Florida Department of Education



2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Palencia Elementary	District Name: St. Johns County Schools		
Principal: Donald Campbell	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner		
SAC Chair: Jennifer Ledford, Kimberli Nalvin	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012		

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
		Certification(s)	Years at	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest
			Current School	Administrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Don Campbell	Elementary Education,	1 st year	30	"A" School 2007-2011, AYP achieved 2007-2011. 90% of students
		School Principal (all			met high standards in Writing in 2010-2011. More than 90% of
		levels)			students have met high standards In Reading and Math for three
					consecutive years (2008-2011).
Assistant	Kathleen Baker	Ed Leadership (all levels),	1 st year	1 st year	Taught 14 years at Cunningham Creek Elementary which received
Principal		Elementary Ed. Gr. 1-6			"A" grades for each of those years; 1 year as a district Peer Evaluator
		ESOL endorsement			at 7 "A" rated schools within the district.

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instruction	Sharon Hackney	Emotional Handicapped (K-12), ESOL, Reading Endorsement	1 st year	3	AYP Achieved 2009-2010 "A" School 2009-2012

<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1.	The teachers for this new school were selected from an in- district pool of over 300 interested teachers. Over 150 of these applicants, from other "A" schools, were interviewed by Mr. Campbell. As additional teachers are now being needed due to expanding student enrollment, a team of teachers serves on an interview and selection committee to select the most qualified candidates for open positions. The Gallop Teacher Insight tool is also used to screen applicants.	Don Campbell, Kathleen Baker and teacher selection team.	Annually or as needed	All teachers are highly qualified.
2.	Extensive training took place over the summer in order to prepare the teachers for the innovative programs at this new school. All teachers were trained by Pearson representatives on use of digital textbooks for all subjects and also attended workshops on implementing Common Core curriculum. They participated in School Vision day and completed a three-day training for implementing the student Leadership model using Steven Covey's Habits of Highly Effective People. Teachers will continue to learn and collaborate on their instructional practices monthly in half-day grade level sessions.	Don Campbell and Kathleen Baker	Ongoing	
3.				
4.				

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
N/A			

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
33	3% (1)	45% (15)	39% (13)	12% (4)	27% (9)	N/A	27% (9)	3% (1)	82% (27)

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Jennifer Ledford	Cheryl Kerekes	Previous knowledge of each other. Jennifer is a Nationally Board Certified Teacher	Monthly meetings, peer observations, daily support as needed

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A		
Title I, Part C- Migrant		
Title I, Part D		
Title II		
Title III		
Title X- Homeless		
April 2012		

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Rtl Core Team includes: Don Campbell, Principal; Kathleen Baker, Assistant Principal; Sharon Hackney, Instructional Literacy Coach; Kathy Cook, Guidance; Allison Smith, School Psychologist.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets weekly with a defined agenda to review the status of ongoing RtI plans, possible RtI referrals, and students on our "watch list". The RtI Leadership Team prepares the agenda of items to discuss with teachers during the following days progress monitoring session with grade level teams, including strategies for academic and behavioral success. The team also monitors the growth of all students currently with an RtI plan.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team analyzes the previous years' data and makes recommendations to the SAC chair and principal on performance goals. They are instrumental in gathering viable data throughout the year and utilizing the feedback of teachers regarding student progress. The team also works with administration in developing and implementing RtI procedures and setting guidelines regarding the referral process.

MTSS Implementation Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline Data: Reading/Math - FCAT results; Discovery Education results for Reading, Math, & Science; STAR results. Writing- School-wide/District writing prompts. Behavior- checklists, charts. Ongoing Data: Reading/Math/Science- Discovery Education: results, STAR results. Writing- Writing Prompts, Behavior-Checklists and Charts End of Year Data: Reading/Math/Science- FCAT results, Discovery Education: results, STAR results, STAR results; Writing- FCAT results, Writing Prompts; Behavior-Checklists and Charts Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. School-based training will occur throughout the school year at Wednesday training sessions. Describe plan to support MTSS. Numerous remediation programs have been purchased for used by classroom teachers in small group settings based on needs uncovered from analysis of student data. Teachers will be given support from the Literacy Coach and district personnel as needed to implement these effectively through periodic workshops and classroom modeling. Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Don Campbell, Principal; Kathleen Baker, Assistant Principal; Sharon Hackney, Instructional Literacy Coach; Melanie Tahan, Media Specialist; Kathy Cook, Guidance Counselor;; Allison Smith, School Psychologist.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT establishes a common vision for the use of data-based decision making to ensure that all stakeholders are correctly implementing RtI procedures and best practices, conducting appropriate assessments of skills and providing necessary support to ensure effective instruction and maximum learning. The LLT communicates with all appropriate stakeholders' individual RtI plans, student progress and comparison data. The team also communicates, models, and evaluates strategies for successful implementation of Marzano's research-based best practices. The team provides information about core instruction, participates in data collection, delivers instruction and interventions, collaborates with staff and stakeholders to ensure effectiveness and supports stakeholders with materials, instruction, training, and resources.

