Detention Center, West



2014-15 School Improvement Plan

Detention Center, West

3948 W DR MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BLVD, Tampa, FL 33614

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type Title I Free/Reduced Price Lunch

Combination No %

Alternative/ESE Center Charter School Minority

No No %

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority and Template

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the Florida Department of Education's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Current School Status	8
8-Step Planning and Problem Solving Implementation	19
Goals Summary	19
Goals Detail	19
Action Plan for Improvement	20
Appendix 1: Implementation Timeline	22
Appendix 2: Professional Development and Technical Assistance Outlines	23
Professional Development Opportunities	24
Technical Assistance Items	26
Appendix 3: Budget to Support Goals	27

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I organizes the current status of the school around five domains inspired by the 5Essentials framework: Supportive Environment, Family and Community Involvement, Effective Leadership, Public and Collaborative Teaching, and Ambitious Instruction and Learning. Questions regarding the school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports have been embedded throughout this part to demonstrate how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs.

Part II: Needs Assessment

Part II requires the school to review performance and early warning systems data in order to develop strategic goals and associated data targets (i.e., "SMART goals") for the coming school year in context of the school's greatest strengths and needs. An online tool was developed, which includes data visualizations and processing questions to support problem identification, problem analysis and strategic goal formulation.

Part III: 8-Step Planning and Problem Solving for Implementation

Part III enables the school to develop implementation plans for its highest-priority goals. With the overview of the current state of the school in mind and the strategic goals identified through the needs assessment, the planning team engages in a facilitated planning and problem-solving process, through which they

- Define strategic goals and establish targets to be reached by successfully achieving the goals (Step 1)
- Identify resources available to support the goals and barriers that could hinder achieving those goals (Step 2)
- Select high-priority barriers they want to address initially (Step 3)
- Design implementation and monitoring plans for strategies to resolve selected barriers (Steps 4-7)
- Determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8)

Appendices

The following appendices, automatically-generated from content entered in Part III, are included in this document:

- Appendix 1 is a timeline of all action steps and monitoring activities
- Appendix 2 is an outline of all professional development opportunities and technical assistance items
- Appendix 3 is a report of the budget needed to implement the strategies

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior three years; charter schools; ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior three years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
 - Planning two consecutive grades of D (i.e., DD), or a grade of F immediately followed by a grade of D in the most recent grades release (i.e., FD)
 - Implementing two consecutive grades of D in the most recent grades release preceded by a grade below C (i.e., FDD or DDD)
- Priority currently F
 - Planning declined to a grade of F in the most recent grades release and have not received a planning year or implemented a turnaround option during the previous school year
 - Implementing two consecutive grades of F (i.e., FF), or three consecutive grades below C with an F in the most recent grades release (i.e., FDF or DDF)

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior three years; SIP is monitored by FDOE
- Planning Focus Planning and Priority Planning; district is planning for possible turnaround
- Implementing Focus Implementing and Priority Implementing; district is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP)

2014-15 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not In DA	4	Jim Browder
Former F		Turnaround Status
No		

Last Modified: 1/20/2016 Page 6 https://www.floridacims.org

Last Modified: 1/20/2016 Page 7 https://www.floridacims.org

Part I: Current School Status

Supportive Environment

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement

To provide every student with the knowledge and skills necessary to become a productive citizen.

Provide the school's vision statement

To become the state's leader in developing successful students.

School Environment

Describe the process by which the school learns about students' cultures and builds relationships between teachers and students

Students are given Orientation/Climate Survey packet that they fill out within 10 days of entry into one of our programs. Students are given a brief overview of policies and procedures by assistant teachers. Students are seen by the guidance counselor when they enter one of our programs. When CUM folders are received from different counties, adjustments to schedules are made if necessary. Teachers welcome students to classes and set expectations for behavior and classwork. Students are receptive to the structure and high standards that are within the program and assimilate with positive results.

Describe how the school creates an environment where students feel safe and respected before, during and after school

Students are assured that their opinions, questions, and concerns are respected and addressed. Since Youth Services is a secured facility, the questions of safety and security fall under the provider, as they have care, custody and control of the students at all times. In every classroom, a non school district employee, addresses the issues of safety and security during school hours. When class discussions, projects or assignments are shared with the class, the teacher has high expectations of the presenter and the audience. If any negativity arises, the teacher will address the students in question to ensure the disrespect doesn't occur again.

