



**Pam Stewart, Commissioner**

## **2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

---

---

**South Fork High School**  
10000 SW BULLDOG WAY  
Stuart, FL 34997  
772-219-1840  
[sfhs.sbmc.org](http://sfhs.sbmc.org)

---

## School Demographics

---

<b>School Type</b> High School	<b>Title I</b> No	<b>Free and Reduced Lunch Rate</b> 40%
<b>Alternative/ESE Center</b> No	<b>Charter School</b> No	<b>Minority Rate</b> 36%

---

## School Grades History

---

<b>2013-14</b> A	<b>2012-13</b> A	<b>2011-12</b> A	<b>2010-11</b> B
---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

---

## SIP Authority and Template

---

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Differentiated Accountability</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Part I: Current School Status</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Part II: Expected Improvements</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Goals Summary</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Goals Detail</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Action Plan for Improvement</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Part III: Coordination and Integration</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>59</b>

---

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

---

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

### Part I: Current School Status

---

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school’s Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

### Part II: Expected Improvements

---

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

1. Reading
2. Writing
3. Mathematics
4. Science
5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
7. Social Studies
8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
9. Parental Involvement
10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

### Part III: Coordination and Integration

---

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

### Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

---

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

### Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

---

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

## Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

### DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

### DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA – currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only – currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent – currently C
- Focus – currently D
  - Year 1 – declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
  - Year 2 – second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
  - Year 3 or more – third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority – currently F
  - Year 1 – declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
  - Year 2 or more – second or more consecutive F

### DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F – currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning – currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning – Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing – Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

### 2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not in DA	N/A	N/A

  

Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

## Current School Status

### School Information

#### School-Level Information

##### School

South Fork High School

##### Principal

David Hall

##### School Advisory Council chair

Stephanie Jacobs

#### Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
Dave Hall	Principal
Andrew Connolly	Assistant Principal
Ebony Jarrett	Assistant Principal
Charlie Moody	Assistant Principal
Tim Tharp	Assistant Principal
Pat Phillips	Media Specialist
Barb Anderson	Teacher
Sam Elliott	Teacher
Kelly George	IB/AP Coordinator
Doug Konopelko	Teacher
Cheri Peck	Teacher
Marcia Sohar	Teacher
Julie Gebhardt	Intervention Problem Solving Coach
Jeremy Himelberger	Teacher
Donna Dolan	Teacher
Jessica Kolibas	Teacher
Marilyn Southwick	Teacher
Nicole Smith	Teacher

#### District-Level Information

##### District

Martin

##### Superintendent

Mrs. Laurie Gaylord

##### Date of school board approval of SIP

10/15/2013

## School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Membership of the SAC

NAME ETHNICITY GROUP  
Dave Hall W Administrator  
Sam Elliott W Teacher  
Lauren Case B Teacher  
Holly J. Matthews W Parent  
Alice Frost W Parent  
Ramona Delgadillo H Student  
Angel Quinonez, Jr. H Student  
Stephenie Jacobs W Teacher  
Beth Long W Parent  
Diane Spencer W Parent  
Colleen Thomas W Parent  
Liz Snyder W Community member  
Noris Diaz H Parent  
Shalini Jakhete A Parent

### Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

SAC is actively involved in the evaluation of school performance data and preparation of the school's improvement plan and annual budget.

### Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

- Participate in Common Core State Standards Awareness Training
- Support Professional Development Opportunities
- React to student data by providing intervention/improvement suggestions
- Approve finances for professional development activities and student achievement incentive opportunities, and web applications for use in classrooms

### Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

Student Field Trips 865.00  
Professional Conferences 2,000.00  
Marzano's 41 high probability strategies Program 500.00  
Student Response Systems 2,000.00  
Materials FCAT Testmaker update 2,000.00  
Technology Apps 2,000.00  
Computer aided instruction Engenuity materials 2,500.00  
Instructional materials to prepare for FCAT 2.0 6,000.00  
Indiantown Outreach Program 200.00  
Hardware 2,000.00

### Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC

In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

## Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

**Administrators**

**# of administrators**

5

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

(not entered because basis is < 10)

**Administrator Information:**



**David Hall**

Principal

Years as Administrator: 12

Years at Current School: 3

**Credentials**

Degrees: B.A.,  
Biology;  
MS., Botany  
Certifications: School Principal, Biology, Chemistry, Reading  
(Endorsed)

**Performance Record**

Principal of SFHS 2012-2013  
School Grade: TBD  
High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N  
High Performing Qualifying in Math: N  
Writing Target Met: N  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y  
Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES  
Principal of SFHS 2011-2012  
School Grade: A  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 70  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: NA  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 63  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Reading: 73  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Math: 58  
Met Target AMO Reading: Yes  
Met Target AMO Math: Yes  
Principal of SFHS in 2010-2011:  
School Grade: B  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 55  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 88  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 81  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: 48  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 47  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 79  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Reading: 34  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Math: 67  
AYP: Did not make AYP  
White, Hispanic, Economically  
Disadvantaged, and English Language  
Learners did not make AYP in reading.  
Hispanic did not make AYP in math.

**Tim Tharpe**

Asst Principal

Years as Administrator: 8

Years at Current School: 2

**Credentials**

Degrees: BA, Physical Education/Health;  
MS, Health, Leisure and Sports/Physical Education; Educational Specialist Degree  
Certifications: Physical Education/Health (K-12)  
Educational Leadership

**Performance Record**

Assistant Principal of SFHS 2012-2013  
School Grade: TBD  
High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N  
High Performing Qualifying in Math: N  
Writing Target Met: N  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y  
Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES  
Assistant Principal of SFHS 2011-2012  
School Grade: A  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 70  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: NA  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 63  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 73  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 58  
Met Target AMO Reading: Yes  
Met Target AMO Math: Yes  
Assistant Principal of SFHS in 2010-2011:  
School Grade: B  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 55  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 88  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 81  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: 48  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 47  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 79  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 34  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 67  
AYP: Did not make AYP  
White, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners did not make AYP in reading.  
Hispanic did not make AYP in math.

