Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Saint Clair Evans Academy	District Name: Duval
Principal: Shana Adams	Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Dannals
SAC Chair: Iva Smith	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at		statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest
		Certification(s)	Current School	Years as an	25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
				Administrator	

Principal	Shana Adams	BA Elementary Education K-12,University of North Florida Masters of Education Jacksonville University- Ed. Leadership K-12	3	10	Principal 2011-2012-2nd year at Saint Clair Evans. Reading Mastery 38%, Math Mastery 48%, Science Mastery 25%, Writing 83%. Reading gains 64% and Math gains 69%, Bottom quartile in reading and math was 74% each. Principal 2010-2011. First year at Saint Clair Evans Academy, school grade rose from a C to a B. The school made AYP for the first time. Reading Mastery 51%, Math Mastery 64%, Science Mastery 30%. AYP 100% Principal Brookview Elementary in 2009-10 Grade A, Reading Mastery 78%, Math Mastery 86%, Science Mastery 58%, AYP % 92 2008-09 Grade A, Reading Mastery 84%, Math Mastery 87%, Science Mastery 45%, AYP 100%, 2007-08 Grade A, Reading Mastery 85%, Math Mastery 86%, Science Mastery 51%, AYP 95%,
Assistant Principal	Greg Dunnington	BA Education-Social Sciences 6-12 Fairmont State: Masters of Education UNF-Ed. Leadership K-12	8	22	Assistant Principal 2011-2012-8 th year at Saint Clair Evans. Reading Mastery 38%, Math Mastery 48%, Science Mastery 25%, Writing 83%. Reading gains 64% and Math gains 69%, Bottom quartile in reading and math was 74% each. Assistant Principal 2010-2011 Seventh year at Saint Clair Evans Academy, school grade rose from a C to a B. The school made AYP for the first time. Reading Mastery 51%, Math Mastery 64%, Science Mastery 30%. AYP 100% Vice Principal 2009-2010-Grade C, Reading 49%, Math 55%, Science 20%, Gains Reading 46%, Math gains 64%, AYP 87%. 2008-2009-Grade D, Reading 55%, Math 40%, Science 7%, AYP 77%, 2007-2008 Grade C, Reading 48%, Math 44%, Science 28%, AYP 92%

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of Years at	Number of Years as an Instructional	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)	Current School	Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
Alea		Certification(s)		200011	school year)
Reading Coach	Evascette Green	Professional Educator: Elementary Education K-6	3	3	2011-2012 2nd year as Reading Coach. Grade C, Reading Mastery 36%, Learning gains 64%, Lowest 25 74%, Writing 83%. 2010-2011 First full year Reading Coach. Grade B, Reading Mastery 51%, Learning Gains 60%, Lowest 25 68% 100% met AYP. 2008-2010: moved 40% of her bottom quartile to proficient. 77% overall gains. Received MAP pay two years in a row from a Challenged School. Part of a team that moved Ribault Middle from a D grade to a B grade.
Math Coach	Donneise Thompson	Professional Educator: Elementary Education K-6	3	3	2011-2012 2 nd year as Math Coach. Grade C, Math Mastery 48%, Learning Gains 69%, Lowest 25 74%. 2010-2011 First full year Math Coach. Grade B, Math mastery 64%, Learning Gains 80%, Lowest 25 88%. 100% met AYP 2008-2010: proficient scores were 77%-2009 and 88% in 2010. Gains scores were at 90% both years.
Science and Writing	Javaro Giles	Professional Educator: Elementary Education K-6	5	1	2012-2013 1st year as Instructional Coach. Assignment was based upon the following FCAT results from previous years. 2011-2012 61% proficient reading, 82% proficient math, 79% writing, 83% reading gains, 98% math gains, 88% bottom quartile reading, 100% bottom quartile math. 2010-2011 75% proficient reading, 87% proficient math, 87% writing, 75% reading gains, 82% math gains, 100% bottom quartile reading and math.

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Regularly scheduled meetings of new teachers with PDF and Administration.	Principal and PDF	Ongoing
2.	Partner new teachers with veteran staff for mentoring.	Instructional Coaches and Administration	Ongoing
3.	Establish a working environment of trust, commitment, and teamwork. Training on building relationships.	Administration and Leadership Team	Pre-planning and Ongoing
4.	Interview multiple candidates for vacant positions in order to select best possible person.	Administration and Leadership Team	Ongoing as positions become available.
5.	Provide meaningful professional development that strengthens instructional practice.	Administration and Leadership Team	Ongoing.
6.	New teachers participate in the district's MINT program that provides continued support, professional development and mentoring.	Administration, PDF and Leadership Team	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
10% (3 out of 30 teachers)	Completion of the MINT program as well as having satisfactory evaluations. Mentor support from grade level teachers. Professional development (school based and district) to improve instructional delivery. Support from Academic Coaches.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

То	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
tal	of	of	of	of	of	Hi	Re	Na	ES
	Fir	Te	Te	Te	Te	gh	adi	tio	OL
Nu	st-	ach	ach	ach	ach	ly	ng	nal	En
m	Ye	ers	ers	ers	ers	Ef	En	В	dor
ь	ar	wi	wit	wi	wi	fe	dor	oa	sed
er	Te	th	h 6-	th	th	cti	sed	rd	
of	ac	1-5	14	15+	Ad	ve	Te	Ce	Tea
In	he	Ye	Ye	Ye	va	Te	ac	rtif	che
str	rs	ars	ars	ars	nc	ac	her	ied	rs
uc		of	of	of	ed	he	S	Te	
tio		Exp	Exp	Exp	De	rs		ac	
nal		erie	erie	erie	gre			he	
St		nce	nce	nce	es			rs	
aff									

ſ	30	13	37	47	3%	27	90	0	0	27
ı		%	%	%	(1)	%	%			%
ı		(4)	(11)	(14)		(8)	(27			(8)
l						, ,)			

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor	Mentee	Rationale for	Planned
Name	Assigned	Pairing	Mentoring
			Activities

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Samantha	Lindsay	Ms.	Participat
Dixon	LaFontaine	LaFontaine	ion in the
		is a	district's
		beginning	MINT
		teacher	program
		from UNF	for new
		assigned to	teachers at
		2 nd grade.	the school
		Ms. Dixon	level which
		is the grade	includes:
		level chair	observing
		as well as	model
		the model	lessons;
		classroom	demonstrat
		for 2 nd grade.	ion lessons;
			support
			with
			planning
			instruction,
			classroom
			manage
			ment and
			impleme
			ntation of
			effective
			teaching
			strategies.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Meshellia	Beth	Ms.	Participat
Hughes	McQueen	McQueen is	ion in the
		new to Saint	district's
		Clair Evans	MINT
		Academy	program
		although she	for new
		has teaching	teachers at
		experience	the school
		at private	level which
		schools. She	includes:
		is currently	observing
		assigned to	model
		3 rd grade.	lessons;
		Ms. Hughes	demonstrat
		is a veteran	ion lessons;
		3 rd grade	support
		teacher who	with
		had the	planning
		highest % of	instruction,
		proficiency	classroom
		on the grade	manage
		level.	ment and
			impleme
			ntation of
			effective
			teaching
			strategies.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Monea	Verlina	Ms.	Participat
Brantley	Mobley	Mobley is	ion in the
		a beginning	district's
		teacher	MINT
		assigned to	program
		1st grade.	for new
		Ms. Brantley	teachers at
		is the grade	the school
		level chair.	level which
		Ms. Brantley	includes:
		is an	observing
		experienced	model
		Instructional	lessons;
		Coach. As	demonstrat
		a classroom	ion lessons;
		teacher, her	support
		students	with
		consistently	planning
		out-	instruction,
		performed	classroom
		those on her	manage
		grade level.	ment and
			impleme
			ntation of
			effective
			teaching
			strategies.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Sonja Sams	Katrina	Ms.	Participat
	Thomas	Thomas is	ion in the
		a beginning	district's
		teacher	MINT
		from UNF	program
		assigned to	for new
		3 rd grade.	teachers at
		Ms. Sams is	the school
		the graded	level which
		level chair	includes:
		as well as	observing
		a model	model
		classroom	lessons;
		for Saint	demonstrat
		Clair Evans.	ion lessons;
		Her scores	support
		were the	with
		highest %	planning
		on the grade	instruction,
		level.	classroom
			manage
			ment and
			impleme
			ntation of
			effective
			teaching
			strategies.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I funds are used for additional teachers and support staff to meet the needs of our students. Supplemental Educational Services provide after school tutoring opportunities for all students at Saint Clair Evans Academy. Educational resources and materials are available through these funds.
Title I Parent Involvement, totally approximately \$4100.00 will be used to provide parents and community members with meaningful training and workshops on standards-based instruction throughout the year. Funds are utilized to purchase supplies and materials for the Parent Center, to pay for catering of parent events, and to provide materials for parent take-home activities.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
N/A
Title I, Part D
N/A
Title II
N/A
Title III
N/A
Title X- Homeless
N/A
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI Funds are used to operate Saturday School during February, March, and April prior to FCAT Administration. Saint Clair Evans focuses on intensive instruction in reading, math, science, and writing during these selected morning sessions throughout the three month period. The school targets our three subgroups.