Describe the schoolwide behavioral system in place that aids in minimizing distractions to keep students engaged during instructional time. This may include, but is not limited to, established protocols for disciplinary incidents, clear behavioral expectations, and training for school personnel to ensure the system is fairly and consistently enforced

his is a DJJ program that is operated by G4S who are responsible for care custody and control of all students. Each student is provided a packet of behavior expectations and consequences for their actions when they first arrive into the facility. A set of rules/expectations are placed in each classroom. The rules are reviewed and examples of the expectations are reviewed within the first few days of school. If a student is disruptive in class, an opportunity is given to the student to correct their behavior. G4S staff will then step in any further issues arise.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

This is a DJJ program that is operated by G4S who are responsible for care custody and control of all students. Case managers are assigned to each student, as well as counselors to address specific and unique issues that every student may have. Academic concerns are handled by an HCPS

guidance counselor and are addressed in a timely manner. Students have meetings weekly to address concerns and goals set forth by G4S. Any other social-emotional issues that need to be met for the student are addressed by a HCPS guidance counselor and psychologist.

Early Warning Systems

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(ii)(III), (b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), and (b)(1)(I).

Describe the school's early warning system and provide a list of the early warning indicators used in the system

This is a DJJ program that is operated by G4S who are responsible for care custody and control of all students. Students are required to attend school daily since this is a residential facility. Students move in and out of the program within 9 to 12 months.

Provide the following data related to the school's early warning system

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator Grade Level Total
Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA or Math

Level 1 on statewide assessment

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students exhibiting two or more indicators

Describe all intervention strategies employed by the school to improve the academic performance of students identified by the early warning system

See School Goal

Family and Community Involvement

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa).

Describe how the school works at building positive relationships with families to increase involvement, including efforts to communicate the school's mission and vision, and keep parents informed of their child's progress

Title I schools use the Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) to meet the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(F).

Will the school use its PIP to satisfy this question?

No

PIP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PIP), which is available at the school site.

Description

Describe the process by which the school builds and sustains partnerships with the local community for the purpose of securing and utilizing resources to support the school and student achievement

Effective Leadership

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(H).

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title
Fernandez, Carole	Assistant Principal

Duties

Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making

- Principal (Gregory Harkins)
- Assistant Principals (Monica Barrett-Barton, Michael Oliver, Carole Fernandez)
- Guidance Counselor
- School Psychologist
- Social Worker
- Reading Coach
- ESE teacher
- Subject Area Leaders
- SAC Chair
- ELL Representative

(Note that not all members attend every meeting, but are invited based on the goals and purpose for the meeting)

The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:

- 1. Review school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs at all grade levels.
- 2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.
- 3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains.
- 4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). Specific responsibilities include:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of instructional delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Ensure the master schedule incorporates allocated time for intervention support at all grade levels.
- Determine scheduling needs, and assist teacher teams in identifying research-based instructional materials and intervention resources at Tiers2/3
- Facilitate the implementation of specific programs (e.g., Extended Learning Programs during and after school; Saturday Academies) that provide intervention support to students identified through data sorts/chats conducted by the PLCs.
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Organize and support systematic data collection (e.g., district and state assessments; during-the-grading period school assessments/checks for understanding; in-school surveys)
- Assist and monitor teacher use of SMART goals per unit of instruction. (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through the:

- o Implementation and support of PLCs
- o Review of teacher/PLC core curriculum assessments/chapters tests/checks for understanding (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- o Use of Common Core Assessments by teachers teaching the same grade/subject area/course (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT) o Implementation of research-based scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions. (as outlined in our SIP)
- o Communication with major stakeholders (e.g., parents, business partners, etc.) regarding student outcomes through data summaries and conferences.
- On a monthly basis, assist in the evaluation of teacher fidelity data and student achievement data collected during the month.
- Support the planning, implementing, and evaluating the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs and Specialty PSLT.
- Work collaboratively with the PLCs in the implementation of the C-CIM (Core Continuous Improvement Model) on core curriculum material.
- Coordinate/collaborate/integrate with other working committees, such as the Literacy Leadership Team (which is charged with developing a plan for embedding/integrating reading and writing strategies across all other content areas).