**Andrew Connolly**

Asst Principal

Years as Administrator: 3

Years at Current School: 7

**Credentials**

Degrees: B.A., English Education;  
M.A., Educational Leadership  
Certifications: English 6-12; Educational Leadership

**Performance Record**

Assistant Principal of SFHS 2012-2013 School Grade: TBD  
High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N  
High Performing Qualifying in Math: N  
Writing Target Met: N  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y  
Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES  
Assistant Principal of SFHS 2011-2012  
School Grade: A  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 70  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: NA  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 63  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 73  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 58  
Met Target AMO Reading: Yes  
Met Target AMO Math: Yes  
Assistant Principal of SFHS in 2010-2011:  
School Grade: B  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 55  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 88  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 81  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: 48  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 47  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 79  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 34  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 67  
AYP: Did not make AYP  
White, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners did not make AYP in reading.  
Hispanic did not make AYP in math.

**Ebony Jarrett**

Asst Principal

Years as Administrator: 0

Years at Current School: 0

**Credentials**

## Degrees:

BS-English Language Arts Education

M.Ed-Educational Leadership

## Certifications:

English Language Arts Education 6-12

Educational Leadership K-12

## Endorsements:

Gifted

Reading

English Language Learners

**Performance Record**

## Teacher JBHS:

SY 2011-2012: Grade "A". Reading Mastery

73%, Math Mastery 82%, Writing Mastery

92%, Reading Learning Gains 73%, Math Learning

Gains 83%, Reading Learning Gains for Lowest

25% is 71%, Math Learning Gains for

Lowest 25% is 77%.

SY 2010-2011: Grade "B". Reading Mastery

73%, Math Mastery 90%, Writing Mastery

94%, Science Mastery 68%, Reading

Learning Gains 62%, Math Learning Gains

82%, Reading Learning Gains for Lowest

25% is 44%, Math Learning Gains for

Lowest 25% is 78%.

SY 2009-2010: "A" rated school. Reading

Mastery 68%, Math Mastery 91%, Writing

Mastery 92%, Science Mastery 65%,

Reading Learning Gains 61%, Math

Learning Gains 80%, Reading Learning

Gains for Lowest 25% is 51%, Math

Learning Gains for Lowest 25% is 74%.

**Arthur Charles Moody**

Asst Principal

Years as Administrator: 5

Years at Current School: 2

**Credentials**

Degrees: B.A.,  
Political Science;  
M.A., Educational  
Leadership  
Certifications: Ed  
Leadership,  
Social Science

**Performance Record**

Assistant Principal of SFHS 2012-2013  
School Grade: TBD  
High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N  
High Performing Qualifying in Math: N  
Writing Target Met: N  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68  
% Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y  
Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y  
Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES  
Assistant Principal of SFHS 2011-2012  
School Grade: A  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 70  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: NA  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 63  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Reading: 73  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Math: 58  
Met Target AMO Reading: Yes  
Met Target AMO Math: Yes  
Assistant Principal of SFHS in 2010-2011:  
School Grade: B  
% Meeting High Standards in Reading: 55  
% Meeting High Standards in Math: 88  
% Meeting High Standards in Writing: 81  
% Meeting High Standards in Science: 48  
% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 47  
% Making Learning Gains in Math: 79  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Reading: 34  
% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in  
Math: 67  
AYP: Did not make AYP  
White, Hispanic, Economically  
Disadvantaged, and English Language  
Learners did not make AYP in reading.

Hispanic did not make AYP in math.  
Hispanic did not make AYP in math.

### Instructional Coaches

**# of instructional coaches**

2

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

(not entered because basis is < 10)

**Instructional Coach Information:**

Edwina Hutson		
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 5	Years at Current School: 5
<b>Areas</b>	Reading/Literacy	
<b>Credentials</b>	Degrees: B.S., Marketing Management; M.A., Education; M.Ed., Reading Certifications: English 5-9, English 6-12, Reading K-12, ESOL K-12, ESE K-12	
<b>Performance Record</b>	Literacy Coach of SFHS 2012-2013 School Grade: TBD High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N High Performing Qualifying in Math: N Writing Target Met: N % Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68 % Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76 Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES Reading Coach of SFHS 2011-2012 School Grade: A % Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66 % Meeting High Standards in Math: 70 % Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87 % Meeting High Standards in Science: NA % Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70 % Making Learning Gains in Math: 63 % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 73 % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 58 Reading Coach, SFHS in 2010-2011: School Grade: B % Meeting High Standards in Reading: 55 % Meeting High Standards in Math: 88 % Meeting High Standards in Writing: 81 % Meeting High Standards in Science: 48 % Making Learning Gains in Reading: 47 % Making Learning Gains in Math: 79 % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 34 % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 67 AYP: Did not make AYP White, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners did not make AYP in reading. Hispanic did not make AYP in math.	

<b>Camille Aloï</b>		
<b>Part-time / School-based</b>	<b>Years as Coach: 2</b>	<b>Years at Current School: 2</b>
<b>Areas</b>	Rtl/MTSS	
<b>Credentials</b>	<p>Degrees:                  Master of Science (M.S.) – Educational Leadership &amp; Supervision                  Master of Science in Education (M.S.Ed.)- Reading                  Bachelor of Science (B.S.) – Communication/Public Relations &amp; Advertising                  Associate in Applied Science (A.A.S.) – Business/Personnel Administration</p> <p>Certification:                  Business Education, (grades 6 - 12)                  Educational Leadership, (all Levels)                  Elementary Education, (grades K - 6)                  English For Speakers Of Other Languages (esol), Endorsement                  Marketing, (grades 6 - 12)                  Reading, (grades K - 12)                  Social Science, (grades 6 - 12)</p>	
<b>Performance Record</b>	<p>Response to Intervention Coach of SFHS 2012-2013 School Grade: TBD                  High Performing Qualifying in Reading: N                  High Performing Qualifying in Math: N                  Writing Target Met: N                  % Scoring Satisfactory in Reading: 68                  % Scoring Satisfactory in Math: 76                  Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Reading: Y                  Learning Gains Progress Met for the Lowest 25% in Math: Y                  Met Target AMO Reading: YES Met Target AMO Math: YES</p> <p>Response to Intervention Coach of SFHS 2011-2012 School Grade: A                  % Meeting High Standards in Reading: 66                  % Meeting High Standards in Math: 70                  % Meeting High Standards in Writing: 87                  % Meeting High Standards in Science: NA                  % Making Learning Gains in Reading: 70                  % Making Learning Gains in Math: 63                  % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Reading: 73                  % of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains in Math: 58</p>	