Violence Prevention Programs
Saint Clair Evans offers Character Education in all grades, focusing on being responsible for self. Foundations program provides a framework for an overall safe and civil school. Champs implementation in the classroom provides the necessary tools for positive classroom management.
Nutrition Program
Saint Clair Evans participates in the Breakfast in the Classroom program. Our large percentage of free and reduced lunch students allows us to provide a nutritional breakfast to all students each day.
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
N/A
Other
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

2012-2013 School In	provement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
---------------------	----------------	------------	-------

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
Administration: Principal and Assistant Principal model the school vision of using data to make decisions, ensure that RtI is implemented, provide professional development for state to support RtI, require intervention support for students with documentation, and communicate with parents regarding the RtI process.
RtI Facilitator: Member of the school leadership team, acts as liaison for implementation of RtI at the school level, receives ongoing RtI training and presents information to the school; provides direct intervention services to an identified group of students and tracks student progress; guides the school in using data to make decisions about interventions and strategies that support RtI.
General Education Teacher: Student data collection, provide staff with core instruction information, coordinated Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 instruction/intervention materials for implementation of student activities and collaborates with staff on problem solving.
ESE Teacher: Student data collection, determines if further assessment is necessary, collaborate with general ed. teachers through inclusion, facilitation or consultation; coordinates instruction/activities/materials for Tier 2 and Tier 3 students.
Instructional Coaches and Reading and Math Interventionists: Develop, lead, evaluate school content standards/programs; provide support for assessments, guide the K-5 reading plan, provide professional development for instruction, intervention and support of RtI, data collection and analysis, assists screening programs that provide early intervening service for children considered "at risk", supports the implementation for Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 intervention plans.
Guidance Counselor: Coordinate child-serving and community agencies to the school and families. Supports student academic, emotional, behavioral and social success; provides consultation services to general ed. and Students with Disabilities teachers, parents, and administration; conducts direct observation of student behavior.

Foundations Team Chair: Provides information about school-wide and classroom behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; collaborates with

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

staff to implement behavioral interventions.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Leadership Team will focus on getting the "best" from the students, staff, and community. Academic and behavioral questions to consider are:

- What do we expect the students to learn?
- How do we know they have or have not learned what was expected?
- What will we do when they do or don't learn?
- What evidence do we have to support our responses to these questions?

During weekly meetings, the team will discuss the effectiveness of Reading, Math, Science, and Writing instruction based upon student data and classroom observations. Students meeting/exceeding expectations, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks will be identified through the analyzing of grade level data. Base upon this information, professional development needs, instructional adjustments, or resource availability will be discussed. The priority will be to problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The goal is to build consensus on the decision making process.

Each grade level will carry the work forward with smaller groups of students. This academic and behavioral work will include the following, beginning with Tier I core instruction and continuing through Tier 2 supplemental instruction/intervention.

- Identifying and analyzing systematic patterns or student need.
- Identifying appropriate evidence-based differentiation and intervention strategies.
- Implementing and overseeing progress monitoring.
- Analyzing monitoring data and determining next steps.

For the most intensive interventions at Tier 3 in the 2012-2013 school year, the current RtI structure will be used collaboratively with the building of instructional teams to provide classroom support for students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Building Leadership Team leads the faculty in the review of the data and with input from the school's instructional teams. The development of the initial draft of the School Improvement Plan utilizes the template provided by the DOE. Problem solving strategies are utilized to analyze student data. Concerns are identified. Interventions and strategies are developed to address instructional and achievement needs in order to meet the goals of the School Improvement Plan. The draft SIP reviewed by the School Advisory Council for recommendations. The Leadership Team finalizes the plan.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Aug. Diagnostic (Summative), FAIR, Progress Monitoring and Reporting System (PMRN), DRA, Sept. Benchmark Test, Previous year FCAT.

Progress Monitor: PMRN, Core Reading Assessments, PMA'S, FCAT Explorer, Success Maker, Florida Achieves, District Writing Prompts.

Mid Year: Dec. Benchmark Test, FAIR, DRA, Grade Level Scrimmages, District Writing Prompts, Content Area PMA.

End of Year: February Benchmark Test, 2013 FCAT, FAIR, DRA, District Writing Prompts, Success Maker, Content Area PMA, SAT 10.

Frequency of Data: Analysis twice a month during Early Release Training days.

Behavior tracking is done through the grade levels. Frequency of infractions, locations, and times of day are studied through our Foundation Team. Possible solutions and interventions are discussed and developed. Genesis will provide student information regarding attendance, referrals, and suspensions. Person Inform manages student academic performance data throughout the year.

Describe the plan to train	staff on MTSS.
----------------------------	----------------

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will participate in district level training. The Team utilizes district information and materials to train the school community. Early release days, planning days, grade level meetings, as well as resource time will be dedicated to staff development.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

- Support is provided by the Administration in terms of personnel needed for the system to be successful.
- Release time for teachers to participate in selected meetings.
- Securing a building location as a consistent meeting place.
- Provide relevant professional development to stay abreast of the most current trends in education.
- Selection of dedicated team members whose work is for the good of the school with student's best interest in mind.
- Puchase necessary resources that contribute directly to the school's success.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
The Literacy Leadership Team is led by the Administration, Reading Interventionist, Writing Coach, and Reading Coach. Each grade level will have one representative as part of the Team to serve as decision makers about the curriculum practices in reading and writing. The focus is "best practices" that improve reading and writing performance for all students
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The district's reading/language arts philosophy is clear in suggesting that a successful reading teacher not only teaches a child how to read, but also incorporated strategies that foster a love of reading and prepares the student to enjoy a lifetime of reading. In support of the district's reading goals and our school based reading goals, we have established a literacy team to assist with aligning the DCPS Comprehensive K-12 Reading Plan with the School Improvement Plan. Team members review current and longitudinal data to ensure the successful implementation of the proven research based strategies to support reading instruction.

The Literacy Team assesses faculty professional development needs and formulates plans on effective implementation of targeted reading goals within our surrounding community. Our main goal is to continuously address the instructional rigor in our reading curriculum and the manner in which it is being delivered across all content and grade levels. Next steps are established to improve reading achievement for all students.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
The major initiative is to raise the proficiency numbers in Reading on the state assessment. Our goal is reduce the non-proficient numbers in all sub-groups of students in grades 3, 4 and 5 by 10% in order to qualify for "safe harbor" in the school grading process. In primary grades, FAIR assessments, DRA, and PMA's from the core curriculum will be targeted for improvement throughout the school year.
Specific professional development for the staff will include: Effective Guided Reading, Instructional Rigor and High Order Questioning, Differentiated Instruction, Using Data to Drive Instruction, value of the anchor lessons, and unpacking benchmarks. These activities will be part of Early Release Days, planning days, grade level meetings, coaching/modeling support, lesson study groups, and faculty meetings.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Saint Clair Evans Academy has two Pre-Kindergarten classes for the preschool students residing in the school's attendance area. The Pre-K program is funded via Title I money. The program has stringent guidelines and procedures to equip students with the necessary skills for Kindergarten. Currently there are 18 students in each class. Parents and students must adhere to the Pre-K policies to stay active in the program. Students who attend are expected to master the Pre-K objectives of academic and social growth.

Within the first 45 days of enrollment, Kindergarten students are given 2 assessments: Florida Kindergarten Readiness Assessment (FLKRS) is designed to provide screening of each child's readiness for kindergarten. The FLKRS includes subset of the Early Childhood Observation System (ECHOS) and the first two measures of the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills to gather information on a child's development in emergent literacy. The results from these assessments are used to group students for differentiated instruction and to provide immediate intensive intervention if needed.

Saint Clair Evans Academy will schedule a series of workshops and informal meetings for preschool teachers whose students traditionally enter our school. The purpose of these sessions is to give those individuals the opportunity to discuss expectations, curriculum, and simple solutions to common classroom occurrences. In addition each of their preschool classes will be invited to our school during May to tour, meet the staff, and eat lunch in the cafeteria.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. Lack of		1A.1. Administration and	1A.1. Lesson plan review.	1A.1. Classroom observations to	
Students seeming of	instructional		Instructional Coaches	Classroom visits. Informal	determine frequency or higher-	
Students scoring at	rigor that	pre-planning,		observations, conversation with	order questioning technique.	
Achievement Level 3	promotes high	the faculty		students	Lesson plan and assessment	
in reading.	level thinking.	will include			review	
		higher-order				
		questioning				
		in their daily				
		lessons.				
		Grade levels				
		will develop				
		"question				
		banks" for				
		periodic				
		assessment.				
		assessificite.				
		Incorporate				
		Science reading				
		material into the				
		Literacy Block				
		to develop				
		informational				
		text skills.				
		Incorporate				
		Common				
		Core practices				
		of Reading,				
		Writing, and				
		Speaking				
		into the daily				
		instruction.				
			1			

Reading Goal #1A:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
In grades 3-5, 25% of the students will achieve a Level 3 Reading Mastery on the 2013 FCAT.							
	23% (45 of 195 students)	25% (52 of 209 students)					
		getting all benchmarks	1A.2. Develop a Content Focus Calendar to ensure heavily tested benchmarks are covered thoroughly prior to FCAT	Instructional Coaches	IA.2 Administration will monitor through informal classroom observations or walkthroughs. Review the learning schedule against the Focus Calendar	IA.2. Core Reading assessments, FAIR assessments, Benchmark Tests, PMA's from the core curriculum. Success Maker and Florida Achieves.	
			IA.3. Provide training in data analysis from a variety of assessments	Instructional Coaches	1A.3. Review all assessment data to ensure that students are being introduced to high order questions	1A.3. FAIR, Benchmark, PMA's from core curriculum	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		

Reading Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A We do not anticipate any students on the Alternate Assessment							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
	2A.1.	2A.1. From	2A.1. Administration and	2A.1. Lesson plan review.	2A.1. Classroom observations to	
		training during	Instructional Coaches		determine frequency or higher-	
Students scoring		pre-planning,			order questioning technique.	
at or above	performance	the faculty		students	Lesson plans review.	
Achievement Levels	from Level 4	will include				
4 in reading.	and 5 students	higher-order				
		questioning				
		in their daily				
		lessons.				
Reading Goal #2A:		2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
In grades 3-5, 17% of						
the students will achieve						
a Level 4 or 5 Reading Mastery on the 2013						
FCAT						
. 0/11						
	13 % (25 of 195	17% (35 of 209				
	students)	students)				

		L	b	L	L	L	
		2A.2.	2A.2. Develop "Strive for Five" student focus groups from our highest performers. Provide enrichment activities that will ensure a comfort level on rigorous tasks.	2A.2. Instructional coaches and Interventionists	2A.2. Assessment data from moderate and high complexity questions. Student survey to determine value of program	2A.2. FCAT Reading-State Assessment	
		2A.3.	2A.3. Ensure a rigorous curriculum for high performing students.	2A.3. Administration Grade Level Chair Classroom Teacher	2A.3. Assessment Data, comfort level of individual students with rigorous tasks.	2A.3. Differentiated activities documented within lesson plans.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading. Reading Goal #2B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #2B.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A We do not anticipate any students on the Alternate Assessment							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 0 students in this category.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

