Pearson Representative	New Staff	September 2012	SuccessMaker Reports	Math Coach, Administration
Access Points	3-5	SPED Teacher	3-5 Math Teachers	September 2012	Review of Lesson Plans	Math Coach, Administration

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Successful Test-Taking Tips	Test taking prep books	School Improvement Grant	\$900
Subtotal: \$ 900.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide ongoing professional development on the effective use of manipulatives and ways to incorporate during small group and whole group instruction to build conceptual understanding in students. (Lesson Study)	Differentiated Instruction Set-up Kits Lesson Study Materials	School Improvement Grant	\$2,000.00
Subtotal: \$2,000.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. The	1A.1.	1A.1. Administration	1A.1. Monitor progress	1A.1. Interim	
				through interim assessments,		
Achievement Level 3		take part in		benchmark assessments and		
in science.	noted on the				Essential Labs	
		planning			FOCUS	
	administratio	sessions at				
	n of the	least once			2013 FCAT Science	
		a week				
	Science Test					
	was	Instructional				
	Reporting	Coach. *An				
	Category 1,	agenda is				
		provided				
	Science.	prior to the				
		meetings				
		and a log is				
		completed				
		during the				
		meetings to				
	understandin					
		notes.				
	lack of					
	scientific	Teachers				
		complete				
		lesson plans				
	meaningful	following				
		the Gradual				
		Release of				
		Responsibi				
		lity Model				
		(Introduction				
		- I Do - We				
		Do - They Do - You Do				
		– Closure)	1			
		format.				
		ioiiiat.				
		Teachers				
		conduct				
August 2012	1	conduct	1			

		all ETO Essential Labs in accordance to the pacing guide. Teachers ensure that all parts of the ETO lab template are completed for every lab and are revised based on teacher feedback.			
The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Science administration indicated that 17 % (14) of students achieved proficiency. The goal for the 2012- 2013 FCAT Science administration is to increase proficiency by 5 percentage points from 17% to 22% (19).	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 17% (14)	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 22% (19)			
	1/% (14)	<i>44 %</i> (19)			

IA.2. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administ ration of the FCAT Science test was Reporting Category 3 Physical Science. This deficiency is due to the lack of higher order thinking skills in order to increase levels of proficiency.	1	Teachers	1A.2. Monitor progress through interim assessments, benchmark assessments and science learning journals	1A.2. Interim Assessments, Benchmark assessments Essential Labs FOCUS 2013 FCAT Science IA.3.
14.5.	14.5.	17.3.	10.5.	14.5.

1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1. Students lack the skills necessary to explore and identify key scientific concepts.	^{1B.1.} Students need objects/ pictures for explora tion and identificat ion of key scientific	Science Coach Science SPED Teachers Faculty	through interim assessments, benchmark assessments and science learning journals	 1B.1. Interim Assessments, Benchmark assessments Essential Labs FOCUS 2013 FCAT Science 	
		concepts. Instruction must be hands on so students can manipu late and explore actions and outcomes.				
		Students must have continuous review/ practice when				

	learning science concepts.					
 Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	N/A					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1. The area of	2A.1. Higher	2A.1. Administration Science Coach	2A.1. Lesson Plans Review of lesson plans	2A.1. Interim Assessments,	
	deficiency	students will		Classroom walk-throughs Review of student work	Benchmark Assessments,	
Achievement Levels					2013 FAA Science	
		in a Power		folders		
	administratio n of the	towards				
		building				
		critical				
	Test was	thinking				
		skills.				
	1 0	SKIIIS.				
	Category 2 Earth/					
	Space. This					
	deficiency					
	was to due to the					
	understandin					
	g or lack of scientific					
	exploration					
	through					
	meaningful based					
	instruction.					
			l			

Science Goal #2A: The results of the 2012 FCAT Science administration indicated that 4 % (3) of level 4 and 5 students achieved proficiency. The goal for the 2011- 2012 FCAT Science administration is to increase proficiency by 2 percentage points from 4% to 6% (5)		<u>2013Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>					
		6% (5) 2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	-
		2A.3.				2A.3.	
						24.5.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	area of deficiency noted on the 2012 administ ration of the FAA Science Test indicates that	2B.1. Train teachers to effectively implement Access Points. Instruction must be hands on so students can manipulate and explore actions and outcomes.	2B.1. Administration Science Coach	Review of lesson plans Classroom walk-throughs	2B.1. Interim Assessments, Benchmark Assessments, 2013 FAA Science		

Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	N/A					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	4 1 1 1		D D C	D III D			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		<i>2.2</i> .	<u> </u>	<i>2.2</i> .	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