**Classroom Teachers**

**# of classroom teachers**

99

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

99, 100%

**# Highly Qualified Teachers**

99%



**# certified in-field**

98, 99%

**# ESOL endorsed**

69, 70%

**# reading endorsed**

33, 33%

**# with advanced degrees**

47, 47%

**# National Board Certified**

8, 8%

**# first-year teachers**

3, 3%

**# with 1-5 years of experience**

15, 15%

**# with 6-14 years of experience**

38, 38%

**# with 15 or more years of experience**

43, 43%

**Education Paraprofessionals**

**# of paraprofessionals**

11

**# Highly Qualified**

11, 100%

**Other Instructional Personnel**

**# of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above**

4

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

(not entered because basis is < 10)

**Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies**

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

**Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible**

- Review on-line applications
- Identify certified applicants
- Interview applicants meeting qualifications for position
- Call at least 3 references
- Provide new teacher support/mentoring program
- Provide on-going support and direction
- Supervise instruction and provide positive and constructive feedback

- Provide/encourage training opportunities for Reading Endorsement, CAR-PD, and ELL Endorsement

### **Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### **Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities**

All teachers who are new to SFHS receive a mentor teacher. The mentor is a veteran teacher who teaches the same subject area.

Teachers received a new teacher handbook that was designed to help them with the transition to SFHS policies, procedures, and expectations. There are monthly meetings lead by the Instructional Team Leaders and the Assistant Principal of ESE. The meetings cover a variety of topics to include: Lesson planning, classroom management, iObservation, CCSS, Dealing with Parents, and other topics relevant to our profession. Beginning teachers will have the opportunity to observe master teachers in the classroom with a follow up discussion to guide their thinking and understanding of the process.

### **Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### **Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs**

The Rtl Leadership Team meets with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provides data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; help set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitates the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligns processes and procedures.

#### **Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP**

The Rtl Leadership team is a multi-disciplinary team of school professionals who meet on a monthly basis to address teachers' concerns about struggling students and to help design intervention plans. The purpose of the team is to be an effective problem-solving group that:

- Assesses teachers' concerns about student academic and/or behavioral difficulties.
- Identifies student strengths, interests and talents.
- Reviews baseline data that has been collected.
- Sets projected outcomes and methods for measuring progress.
- Designs specific intervention plans.
- Reviews and monitors intervention plans.
- Develops a plan to communicate plan/results with student's parents.

Dave Hall, Principal

Andrew Connolly, Assistant Principal

Ebony Jarrett, Assistant Principal

Charlie Moody, Assistant Principal

Edwina Hutson, Reading Coach

Camille Aloï, Rtl Coach

Jen Nixon, Teacher  
Amy Whitesell, Guidance Counselor  
Mary Saxenmeyer, Guidance Counselor  
Kathleen Jordan, Guidance Counselor  
Eileen Small, Guidance Counselor  
Julie Gebhardt, ESE Team Leader

### **Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP**

SFHS has adopted the Positive Behavior Support System and uses the RtIB data management system to gather discipline and behavior data. Attendance and student academic performance is collected by the data contact on the RtI committee. With the use of Pinnacle, the data contact identifies students with grades of 70 or below as often as determined by need. Students will be identified within tiers and the same data elements will be used to exit students from tier 2 or tier 3.

### **Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement**

Progress Monitoring Recording Network (PMRN) is utilized to monitor Florida Assessment for Instruction in reading (FAIR) data.

- Performance Matters is utilized to monitor Benchmark, FCAT, and SAT data.
- RtIB is utilized to monitor behavior data.
- Excel spreadsheets are utilized to chart/graph student responses to interventions.

### **Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents**

All staff members received an MTSS/RtI Gap Analysis and Data Collection workshop. The RtI team will receive additional training and will work with the district RtI contact and RtI coach. The RtI team will provide additional professional development throughout the year as it becomes available through district resources and as needed. Ongoing support will be offered through individual conferencing with both students and teachers who are involved with MTSS

## **Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### **Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:**

**Strategy:** Before or After School Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 5,400

ELL small group tutoring before school daily focusing on students current classroom assignments.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Instruction in core academic subjects

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

Pinnacle is monitored for participating students, Cella results are analyzed.

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

ELL coordinator

**Strategy:** Before or After School Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 2,160

NHS PEER Tutoring focusing on students current classroom assignments. Available Mondays after school.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Instruction in core academic subjects

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

attendance sheets are collected

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

Terri Smith, Lucy Tello

**Strategy:** Summer Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 2,400

JROTC Area 3-Camp All American and Camp Recondo. Training conducted were Rappelling, High & Low Ropes, Land Navigation, Survival, Swim Test and Water Operations.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

Cadet Performance Evaluation

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

LTC James Daly

**Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)**

**Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>
Dave Hall	Principal
Andrew Connolly	Assistant Principal
Ebony Jarrett	Assistant Principal
Edwina Hutson	Literacy Coach
Patricia Phillips	Media Specialist
Teri Smith	Teacher
Marcia Sohar	Teacher
Paulina Alvayay	Teacher
Jackie Townsend	Teacher
Belinda Benner	Teacher
Christina Robles	Teacher
Lucinda Swinehart	Teacher
Jessica Kolibas	Teacher
Linda Pelli	Teacher

**How the school-based LLT functions**

The Leadership team meets monthly to discuss trends and strategies for improving the teaching and learning process at South Fork High School.

**Major initiatives of the LLT**

The major initiatives of the LLT team this year will be to focus on a plan to align teaching to Common Core Standards. We will be continuing our outreach to our Western Zone families as well as expanding a similar program in Hobe Sound.

## Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

### How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

All teachers, regardless of subject areas, are responsible for implementing the Reading Instructional Focus Calendar (IFC), with each benchmark being assessed in Reading, Social Studies, English, and elective classes. Daily bell ringers are planned in all classrooms to introduce, teach, assess, and reteach benchmarks.

Opportunities for Reading Endorsement coursework (CAR-PD) will continue to be strongly encouraged for all teachers not already reading endorsed or certified. CTE teachers are NG CARPD trained. The Reading Coach will be assigned to work with content area teachers to evaluate instructional materials, and address student results from Benchmark assessments.

All teachers will be trained in Marzano's 41 high probability strategies which lead to effective learning.

## College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

Extensive training has occurred with regard to rigorous and relevant instruction. Daily objectives and Essential Questions are presented at the same cognitive complexity level of the benchmarks as identified in the item specifications to reinforce standards. All teachers utilize a common board configuration to post their instructional focus strategy for students, and explain the purpose for learning the skill. Semester lesson maps have been developed for each course and are accessible to all teachers on the common drive and organized by grade level.

### How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

Course selection is based on teacher recommendations, high school graduation requirements, and student achievement data.

Students declare a major area of study in eighth grade with the help of their guidance counselor. These majors are used as a guide for students as they plan their course work. Guidance helps students evaluate and reassess that choice yearly. With the flexibility available in the high school schedule, students can adjust course selections.

### Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

Review of the High School Feedback Report indicate that additional attention needs to be placed on providing students with assistance in preparing for and applying for college entrance exams (SAT, ACT, CPT). During the second semester, SFHS offers Math for College Success and Reading for College Success to seniors who scored below the CPT cut-off score. We offer CPT testing in November and April. We place an emphasis on encouraging our students to enroll in higher level classes such as Dual Enrollment, Advanced Placement, and IB. Guidance counselors conduct a Bright Futures registration pull-out session once per semester to ensure that all students register for Bright Futures. We will increase enrollment in SAT prep courses. Student athletes are given the opportunity to participate in a Saturday ACT prep course. Students are also advised by their guidance counselors on the SAT and ACT programs and when and how to register and follow-up after scores are received.

## Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Area 1: Reading

#### Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	65%	68%	Yes	69%
American Indian				
Asian	85%	84%	No	87%
Black/African American	45%	44%	No	51%
Hispanic	47%	44%	No	52%
White	73%	80%	Yes	76%
English language learners	27%	30%	Yes	34%
Students with disabilities	35%	34%	No	42%
Economically disadvantaged	48%	50%	Yes	54%

#### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	116	24%	27%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	212	44%	47%

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6		<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>	32%
Students scoring at or above Level 7		<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>	50%

#### Learning Gains

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	987	67%	70%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	982	67%	70%

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	18	72%	77%
Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	16	64%	69%
Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	13	52%	57%

**Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	400	69%	72%

**Area 2: Writing**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	485	59%	62%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>		70%

**Area 3: Mathematics**

**High School Mathematics**

**Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA**

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	64%	76%	Yes	68%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American	51%	66%	Yes	56%
Hispanic	62%	68%	Yes	66%
White	68%	79%	Yes	71%
English language learners	56%	59%	Yes	60%
Students with disabilities	59%	41%	No	63%
Economically disadvantaged	58%	69%	Yes	63%



**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>		41%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>		41%

**Learning Gains**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)	160	68%	68%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC)	149	67%	68%

**Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	400	59%	62%

**Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	148	53%	56%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	25	9%	12%

**Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	122	39%	42%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	66	21%	24%

**Area 4: Science**

**High School Science**

**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>		50%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	<i>[data excluded for privacy reasons]</i>		50%

**Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	156	36%	39%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	169	39%	42%

**Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)****All Levels**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	3		5
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	3	100%	100%

**High Schools**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more <i>accelerated</i> STEM-related courses	1330	72%	75%
Completion rate (%) for students enrolled in <i>accelerated</i> STEM-related courses		75%	78%
Students taking one or more advanced placement exams for STEM-related courses	1174	64%	67%
CTE-STEM program concentrators	43		46
Students taking CTE-STEM industry certification exams	8	1%	2%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE-STEM industry certification exams		75%	78%

**Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	192	10%	13%
Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses	118	61%	64%
Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in <i>accelerated</i> courses		100%	100%
Students taking CTE industry certification exams	156	81%	84%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams		72%	75%
CTE program concentrators	133	69%	72%
CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications	9	100%	100%

## Area 8: Early Warning Systems

### High School Indicators

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time	135	7%	4%
Students in ninth grade with one or more absences within the first 20 days	22	5%	4%
Students in ninth grade who fail two or more courses in any subject	35	8%	5%
Students with grade point average less than 2.0	239	13%	10%
Students who fail to progress on-time to tenth grade	31	6%	4%
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	259	14%	10%
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	267	13%	10%

### Graduation

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.	7	0%	0%
Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	400	87%	89%
Academically at-risk students graduating in 4 years, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.	45	65%	67%
Students graduating in 5 years, using criteria defined at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	432	88%	90%

## Area 9: Parent Involvement

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Parental involvement targets for the school

The total number of hours in volunteer service will equal more than twice the number of students enrolled in the school

### Specific Parental Involvement Targets

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Number of parent volunteers	245	13%	16%
Number of volunteer hours	7000	na%	na%

## Area 10: Additional Targets

Additional targets for the school

Specific Additional Targets

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
--------	---------------	---------------	---------------

## Goals Summary

- G1.** The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.
- G2.** The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages
- G3.** Increase the percentage of students scoring a level three or higher on the Bioloy EOC
- G4.** Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing
- G5.** Increase participation in On-the-Job Training program for the purpose of increasing students' subject knowledge and as an introduction into good workplace procedures and habits.
- G6.** Study the impact that technology use and cooperative learning will have on student attitudes towards science, student learning gains, and interest in STEM-related careers

## Goals Detail

**G1.** The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.

### **Targets Supported**

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Parental Involvement
- EWS - High School
- EWS - Graduation