D 1 4 1 1 2	1 A 11 1 1 1	Ct :	n n 10	D H II II D :	F 1 (
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
and reference to "Guiding	Darrier					
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
	3A.1.	3A.1. Student	3A.1. Administration,	3A.1. Administrators will review	3A.1. Randomly select students,	
01201 0111 2000	Maintaining the		Instructional Coaches, Classroom	student conference logs during	then ask about instructional	
i ci centage oi		be conducted	Teacher	classroom visits.	conferences with their teacher	
		following	i cacher	orassi ooni visto.	conferences with their teacher	
learning gains in	and 5 students.	Core reading				
reading.		assessments,				
reading.	time, ensuring	scrimmages,				
	at least a year's					
	growth from	tests, and				
	lower achieving					
	students	class activities		1		
		to determine				
		level of				
		understanding.				
		Establish RtI				
		block within				
		the instructional				
		day for additional				
		instruction.				
		msu uction.				
Reading Goal #3A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	<u>Level of</u>	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*		1		
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
9-300 010 01000 5/0500	I			1		
In grades 4 and 5, 70% of						
the students will achieve						
learning gains in Reading						
on the 2013 FCAT						
	I			1		
	I			1		

	64% (84 of 131 students)	70% (120 of 172 students)					
	stuaents)	stuaents)					
			3A.2. Students will participate in tutorial programs available at school. Team Up and SES.	3A.2. Administration, Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up		3A.2. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of need	
			support school reading program by teaching reading strategies		walkthrough, observations, lesson plans.	3A.3. Core Reading assessments, scrimmages, Success Maker, and Florida Achieves.	
			provide additional instruction to lower performing students.	3A.4. Reading Interventionist.	3A.4. Analyze student work.	3A.4. Performance data from selected students.	
3B. Florida Alternate	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A We do not anticipate any students on the Alternate Assessment							

current level of performance	data for					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 CISON OF 1 OSITION	1 locess Osca to Determine	Evaluation 1001	
and reference to "Guiding						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
	4A.1. Ensuring	4A.1. Student	4A.1. Administration,	4A.1. Administrators will review	4A.1. Randomly select students,	
	that students	conferences to	Instructional Coaches, Classroom	student conference logs during	then ask about instructional	
Percentage of	far below	be conducted	Teacher, ESE Inclusion Teachers.	classroom visits.	conferences with their teacher.	
		following	reaction, ESE metasion reactions.	Observe the RtI block to ensure its	Analyze student data	
1				fidelity.	to determine levels of	
	a year's growth				improvement.	
		scrimmages,				
reading.		benchmark				
	assessment.	tests, and				
		differentiated				
		class activities				
		to determine				
		level of				
		understanding.				
		Provide				
		accommod				
		ations when				
		appropriate to				
		ensure student				
		growth.				
		Establish RtI				
		block within				
		the instructional				
		day for				
		additional				
		instruction.	ĺ			
			ĺ			

Reading Goal #4A:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
In grades 3-5, 79% of the students in the bottom quartile will achieve learning gains in Reading on the 2013 FCAT.							
	74% (24 of 33 students)	79% (26 of 33 students)					
		4A.2.	4A.2. Students will participate in tutorial programs available at school. Team Up and SES.	Classroom teacher, SES	programs. Encourage parents to	4A.2. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of need	
		4A.3.	4A.3. Media Resource class will support school reading program by teaching reading strategies	4A.3. Instructional Coaches	4A.3. Administrative walkthrough, observations, lesson plans.	4A.3. Core Reading assessments, scrimmages, Success Maker, and Florida Achieves.	
		4A.4	develop small group instruction teams.	ESE Teacher		4A.4. Student performance data.	
AB. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		

Reading Goal #4B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
N/A We do not anticipate any students on the Alternate Assessment							
	current level of performance in this box. No students in this category	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data						
school will reduce		_	_	_			
their achievement	2010-2011	<mark>36</mark>	<mark>44</mark>	<mark>50</mark>	<mark>55</mark>	<mark>61</mark>	<mark>67</mark>
gap by 50%.							
	<u>33%</u>						
Reading Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Saint Clair Evans will							
continue to reduce the							
achievement gap by the prescribed amounts each							
year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of				5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			
improvement for the							
following subgroups:							

Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making	SB.1. White: Black: Ensure that all students achieve at least a one year gain as measured on the state assessment regardless of the present level of performance. Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1. Student conferences to be conducted following Core reading assessments, scrimmages, benchmark tests, and differentiated class activities to determine level of understanding. Provide accommodations when appropriate to ensure student growth. Establish RtI block within the instructional day for additional instruction.	Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teacher, Reading Interventionist, ESE Inclusion teachers.	classroom visits. Observe the RtI block to ensure	then ask about instructional conferences with their teacher.		
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
In grades 3-5, 42% of the students will make satisfactory progress in Reading on the 2013 FCAT.							
	White: Black: 36% reading mastery	White: Black: 42% reading mastery					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.2.	in tutorial programs available at	Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up	programs. Encourage parents to take advantage of the additional instruction	5B.2. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of need	

	5B.3.	5B.3. Media Resource class will	5B.3. Administration and	5B.3. Administrative	5B.3. Core	
		support school reading program by	Instructional Coach	walkthrough, observations,	Reading	
		teaching reading strategies		lesson plans.	assessments,	
			RtI Team		scrimmages, etc	
		Review common assessments to		Grade levels will review results		
		identify instructional needs. Plan		of common assessments every	FAIR,	
		differentiated instruction		two weeks. Identify progress	Benchmarks,	
				toward mastery of benchmarks.	PMA's from	
		Plan supplemental instruction and			core curriculum.	
		intervention—includes explicit				
		instruction, modeled instruction,				
		guided practice, independent work				
		Targeted intervention for				
		students not responding to core				
		and supplemental instruction.				
		Interventions matched to individual				
		student				

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	l	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	reison of Position	Frocess Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding	Darrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
0 0 1 2 mgmsm	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Troubing Gour Wee.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
N. 7. 1							
N/A							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level					
	performance	of performance					
	in this box No students in this	in this box. No students in this					
	stuaents in this category	stuaents in this category					
	7		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
				1			
		50.0	500		50.0	50.0	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding	l		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Litectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the	l						
following subgroup:			Į.				

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	far below grade level achieve at least a year's growth as measured by the state assessment.	conferences to be conducted following Core reading	5D.1. Administration, Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teacher, ESE Inclusion Teacher, Reading Interventionist.	student conference logs during classroom visits. Observe the RtI block to ensure its	5D.1. Randomly select students, then ask about instructional conferences with their teacher. Analyze student data to determine levels of improvement	
Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	renormance.	renormance.				
In grades 3-5, 25% of Students with Disabilities will make satisfactory progress in Reading on the 2013 FCAT						
	11% (2 of 17 students) Reading Mastery	25% (5 of 20 students) Reading Mastery				

_	5D.2.	5D.2. Students will participate in tutorial programs available at school	5D.2. Administration, Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up	programs. Encourage parents to take advantage of the additional instruction.	progress in areas of need.	
	5D.3.	5D.3. Media Resource class will support school reading program by teaching reading strategies.	5D.3. Instructional Coaches	5D.3. Administrative walkthrough, observations, lesson plans.	5D.3. Core Reading assessments, scrimmages, etc	
	5D.4.	5D.4. Review common assessments to identify instructional needs. Plan differentiated instruction Plan supplemental instruction and intervention—includes explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice, independent work Targeted intervention for students not responding to core and supplemental instruction. Interventions matched to individual student	Instructional Coach Administration and Instructional Coach RtI Team	results of common assessments	5D.4. FAIR, Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum. Success Maker and Florida Achieves.	

D 1 1 1 1 6	4 1	C	n n ::	D II I D :	F 1 (: # 1	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
and reference to "Guiding	Вагнег					
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
	5E.1. Ensure	5E.1. Ensure	5E.1. Cafeteria Manager	5E.1. Observation of the Breakfast	5E.1. Daily breakfast logs.	
e z v z v o no ni v v ni	that all students		Administration	in the Classroom program.	Lunch serving count.	
IIJISAUVAIIIAPEU			Administration	Observation of lunch program to	Lunch serving count.	
students not making	a one year gain	the breakfast		ensure that all students get a meal.		
satisfactory progress	as measured	in classroom		chistic that an students get a mear.		
		program.				
in reading.	assessment	Encourage	ĺ	1		
	regardless of the	all parents to	ĺ	1		
	present level of	apply for the		I		
	performance.	lunch program.		I		
	1	Eliminate	ĺ	1		
		hunger as		I		
		a barrier to				
		learning.				
D 1: C 1//5E	2012 C	2013 Expected				
Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
	Periormance.	Periormance.				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
	I			I		
	I			I		
In grades 3-5, 42% of				1		
the students will make				1		
satisfactory progress in			ĺ	1		
Reading on the 2013			ĺ	1		
FCAT			ĺ	1		
			ĺ	l		
			ĺ	l		
				1		
	36% (71 of 195	42% (88 of 209		1		
	students)	students)		I		

