Florida Department of Education



1

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:	District Name:
Principal:	Superintendent:
SAC Chair:	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal					
Assistant Principal					

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.
Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team						
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).						
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).						
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?						
what will be the major initiatives of the LL1 this year?						

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	

		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.							
Reading Goal #1B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Linter interior of the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	this box.	performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
			1				

	1	Í a				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 in reading.							
Reading Goal #2A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
_	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		L' 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1.2.	L 1. L.	<i>L</i> : 1. <i>L</i> .	211.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate	1						
Assessment:	1						
	1						
Students scoring at	1						
or above Level 7 in	1						
reading.	1						
0							

Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Reading Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
A. FCAT 2.0. Percentage of		17 1. 1.	11 1. 1.				
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
reading.							
		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Reading Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic:					
Asian: American Indian:	Asian: American Indian:					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u></u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
				5C.3.		5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
in reading. Reading Goal #5D:		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

<u>Reading Professional Development</u>

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy I	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
~			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	

CELLA Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
2 Current Percent of Students					
ticient in Writing :					
er numerical data for current					
of performance in this box.					
2	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
2	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
2 fi	Current Percent of Students cient in Writing : numerical data for current of performance in this box.	Current Percent of Students cient in Writing : numerical data for current of performance in this box. 2.2.	2.1. 2.1. Current Percent of Students 2.1. cient in Writing : numerical data for current of performance in this box. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2.	LineLineLine2.1.2.1.2.1.Current Percent of Students scient in Writing :Image: Current of StudentsImage: Current of Studentsnumerical data for current of performance in this box.Image: Current of StudentsImage: Current of Students2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	Image: second

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

8 \			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
#1A:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	Í	
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#1B·	Level of Performance:*	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*					
	<u>renormance. ·</u>	<u>renormance.</u>					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of					
	this box.	performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
	I					<u> </u>	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	tnis dox.		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		211.2.	<i>L</i> 1. <i>L</i> .	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1 . <i>2</i> .	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
D Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	20.1.	2D.1.	2D.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

	4	<u><u> </u></u>	D D C	D U LODA			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
	54.1.	5A.1.	54.1.	54.1.	54.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
# <u>JA.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
501111111111111111111111111111111111111							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		511.2.	<i></i>	511.2.	511.2.		
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
							I I
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
			P		1	•	

Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4. 1		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5A:							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Black: Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
Asian, American	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
(ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal_ #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 '	1		'	1	1
(SWD) not making	'	1 '	1		'	1 '	1
satisfactory progress	` ا ا	1 '	1		1 '	1 '	1
in mathematics.		1′	1'		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected			,	í	
<u>#5D:</u>		Level of Parformance:*	4		1 '	1 '	1
	Performance.	Performance:*	4		1	1	1
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			4		1	1	1
gum m mus 00			4		1	1	1
			4		1	1	1
			4		1	1	1
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	<u> </u>	<u>+</u> '	<u> </u>	('	t
	data for	data for	1		1	1	1
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in	1		1	1	1
		this box.	1'	′	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		'	1 '	1
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	· ·	1 '	1		1	1 '	1
	′	<u> </u>	·	1	<u> </u>	<u>`'</u>	ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5E:	Level of	Level of					
# <u>JĽ.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	<i>this box.</i> 5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		512.2.	56.2.	56.2.	50.2.	JE.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	-						
	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.		1A.2.		1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate	1 '	1	1	,			
Assessment:	1 '	1	1	,			
Students scoring at	1 '	1	1	,			
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	1 '	'	1	,			1
mathematics.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,			
		2013 Expected					
<u>#1B:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*		,			
	r erformance.	renormance.		,			
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	(,			
goui in inis oom	(,			
	(,			
	(,			
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	ł'	<u> </u>			
	data for	data for	1	,			
	current level of performance in	expected level of	1	,			
	this box.	performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	[]	1 '					
	<u> </u> ′	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
	1 '	ib.c.	12.5.		15.5.	10.5.	
	L'	<u> </u>	1				

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
<u>#2A:</u>	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	tnis dox.		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		211.2.	<i>L</i> 1. <i>L</i> .	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1.2.	<i>21</i> 1 . <i>2</i> .	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
D Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	2D.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

	4	<u><u> </u></u>	D D C	D U LODA			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
	54.1.	5A.1.	54.1.	54.1.	54.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
# <u>JA.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
501111111111111111111111111111111111111							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		511.2.	<i></i>	511.2.	511.2.		
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
							I I
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
			P		1	•	

Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following group:	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4.1	4.4. 1		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
<u>#5A:</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis oox.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
5B. Student	5B.1. White:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
sungroups ny	Black:						
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:						
<i>i i i</i>	Asian: American Indian:						
Asian, American	American mutan.						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
(ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal_ #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress	5						
in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#5D:		Level of	1				
	Performance:*	Performance:*	4				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			4				
goai in this box.			1				
			4		1		
			1				
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of			'		
	performance in	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		(- · - ·					
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
			·		<u></u> /	L/	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.	2012 0	2012 5 (1					
#5E·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						5E.2.	
				5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