### **Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Teachers will be working in collaborative teams to assess student groups and determine standards based essential learnings and strategies to achieve the success of those essential learnings per course and grade level. Collaborative teams will work to create common assessments, both summative and formative, to progress monitor and intervene when students either need enrichment or interventions. Differentiated instruction professional development for new teachers and those who need it. Professional development will be infused into the collaborative teams with a focus on literacy strategies to use in all content areas. Literacy coach will support the implementation of those strategies and be involved in cross curricula team planning. District-wide unpacking of common core state standards in all content areas. Computer based benchmark exams offered this year.
- School wide wifi, Bring Your Own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

### **Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Low levels of student engagement
- Ambiguous student learning goals
- Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards
- poor academic performance for Q1 students
- Overrepresentation of excessive absences in our Western Zone student population

### **Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

iObservation reports will be analyzed; Administrator/Teacher conferences regarding observed classroom practices; Professional development will be planned to address needs on an ongoing basis; Benchmark results will be scrutinized in data teams

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Literacy Coach; Teachers

### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

quarterly

### **Evidence of Completion:**

Students increased FCAT 2.0 Reading scores and content area progress as determined by learning scales

**G2.** The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages

### **Targets Supported**

- Math (High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS - High School
- EWS - Graduation

### **Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Teachers will be working in collaborative teams to assess student groups and determine standards based essential learnings and strategies to achieve the success of those essential learnings per course and grade level. Collaborative teams will work to create common assessments, both summative and formative, to progress monitor and intervene when students either need enrichment or interventions. Differentiated instruction professional development for new teachers and those who need it. District-wide unpacking of common core state standards in all content areas. Computer based benchmark exams. School wide wifi, Bring Your Own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

### **Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards
- Student weak areas as identified by the Performance Matters, benchmark testing, and mini assessments
- Students not passing the EOC on the first try

### **Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

iObservation reports will be analyzed; Administrator/Teacher conferences regarding observed classroom practices; Professional development will be planned to address needs on an ongoing basis; Benchmark results will be scrutinized in data teams

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Team Leaders; Teachers

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Students increased test scores and content area progress as determined by learning scales

**G3. Increase the percentage of students scoring a level three or higher on the Biology EOC****Targets Supported**

- Science - High School
- Science - Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM - High School

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Continued Professional Learning Communities through subject area teams; seasoned Science Fair Coordinator. School wide wifi, Bring Your Own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Insufficient lab opportunities tied to higher rigor/relevance and Common Core State Standards
- Weak content knowledge
- Low degree of self- efficacy when facing challenging tasks

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

Performance Matters Progress monitoring of data including Biology benchmark assessments;<sup>[OBJ][OBJ][OBJ]</sup>  
Review data from data teams based on teacher made pre-post assessments <sup>[OBJ]</sup>

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff; Team Leaders; Administrators;

**Target Dates or Schedule:**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion:**

Biology EOC scores and students increased content area progress as determined by learning scales

**G4. Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing****Targets Supported**

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Use of extended writing prompts across the curriculum to provide on-going practice in writing; Use of FCAT Writing rubric to evaluate assignments; School wide wifi, Bring Your Own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Poor writing skills
- Poor sentence structure, grammar, usage
- Students not seeing relevance of instruction



## Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Monitor monthly writing prompts and provide PD as needed

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration; Literacy Coach

### Target Dates or Schedule:

ongoing

### Evidence of Completion:

At least 62% of students will score a 3.5 or higher on the Florida Comprehensive Writing Assessment Test 2.0

**G5.** Increase participation in On-the-Job Training program for the purpose of increasing students' subject knowledge and as an introduction into good workplace procedures and habits.

### Targets Supported

- STEM
- STEM - High School
- CTE
- EWS
- EWS - High School
- EWS - Graduation

### Resources Available to Support the Goal

- Teachers will be working in collaborative teams to assess student groups and determine standards based essential learnings and strategies to achieve the success of those essential learnings per course and grade level. Collaborative teams will work to create common assessments, both summative and formative, to progress monitor and intervene when students either need enrichment or interventions. Differentiated instruction professional development for new teachers and those who need it. District-wide unpacking of common core state standards in all content areas. Computer based benchmark exams. School wide wifi, Bring your own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

### Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Q1 students unable to participate in CTE program due to insufficient credits, and intensive course load

## Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Q1 students participating in CTE programs

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration; Guidance

### Target Dates or Schedule:

each semester

### Evidence of Completion:

Increase number of Q1 students in CTE program

**G6. Study the impact that technology use and cooperative learning will have on student attitudes towards science, student learning gains, and interest in STEM-related careers**

**Targets Supported**

- Reading ()
- Writing
- Math ()
- STEM
- STEM - High School
- CTE

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Teachers will be working in collaborative teams to assess student groups and determine standards based essential learnings and strategies to achieve the success of those essential learnings per course and grade level., Collaborative teams will work to create common assessments, both summative and formative, to progress monitor and intervene when students either need enrichment or interventions. , Differentiated instruction professional development for new teachers and those who need it. District-wide unpacking of common core state standards in all content areas. Computer based benchmark exams. School wide wifi, Bring your own Device opportunities for student and teachers.