5E.2.	5E.2.Student conferences to be conducted following Core reading assessments, scrimmages, benchmark tests, and differentiated class activities to determine level of understanding. Provide accommodations when appropriate to ensure student growth. Establish RtI block within the instructional day.	Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teacher, Reading Interventionist, ESE Inclusion Teachers.	5E.2. Administrators will review student conference logs during classroom visits. Observe the RtI block to ensure its fidelity	5E.2. Randomly select students, then ask about instructional conferences with their teacher. Analyze student data to determine levels of improvement	
5E.3.	5E.3. Students will participate in tutorial programs available at school. Media Resource class will support school reading program by teaching reading strategies Plan supplemental instruction and intervention—includes explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice, independent work Targeted intervention for students not responding to core and supplemental instruction. Interventions matched to individual student	Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up Instructional Coaches and RtI Team	take advantage of the additional instruction.	5E.3. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of nee Core Reading assessments, scrimmages, etc FAIR, Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum. Success Maker and Florida Achieves.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.	Condo Lovel/	DD Facilitates	DD Destision to	Toront Dates (con and colored	Starte and San Fallows are Manifesting	Dancar on Docition Documentille
PD Content/Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level,	meetings)		for Monitoring
Understanding Common Core State Standards	K-5	PLC Leader District Coaches	or school-wide) Selected grade level participants.	August 7, 2012	Review of grade level lesson plans	Administration
Using Data to Implement Common Core Standards	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 8, 2012	Attend grade level meetings Using data from previous year, establish differentiated reading activities.	Reading Coach-Interventionist Administration
Grade Level Meetings— review Common Core	K-5	Administration	School-wide	August 9, 2012	Grade level minutes submitted.	Reading Coach-Interventionist Administration
Material		Academic Coaches			Common Core Questions to be answered by Dana Center Training	Reading Coach-Interventionist
CAST Assessment System	K-5	Grade Level Chair Administration	School-wide	August 13, 2012	Classroom Observations and Evaluations	Administration
Common Core with Dana Center-University of Texas	K-5	Joseph Gallegos	School-wide	August 14, 2012	Lesson Plan Review	Administration
Understanding the Format					Grade Level Minutes	Reading Coach-Interventionist
Vertical Articulation					Classroom Instruction	Grade Level Chair
					Classroom Observations	Classroom Teachers
Instructional Alignment District Literacy Workshops	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 15, 2012	Evaluations Report back in grade level meetings/minutes	Reading Coach
						Interventionist
Preparing a Data Driven Room	K-5	Administration	School-wide		Print out reports from Inform-Prepare to roll out anchor lessons, set up documentation for	Grade Level Chair Administration
		Academic Coaches			Differentiated Instruction.	Reading Coach
Pearson Assessments, Inform, and Limelight Data Collection Procedures	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Sept. 9, 2012	Print out reports	Interventionist Administration
June 2012		Academic Coaches			Establish Differentiated Instruction groups	Reading Coach

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Success Maker	K-5	Jennifer Hill	School-wide	Oct. 3, 2012	FCAT Data, pull reports, student time on task	Administration
Implementing Focus Calendar	K-5	Leadership Team	School-wide	Oct. 17, 2012`	Classroom Focus Walks	Administration
Analyzing Benchmark Data Response to Intervention	K-5	RtI Team		Nov. 7, 2012	Classroom Focus Walks	Reading Coach-Interventionist Administration, RtI Team
Sharing Strategies that Work Insight and Inform	K-5	Behavior Specialist Melinda Bachelor	School-wide School-wide	Nov. 28, 2012	District Initiative	Administration
Collegial Conversations PLC	K-5	PLC	School-wide	Dec. 12, 2012	Classroom Observations/Focus Walks	Reading Coach Administration
Analyzing Winter Benchmark		Leadership Team				Leadership Team

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Reading Budget (Insert rows as ne	reded)		T
Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
FCRR Center Resources		Title I	\$7000
Classroom Libraries	Leveled books and non-fiction informational text.	Title I	\$12,000
Subtotal:\$19,000			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase technology in the classroom. Used for all subject content areas.	Media Carts, Docu Cams, Projectors, Speakers, etc.	Title I	\$12,000
Subtotal:\$12,000			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Common Core with Dana Center-	Presentation by Joseph Gallegos—training	Title I	\$5000
University of Texas	for Reading, Math, and Writing.		
Understanding the Format			
Vertical Articulation			
Instructional Alignment			
Subtotal:\$5000			
Other			
	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$36,000			

End of Reading Goals

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
N/A						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box N/A					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in reading.						
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
N/A						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
	N/A				-	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #3: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
goal in this box.						
N/A						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. N/A					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ender in the second sec			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Mathematics	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1. Lack of	1A.1.	1A.1. Administration	1A.1. Review lesson plans,	1A.1. Focus walk checklist.	
	instructional		Math Coach, Math Interventionist,	classroom observations,	Matching Learning Schedule to	
Students scoring at	rigor that	implementation	Classroom teachers.	walkthroughs, student assignments	lesson plans	
Achievement Level 3	promotes high	of the Math		and assessments.	•	
in mathematics.	level thinking.	Investigation				
		Curriculum				
		and Envision				
		supplemental material.				
		Include rigorous				
		instruction to				
		promote critical				
		thinking.				
		L				
		Incorporate				
		Common Core practices				
		of Number,				
		Measurement,				
		Probability				
		and Statistics,				
		Geometry, and				
		Algebra into daily instruction				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected	· 			
#1A:	Level of	Level of				
$\frac{\pi 1 \Lambda_{\cdot}}{1}$	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
In grades 3-5, 29% of the						
students will achieve a						
Level 3 Math Mastery on						
the 2013 FCAT.						
	26% (50 of 195	29% (60 of 209				
	students)	students)				
			1			

		1 A 2 D'CC 1:	142D 1 47 4 4 1	142011111	142 41 114 2	142.6 141	
1			1A.2. Develop a math Instructional			1A.2. Core Math assessments,	
		getting all	Focus Calendar to identify when	Math Coach	observations, review lesson	Benchmark Tests, PMA's from	
		benchmarks	benchmarks will be taught prior to		plans, grade level meetings.	the core curriculum. Success	
		covered prior	FCAT. Emphasize the moderate			Maker and Florida Achieves.	
		to the state	and high complexity items.				
		assessment.	g				
		1A.3. Analysis	1A.3 Provide training in	1A.3. Administration and	1A.3. Review all assessment	1A.3. Benchmark Tests, PMA's	
		of student work	data analysis from a variety of	Instructional Coaches, Math	data to ensure that students are	from core curriculum.	
			assessments.	Interventionist.	being introduced to high order	1.4.	
					questions.	Math Basic Skills assessments,	
					1.4.	PMA's from core curriculum.	
			1.4.		Administration observations,		
			Monitor daily instruction of		review lesson plans, student	1.5 Math Journals, PMA's of	
			Calendar Math Skills Block		portfolios	core curriculum, Benchmark	
			Curcindar Watti Skills Block	1.4.	portiones	Test.	
			1.5 Incorporate a Droblem of the	Administration and Math Coach	1.5 Administration observations,	rest.	
			1.5 Incorporate a Problem of the			1 C Danish manda Tiri Ciril Ciril	
			Day in FCAT format to the daily	1.5 Grade level teachers and Math	review lesson plans, student	1.6 Benchmark Tests, Core Math	
			routine.	Coach.	portfolios	assessments	
			1.6 Math Mini Assessments-focus		1.6 Analysis of scores.		
			on Reporting Categories	1.6 Math Coach	Differentiated Instruction to		
			on Reporting Categories	Administration			
			ļ		address areas of need.		
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#1B:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	remorniance.	remormance.					
L							
Enter narrative for the			1		l		
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of	1				
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						

	E	F4	T	T	1	ı	
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	aata jor current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.			l		
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
		171.5.	171.5.	171.5.	171.5.	171.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	 	
	ID.1.	10.1.	1B.1.	15.1.	IB.1.		
Alternate					l		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at					l		
Levels 4 5 and 6 in							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1 D ·	Level of	Level of					
<u> </u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
					l		
Enter narrative for the					l		
goal in this box.							
					l		
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	ints dox.	inis box.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.2.	1B.∠.	1B.2.	IB.2.	1 B.2.	
					l		
		1D 2	1D 2	10.2	ID 3	10.2	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	1	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 Clauli of 1 Ostdoil	1 100035 Used to Determine	Lyanuanion 1001		
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.		2A.1. Administration		2A.1. Classroom observations to		
Students scoring	Maintaining a high level of	training during pre-planning,	Math Coach	Classroom visits. Informal observations, conversation with	determine frequency or higher- order questioning technique.		
at or above	performance	the faculty		students	Lesson plans review.		
		will include higher-order					
mathematics	to ensure a	questioning					
	year's growth as measured	in their daily lessons.					
	by the state	icssons.					
	assessment.						
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#2A:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goat in this box.							
In grades 3-5, 24% of the							
students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013							
FCAT Math.							
	220/ (44 -£105	240/ (40 05 200					
	22% (44 of 195 students)	24% (49 0f 209 students)					
			h. a D. J. ((a.) a T	<u></u>	h	h. a rouman d. a.	
		2A.2.	2A.2. Develop "Strive for Five" student focus groups from our		2A.2. Assessment data from moderate and high complexity	2A.2. FCAT Math-State Assessment, Success Maker,	
			highest performers. Provide		questions. Student survey to	Florida Achieves.	
			enrichment activities that will ensure a comfort level on rigorous		determine value of program.		
			tasks.				