As a team, the LLT will meet weekly to analyze data from STAR, Think Link, Writing Prompts, student grades, attendance records, behavioral checklists, and standardized test results to discuss specific student needs, and progress or lack thereof. Specific goals will be established for students performing below standards. The progress will be further monitored at future meetings and each six weeks during assessment windows.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To increase the number of students reaching mastery and exceeding district/state standards.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment			Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Sharegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring	a new school and early data is inconsistent.	more accurate			1a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data	

Reading Goal #1a: The school was not in operation in 2011- 2012. For 2012-2013, our goal will be to have 31% of our students scoring a Level 3.	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	32%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Reading Goal #1b: N/A Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.		Enter numerical					
	current level of	data for expected level of performance in this box.					

		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.	a new school and early data is inconsistent.	more accurate information after Discovery Ed and STAR assessments.		2a.1. Study Discovery Ed and STAR assessments after assessments are complete.	2a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data		
Reading Goal #2a: The school was not in operation for the 2011- 12 school year. For 2012-13, our goal will be have 41% of our students scoring a level 4 and 5.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

		41%					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
		24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	20.5	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Reading Goal #2b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

		C1 1	D D :/:				,
Based on the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student achievement data,	Barrier		Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and reference			Monitoring	Strategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
	3a.1 This is	3a 1 We'll have	3a.1.Sharon Hackney	3a 1 Study Discovery Ed	3a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery		
		more accurate	surrisharon machiney	and STAR assessments	Ed and STAR data		
i el centage ol	and early data	information		after assessments are			
students making	is inconsistent.	after Discovery		complete.			
Learning Gains		Ed and STAR		1			
in reading.		assessments.					
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
The senoor mus not	Performance:*	Performance:*					
in operation during							
the 2011-12 school							
year. For 2012-13,							
we expect that 70% of							
our students will make							
Learning Gains in							
reading.							
		2 00/					
		70%					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	

		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
		54.5.	54.5.	54.5.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
Learning Gains							
in reading.							
U							
Pending Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #3b:2	Level of	Level of					
I	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
a	data for	data for					
C	current level of	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
		-					
	A	<u>.</u>	n n v				
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data,	Daillei		Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference			interning	Stategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	a new school and early data is inconsistent.	more accurate information after Discovery Ed and STAR assessments.		4a.1. Study Discovery Ed and STAR assessments after assessments are complete.	4a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data		
Reading Goal #4a: The school was not in operation during the 2011-12 school year. For the 2012- 13 school year, we anticipate 50% of the Lowest 25% will make learning gains in reading.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	50%					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Reading Goal #4b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
						4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010- 2011 <u>N/A</u>					
Reading Goal #5A: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to make a prediction.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Reading Goal #5B: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						5B.2. 5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
#5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5C.2. 5C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Reading Goal #5D: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to make a prediction.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	No data available	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the	Antioinat-1	Stratage	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
achievement data,	Durrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference			U				
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
r caung.							
Deading Coal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal	Level of	Level of					
<u>#5E:</u>	Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
At this point,							
we do not							
have enough							
demographic							
data to make a							
prediction.							
	No data	Enter numerical					
	No aata available.	Enter numerical data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		$UU\Lambda_*$					

	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Pearson Digital Textbooks	1-5	Pearson Publishing & Sharon Hackney	All teachers grades 1-5			Don Campbell, Kasey Baker and Sharon Hackney
iPad Digital Learning	K-5	IT Department, Staff Development, TSS, FLKRS	All teachers grades K-5	After School Training, Wednesday Early Release, PLC Meetings, Wednesday ROW meetings	Self Assessments, Year End Review	Don Campbell, Kasey Baker