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Weak content knowledge

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

Analyze student performance data

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Team Leaders

**Target Dates or Schedule:**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion:**

Student EOC Biology scores; content area progress as determined by learning scales

## Action Plan for Improvement

### Problem Solving Key

**G** = Goal

**B** = Barrier

**S** = Strategy

**G1.** The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.

### **G1.B1** Low levels of student engagement

**G1.B1.S1** Utilize strategies learned in Marzano, Ruby Payne, RtI, PBS, and CRISS across the curriculum

#### **Action Step 1**

On-going training for teachers on the use of applications, mobile devices, and flipping the classroom

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Attendance roster

#### **Facilitator:**

Digital Learning Community Leader

#### **Participants:**

Instructional Staff

#### **Action Step 2**

CTE program providing meaning, relevance and experience in deeply contextualized learning of subject matter: using jigsaw, concept maps, learning scales, and Venn diagrams to increase reading comprehension

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

CTE teachers

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Lesson plans

### **Action Step 3**

Facilitators will coteach in reading, mathematics, and science classes, utilizing Marzano's high yield strategies, and small group instruction

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Facilitators

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

daily

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Student's response to learning opportunities

### **Action Step 4**

Increase student engagement during instructional delivery through the use of purposeful peer-to-peer discourse

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Lesson Plans, Benchmark assessments, FCAT scores

#### **Facilitator:**

Reading Coach; Assistant Principal; Team Leaders

#### **Participants:**

Teachers

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

PLC's to discuss implementation of best practices; Design PD around needs of staff for all barriers

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration; Team Leaders; Literacy Coach; Teachers; Facilitators

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

Meeting agendas and notes

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

Classroom observations should show evidence of engaged learners

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans reflecting common instructional strategies; Pinnacle reports; FCAT 2.0

**G1.B5** Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards

**G1.B5.S1** Unwrapping 10 Anchor Standards in reading for use across the curriculum; CCSS PD

#### Action Step 1

Data driven instruction

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Instructional Staff

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

FCAT Testmaker results

### **Action Step 2**

Utilize evidence based preparation materials to ready students for FCAT 2.0

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Lesson plans; Benchmark data; AMO results

### **Action Step 3**

Needs based PD for collaborative teams focusing on essential skills; break down top ten reading strategies; make a learning scale for use in each subject area

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Team Leaders

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Learning scale results, meeting notes

#### **Facilitator:**

Team Leaders; Literacy Coach

#### **Participants:**

Instructional Staff

### **Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B5.S1**

Needs based PD for collaborative teams focusing on essential skills

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

PD attendance roster; Lesson plans

## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B5.S1

Student response to learning opportunities

### Person or Persons Responsible

Instructional Staff; Administration

### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

### Evidence of Completion

Pinnacle reports; FCAT 2.0 Reading results

## G1.B9 poor academic performance for Q1 students

**G1.B9.S1** Identify and monitor Q1 students; provide additional support in the classroom, before school, and after school; provide incentive field trips

### Action Step 1

Incentive field trips for Q1 students

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule

three times a year

#### Evidence of Completion

Field trip roster

### Action Step 2

Utilize Edgenuity to allow students to recover credits

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Instructional Staff

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

Credits earned

**Action Step 3**

After-school reading tutoring

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teacher

**Target Dates or Schedule**

2 days a week

**Evidence of Completion**

mini assessments

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B9.S1**

LLT will monitor and discuss progress of Q1 students

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Literacy Leadership Team

**Target Dates or Schedule**

monthly

**Evidence of Completion**

LLT agenda

**Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B9.S1**

Q1 subgroup having less than 10% absences, and increased AYP percentages

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Student Services; Teachers; Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

AMO percentages; attendance data



## **G1.B12 Overrepresentation of excessive absences in our Western Zone student population**

**G1.B12.S1** The Western Zone Task Force will continue to meet with stakeholders in Indiantown to discuss and monitor concerns; Provide bus to Western Zone to transport students to all home football games to promote student/school connection

### **Action Step 1**

Parent/Teacher conferences in Indiantown; Guest speakers to acquaint parents with school resources and policies; attendance monitoring

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Literacy Leadership Team; Administration; Support Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

conference rosters; attendance reports

### **Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B12.S1**

LLT discuss and revise outreach efforts

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

LLT

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Meeting notes

### **Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B12.S1**

Analyze school performance of Western Zone students

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Student Services; Administration; Literacy Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

quarterly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Correlate conference data, attendance data, and state assessment data with Western Zone students

**G2.** The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages

**G2.B1** Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards

**G2.B1.S1** Unwrapping 10 Anchor Standards in reading for use across the curriculum; CCSS PD

**Action Step 1**

Break down top ten reading strategies, make a scale for use in each subject area

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration, Team Leaders

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

scale results, meeting notes

**Facilitator:**

Team Leaders

**Participants:**

Teachers

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1**

Needs based PD for collaborative teams focusing on essential skills

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration; Instructional Staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

PD attendance roster; Lesson plans

## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B1.S1

Student response to learning opportunities

### Person or Persons Responsible

Teachers

### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

### Evidence of Completion

Pinnacle reports; EOC results

## G2.B2 Student weak areas as identified by the Performance Matters, benchmark testing, and mini assessments

**G2.B2.S1** IFCs will be adjusted as the year progresses to allow assessments to guide instruction. Special focus will be given areas of weakness as identified by benchmark testing; Teachers organize students to interact with new knowledge, chunk content into digestible bites, preview, process, elaborate on new information, and reflect on learning

### Action Step 1

Teacher/student data chats after each benchmark test administration to disseminate individual benchmark performance

### Person or Persons Responsible

Teachers

### Target Dates or Schedule

after Benchmarks

### Evidence of Completion

student awareness of strengths and weaknesses in subject area

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B2.S1

Data teams will meet monthly to analyze assessment results and adjust IFCs

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

meeting notes; IFC

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B2.S1

Student response to learning opportunities

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Pinnacle; Lesson plans; Performance Matters Data

## G2.B3 Students not passing the EOC on the first try

### G2.B3.S1 EOC Biology remediation; preparation sessions before school

**Action Step 1**

Before/after school math tutoring

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

weekly

**Evidence of Completion**

mini assessments

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B3.S1

attendance rosters will be checked; student progress will be monitored

#### Person or Persons Responsible

teacher

#### Target Dates or Schedule

before school

#### Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans; mini assessment results

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B3.S1

Teachers lessons are standards based and incorporate Common Core State Standards

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

EOC retake scores

### G3. Increase the percentage of students scoring a level three or higher on the Biology EOC

#### G3.B1 Insufficient lab opportunities tied to higher rigor/relevance and Common Core State Standards

**G3.B1.S1** Teachers coordinate lab opportunities by sharing classroom computers; stagger computer lab assignment to make the best use of resources

#### Action Step 1

Identify and consistently implement four labs that meet the standards identified in CCSS

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Biology Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