		2A.3.	2A.3. Ensure a rigorous curriculum for high performing students.	Classroom Teachers	2A.3. Assessment Data, comfort level of individual students with rigorous tasks.	2A.3. Differentiated activities documented within lesson plans.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.				2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 cison of 1 osition	1 locess osed to Determine	Evaluation 1001	
and reference to "Guiding			Dananaikla fan Manitanina	F.C 4:		
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
	3A.1.		3A.1. Administration and Math	3A.1. Administrators will review	3A.1. Randomly select students,	
	Maintaining the				then ask about instructional	
.4		be conducted	Interventionist.	classroom visits.	conferences with their teacher.	
	the Level 3, 4, and 5 students.	following Core math				
	At the same	assessments,				
		scrimmages,				
	at least a year's	benchmark				
	growth from	tests, and				
	lower achieving	differentiated				
	students.	class activities				
		to determine				
		level of				
		understanding. Establish RtI				
		block within the				
		instructional day				
		for additional				
		instruction.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#3A:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
1 1 1 1 7 7 7 7						
In grades 4 and 5, 74% of the students will achieve						
learning gains on the 2013						
FCAT Math.						
2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						

		= 407 (0 = 0 0 4					
	69% (90 of 131 students)	74% (97 0f 132 students)					
	Similarity,	Sinterns)					
		3A.2.	3A.2 Increase hands on activities	3A 2 Administration and Math	3A.2. Grade level development	3A.2. Benchmark Test, PMA's	
		J	and group activities to reinforce	Coach	of center activities. Classroom	from core curriculum, student	
			concepts	Classroom Teacher	observations.	journals, Success Maker, and	
					Student surveys.	Florida Achieves.	
		3A.3.	3A.3. Monitor the progress and	3A.3 Administration and Math	3A.3. List of interventions in	3A.3. Benchmark Test, PMA's	
			revise instruction and interventions		the lesson plans. Differentiated	from core curriculum, student	
			as dictated by student achievement.	Grade Level Chair	activities	journals.	
			3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	
			Increase use of Success Maker	Classroom teacher	Improvement of student	Benchmark Test	
			software to close learning gaps.	Math Coach	scores. Mastery of additional	PMA from Core Curriculum	
			B.5	Grade level chair	benchmarks	Mini Assessments	
			Integrate Florida Achieves as an	3.5	3.5	3.5 Benchmark Test	
			RtI tool as well as a source of math	Classroom teacher	Improvement in all student	Mini Assessment	
			rigor.	Math Coach.	scores	PMA of Core curriculum	
				iviatii Coacii.		i WA of Core curriculum	
			3.6		3.6		
			Lav	B.6	l	β.6	
			Math Interventionist provides	Made Intermedianist	Analyze student work.	D	
				Math Interventionist		Performance data to determine effectiveness.	
			performing students.			effectiveness.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate					ĺ		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making					ĺ		
					ĺ		
learning gains in					ĺ		
mathematics.					ĺ	l	

M #3	D.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
En go	ater narrative for the al in this box.							
		Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for current level of performance in	enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:	4 A 1 Enguring	AA 1 Student	4A.1. Administration,	4A.1. Administrators will review	4 A. 1. Dandamly galact students	
4A. FCAT 2.0:		4A.1. Student conferences to	,	student conference logs during	4A.1. Randomly select students, then ask about instructional	
Percentage of	far below grade		Teacher, ESE Inclusion Teacher,	classroom visits.	conferences with their teacher.	
students in lowest		following	Math Interventionist.	Observe the RtI block to ensure its		
25% making	at least a year's		Then mer ventionist.		determine levels of improvement	
learning gains in	growth as	assessments,		[l and the second	
mathematics.	measured by the	scrimmages,				
mathematics.	state assessment					
		tests, and				
		differentiated				
		class activities				
		to determine				
		level of				
		understanding.				
		Provide				
		accommod ations when				
		appropriate to				
		ensure student				
		growth.				
		Establish RtI				
		block within the				
		instructional day	4			
		for additional				
		instruction				

Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	renormance.	r er formance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Sout in this box.							
In grades 3-5, 79% of the							
students in the bottom							
quartile will achieve							
learning gains on the 2013							
FCAT Math.							
	74% (24 of 33	79% (26 of 33					
	students)	79% (20 0) 33 students)					
		4A.2.	4A.2. Students will participate			4A.2. Formal and informal	
			in tutorial programs available at school. (SES, TEAM UP,	Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up		assessments to determine progress in areas of need.	
			individual teachers.	Coordinator, TEAM Op	instruction.	progress in areas of need.	
		4A.3.	4A.3. Targeted intervention for			4A.3. Common Assessments,	
			students not responding to core		review results every two weeks.	Math Navigator, scrimmages,	
			and supplemental instruction. Interventions matched to individual		Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks	Success Maker, Florida Achieves.	
			student		or continuing		
			4.4				
					4.4	4.4	
			Identify bottom quartile and develop small group instruction		Analyze student work.	Student performance tasks.	
			teams.	4.4	man, 20 biddont work.	performance tasks.	
				Mal I a di da 1808			
				Math Interventionist and ESE Inclusion Teachers			
	1			inclusion reactions	I	I	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4B:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	In a	40.2	In a	40.2	
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	
		1			I		

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
performance target for the following years							
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Dascinic data 2010-2011	_	_	_	_	_	_
their achievement		<mark>48</mark>	<mark>53</mark>	<mark>57</mark>	<mark>62</mark>	<mark>67</mark>	<mark>72</mark>
gap by 50%.					-	_	
	<u>43</u>						
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Saint Clair Evans will continue to reduce the achievement gap by the prescribed amounts each year.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	SB.1. White: Black: Ensure that all students achieve at least a one year gain as measured on the state assessment regardless of the present level of performance. Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	be conducted following Core math assessments, scrimmages, benchmark tests, and differentiated	Instructional Coaches, Grade Level Chairs, Classroom Teacher, Math Interventionist, ESE Inclusion Teachers.	classroom visits. Observe the RtI block to ensure its fidelity.	5B.1. Randomly select students, then ask about instructional conferences with their teacher. Analyze student data to determine levels of improvement. Review lesson plans and grade level minutes	
Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
In grades 3-5, 53% of the minority students will make satisfactory progress in Math on the 2013 FCAT.						
	White:	White:				
		Black: 53% (110 of 209 students)				
	Hispanic: Asian:	Hispanic: Asian:				
	American Indian:	American Indian:				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	in tutorial programs available	5B.2. Administration, Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up	programs. Encourage parents to	5B.2. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of need
	Review common assessments to identify instructional needs. Plan differentiated instruction 5.4 Plan supplemental instruction and intervention—includes explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice, independent work 5.5	Instructional Coach 5.4 Administration and Instructional Coach, Grade Level Chairs	results of common assessments every two weeks. Identify progress toward mastery of benchmarks 5.4 Grade levels continue to reviews results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks 5.5 Grade levels continue to review results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks 5.5 benchmarks or two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks	5B.3. Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum, scrimmages, Success Maker, Florida Achieves. 5.4 Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum., Inform Reports.

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Ctrotogy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	İ	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	Person of Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding	Burrier			T. C			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5C:	Level of	Level of					
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	UUA.		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		50.0	50.0	500	50.0	50.0	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	ر ح		l			
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Wontoffing	Lifectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of				l			
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

5D Students	5D.1. Ensuring	5D 1 Student	5D.1. Administration,	5D.1. Administrators will review	5D.1. Randomly select students,	
5D. Students		conferences to			then ask about instructional	
with Disabilities	far below grade		Teacher, ESE Inclusion Teacher,		conferences with their teacher.	
(SWD) not making			Math Interventionist.	Observe the RtI block to ensure its	Analyze student data to	
satisfactory progress	at least a year's	Core Math			determine levels of improvement	
in mathematics.	growth as	assessments,			1	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	measured by the	scrimmages,				
	state assessment	benchmark				
		tests, and				
		differentiated				
		class activities				
		to determine				
		level of understanding.				
		Provide				
		accommod				
		ations when				
		appropriate to				
		ensure student				
		growth.				
		Establish RtI				
		block within				
		the instructional				
		day.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				
	Periormance.	Periormance.				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
In grades 3-5, 35% of						
Students with Disabilities						
will make satisfactory						
progress in Math on the						
2013 FCAT						

data curr perf this	ta for rrent level of formance in s box.29% officient (5 of	5D.2 Students will participate in tutorial programs available at school.			5D.2. Formal and informal assessments to determine progress in areas of need.	
		5D.3. Review common assessments to identify instructional needs. Plan differentiated instruction 5.4 Plan supplemental instruction and intervention—includes explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice, independent work 5.5 Targeted intervention for students not responding to core and supplemental instruction. Interventions matched to individual student	Instructional Coach 5.4 Administration and Instructional Coach, Grade Level Chairs 5.5 RtI Team	5D.3. Grade levels will review results of common assessments every two weeks. Identify progress toward mastery of benchmarks. 5.4 Grade levels continue to reviews results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks 5.5 Grade levels continue to review results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks 5.5 Grade levels continue to review results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks	5D.3. Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum 5.4 Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum. 5.5 Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum, Success Maker, Florida Achieves, Inform Reports.	

			i		i .	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
Questions," identify and			recopolision for monitoring	2.110cu voness of surregy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5E.1. Ensure	5E.1. Ensure	5E.1. Cafeteria Manager	5E.1. Observation of the Breakfast	5E.1. Daily breakfast logs.	
Disadvantaged	that all students	that all students	Administration	in the Classroom program.	Lunch serving count.	
IIJISAUVAIILAPEU				Observation of lunch program to	Ŭ	
students not making	a one vear gain	the breakfast		ensure that all students get a meal.		
satisfactory progress	as measured	in classroom				
	on the state	program.				
	assessment	Encourage				
	regardless of the	all parents to				
	present level of	apply for the		l		
	performance.	lunch program.		l		
	Communico.	Eliminate		l		
	I	hunger as		l		
		a barrier to		l		
		learning.				
		icarining.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#5E:	Level of	Level of				
<u>#312.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
In grades 3-5, 53%						
of Economically	I			l		
Disadvantaged students will						
make satisfactory progress	1					
in math on the 2013 FCAT.	I			l		
in main on the 2015 I CAT.	I			l		
	I			l		
	I					
	48% (93 of 195	53% (110 of 209				
	students)	students)		l		
	I			l		

5E.2.	be conducted following Core	Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teacher, Math Interventionist, ESE Inclusion Teacher.	classroom visits.	5E.2. Randomly select students, then ask about instructional conferences with their teacher. Analyze student data to determine levels of improvement.	
5E.3.	in tutorial programs available at school. 5.4 Plan supplemental instruction and intervention—includes explicit	Classroom teacher, SES Coordinator, TEAM Up 5.4 Administration and Instructional	5E.3. Check roster of tutorial programs. Encourage parents to take advantage of the additional instruction. 5.4 Grade levels continue to reviews results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks	progress in areas of need	
	5.5 Targeted intervention for students not responding to core and supplemental instruction. Interventions matched to individual student	5.5	5.5 Grade levels continue to review results every two weeks. Determine progress toward mastery of benchmarks	5.5 Benchmarks, PMA's from core curriculum, Inform Reports	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

chool Mathema	tiPs (Edeals- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1A.1.	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						

	current level of	data for expected level of performance in this box.	IA.2.	1A.2.	IA.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1B.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.		
#1D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	İ	
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	Person of Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
	2012 G	2012 F 1					
THE COURT OF THE PERSON OF THE	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#2A:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r criormanee.	r criormanee.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		ĺ					
2D El	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
=D, I lollan	ZD.1.	ZD.1.	ZD.1.	ZD.1.	ZD.1.		
Alternate		ĺ					
Assessment:		ĺ					
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
manicinatios.	L	l	l	I	l		

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Mathematics Goal #2B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical						
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.				2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the following group:							
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of					J. 1.1.		
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		DA.2.	DA.2.	DA.2.	JA.2.	JA.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	CHOIMance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of performance in					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	1	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
	I			1			

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
1111 1 0111 2101	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	renormance.	renormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.		<u> </u>			
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate	.2						
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4B:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		HD.2.	HD.2.	HD.2.	HD.2.	HD.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

		<u> </u>	.	<u> </u>			
Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics performance target for the							
following years							
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
#5A:							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	1 Croon of 1 osition	Trocess Osed to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding							
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by							
	White:						
Black Hispania							
Asian, American	Black:						
	L						
	Hispanic:						
satisfactory progress	Agioni						
in mathematics.	Asian:						
	American Indian:						
		l	!				