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact

- The administration, leadership team, teachers and SAC are involved in the School Improvement Plan development and monitoring throughout the school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work of the Leadership Team and all teacher teams. The large part of the work of the team is outlined in the Expected Improvements/Problem Solving Process sections (and related professional development plans) for school-wide goals in Reading, Math, Writing, and Science.
- Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the Leadership Team/PLST monitors the effectiveness of instruction and intervention by reviewing student data as well as data related to implementation fidelity (teacher walk-through data).
- The Leadership Team/PSLT communicates with and supports the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by distributing Leadership Team members across the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, the Leadership Team members who are part of the PLCs regularly report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The Leadership Team/PSLT and PLCs both use the problem solving process (Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design and Implementation and Evaluation to: o Use the problem-solving model when analyzing data:
- 1. What is the problem? (Problem Identification)
- 2. Why is it occurring? (Problem Analysis and Barrier Identification)
- 3. What are we going to do about it? (Action Plan Design and Implementation)
- 4. Is it working? (Monitor Progress and Evaluate Action Plan Effectiveness)
- o Identify the problem (based on an analysis of the data disaggregated via data sorts) in multiple areas curriculum content, behavior, and attendance
- o Develop and test hypotheses about why student/school problems are occurring (changeable barriers).
- o Develop and target interventions based on confirmed hypotheses.
- o Identify appropriate progress monitoring assessments to be administered at regular intervals matched to the intensity of the level of instructional/intervention support provided.
- o Develop grading period or units of instruction//intervention goals that are ambitious, time-bound,

and measureable (e.g., SMART goals).

- o Review progress monitoring data at regular intervals to determine when student(s) need more or less support (e.g., frequency, duration, intensity) to meet established class, grade, and/or school goals (e.g., use of data-based decision-making to fade, maintain, modify or intensify intervention and/or enrichment support).
- o Each PLC develops PLC action plan for SIP strategy implementation and monitoring. o Assess the implementation of the strategies on the SIP using the following questions:
- 1. Does the data show implementation of strategies are resulting in positive student growth?
- 2. To what extent are we making progress toward the school's SIP goals?
- 3. If we are making progress, what can we do to sustain what is working?
- 4. What barriers to implementation are we facing and how will we address them?
- 5. What should we do next? What should be our plan of action?

School Advisory Council (SAC)

Membership

Identify the name and stakeholder group for each member of the SAC.:

Name	Stakeholder Group
Gregory Harkins Principal	Principal
Matthew Franklin	Teacher
Scott Wilkes	Teacher
Cordell Nelson	Parent
Demetria Refoure	Parent
Doreen Augosto	Parent
Jamilia Tippit	Parent
Eric Petro	Teacher
Carmen DeDecker	Teacher
Karla Hart	Teacher
Joni Mcrary	Education Support Employee
Jeffrey Lonton	Parent
Marci Sutherland	Parent
Terry Mitchell	Parent
Willie Queen	Parent
	Student
Terry Mitchell	Parent Parent

Duties

Provide a description of the SAC's involvement with the following activities, as required by section 1001.452(2), Florida Statutes

Evaluation of last year's school improvement plan

SAC reviewed goals of school improvement plan after the first semester. Suggestions were made to ensure goals were met. SAC team met at the end of the school year to review the final results of the goals that were made for the year.

Development of this school improvement plan

The leadership team used data from the common assessment, created by DJJ, of the previous school year and shared the results of their findings with the teachers during pre-planning. The teachers and leadership team devised an attainable reading and math goal based on previous performance. Expectations and plans were created on how to move low scoring students to the next level on the common assessment. It was agreed upon by the teachers to set math and reading goals for the school improvement plan based on the common assessment provided by DJJ. The goals were then shared with the SAC team and the stakeholders agreed with the teachers in creating the goals for the current school improvement plan.

Preparation of the school's annual budget and plan

The school SAC budget is used to aid teachers in creating a positive classroom environment to ensure the academic success of their students. Suggestions are given by the teachers and the SAC team will approve the spending of the budget based on the needs of both the teachers and students.

Describe the use of school improvement funds allocated last year, including the amount budgeted for each project

2 Smart Boards \$1776.48 Installation \$66.00 Ancillary Materials for Smart Boards \$266.22

Verify that the school is in compliance with section 1001.452, Florida Statutes, regarding the establishment requirements and duties of the SAC

Yes

If the school is not in compliance, describe the measures being implemented to meet SAC requirements

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school-based LLT.:

Name	Title		
Fernandez, Carole	Assistant Principal		

Duties

Describe how the LLT promotes literacy within the school

The LLT is a subset of the Problem Solving Leadership Team. The team provides leadership for the implementation of the reading goals and strategies identified on the SIP.