Pinnacle reports; Lab results

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1

Discuss, review, and adjust process

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Biology Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

as needed

**Evidence of Completion**

Meeting minutes and attendance roster

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B1.S1

Desegregate and discuss learning outcomes

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Performance Matters reports; Biology EOC scores

### G3.B2 Weak content knowledge

**G3.B2.S1** Require research- based instructional strategies for specific subgroups: Marzano's 41 high yield strategies, Think Alouds, concept mapping, holistic grading, inquiry approach and cooperative learning

**Action Step 1**

Collect Common Core lessons; analyze learning scales

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Lesson plans

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B2.S1

Discuss best practices

**Person or Persons Responsible**

PLC'S

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Meeting notes

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B2.S1

Student response to learning opportunities

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Pinnacle reports; EOC results

### G3.B3 Low degree of self- efficacy when facing challenging tasks

**G3.B3.S1** Organize students to interact with new knowledge Chunk content into "digestible bites" Have student record, elaborate, and represent new knowledge

**Action Step 1**

Identify student weakness; Review Performance data;

**Person or Persons Responsible**

teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

student work samples, lesson plans

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B3.S1

Review student work samples; Classroom observations; Student participation and engagement

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Administrators

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Student work samples; iObservation conferences

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B3.S1

student response to learning opportunity

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers; Administration

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Biology EOC results



**G4. Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing**

**G4.B1 Poor writing skills**

**G4.B1.S1** Continue the use of extended writing prompts across the curriculum to provide on-going practice in writing; use FCAT Writing rubric to evaluate assignments

**Action Step 1**

Analyze 2013 FCAT 2.0 writing samples to identify areas for improvement; conference with students throughout the writing process, modeling effective writing strategies

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Classroom teachers; PLC's

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

student writing samples and scales

**Facilitator:**

Team Leaders

**Participants:**

Instructional Staff

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G4.B1.S1**

Share writing samples during data team meetings and provide share best practices to improve writing samples

**Person or Persons Responsible**

data teams

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

meeting notes

## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G4.B1.S1

student writing proficiency evidenced on monthly writing prompts

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

### Target Dates or Schedule

monthly

### Evidence of Completion

2014 FCAT Comprehensive Writing Assessment 2.0

## G4.B3 Students not seeing relevance of instruction

**G4.B3.S1** Use Technology to enhance written communication; Implement Bring Your Own Device (BYOD);

### Action Step 1

Ensure WIFI is robust enough to meet student needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Digital Learning Pilot Team (DLPT); Instructional Staff

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

iSupport incident management report

### Action Step 2

Conduct monthly PD based on instructional needs survey.

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Digital Learning Pilot Team (DLPT); Instructional Staff

#### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

#### Evidence of Completion

PD attendance roster

### **Action Step 3**

Utilize technology to produce, publish, and update writing products, linking to other information and displaying information flexibly and dynamically.

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Digital Learning Pilot Team (DLPT); Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

PD attendance; student learning scales

#### **Facilitator:**

Digital Learning Pilot Team

#### **Participants:**

Instructional Staff

### **Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G4.B3.S1**

Discuss and review progress

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Digital Learning Community Leader

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Sign in roster

### **Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G4.B3.S1**

Student response to learning opportunities.

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Team Leaders; Instructional Staff

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Ongoing

#### **Evidence of Completion**

FCAT Comprehensive Writing Assessment 2.0; FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam

**G5.** Increase participation in On-the-Job Training program for the purpose of increasing students' subject knowledge and as an introduction into good workplace procedures and habits.

**G5.B1** Q1 students unable to participate in CTE program due to insufficient credits, and intensive course load

**G5.B1.S1** Increase Q1 students academic success

**Action Step 1**

Provide after school Edgenuity opportunities to make up credits

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Recovered credits summary

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B1.S1**

Progress towards completion of course work

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructor

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Edgenuity reports

**Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G5.B1.S1**

monitor Q1 progress

**Person or Persons Responsible**

guidance

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

Q1 student response to learning opportunity

**G6.** Study the impact that technology use and cooperative learning will have on student attitudes towards science, student learning gains, and interest in STEM-related careers

**G6.B1** Weak content knowledge

**G6.B1.S1** Utilize technology to investigate relationships in scientific theory

**Action Step 1**

Attend conferences to enhance teaching

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional Staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

as needed

**Evidence of Completion**

Attendance documentation

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G6.B1.S1**

request funding from SAC

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Instructional staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

as needed

**Evidence of Completion**

SAC meeting minutes

**Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G6.B1.S1**

share outcomes with peers

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

as needed

**Evidence of Completion**

ERO log

## Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

South Fork High School coordinates and integrates all federal, state, and local programs that impact the school:

- Implements research-based resources funded by federal and local funds.
- School Improvement Plan objectives reflect the research-based strategies with a focus on achieving state and district priorities.
- Input from feeder middle schools is obtained by the school and is included in the transition plan.
- Partnerships are established.
- With coordination and scheduling of instructional programs.
- With implementation of parent information programs.
- Brochures and referrals for parent and student support from the guidance department, school nurse and other school and district personnel.

## Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

*Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.*

**G1.** The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.

### **G1.B1** Low levels of student engagement

**G1.B1.S1** Utilize strategies learned in Marzano, Ruby Payne, RtI, PBS, and CRISS across the curriculum

#### **PD Opportunity 1**

On-going training for teachers on the use of applications, mobile devices, and flipping the classroom

##### **Facilitator**

Digital Learning Community Leader

##### **Participants**

Instructional Staff

##### **Target Dates or Schedule**

monthly

##### **Evidence of Completion**

Attendance roster

## PD Opportunity 2

Increase student engagement during instructional delivery through the use of purposeful peer-to-peer discourse

### Facilitator

Reading Coach; Assistant Principal; Team Leaders

### Participants

Teachers

### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

### Evidence of Completion

Lesson Plans, Benchmark assessments, FCAT scores

**G1.B5** Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards

**G1.B5.S1** Unwrapping 10 Anchor Standards in reading for use across the curriculum; CCSS PD

## PD Opportunity 1

Needs based PD for collaborative teams focusing on essential skills; break down top ten reading strategies; make a learning scale for use in each subject area