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
#5B:	Performance.	Performance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level					
	level of performance in this box.	of performance in this box.					

	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian: 5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		DB.2.	DB.2.	00.2.	00.2.	00.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
		DD.J.	DD.J.	о <u>в</u> .э.	о <u>в.</u> э.	υ ப .J.	

		~				•	1
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
				l			
				l			
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	00%	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	~					
and reference to "Guiding	l		Pagnangihla for Manitaring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of	l			l			
improvement for the				l			
following subgroup:							

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	_	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
e z e z e o no nine u n j	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		37 / * *					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for		l			
	current level of	expected level of		l			
	performance in	performance in		l			
	this box.	this box. 5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		υш. 2 .	DL.2.	DL.2.	DL.2.	01.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
				l			

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Solving Process to Increase Student						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Level of	Level of					
	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier	Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier Strategy 1.1. 1.1.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 1.1. 1.1. 1.1.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 2012 Current Level of Level of Level of

	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Entan numarical	Enter numerical					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.				2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

		_					
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
and reference to "Guiding	Darrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							J

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

			I		•		
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			ricoponoioie for monitoring	I moon teness of suategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
		2012 7					
Algebra Goal #2:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of *					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
1	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		£.£.	£.£.		ř [F2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		۷.٠.	L.J.	L.J.	L.3.	<u></u> .	
	l						

D 1 177	2011 2012	2012 2012	2012 2014	2014 2015	2015 2016	2017 2017	
Based on ambitious but achievable Annual	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics							
performance target for the following years							
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Endon months for the							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inii oon							
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	C44	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person of Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding			Dananaikla fan Manitanina	E			
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the following subgroups:							
	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by							
	White:						
Black Hispanic	Dll						
Asian, American	Black:						
	Hispanic:						
satisfactory progress	. .						
in Algebra 1.	Asian:						
	American Indian:						

Algebra 1 Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	e criormanec.	errormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
50							
	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
			l				

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.	2012 G	2012 5					
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			,				
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	DE.1.	DE.1.	BE.1.	BE.I.	BE.I.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box.	25.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.2.	3E.2.	DE.2.	DE.2.	DE.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

						_	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.0		1.2			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Danid and the surface of	Ati . i t J	C44	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person of Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above					ĺ		
Achievement Levels					ĺ		
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #2:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
					ĺ		
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for			ĺ		
	current level of	expected level of			ĺ		
	performance in	performance in					
		this box.	h a	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
					ĺ		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		F			[···		

					i	
Based on ambitious	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual						
Measurable Objectives						
(AMOs), identify						
reading and mathematics						
performance target for the						
following years						
3A. In six years,	Baseline					
school will reduce	data 2011-					
	2012					
gap by 50%.						
gap by 30 /6.						
Geometry Goal #3A:						
Geometry Goar #3A.						
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier	~				
and reference to "Guiding	1		Demonstra 6 M. W. C.	Effections CG (
Questions," identify and	l		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the	l					
following subgroups:						
3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
subgroups by	l					
ethnicity (White,	White:					
Black, Hispanic,						
	Black:					
Asian, American						
Indian) not making	Hispanic:					
satisfactory progress						
in Geometry.	Asian:					
	l					
	American					
	Indian:					

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Entag numagical	Eutos numosical					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	Indian:	American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and define areas in need of				-			
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	Enter numericai data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	vers VVsta	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
	ļ	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier						
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of]]			
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.			3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
		2013 Expected					
•	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box. 3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		DE.2.	DE.2.	DE.2.	DE.Z.	DE.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level,	meetings)		for Monitoring
Understanding Common Core State Standards for Math	K-5	PLC Leader District Coaches	or school-wide) Selected grade level participants.	August 7, 2012	Review of grade level lesson plans	Administration
Using Data to Implement Common Core Standards in Math	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 8, 2012	Attend grade level meetings Using data from previous year, establish differentiated reading activities.	Math Coach-Interventionist Administration
Grade Level Meetings— review Common Core	K-5	Administration	School-wide	August 9, 2012	Grade level minutes submitted.	Math Coach-Interventionist Administration
Material		Academic Coaches			Common Core Questions to be answered by Dana Center Training	Math Coach-Interventionist
CAST Assessment System	K-5	Grade Level Chair Administration	School-wide	August 13, 2012	Classroom Observations and Evaluations	Administration
Common Core with Dana Center-University of Texas	K-5	Joseph Gallegos	School-wide	August 14, 2012	Lesson Plan Review	Administration
Understanding the Format					Grade Level Minutes	Math Coach-Interventionist
C					Classroom Instruction	Grade Level Chair
Vertical Articulation					Classroom Observations	Classroom Teachers
Instructional Alignment					Evaluations	
District Math Workshops	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 15, 2012	Report back in grade level meetings/minutes	Math Coach
						Interventionist

Grade Level Chair

Preparing a Data Driven Room	1 0		School-wide	August 16, 2012	Print out reports from Inform-Prepare to roll out anchor lessons, set up documentation for	Administration
Pearson Assessments, Inform,		Academic Coaches			Differentiated Instruction.	Math Coach
and Limelight Data Collection Procedures	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Sept. 9, 2012	Print out reports	Interventionist Administration
Success Maker	K-5	Academic Coaches Jennifer Hill	School-wide	Oct. 3, 2012	Establish Differentiated Instruction groups FCAT Data, pull reports, student time on task	Math Coach Administration
Implementing Focus Calendar	K-5	Leadership Team	School-wide	Oct. 17, 2012`	Classroom Focus Walks	Administration
Analyzing Benchmark Data Response to Intervention	K-5	RtI Team		Nov. 7, 2012	Classroom Focus Walks	Math Coach-Interventionist Administration, RtI Team
Sharing Strategies that Work Insight and Inform	K-5	Behavior Specialist Melinda Bachelor	School-wide School-wide	Nov. 28, 2012	District Initiative	Administration
Collegial Conversations PLC	K-5	PLC	School-wide	Dec. 12, 2012	Classroom Observations/Focus Walks	Math Coach Administration
Analyzing Winter Benchmark		Leadership Team				Leadership Team

$\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}\ (Insert\ rows\ as\ needed)$

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at	rigor that promotes high	IA.1. Explicit instruction in using the 5E model for experiments.	Coach		IA.1. Benchmark Test PMA's from core curriculum	

Science Goal #1A:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
In 5th grade, 27% of the students will achieve a Level 3 on the 2013 Science FCAT.							
	22% (14 of 64 students)	27% (18 0f 69 students)					
		1A.2.	1A.2. Monitor full implementation of the Science curriculum Include rigorous instruction to promote critical thinking.	Instructional Coach, Classroom	1A.2. Review lesson plans, classroom observations, walkthroughs.	1A.2. Focus walk checklist. Matching Learning Schedule to lesson plans.	
			Incorporate Common Core practices of Reading Informational Text into daily instruction.				

	1		L	La de la companya de			
			1A.3. Implement GIZMO software		1A.3. Review lesson plans,	1A.3. Benchmark Test, PMA's	
			within Science lessons		classroom observations and	from core curriculum.	
			. .		walkthroughs.		
			[1.4]		1.4		
			Provide relevant/real world science	1 4	Teachers will incorporate real-	1 4	
			experiences	Instructional Coach and Grade	life science situations of the	Benchmark Test, PMA's from	
			1.5	Level Chair	world into daily lessons.	core curriculum.	
			Students will participate in tutorial	1.5	1.5	core curricurum.	
			programs available at school.	A dministration	Check roster of tutorial		
			1.6	Classroom teacher SES	programs. Encourage parents to	1.5	
			Develop an Instructional Focus	Coordinator TEAM Un	take advantage of the additional	Formal and informal assessments	
			Calendar for Science ensuring	1.6	instruction.	to determine progress in areas of	
			benchmarks are taught prior to	Grade Level Chair and Instructional	1.6	need	
			FCAT.	Conchag	Administration will monitor	riced	
				District Cooch	through informal classroom		
			1 7		observations or walkthroughs.	1.6	
			1	1.7	Review the learning schedule	Core Science assessments,	
			Science informational text becomes		against the Focus Calendar	Benchmark Tests, PMA's from	
			part of the literacy block.	Grade level chair and Instructional	1.7	the core curriculum, Success	
			part of the fiteracy block.	Coach.		Maker, Florida Achieves.	
				Coacii.	Increase student exposure to	iviakoi, i ioitua Acilieves.	
					science material.		
					science material.	1.7	
						1.7	
						FCAT Science 2013	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
Science Goal #1B:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
1	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Som in mus ova.							
1							

	ata for	Enter numerical data for					
pe	erformance in	expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	instructional rigor that promotes high level thinking.	Higher order questioning		2A.1. Lesson plan review. Classroom visits. Informal observations, conversation with students	2A.1. Classroom observations to determine frequency or higher-order questioning technique. Lesson plans review. Student performance on moderate to high level complexity questions.		
Science Goal #2A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
In 5th grade, 6% of the students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Science FCAT.							
	3% (2 if 64 students)	6% (4 of 69 students)					
			2A.2. Target a "Strive for Five" group for intensive enrichment instruction.		moderate and high complexity questions. Student survey to determine value of program.	2A.2. FCAT Science-State Assessment	
		2A.3.	2A.3. Increase exposure to Science Curriculum through the Literacy Block.	2A.3. Instructional Coach and Grade Level Chair District Coach	2A.3. Analyze student data	2A.3. Benchmark Tests, PMA's from core curriculum, FCAT Science.	