						+	
	ent	l'	'	'		· '	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.		'					
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.			1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
GIZMOS Training	Grades 3-5	Science Coach	Grades 3-5 teachers	October 2012-May 2013	Agendas, Sign In Sheets and review of Gizmo and Discovery Reports.	Administration Science Coach
NGSSS	Grades 3-5	Science Coach Science Teachers	Science Teachers	October 2012-May 2013	1	Administration Science coach
Science Lesson Study	Grade 5	Science Coach	Science Teachers	October 2012-May 2013	5 , 5	Administration Science coach
Interactive Science Journals	Grade K-5	Science Coach	Science Teachers	October 2012-May 2013	e ,	Administration Science coach

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Plan for and conduct weekly science investigations.	Purchase lab materials to replace those used in previous years and to address new NGSSS objectives.	School Improvement Grant	\$250.00

Include all completed science	Composition Notebooks to be used as	Title 1 Funds	\$350.00
investigations in student interactive	Interactive Science Journals		
Science Journals			
Subtotal:\$ 600.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Teachers provide students with	Substitute funding for lessons study and	School Improvement Grant	
opportunities to use collaborative	other relevant Professional Development		\$ 1,000.00
strategies during the "They Do" portion			\$ 1,000.00
of the lesson.			
Subtotal:\$ 1,000.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$ 1, 600.00			
.	A		

End of Science Goals

<u>Writing Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1A.1. The	1A.1	1A.1 Reading Coach,	1A.1 Administer monthly	1A.1.	
	IA.I. The				Monthly	
	area of	the (Traite				
	deficiency,	the 6 Traits of Writing			Assessments	
	as noted on	process with	writing interventionist	progress and adjust focus as needed.	2012	
writing.	the 2012				2012	
	FCAT	the infusion			FCAT Writing	
	Writing	of			Assessment	
		literature				
		across the				
	and	curriculum.				
	expanding					
	on details.					
	Inadequate					
	precise word					
	choice and vivid					
	expression					
	of ideas					
	were demonstrate					
	d. Students					
	lack the					
	necessary skills					
	needed to					
	incorporate					
	real life					
	experiences					
	into their					
	writing.					
	writing.					

 			1			1
1 '	'	/	1	1	1	
3	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
81% (55)	83% (56)					
	Students lack opportunities to read and examine mentor text.	elaboration and details in writing during whole group sand small group instruction and incorporate state scored prompts and mentor text. Use a rubric to provide descriptive feedback during conferencing.	Writing Teachers Writing Interventionists Reading Coach, Writing Teachers, Writing Interventionists	monitor students' progress and adjust focus as needed. Administer monthly writing prompts and monitor descriptive feedback and adjust as needed.	1A.2 Monthly Assessments 2012 FCAT Writing Assessment. Monthly Writing Assessments	
	IA.3.	IA.3.	1A.3.	1A.5.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.Stud	1B.1.	1B.1. SPED Teacher	1B.1. Monitor writer's	1B.1. Monthly Writing	
Alternate	ents lack	Students			Assessments	
Assessment:	the skills				Writer's Notebooks	
Students scoring at 4		must use	Writing Interventionists	progress and adjust focus as		
or higher in writing.		visuals	_	needed.		
	and	with		Common lesson plans to		
	paragraphs	sentences		infuse access points.		
	on topic.	to				
		facilitate				
		matching				
		them to an				
		appropri				
		ate topic.				
		Students				
		must use				
		picture				
		cards to				
		create				
		sentences				
		and				
		paragraphs	5			
		on topic.				
		Allow				
		students				
		to dictate				
		written				
		responses. Use assistive				
		technology				
		for students				
		that are				
		unable to				
		physically				
		write.				

<u> </u>		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
The 6 Writing Traits and the use of mentor texts	Grades K-5		K-5 Teachers	September 2012	Administer Monthly Writing prompts	Administration Reading Coach
Writing Across the Curriculum	Grades K-5	Reading Coach	K-5 Teachers	September 2012 October 2012	Leadership Team will meet monthly to monitor student progress and effectiveness of the writing instruction and the use of content area journals	Administration Reading Coach Writing Teachers
Teaching the use of revision and editing strategies.	Grades K-5	Reading Coach	K-5 Teachers	September 2012 October 2012	Monitor student writing portfolios, notebooks or journals	Administration Reading Coach
Teaching mechanics and focus	Grades K-5	Reading Coach	K-5 Teachers	September 2012 October 2012	Monitor student writing portfolios, notebooks or journals	Administration Reading Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1.1 Comprehensive lessons emphasizing magnified moments, mechanics and focus.	Journals, sticky notes, highlighters, chart paper	School Improvement Grant	\$1,400.00
1.2 Comprehensive lessons emphasizing spelling strategies and patterns.	Spelling Strategies and Patterns: What Kids Need to Know	School Improvement Grant	\$55.00
Subtotal: & 1, 455.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1.1 Comprehensive lessons emphasizing magnified moments, mechanics and focus.	Brain Pop	Title I	\$375.00
Subtotal:\$ 375.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1.2 Teachers will model elaboration and details in writing during whole group and small group instruction and incorporate state scored prompts and mentor text.	Substitute Funds	School Improvement Grant	\$500.00
1.2 Teachers will model descriptive feedback in writing during whole group and small group instruction.	Substitute Funds	School Improvement Grant	\$500.00
Subtotal:\$ 1, 000.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