### Facilitator

Team Leaders; Literacy Coach

### Participants

Instructional Staff

### Target Dates or Schedule

ongoing

### Evidence of Completion

Learning scale results, meeting notes



**G2.** The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages

**G2.B1** Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards

**G2.B1.S1** Unwrapping 10 Anchor Standards in reading for use across the curriculum; CCSS PD

**PD Opportunity 1**

Break down top ten reading strategies, make a scale for use in each subject area

**Facilitator**

Team Leaders

**Participants**

Teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

scale results, meeting notes

**G4. Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing**

**G4.B1 Poor writing skills**

**G4.B1.S1** Continue the use of extended writing prompts across the curriculum to provide on-going practice in writing; use FCAT Writing rubric to evaluate assignments

**PD Opportunity 1**

Analyze 2013 FCAT 2.0 writing samples to identify areas for improvement; conference with students throughout the writing process, modeling effective writing strategies

**Facilitator**

Team Leaders

**Participants**

Instructional Staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

student writing samples and scales

**G4.B3 Students not seeing relevance of instruction**

**G4.B3.S1** Use Technology to enhance written communication; Implement Bring Your Own Device (BYOD);

**PD Opportunity 1**

Utilize technology to produce, publish, and update writing products, linking to other information and displaying information flexibly and dynamically.

**Facilitator**

Digital Learning Pilot Team

**Participants**

Instructional Staff

**Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

**Evidence of Completion**

PD attendance; student learning scales

## Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

### Budget Summary by Goal

Goal	Description	Total
G1.	The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.	\$17,000
G2.	The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages	\$1,000
G4.	Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing	\$2,000
G6.	Study the impact that technology use and cooperative learning will have on student attitudes towards science, student learning gains, and interest in STEM-related careers	\$2,000
Total		\$22,000

### Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type

Funding Source	Other	Personnel	Technology	Evidence-Based Program	Professional Development	Evidence-Based Materials	Total
SAC	\$1,000	\$0	\$4,000	\$4,500	\$2,000	\$6,500	\$18,000
SIA	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000
Total	\$1,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,500	\$2,000	\$6,500	\$22,000

### Budget Details

*Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.*

**G1.** The percent of all students scoring level 3 and/or higher on the FCAT 2.0 Reading Exam, and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet target AMO percentages.

**G1.B1** Low levels of student engagement

**G1.B1.S1** Utilize strategies learned in Marzano, Ruby Payne, RtI, PBS, and CRISS across the curriculum

#### **Action Step 1**

On-going training for teachers on the use of applications, mobile devices, and flipping the classroom

#### **Resource Type**

Technology

#### **Resource**

Student Response Systems

#### **Funding Source**

SAC

#### **Amount Needed**

\$2,000

**G1.B5** Lack of familiarity and comfort level of essential skills and knowledge associated with the Common Core State Standards

**G1.B5.S1** Unwrapping 10 Anchor Standards in reading for use across the curriculum; CCSS PD

**Action Step 1**

Data driven instruction

**Resource Type**

Evidence-Based Program

**Resource**

FCAT testmaker

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$2,000

**Action Step 2**

Utilize evidence based preparation materials to ready students for FCAT 2.0

**Resource Type**

Evidence-Based Materials

**Resource**

Instructional materials

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$6,000

**Action Step 3**

Needs based PD for collaborative teams focusing on essential skills; break down top ten reading strategies; make a learning scale for use in each subject area

**Resource Type**

Evidence-Based Materials

**Resource**

program materials

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$500

**G1.B9** poor academic performance for Q1 students

**G1.B9.S1** Identify and monitor Q1 students; provide additional support in the classroom, before school, and after school; provide incentive field trips

**Action Step 1**

Incentive field trips for Q1 students

**Resource Type**

Other

**Resource**

Field Trips

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$800

**Action Step 2**

Utilize Edgenuity to allow students to recover credits

**Resource Type**

Evidence-Based Program

**Resource**

Computer aided instruction

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$2,500

**Action Step 3**

After-school reading tutoring

**Resource Type**

Personnel

**Resource**

Tutors

**Funding Source**

SIA

**Amount Needed**

\$3,000

**G1.B12** Overrepresentation of excessive absences in our Western Zone student population

**G1.B12.S1** The Western Zone Task Force will continue to meet with stakeholders in Indiantown to discuss and monitor concerns; Provide bus to Western Zone to transport students to all home football games to promote student/school connection

**Action Step 1**

Parent/Teacher conferences in Indiantown; Guest speakers to acquaint parents with school resources and policies; attendance monitoring

**Resource Type**

Other

**Resource**

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$200

**G2.** The percent of all students scoring at level 3 and/or higher on the Algebra and Geometry EOC's and the percent of all students in each subgroup making AYP will increase to meet targeted AMO percentages

**G2.B3** Students not passing the EOC on the first try

**G2.B3.S1** EOC Biology remediation; preparation sessions before school

**Action Step 1**

Before/after school math tutoring

**Resource Type**

Personnel

**Resource**

Tutor

**Funding Source**

SIA

**Amount Needed**

\$1,000

**G4. Increase percentage of students achieving level 3.5 or higher in writing**

**G4.B3 Students not seeing relevance of instruction**

**G4.B3.S1** Use Technology to enhance written communication; Implement Bring Your Own Device (BYOD);

**Action Step 3**

Utilize technology to produce, publish, and update writing products, linking to other information and displaying information flexibly and dynamically.

**Resource Type**

Technology

**Resource**

Tech Apps

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$2,000

**G6. Study the impact that technology use and cooperative learning will have on student attitudes towards science, student learning gains, and interest in STEM-related careers**

**G6.B1 Weak content knowledge**

**G6.B1.S1** Utilize technology to investigate relationships in scientific theory

**Action Step 1**

Attend conferences to enhance teaching

**Resource Type**

Professional Development

**Resource**

Academic Conferences

**Funding Source**

SAC

**Amount Needed**

\$2,000