2B. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.							
Science Goal #2B:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Science Goal #2:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical	- Francisco II					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	1 Cison of 1 Osition	1 1000ss esec to Betermine	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above		ĺ					
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Blology 1 Godf #2.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
		ĺ					
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
1		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
1							
	1	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		I					

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Science Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or

professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
	Subject			Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
N. C. Alakara	5th C 1	PLC Leader	5th C	4.7.2012		
New Science Adoption-Part 1	5th Grade	District Coach	5 th Grade	August 7, 2012	Classroom implementation of new science materials	Administration
N C-i A d4i D4 2	5 th Grade	District Coach	5 th Grade	A	Y	Science Coach Administration
New Science Adoption-Part 2	5" Grade	District Coach	5 th Grade	August 8, 2012	Implementation of new science materials	Administration
						Science Coach
District Science Workshops	K-5	District Coach	Selected grade level participants	August 15, 2012	Report back in grade level meetings/minutes	Administration-Grade level chair-Science Coach
What's New in Science	K-5	Science Lead Teacher	School-wide	August 16, 2012	Implementation of new science materials	Administration
						Science Coach

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1A.1.	1A 1 Students	1A 1 Administration Instructional	1A.1. Review student journals and	1A 1 Improvement on each	
	Maintaining	will participate	Coach, Classroom teachers, ESE	writing folders.	writing prompt throughout the	
Students scoring at		in meaningful	Inclusion teacher.	witting forders.	school year.	
Achievement Level	of performance		inclusion teacher.		sensor year.	
3.0 and higher in		day using				
writing.		journals and				
witting.	scoring criteria					
	has increased.	writing rolders.				
	nas mercasea.					
			l			
		Incorporate				
		Common				
		Core practices				
		in Writing				
		into daily				
		instruction.				
		(Text Types				
		and Purpose,				
		Production and				
		Distribution				
		of Writing,				
		and Research				
		to Build				
		and Present				
		Knowledge)	l			
1			l			

Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	Level of Performance:*	'	1		1	1
			'	1		1	1
Enter narrative for the			1	1		1	1
goal in this box.			1 '	1		1	
			'	1		1	
		'	'	1		1	1
		'	'	1		1	1
In 4 th grade, 52% of the			'	1		1	1
students will achieve a			'	1		1	1
Level 4 on the 2013 FCAT Writing.	t.	'	'	1		1	1
Writing.		'	'	1		1	1
		'	'	1		1	
In 4th grade, 95% of the			'	1		1	1
students will achieve		'	'	1		1	
a Level 3 or higher in writing.			'	1		1	
writing.	+	52% (33 of 63					
		students)	'	1		1	
			1 '	1		1	
		'	'	1		1	1
	47% (31 of 67		1	1		1	
	students)	<u> </u>					
		1A.2.	1A.2. Growth over time writing rubric will be explicitly taught. (K-	1A.2. Administration Instructional	1A.2. Review lesson plans, administrative walkthroughs.	1A.2Students can explain the writing rubric.	
			5th)	Inclusion teacher.	Conversations with students.	writing rubric.	1
			1	1		1	

			L	b	L	L	
				1A.3. Instructional Coach	1A.3. Review student writing	1A.3. Improvement on each	
			establish a Writing Camp designed	I EAM UP teachers	drafts	writing prompt throughout the	
			for small group instruction.	Classroom teachers	Review student prompt writing	school year.	
			(Will include all 4th graders) Use of extended tutoring time to			Student growth in writing.	
1			provide opportunities for practice				
			provide opportunities for practice				
			1.4 Incorporate Jeff Anderson				
			Mechanically Inclined (grammar,				
			usage, style) into Writer's Workshop.		1.4 Analyze student work	1.4 Grammar scoring rubric—	
			worksnop.	1.4 Instructional Coach, Classroom		School wide use.	
				teachers, ESE Inclusion teacher.		School wide use.	
				cachers, ESE inclusion cacher.			
			1.5 Use of Write Score Inc.				
			Practice Assessments				
							
				1.5 Instructional Coach, Classroom teachers, ESE Inclusion teacher.	1.5 Analyzed student work	1.5 Write Score Inc. scoring service.	
				teachers, ese inclusion teacher.	1.5 Anatyzeu student work	BEI VICE.	
1D El *1	1D 1	1D 1	1B.1.	1D 1	1B.1.		
12. I lollan	1B.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at 4							
or higher in writing.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
I							

•		data for					
	performance in	expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	IB.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus	•	and/or	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		Ç
Understanding Common Core State Standards	K-5	PLC Leader District Coaches	Selected grade level participants.	August 7, 2012	Review of grade level lesson plans	Administration
Using Data to Implement Common Core Standards	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 8, 2012	Attend grade level meetings Using data from previous year, establish differentiated reading activities.	Writing Coach-Interventionist Administration
Common Core with Dana Center-University of Texas	K-5	Joseph Gallegos	School-wide	August 14, 2012	Lesson Plan Review	Writing Coach-Interventionist Administration
Understanding the Format					Grade Level Minutes	Writing Coach-Interventionist
Vertical Articulation					Classroom Instruction	Grade Level Chair
					Classroom Observations	Classroom Teachers
Instructional Alignment District Literacy Workshops	K-5	District Coaches	Selected grade level participants	August 15, 2012	Evaluations Report back in grade level meetings/minutes	Writing Coach
						Interventionist
						Grade Level Chair

School Wide Writing with Grammar	K-5	Writing Coach	School-wide	Sept. 5, 2012	Classroom Focus Walks	Writing Coach
Data Collection Procedures	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Sept. 9, 2012	Monitor use of Grammar Rubric Print out reports	Grade Level Chair Administration
Response to Intervention	K-5	Academic Coaches RtI Team		Nov. 7, 2012	Establish Differentiated Instruction groups Classroom Focus Walks	Writing Coach Administration, RtI Team
Sharing Strategies that Work Insight and Inform	K-5	Behavior Specialist Melinda Bachelor	School-wide School-wide	Nov. 28, 2012	District Initiative	Administration
						Writing Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:\$5000			
Write Scores Inc.	Writing Assessments and Scoring with suggestions for follow up instruction.	Title I	\$3000
Jeff Anderson Mechanically Inclined	Building Grammar, Usage, and Style into Writer's Workshoop	Title I	\$2000
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
funded activities/materials.			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$5000.00			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
P 1 1 1 1 6	4	G	B B W	B. H. L. D.	F 1 (T 1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
and reference to "Guiding	Barrier						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above					ĺ		
Achievement Levels					ĺ		
					ĺ		
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
					ĺ		
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for			ĺ		
	current level of	expected level of			ĺ		
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.			ĺ		
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			 -	[[[
					ĺ		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
					ĺ		
					l		

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

Civing Dudget (Ingert rows as needed)

Civics Budget (Insert rows as need	ea)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data	Barrier	Strategy	T GISON OF T COMMON	Trocess esea to setermine	Diameter 1001		
and reference to "Guiding							
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	Γ'''	Γ		[···	F		
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Entan numani I	Entan numani1					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
I							

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	students to the	holding parent	1.1. Classroom teacher, Administration, Guidance Counselor.	1.1Individual attendance improvement.	1.1. Average Daily Attendance Rate for the school.	
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
There will be a 20% reduction in the number of students with excessive absences (52 students).						

	Average Daily	In 2013, average					
		daily attendance					
	2012 was 92.9%	will increase by					
		2%					
1		2013 Expected					
1	Number of	Number of					
1		Students with					
	Excessive	Excessive					
1		Absences					
1	Absences	Absences					
1							
1	(10 or more)	(10 or more)					
1	,						
1							
	260 (550) -63	200 (440/ -641					
1		208 (44% of the					
1	students)	students)					
	2012 C	2012 E 1					
1	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
1		Number of					
	Students with	Students with					
	Excessive	Excessive _					
1		Tardies (10 or					
1		more)	l				
	70 students (15%)	47 students (10%)				 	
1	o sinuents (13/0)	7 Suucius (10/0)					
1							
		1.2.	1.2. Phone calls to parents when	1.2. Classroom teacher	1.2. Individual student	1.2. Average Daily Attendance	
						Rate for the school.	
				Administration	attendance improvement.	rate for the school.	
			uany auchdance.				
1				District Personnel			
1			committee conferences with parents				
1			to improve attendance.				
1			Use creative and exciting				
			instructional delivery to spark				
			student interest.				
		1.3.	1.3. Attendance awards will be	1.3. Administration and Guidance	1.3. Monitor daily attendance	1.3. Average Daily Attendance	
			issued during quarterly recognition			Rate for the school.	
			ceremonies.				
1			I				
1							
1							
			1.4 Use of Student Agendas for	1.4 Classroom teachers.			
			consistent communication with			1.4 Average Daily Attendance	
1			home. Report the "good news"		1.4 Monitor daily attendance.	Rate for the school.	
			MICHIC. INCOULLING 2000 NEWS		11.7 IVIOIIIIOI UAIIV AUGIIUAIICE.	man for the school.	
1			shout sahaal		1		
			about school.		,		

Attendance Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
		PLC Leader				
Maintaining accurate	K-5	PRC Operator	School-wide	Pre-Planning days and selected	Monitor daily attendance rates.	Administration, PRC Operator, Classroom
attendance in Oncourse		•		Early Release days	•	teacher.
		Assistant Principal				
Protocol for handling	K-5	Guidance	School-wide	Pre-Planning days and selected	Monitor daily attendance rates. Check	Administration, Guidance, Classroom
attendance issues		Counselor		Early Release days	excuse notes for validity.	teacher.
Building Positive	K-5	Administration and	School-wide	Early return and Pre-Planning	Monitor daily attendance rates. Parent	Administration, Guidance, Classroom
Relationships with School		Guidance		Days	feedback on Climate Survey.	teacher.
Community				-	•	