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		

funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide interventions to struggling students	Early Interventions in Reading, Phonics for Reading, Visualizing and Verbalizing, DRA & DAR,	SAI Funds	\$7,723
Develop a strong independent learning environment with students	Accelerated Reader		\$9,600
Subtotal: \$17,323			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement a fully digital learning environment	iPads, Digital Curriculum	New School Construction Funds	\$140,000
Subtotal:\$140,000			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Train teachers in the use of digital teaching and learning	Using technology for assisting children who are struggling	Internal Accounts	\$4,000
Subtotal: \$4,000			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$161,323			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

				1		1
CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving					
	Process to Increase					
	Language Acquisition					
	Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
understand spoken English at	_		for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
grade level in a manner similar				of		
to non-ELL students.				Strategy		
it students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
proficient in Listening/						
Speaking.						
1 0						
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CELLA Goal #1.	Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	<u> </u>					
At this point, we do						
not have enough						
demographic data to						
answer this question.						
						ļ
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
	of polycontinee in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
grade level text in a manner			for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
similar to non-ELL students.				of		
				Strategy		

2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proncient în Reading.						
<u>CELLA Goal #2:</u> At this point, we do	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :					
not have enough						
demographic data to answer this question.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #3: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
					2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	early data is	la.1.We'll have more accurate information after Discovery Ed and STAR assessments.	1a.1.Sharon Hackney	1a.1. Study Discovery Ed and STAR assessments after assessments are complete.	1a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data		
Mathematics Goal #1a: The school was not in operation during the 2011- 12 school year. For the 2012- 13 school year, we anticipate that 31% will score a Level 3 in Mathematics.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	31%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	

		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		10.01	14.5.				
1). The state	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
1b. Florida	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.	10.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	L						
mathematics.							
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<u>#1b:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r errormanee.	r errormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
		10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			wontoring	Sualegy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	new school and early data is inconsistent.	more accurate information after Discovery Ed and STAR assessments.		2a.1. Study Discovery Ed and STAR assessments after assessments are complete.	2a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data		
Mathematics Goal #2a: The school was not in operations during the 2011-12 school year. For the 2012-13 school year, we anticipate that 34% will score a Level 4 and 5 in mathematics.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		34%					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2b.2.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

_

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	36.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.			3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	new school and early data is inconsistent.	more accurate information after Discovery Ed and STAR assessments.	4a.1.Sharon Hackney	4a.1. Study Discovery Ed and STAR assessments after assessments are complete.	4a.1. FCAT Data, Discovery Ed and STAR data		
Mathematics Goal #4a: The school was not in operations during the 2011- 12 school year. For 2012-13, we anticipate that 50% of our lowest 25% will make learning gains in math.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		50%					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	46.1.	46.1.		
#4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in this box.	expected level of performance in this box.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5B: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: 5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	

		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
April 2012		1	1	1	1	1	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students	Anticipated Barrier 5D.1.	Strategy 5D.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 5D.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 5D.1.	Evaluation Tool 5D.1.		
sb. students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	50.1.		<i>J</i> J .1.	JD.1.	JD.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5D: At this point, we do not have enough demographic data to answer this question.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	55.5.	00.0.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
"Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not							
making satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5E·	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
At this point,							
we do not							
have enough							
demographic							
data to answer							
this question.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this					
	unis DOX.	box.					

	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through		•				
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded	/		
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Provide additional resources for project	Go Problem Solve, Accelerated math,	SAI	\$1,578
based learning and RtI interventions			
Subtotal: \$1,578			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement a fully digital learning environment	iPads, Digital textbooks	New School Construction Funds	\$140,000
Individualized Learning Opportunities	Accelerated Math	District Technology Funds	\$13,636
Subtotal: \$153,636			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Train teachers in the use of digital teaching and learning	Teacher training in math for students who are struggling and for independent learning	Internal Accounts	\$4,000
Subtotal: \$4,000			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$159,214			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	Anticipated Barrier 1a.1.	Strategy 1a.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1a.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Science Goal #1a: At this point, we do not have enough data to	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
answer this question.						