1.3 Provide small group pull-out	Small Group Pull-Out Sessions	School Improvement Grant	\$25,000.00
instruction and provide individual	for Students		
student conferencing			
and feedback via a Writing			
Interventionist.			
Subtotal:\$25,000.00			
Total:\$27,830.00			

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)</u></u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for womening	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical		1			
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.				2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L					ļ		

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	1	1					
Achievement Levels	1	1					
4 and 5 in U.S.	1	1					
History.	2012 Current	2013 Expected		ł			
U.S. History Goal #2:		Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		1					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1	'					
	L'	Ĺ′					I

<u>0.5. Ilistor y 1 101</u>						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Professional Development

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	i	i	I	L	1	1
1. Attendance	1.1. Lack	1.1. Review		1.1.Review of daily	1.1.Agendas and sign	
	of parental	the school-	Administration, Student	attendance bulletin by the	in sheets from parent	
			Services Community	administration, teachers,	meetings and parent	
			Involvement Specialist	student services, and	conferences.	
	importance	plan with	(CIS),	Community Involvement	connerences.	
	of attending	all parents		Specialist		
	school	during Open				
	regularly	House. Have				
		the Social				
		Worker, the				
		Community				
		Involvement				
		Specialist				
		(CIS)				
		and the				
		Counselor				
		set up parent				
		conferences				
		with the				
		Lowest 25%				
		performing				
		students to				
		reinforce				
		how				
		important				
		it is for a				
		student to be				
		present and				
		engage in				
		learning on				
		a continuous				
		basis.				

Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
Attendance Goar #1.		Attendance			
TI 141	Rate:*	Rate:*			
The gour this year is to	<u></u>	<u></u>			
increase attendance from					
95.97 % to 96.47% by					
minimizing absences due					
to truancy and by creating					
a school climate where					
parents, students and					
faculty feel welcomed and					
appreciated.					
In addition, the goal					
this year is to decrease					
the number of students					
with excessive absences					
(10 or more) by 8 points					
difference, and excessive					
tardiness (10 or more) by					
5points difference.					
	95.97% (583)	96.47% (586)			
	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
		Number of			
		Students with			
		Excessive			
		Absences			
	(10 or more)	(10 or more)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	153	145			
		2013 Expected			
		Number of			
		Students with			
		Excessive			
	Tardies (10 or				
		more)			
	97	92			

arri to s mis sch Lac par inv	riving school, issing hool days. ack of irental volvement	students sign an attendance contract. Have the social worker, the counselor, and the CIS personnel monitor on a weekly basis the attendance and identify students with 3 or more absences. Set up parent conferences to find out if they are in need of assistance. Recognize students with perfect attendance over Closed- circuit TV and provide them with certificates/incentives to motivate other students.	Administration Student Services, Community Involvement Specialist (CIS)	attendance bulletin for the entire week and identify students to be targeted for improvement.	in sheets and closed-circuit TV announcements.	
1.3.	5.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increase Attendance Rate	K-5	Counselor	Teachers and Student Services Team	Monthly	Review the attendance plan with the teachers. The Assistant Principal, the counselor, the social worker and the CIS will monitor its implementation by reviewing the attendance rate weekly.	Assistant Principal, Counselor , Social Worker and CIS
Decrease excessive absences and tardiness	K-5	Counselor	Teachers and Student Services Team	Monthly		Assistant Principal, Counselor , Social Worker and CIS