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount\$3000.00
Purchase Student Agendas for each		School Improvement and Grant from Full	
student to enhance the communication		Service schools	
between school and home.			
Subtotal:\$3000.00			
Total:\$3000.00			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		I		I	l	()). 	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Womtoring	Effectiveness of			
Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of improvement:				Sumogy			
1. Suspension	1.1. Training students	1.1. Implement	1.1. Administration and	1.1. Analyze data regarding	1.1. Number of "new"		
-	to handle problems in	the Student of the	Guidance Dept., Classroom	academic success and behavioral	student of the week		
	a non-violent manner and respectful	Week program to promote academic	teacher.	referrals	selections.		
		and behavioral					
		achievement.					
Cooperation Cool #1.	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
Suspension Goal #1:	of In –School	Number of					
	Suspensions						
		In- School					
Enter narrative for the		Suspensions Suspensions					
goal in this box.							
		0					
		U					
	0						

2012 Total Numbe of Students Suspended	Number of Students Suspended					
<u>In-School</u>	In -School					
0	0					
2012 Total	2013 Expected Number of					
Number of Out-of- School Suspension						
58	35 (7%)					
2012 Total Numbe of Students Suspended	Number of Students Suspended					
Out- of- School	Out- of-School_					
50	30 (6%)					
	1.2.	1.2. Daily teacher-parent communication regarding student behavior through agenda plan books.	1.2. Administration and classroom teacher.	1.2. Spot check student agendas, conversations with parents regarding this communication.	1.2. Chart number of referrals written –per individual classrooms.	

1.3.	1.3. Instruction of Character	1.3. Guidance and Classroom	1.3. Lesson plans,	1.3. Frequency of out of school
		teacher.	classroom observations	suspensions.
	classroom.			
				Number of referrals written—per
	1.4 Student Success		of classroom visits	individual classrooms.
	Skills taught in grades 3-5.	1.4.0 : 1		
		1.4 Guidance and Classroom teacher.	Number of student	Frequency of out of school
	1.5 Implement "Caught You		tickets—caught doing the	
	Doing Good" program.		right thing	Suppositions.
		1.5 Guidance, Administration,		Frequency of students meeting their
	1 1	Classroom teachers.		agreed upon goals of improved
	Success" program for selected students.			grades and elimination of discipline referrals.
		1.6 Community In Schools Counselor.		referrals.
		counscior.	Classroom observations	
	1.7Initiate a Discipline Team			Number of Code of Conduct
	to promote Foundations and			infractions.
	Champs Model for student		Common area	
	behavior.		observations	
		1.7 Administration, RtI Team, Classroom teachers, Guidance.		
		Classiconi teachers, duidance.		

Suspension Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic		Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)			
	Foundation and Champs Training	K-5	PLC Leader Administration	School-wide	Pre-Planning days and selected Early Release days, District	Classroom observations, track referrals sources—common areas, classrooms.	Administration and Foundation Team	
	C		Guidance		Training Workshops			
	Building Positive Relationships within the	K-5	Foundation Team Administration	School-wide	Early Release days and District Training Workshops	Conferences with students, Student Climate Survey, Track Code of Conduct violations.	Administration, Guidance, Foundation Team	
	Classroom		Guidance					
Instructional Rigor and Lesson Planning to remove		K-5	Foundation Team Administration School-wide		Pre-Planning days and Early Release days.	Review lesson plans and classroom activities Administration and Academic Coache for effective instructional delivery as a means		
	inactive time in the classroom		Academic Coaches		·	of classroom management		

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

<u>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</u> Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
<u>Dropout Prevention</u> <u>Goal #1:</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
*Please refer to the percentage of students							
who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school							
year.							
		Enter numerical data for expected dropout					
	rate in this box.	rate in this box.					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	Enter numerical	Fnter numerical					
	data for	data for expected					
	graduation rate in	data for expected graduation rate in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
•	-	·	•	

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

White dains percentages	, 1110101010	namet er st	adents the percentage	represents next to the per	totiluge (o.g. 7070	(30)).	
Parent Involvement	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	that will equip them with necessary skills to help their	1.1. School web site, school phone system, and Duval Connect parent notification system will be updated regularly to inform parents of school news.		Involvement meetings and	1.1. Parent Climate Survey Parent Involvement Survey		

Parent Involvement Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.							
Parent attendance at Open House, PTA, parent conferences, and school sponsored Parent Workshops will increase by 20% at each event.							
	20% of the 487 students represented at activities.(97)	20% increase in parents attending activities. (116)					
		1.2.	1.2. School Marquee will inform the public of events	1.2. Administration and School Clerical staff	1.2. Total number of participants at PTA, SAC, and Parent Involvement meetings and activities. Number of volunteer hours	1.2. Parent Climate Survey Parent Involvement Survey	

1.3	3. 1.3 Fly	yers sent home the day	1.3. 4Administration and	1.3. 4Total number of	1.3. 4Parent Climate Survey	
	before				Parent Involvement Survey.	
				SAC, and Parent		
		ovide door prizes and	1.4PTA rep., SAC rep., Business			
	books	to those in attendance	Partner, Parent Involvement Rep.	and activities.		
	nights	ake and take activity involving parent and working together.		Number of volunteer hours.	Sign in sheets of all parent activities.	
	1 6 Inc		1.6 PTA rep., Parent Involvement rep., Team Up, Administration	Parent feedback		
		mances to PTA and				
	Parent	Involvement meetings				
	to boos		Administration and Media Specialist			
	be sent	chool newsletter will t home monthly ghting school events.				

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
		PLC Leader				
Cultural Sensitivity	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Pre-Planning days and selected Early Release Days	Informal feedback from parents.	Administration, Parent Involvement rep., SAC rep.
		Guidance			Parent participation/attendance at school activities.	
Parent Compact Training	K-5	Assistant Principal	School-wide	Selected Early Release Day	Number of parent conferences held and Parent Compacts signed per classroom	Assistant Principal, Parent Involvement rep.
Conducting Parent Conferences	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Pre-Planning and selected Early Release days	Administration sits in on conferences, informal feedback from parents, Climate	Administration, Guidance, Grade Level Chair.
		Guidance			Survey.	
How to Use Volunteers in the Classroom	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Selected Early Release Day	Number of volunteer hours logged for the school. Feedback from parents and	Administration, Parent Involvement rep.
		Volunteer Coordinator			volunteers.	

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Continue to stock the Parent Resource Center with appropriate materials for parent check out.	Scholastic Books, Educational Games, Parenting Brochures, Resource Materials	Title I	\$2000.00
Subtotal:\$2000.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Red Ribbon Week Celebration-Muffins for Moms and Donuts for Dads.	Catering for this event	Title I	\$125.00
Flyers, Handouts, Notices, etc. (Copying Needs)	Printing and Paper supply	Title I	\$200.00
Technology Night-Access to Oncourse, online resources and software, passwords	Catering for this event	Title I	\$125.00
Book Fair Night-understanding reading levels and picking appropriate materials.	Catering for this event	Title I	\$125.00
FCAT Night-educating parents to state expectations.	Catering for this event	Title I	\$125.00
Data Chat Night-parent and student view and discuss individual student data, analyze student work.	Catering for this event	Title I	\$125.00
Subtotal:\$825.00			

Total:\$2825.00		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	11.2.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/

Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				-
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade L

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

litator

PD Participants

Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

PLC Leader

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	,			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	T.			lea a a como de	1 1 37 1 00 0 1 1 1		1
1. Additional Goal	1.	Maintain	1.1. Through	1.1. Administration	1.1. Number of Safety incidents	1.1. Safety report,	
	1	consistent	Foundations		each month	accident reports, Climate Survey	
		supervision	Committee, identify areas of	Foundations Committee Chair		Survey	
		of students.	identify areas of	oundations committee chan			
			concern.				
	1						
1.11111 1.011111	201/	2 C	2013 Expected				
Additional Goal #1:	Z012	2 Current el :*	Level :*				
	Lev	<u>ei : "</u>	Level : "				
Reduce the number of safety							
incidents by 1 each month.							
The state of the s							
	1						
1	1						
	1						
	4 ne	r month	3 per month				
	Per		r				
1	1						
1	1					I	I I

1.2.	1	1.2. Administration	1.2. Number of Safety	1.2. Monitor duty stations of	
	dismissal procedures to increase number of adults assigned to common areas for supervision.	Grada Laval Chair	incidents each month.	individual staff members.	
1.3.	Regular practice of fire drills, code yellow, code red.	4. Administration Grade Level Chair	1.3. Monitor effectiveness of each drill.	1.3. Observation of drills and supervision techniques of staff.	
	Enhance teacher awareness and supervision techniques.				

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		
B	T	PLC Leader		D DI : 101 . 1		
Review arrival and	K-5	Administration	School-wide	Pre-Planning and Selected	Observation of implemented	Administration
dismissal procedure.				Early Release Days	procedures. Number of safety	
Assign duty stations					incidents.	Foundation Chair
for all staff members.						Touridation Chair

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Discussion of effective supervision techniques.

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other	Description of Persuage	Funding Course	Amount
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	runding Source	Amount
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology Subtotal.			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
funded activities /materials.			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$36,000.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$5,000.00
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$3,000.00
Suspension Budget	,
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
Turent involvement Budget	Total:\$2,825.00
STEM Budget	1 θται.ψ2,023.00
STEM Buuget	Total:
CTE Dudget	1 Otal.
CTE Budget	Tatal
A 11'' and Code	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:

Grand Total:\$46,825.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

- Assist in writing the School Improvement Plan
- Assist in creating the school budget
- Monitor and Evaluate the School Improvement Plan
- Reach out to the community to obtain more partners in education
- Fund important activities for the school, i.e. Student Planner Books, student incentives, school-wide activities
- Assist the school in analyzing data regarding parent involvement and student achievement

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Classroom Libraries	\$2500
Technology	\$2000
Student Incentives	\$1000