The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instructional support is provided to all teachers. The principal also ensures that the LLT monitors reading data, identifies school-wide and individual teachers' reading-focused instructional strengths and weaknesses, and creates a professional development plan to support identified instructional needs in conjunction with the Problem Solving Leadership team's support plan. Additionally the principal ensures that time is provided for the LLT to collaborate and share information with all site stakeholders including other administrators, teachers, staff members, parents and students.

Public and Collaborative Teaching

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(D).

Describe the school's strategies to encourage positive working relationships between teachers, including collaborative planning and instruction

Schools Build a Collaborative Culture Through Regularly Scheduled PLC Meetings Core Beliefs:

- No school can help all students achieve at high levels if teachers work in isolation.
- Schools improve when teachers are given the time and support to work together to clarify essential student learning, develop common assessments for learning, analyze evidence of student learning, and use that evidence to learn from one another.
- PLCs measure their effectiveness on the basis of results rather than intentions.
- All programs, policies, and practices are continually assessed on the basis of their impact on student learning.
- All staff members receive relevant and timely information on their effectiveness in achieving intended results.
- PLC meetings will be regularly scheduled. Essential Characteristics of a PLC
- Shared mission, vision, values, goals
- Educators in a PLC benefit from clarity regarding their shared purpose, a common understanding of the school they are trying to create, collective communities to help move the school in the desired direction, and specific, measurable, attainable, results-oriented, and time-bound (SMART) goals to mark their progress.
- · Collaborative teams focused on learning
- In a PLC, educators work together interdependently in collaborative teams to achieve common goals for which they are mutually accountable. The structure of the school is aligned to ensure teams are provided the time and support essential to adult learning.
- Collective inquiry
- Teams in a PLC relentlessly question the status quo, seek new methods of teaching and learning, test the methods, and then reflect on the results. Building shared knowledge of both current reality and best practice is an essential part of each team's decision-making process.
- Action orientation and experimentation
- Members of a PLC constantly turn their learning and insights into action. They recognize the importance of engagement and experience in learning and in testing new ideas. They learn by doing.
- Commitment to Continuous improvement
- Not content with the status quo, members of a PLC constantly seek better ways to achieve mutual goals and accomplish their fundamental purpose of learning for all. All teams engage in an ongoing cycle of:
- ? Gathering evidence of current levels of student learning
- ? Developing strategies and ideas to build on strengths and address weaknesses in that learning
- ? Implementing the strategies and ideas
- ? Analyzing the impact of the changes to discover what was effective and what was not
- ? Applying the new knowledge in the next cycle of continuous improvement
- Results orientation
- Educators in a PLC assess their efforts on the basis of tangible results.

Describe the school's strategies to recruit, develop and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school

Teacher Interview Day and Recruitment Fairs occur in June under the oversight of Human Resources. All applicants must be pre-approved by the District to attend these events. SDHC is implementing the Empowering Effective Teachers (EET) initiative, which awards salary increases to all teachers based on sustained performance and progress up the career ladder. Performance levels are tied to a 3-year average of value added measures. The teacher evaluation is based on that value added, along with peer/mentor evaluation data and principal/administration evaluation data. PLCs and the District's Mentoring program for new teachers are essential for teacher retention.

Describe the school's teacher mentoring program, including the rationale for pairings and planned mentoring activities

District based mentors are provided to teachers new to teaching through the EET initiative. Weekly visits by mentors can include planning, modeling, data analysis, coaching, conference, and problem solving. Mentors work individually with new teachers, developing unique plans to support professional growth. The district-based mentor has strengths in the areas of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.

Ambitious Instruction and Learning

Instructional Programs and Strategies

Instructional Programs

Describe how the school ensures its core instructional programs and materials are aligned to Florida's standards

Hillsborough County Public Schools use state-adopted standards as the foundation for content area instruction. Florida adopted Common Core State Standards in mathematics, language arts, and literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects in July 2010, which were fully implemented in 2013-14. The Common Core (CC) standards may be accessed at: http://www.cpalms.org/Standards/Common_Core_Standards.aspx. These standards describe the level of student achievement for which the state will hold schools accountable for students' learning. The domains, strands, standards, and benchmarks which comprise the Florida Standards are authorized by Section 1003.41, F.S., and are adopted by the State Board of Education (SBE). Rule 6A-1.09401, FAC, requires public schools to provide appropriate instruction to assist students in the achievement of these standards. Section 1008.25, F.S., requires each district school board to incorporate the Florida Standards into the district student progression plan.