	A (* * / 1	<u> </u>	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine		Í	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy			Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	5.11.	5.1.	5.1.	5.1.	5.11		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
_							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
l í	inis uux.	IIIIS UUA.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	A (* *) (1	<u> </u>	D D'(i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
-		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups: 3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 • • 4 (3371 • 4	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
Asian, American	Asian: American Indian:						
Indian) not making	interioun indiun.						
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
m Algebra 1.				l			

Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.				3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

<u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.	2.2			2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						2.2	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L							

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years 3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

 Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u> </u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<u>country country c</u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.					
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Increase Student Achievem ent						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated Barrier 1A.1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entStrategyAnticipated BarrierStrategy1A.1.IA.1.2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringAnticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for Monitoring1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*IA.1.Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.Enter numerical data for expected level of 	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyAnticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*1A.1.Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.IA.2.IA.2.IA.2.IA.2.IA.2.	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entImage: Strategy Person or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation ToolAnticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation Tool1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.1A.1.2012 Current Level of Performance**2013 Expected Level of Performance**Image: StrategyImage: StrategyEnter numerical data for expected level of performance in the box.Image: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Anticipated Level of Performance in the box.Image: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Anticipated Level of Performance in the box.Image: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Anticipated Honge: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Anticipated StrategyImage: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Anticipated Honge: StrategyImage: StrategyImage: Strategy </th <th>Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entImage: Strategy Person or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation ToolAnticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation Tool1A.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.2012 Current Level of Performance*2013 Expected Level of Performance*Image: Image: Image:</th>	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem entImage: Strategy Person or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation ToolAnticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of StrategyEvaluation Tool1A.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.IA.1.2012 Current Level of Performance*2013 Expected Level of Performance*Image: Image:

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the		Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
0							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		12					
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in science.							
Science Goal #2A:	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.		2A.2.	
			2A.3.	2A.3.		2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		

 Level of Performance:*						
current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

	4 1 1 1		D D C	D III D			
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
	2012 Current	2013Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		<i>2.2</i> .	<u> </u>	<i>2.2</i> .	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Linter nurranive jor the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.							

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Selence Buuget (mselt lows us nee		i		
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Science Goals

<u>Writing Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at 4							
or higher in writing.							
Writing Goal #1B:		<u>2013 Expected</u> Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)</u></u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for womening	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical	l	1			
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
	this box.	this box.				2.2	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
L					ļ		

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above	1	1					
Achievement Levels	1	1					
4 and 5 in U.S.	1	1					
History.	2012 Current	2013 Expected		ł			
U.S. History Goal #2:		Level of					
		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		1					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
	1	'					
	L'	Ĺ′					I

<u>0.5. Ilistor y 1 101</u>		eropinene				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Professional Development

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Attendance Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Attendance	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	attendance rate in	Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box	Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.				

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	more)					
data for current number of students tardy in	Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

				represents next to the p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Suspension	Problem-					
Goal(s)	solving					
	Process to					
	Decrease					
	Suspension					
~	_	~				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy		
Questions," identify and				Suategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement:						
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
0	2012 T-t-1 Noush	2012 E				
Suspension Goal #1:		2013 Expected Number of				
		In- School				
goal in this box.		Suspensions				
50111 11 1110 00.						
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data				
	for current number of in-school suspensions	for expected number of in-school suspensions				
	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected				
		Number of Students				
	Suspended	Suspended				
	In-School	In -School				
	Enter numerical data for current number of	Enter numerical data for expected number of				
	students suspended	students suspended				
	in-school	in- school				
		2013 Expected				
		Number of				
		Out-of-School Suspensions				
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data				
	for current number of	for expected number of				
	students suspended	students suspended				
	out- of- school	out- of- school				

of Su	uspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
for stu	udents suspended	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 101e.						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
			senoor-wide)	inequency of incettings)		

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	/		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	data for dropout	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.				
		2013 Expected				

graduation rate in	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
inis box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

			tudents the percentage	represents next to the p			
Parent Involvement							
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent		Stratagy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
involvement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions," identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent					
		Involvement:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of parents who							
participated in school							
activities, duplicated or							
unduplicated.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	level of parent	data for expected level of parent					
	involvement in this box.	involvement in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool 1. Additional Goal 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current Level.* 2013 Expected Level.* 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1.		Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current Level :* 2013 Expected Level :* Enter narrative for the goal in Level :*	data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
Enter narrative for the goal in				1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Enter narrative for the goal in		Level :*				
Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.		data for current	data for expected goal in this box.				
1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3.							

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	ļ			
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total
Science Budget	10(a):
Science Buuget	Total
Writing Budget	10(a).
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	Τ.4.1.
Additional Goals	Total:
	Total:
	1 otal:

Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

Are you reward school? Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
August 2012	