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Have parents and students sign an attendance contract. Have the social worker, the counselor to monitor, on a weekly basis, the attendance and identify students with 3 or more absences. Set up parent conferences to find out if they are in need of assistance. Recognize students with perfect attendance over Closed-circuit TV and provide them with certificates/incentives to motivate other students	Provide incentives for perfect attendance in order to motivate students and increase the attendance rate.	EESAC	\$1,000.00
Subtotal: \$ 1, 000.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Review the school-wide attendance plan with all stakeholders during Open House. Have the Social Worker, the Community Involvement Specialist (CIS) and the Counselor set up parent conferences with the Lowest 25% performing students to reinforce how important it is for a student to be present and engaged in learning on a continuous basis.	Hourly pay for staff to conduct meetings and conferences before or after school	School Improvement Grant (SIG)	\$500.00
Subtotal: \$ 500.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	r. r		

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$ 1, 500.00			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension	Problem-			represents next to the p		
Goal(s)	solving					
	Process to					
	Decrease					
	Suspension					
	_					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
reference to "Guiding	Darrier		Responsible for Wontoring	Strategy		
Questions," identify and						
define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Suspension	1.1. The inability	1.1. Put into	1.1. Administration	1.1. Monitor the Number	1.1. Suspension	
	-		Student Services Team,		Report COGNOS	
				COGNOS and the number		
	1	wide Positive		of behavioral referrals	school 's suspension	
		Behavior System			log	
	follow the rules	(PBS) plan.				
	U	Share the				
		PBS plan and Student Code				
		of Conduct's				
		expectations and				
	-	consequences				
		as a result of a				
		violation with				
		the students				
		and the parents				
		during Open				
		House, parent				
		conferences,				
		and grade level orientations.				
	icarining	orientations.				

<u>of In –School</u> Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions					
0	0					
of Students	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
<u>0</u>	0					
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
11	10					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students. Suspended Out- of-School					
<u>10</u>	9					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

		ciopinent				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
The Student Code of Conduct	K-5	School-Wide Parents	School-Wide Parents	September 2012		Principal Assistant Principal
School-Wide Positive Behavior Systems Plan	K-5	School-Wide	School-Wide	August 2012 – June 2012	Monitor classroom/hallway behavior via walkthroughs and review of behavior referrals	PBS Coach Assistant Principal Counselors

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
School-Wide Positive Behavior Systems Plan	Students' Incentives	School Improvement Grant	\$1,000.00
Subtotal:\$ 1,000.00			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$1,000.00			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.				
		2013 Expected				

data for graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	of School's		Counselor Community Involvement Specialist	1.1. Monthly Review of Parent Compacts	1.1. Parent Compact Log	
Parent Involvement Goal #1: During the 2011- 2012 school year 438 parent compacts were collected. The goal for the 2012- 2013 school year is to increase the number of parent compacts collected by 20% from 438 to 458.		2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*				

438	8 458	}					
	conta paren to the schec and c	tact with H ents due p heir work te edule w constant to location ra	.2 Contact of Iomeroom students' parent/guardian by eachers during the first veek of school in order o start establishing a apport between the parent and the teacher.		1.2. Review Teacher 's Communication Log during walkthroughs	1.2. Teachers communication logs	
	paren invol in inforn schoo funct	ental w olvement pr ormative co ool en ctions/ to etings ir	parents needs and	Counselors and Community Involvement	1.3. Review Workshop and meetings sign-in sheets and logs	1.3. Workshop/Meetings sign-in sheets/logs	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			

PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

	i	1
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Issuing certificates and refreshments during	EESAC	\$ 500.00
the meetings with stakeholders as means to		
increase their enrollment or involvement.		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
-		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Issuing certificates and refreshments during the meetings with stakeholders as means to increase their enrollment or involvement. Description of Resources	Issuing certificates and refreshments during the meetings with stakeholders as means to increase their enrollment or involvement. EESAC Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool 1. Additional Goal 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current Level.* 2013 Expected Level.* 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1.		Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current Level :* 2013 Expected Level :* Enter narrative for the goal in Level :*	data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
Enter narrative for the goal in				1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Enter narrative for the goal in		Level :*				
Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.		data for current	data for expected goal in this box.				
1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3.							

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	ļ			
Subtotal:	<u> </u>			
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: :\$6,338.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total: \$129.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$2,900.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$1,600.00
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$27,830.00
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$1,500.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total:\$1,000.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$500.00
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Town

Grand Total:\$41,797.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership ComplianceX

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

 \Box Yes \Box No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

N/A

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The School Advisory Council will support, through funding, the Positive Behavior System Plan, student achievement and student attendance program. Moreover, the School Advisory Council (SAC), through funding will sponsor the monthly Accelerated Reader celebrations which entail the giveaway of books and prizes.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
The School Advisory Council will support a school wide program by facilitating the purchase of materials, incentives, and	\$2,500.00
instructional materials to further the implementation of school wide programs.	