The benchmarks are in the subject areas of mathematics beyond college and career ready (calculus, discrete mathematics, and financial literacy), science, social studies, the arts (dance, music, theatre, and visual arts), health education, physical education, world languages, gifted education and special education skills.

HCPS has, as required by state statute, adopted a comprehensive plan for student progression, which includes standards for evaluating each student's performance, including mastery of the Florida Standards. The plan also provides specific levels of performance in reading, writing, science, and mathematics at each grade level that includes the levels of performance on statewide assessments (as established by the Commissioner) at which a student must receive remediation or be retained. The HCPS pupil progression plan can be viewed at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/instruction/StudentProgressionPlan/index.asp.

Pursuant to State law, HCPS Board policies require purchasing current instructional materials so that each student has a textbook or other instructional materials as a major tool of instruction in core courses of the appropriate subject areas of mathematics, language arts, science, social studies, reading, and literature for grades K-12. The primary objective of such instructional materials shall be to enrich, support, and implement the educational program of the school. These purchases shall be for instructional materials included on the State-adopted list, except as otherwise provided in State law, and shall be made within the first two years of the adoption cycle. The primary objective of such instructional materials shall be to enrich, support, and implement the educational program of the school.

Instructional Strategies

Describe how the school uses data to provide and differentiate instruction to meet the diverse needs of students. Provide examples of how instruction is modified or supplemented to assist students having difficulty attaining the proficient or advanced level on state assessments

HCPS utilizes Problem-Solving and Response to Instruction/Intervention/Multi-tiered Systems of Support Framework as defined by Florida.

PS-Rtl/MTSS is the practice of providing high-quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to make important instructional decisions. PS-Rt/MTSS involves the systematic use of assessment data to most efficiently allocate resources in order to improve learning for all students. To ensure efficient use of resources, schools begin with the identification of trends and patterns using school-wide and grade-level data. Students who need instructional intervention beyond what is provided universally for positive behavior or academic content areas are provided with targeted, supplemental interventions delivered individually or in small groups at increasing levels of intensity.

The RtI/MTSS framework is characterized by a continuum of academic and behavior supports reflecting the need for students to have fluid access to instruction of varying intensity levels. Three tiers describe the level and intensity of the instruction/interventions provided across the continuum. The three tiers are not, conversely, used to describe categories of students or specific instructional programs. The three tiers are characterized as follows:

Tier 1: Core Universal Instruction and Supports – General academic and behavior instruction and support designed and differentiated for all students in all settings

Tier 2: Targeted Supplemental Interventions and Supports – More focused, targeted instruction/ intervention and supplemental support in addition to and aligned with the core academic and behavior curriculum and instruction

Tier 3: Intensive Individualized Interventions and Supports – The most intense (increased time, narrowed focus, reduced group size) instruction and intervention based upon individual student need provided in addition to and aligned with core and supplemental academic and behavior, curriculum, instruction, and supports

The problem-solving process is critical to making the instructional adjustments needed for continual improvement in both student level of performance and rate of progress and is critical for assessing (through students' response) the effectiveness of the instruction/interventions provided. Throughout the continuum of instruction and intervention, problem solving is used to match instructional resources to educational need. Teams continue to engage in problem solving to ensure that student success is achieved and maintained. The four critical parts of the on-going problem-solving cycle as a consistent way of work for teams are as follows:

- I. Define the problem by determining the difference between what is expected and what is occurring. Ask, "What specifically do we want students to know and be able to do when compared to what they do know and are able to do?" When engaged in problem solving at the individual student level, the team should strive for accuracy by asking, "What exactly is the problem?"
- II. Analyze the problem using data to determine why the issue is occurring. Generate hypotheses (reasons why students are not meeting performance goals) founded in evidence-based content area knowledge, alterable variables, and instructionally relevant domains. Gather assessment data to determine valid/non-valid hypotheses. Link validated hypotheses to instruction/intervention so that hypotheses will lead to evidence-based instructional decisions. Ask, "Why is/are the desired goal(s) not occurring? What are the barriers to the student(s) doing and knowing what is expected?" Design or select instruction to directly address those barriers.
- III. Develop and implement a plan driven by the results of the team's problem analysis by establishing a performance goal for the group of students or the individual student and developing an intervention plan to achieve the goal. Then delineate how the student's or group of students' progress will be monitored and implementation integrity will be supported. Ask, "What are we going to do?"
- IV. Measure response to instruction/interventions by using data gathered from progress monitoring at agreed upon intervals to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention plan based on the student's or group of students' response to the intervention. Progress-monitoring data should directly reflect the targeted skill(s). Ask, "Is it working? If not, how will the instruction/intervention plan be adjusted to better support the student's or group of students' progress?" Team discussion centers on how to maintain or better enable learning for the student(s).