	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1b.2.	
		1b.3.				1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
scoring at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and							
5 in science.							
5 m science.							
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
Selence Goal #2a.	Level of	Level of					
At this point, we do not	Performance:*	Performance:*					
At this point, we do not							
have enough data to							
answer this question.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for					
		expected level of performance in this					
		box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

	1		i				
	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
Science Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this					
	box.	box.	21- 2	2b.2.	21-2	2b.2.	
		2b.2.	2b.2.	20.2.	2b.2.	20.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide an environment for hands-on project based learning	Discovery Ed,	Internal Accounts	\$1,520
Subtotal: \$1,520			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			F		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Writing Goal #1a: At this point, we do not have enough data to answer this question.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
				1a.3.		1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		

Writing Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance			e represents next to the p		× //	
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Attendance Goal #1: At this point, we do not have enough data to answer this question.	2012 Current Attendance Rate.* Enter numerical data	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for					
	for current attendance rate in this box. 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	expected attendance rate in this box. 2013 Expected					
	for current number of	Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.					

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.	for expected number of					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.		
April 2012		

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

¥.	1			represents next to the p	ereentage (e.g. 707	, (<i>30</i>)).	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Goui(5)	-						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
reference to "Guiding				Strategy			
Questions", identify and define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
1. Suspension							
Suspension Goal #1:		2013 Expected					
_	of In–School	Number of					
At this point,		In- School					
we do not have		Suspensions					
enough data							
to answer this							
question.							
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data					
	for current number of	for expected number of					
	in-school suspensions	in-school suspensions					
		2013 Expected					
	of Students	Number of Students					
	of Students Suspended	Number of Students Suspended					
	of Students Suspended In-School	Number of Students Suspended In -School					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in- school					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school 2012 Number of	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in-school 2013 Expected					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school 2012 Number of Out-of-School	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in-school 2013 Expected Number of					
	of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in-school 2013 Expected					

for current ni students susp out- of- schoo	ol out- of- school					
2012 Total 1 of Students. Suspended Out- of- Sch	Suspended					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Suspension Budge	et (Insert row	s as needed)				

Include only school-based funded

activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Problem-

Goal(s)	solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
At this point, we do not have enough data to answer this question. However, we will attempt to receive the Golden School Award and 5- STAR Award in our first year of operation.	level of Parent	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*				
	level of parent	Enter numerical data for expected level of parent involvement in this box.				

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Activities that encourage parents to be a partner in the learning of their child.	РТО	\$7,000
	Description of Resources Description of Resources Activities that encourage parents to be a	Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources Funding Source Provide a PTO

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving				
STEM Goul(3)	Process to				
	Increase Student				
	Achievement				
		0		n Hansal	
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
At this point, we do not have enough data to answer this question. Palencia Elementary will be a pilot STEM school for the 2012-13 school year. We have implemented a Lego Lab for project based learning, have special Wednesday lessons that are project based, are having students grow two different types of gardens, have a complete Digital Learning Environment, and lesson plans reflect the Common Core Standards focusing on STEM activities.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Lego Lab for hand-on, project based activities	Lego Learning Lab	Donations	\$2,000
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide strong instruction in Project Based learning	Paraprofessional in Lego Lab	Staffing Allocation	\$26,000
Subtotal: \$26,000			
Total: \$28,000			

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal	1. ^{Time}	1.1. Schedule special events that will help to meet this goal.	1.1. Kasey Baker	1.1. Number of activities completed during the year.	1.1. Calendar of Events	
Additional Goal #1: Palencia Elementary will develop a strong Character Counts and "Leader in Me" program during the 2012-13 school year.	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				

	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

		Subtotal:
		Technology
es Funding Source Amount	Description of Resources	Strategy
		Subtotal:
		Professional Development
es Funding Source Amount	Description of Resources	Strategy
		Subtotal:
		Other
es Funding Source Amount	Description of Resources	Strategy
		Subtotal:
		Total:
	Description of Resources	Subtotal: Other Strategy Subtotal:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$161,323
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$159,214
Science & STEM Budget	
	Total: \$29,500
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$7,000
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: \$357,037

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		

Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

 \Box Yes \Box No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

This is the initial year for the Palencia SAC. Parents and staff will learn the functions of SAC, participate in the budget process, approve the School Improvement Plan, and help to be the liaison between the community and the school.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
None Anticipated	