HCPS offers a variety of programs designed to meet the diverse needs of students. In Elementary

School these supplemental and enrichment programs include additional time in small teacher-guided groups, computer aided interventions (i.e.iStation, FASTT Math, Dimension U), Extended Learning Time, extended year programs, tutorial support, Math Bowl, Economics Bowl, Tivitz, Fine Arts Festival, Speech Contest, myOn Reader, Battle of the Books, Science Olympics, Magnet Programs, Hillsborough Robots Challenge, and Geography Bee. In Middle and High Schools, these supplemental and enrichment programs include SpringBoard reading support, computer aided interventions (myOn reader, Dimension U), Tutorial support, Robotics, Science Olympiad, AVID, Advanced Placement, IB, Dual Enrollment, Grade Enhancement Courses, Career Pathways, Program Completers, Industry Certfications, Magnet Programs, credit recovery, and extended year programs.

Provide the following information for each strategy the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help enrich and accelerate the curriculum:

Strategy: Extended School Day

Minutes added to school year:

Youth Services is a year round program

Strategy Rationale

Youth Services is a year round program

Strategy Purpose(s)

Person(s) responsible for monitoring implementation of the strategy

Data that is or will be collected and how it is analyzed to determine effectiveness of the strategy

Student Transition and Readiness

PreK-12 Transition

The school's response to this question may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(G).

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another

Due to the fact that this is a DJJ program, a transition specialist works with each county in the state to provide a smooth transition back to the students' home county.

College and Career Readiness

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

Specifically at Youth Services, we offer students access to the PSAT and standardized college readiness test preparations, ASVAB testing, and GED and test preparation.

All 8th -12th grade students work with their guidance counselor to identify diploma options available to HS students and courses appropriate to the career interests.

All 7th grade students participate in the career education component through either their M/J Civics or PCSD course.

Identify the career and technical education programs available to students and industry certifications that may be earned through those respective programs

Woodworking Auto Body and Repair ASE Certification OSHA Culinary Microsoft

Describe efforts the school has taken to integrate career and technical education with academic courses (e.g., industrial biotechnology) to support student achievement

See above

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, as required by section 1008.37(4), Florida Statutes

NA

School Improvement Goals

The following key is intended to help readers understand how the sections of this document correspond to the steps of the 8-step planning and problem-solving framework used in the School Improvement Plan. The Quick Key numbers can help registered users go directly to the point of entry for any given goal, barrier and strategy within the online survey.

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal

B = Barrier

S = Strategy

1 = Problem Solving Step S123456 = Quick Key

Strategic Goals Summary

Student achievement on the WIN Common Assessment will increase when teachers incorporate thinking maps and differentiate instruction.

Strategic Goals Detail

For each strategic goal, this section lists the associated targets (i.e., "SMART goals"), resources available to support movement toward the goal, barriers to achieving the goal, and the plan for monitoring progress toward the goal

G1. Student achievement on the WIN Common Assessment will increase when teachers incorporate thinking maps and differentiate instruction. 1a

Targets Supported 1b

🔦 G051265

Indicator Annual Target

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- · Reading Specialist
- ESE Support

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

Professional Development

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G1. 8

Student post scores on the WIN Common Assessment will increase from their pre score.

Person Responsible

Carole Fernandez

Schedule

Evidence of Completion

WIN Common Assessment data

Action Plan for Improvement

For each strategy selected in the plan to reduce a targeted barrier to a strategic goal, this section lists the rationale for that strategy (i.e., why the school believes it will reduce the barrier) and the action steps that have been identified as necessary to implementing the strategy, including details such as the point person, timing and duration, and evidence of completion. At the end of each set of action steps is the plan for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the respective strategy.

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal **B** = Barrier **S** = Strategy

G1. Student achievement on the WIN Common Assessment will increase when teachers incorporate thinking maps and differentiate instruction.

Q G051265

G1.B1 Professional Development 2

♀ B128801

G1.B1.S1 Provide professional development on the use of thinking maps. 4

Strategy Rationale



Provide professional development on the use of thinking maps.

Action Step 1 5

Large group professional development on thinking maps: Leadership Team

Person Responsible

Schedule

Semiannually, from 10/3/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Sign In Sheet, Classroom walk-throughs,

Action Step 2 5

Youth Service teachers will use CPALMS website for DI ideas

Person Responsible

Schedule

On 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Discussions at PLC Meetings at individual sites, PLC Notes (Agenda)

Action Step 3 5

PLC meetings: Leadership Team

Person Responsible

Schedule

Monthly, from 9/9/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

PLC Notes (Agenda)

Action Step 4 5

All Sites meet together: Subject Area Leaders

Person Responsible

Schedule

Quarterly, from 10/14/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Sign In Sheets, Agendas, Effective Lesson Plans

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 6

Teacher use of thinking maps incorporated in lessons: Administrative Team

Person Responsible

Carole Fernandez

Schedule

Weekly, from 10/17/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Observation data, teacher lesson plans,

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 6

Effective differentiated instruction: Administrative Team

Person Responsible

Carole Fernandez

Schedule

Weekly, from 10/17/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Observation data, lesson plans

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 7

Teachers using thinking maps and differentiated instruction: Administrative Team

Person Responsible

Carole Fernandez

Schedule

Weekly, from 10/17/2014 to 6/5/2015

Evidence of Completion

Observation data, Student Work Samples

Appendix 1: Implementation Timeline

Action steps and monitoring activities identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

Source	Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity	Who	Start Date (where applicable)	Deliverable or Evidence of Completion	Due Date/ End Date
G1.B1.S1.A1	Large group professional development on thinking maps: Leadership Team		10/3/2014	Sign In Sheet, Classroom walk-throughs,	6/5/2015 semiannually
G1.B1.S1.A2	Youth Service teachers will use CPALMS website for DI ideas		10/3/2014	Discussions at PLC Meetings at individual sites, PLC Notes (Agenda)	6/5/2015 one-time
G1.B1.S1.A3	PLC meetings: Leadership Team		9/9/2014	PLC Notes (Agenda)	6/5/2015 monthly
G1.B1.S1.A4	All Sites meet together: Subject Area Leaders		10/14/2014	Sign In Sheets, Agendas, Effective Lesson Plans	6/5/2015 quarterly
G1.MA1	Student post scores on the WIN Common Assessment will increase from their pre score.	Fernandez, Carole	10/17/2014	WIN Common Assessment data	one-time
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Teachers using thinking maps and differentiated instruction: Administrative Team	Fernandez, Carole	10/17/2014	Observation data, Student Work Samples	6/5/2015 weekly
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Teacher use of thinking maps incorporated in lessons: Administrative Team	Fernandez, Carole	10/17/2014	Observation data, teacher lesson plans,	6/5/2015 weekly
G1.B1.S1.MA2	Effective differentiated instruction: Administrative Team	Fernandez, Carole	10/17/2014	Observation data, lesson plans	6/5/2015 weekly

Appendix 2: Professional Development and Technical Assistance Outlines

Professional development opportunities and technical assistance items identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

Professional Development Opportuntities

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Student achievement on the WIN Common Assessment will increase when teachers incorporate thinking maps and differentiate instruction.

G1.B1 Professional Development

G1.B1.S1 Provide professional development on the use of thinking maps.

PD Opportunity 1

Large group professional development on thinking maps: Leadership Team

Facilitator

Leadership Team

Participants

Teachers

Schedule

Semiannually, from 10/3/2014 to 6/5/2015

PD Opportunity 2

Youth Service teachers will use CPALMS website for DI ideas

Facilitator

Leadership Team

Participants

Teachers

Schedule

On 6/5/2015

PD Opportunity 3

PLC meetings: Leadership Team

Facilitator

Leadership Team

Participants

Teachers

Schedule

Monthly, from 9/9/2014 to 6/5/2015

PD Opportunity 4

All Sites meet together: Subject Area Leaders

Facilitator

Subject Area Leaders

Participants

Subject Area Leaders

Schedule

Quarterly, from 10/14/2014 to 6/5/2015

Technical Assistance Items

Technical Assistance opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

Budget Rollup

	Summary
Description	Total
Grand Total	0