**Leon County Schools** 

# Kate Sullivan Elementary School



2015-16 School Improvement Plan

# **Kate Sullivan Elementary School**

927 MICCOSUKEE RD, Tallahassee, FL 32308

[ no web address on file ]

# **School Demographics**

School Type		2014-15 Title I School	Disadvan	6 Economically staged (FRL) Rate orted on Survey 2)	
Elementary		No	41%		
Alternative/ESE Center		Charter School	2015-16 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)		
No		No	57%		
School Grades History					
Year	2014-15	2013-14	2012-13	2011-12	
Grade	B*	В	В	Α	

<sup>\*</sup>Preliminary Informational Baseline School Grade

**Note:** The school grades calculation was revised substantially for the 2014-15 school year to implement statutory changes made by the 2014 Legislature and incorporate the new Florida Standards Assessments. The 2014-15 school grades serve as informational baseline data that schools can use to improve in future years.

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Leon County School Board.

### **SIP Authority and Template**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the Florida Department of Education's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Current School Status	8
8-Step Planning and Problem Solving Implementation	19
Goals Summary	19
Goals Detail	19
Action Plan for Improvement	23
Appendix 1: Implementation Timeline	35
Appendix 2: Professional Development and Technical Assistance Outlines	37
Professional Development Opportunities	38
Technical Assistance Items	41
Appendix 3: Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: Current School Status**

Part I organizes the current status of the school around five domains inspired by the 5Essentials framework: Supportive Environment, Family and Community Involvement, Effective Leadership, Public and Collaborative Teaching, and Ambitious Instruction and Learning. Questions regarding the school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports have been embedded throughout this part to demonstrate how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs.

#### Part II: Needs Assessment

Part II requires the school to review performance and early warning systems data in order to develop strategic goals and associated data targets (i.e., "SMART goals") for the coming school year in context of the school's greatest strengths and needs. An online tool was developed, which includes data visualizations and processing questions to support problem identification, problem analysis and strategic goal formulation.

# Part III: 8-Step Planning and Problem Solving for Implementation

Part III enables the school to develop implementation plans for its highest-priority goals. With the overview of the current state of the school in mind and the strategic goals identified through the needs assessment, the planning team engages in a facilitated planning and problem-solving process, through which they

- Define strategic goals and establish targets to be reached by successfully achieving the goals (Step 1)
- Identify resources available to support the goals and barriers that could hinder achieving those goals (Step 2)
- Select high-priority barriers they want to address initially (Step 3)
- Design implementation and monitoring plans for strategies to resolve selected barriers (Steps 4-7)
- Determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8)

# **Appendices**

The following appendices, automatically-generated from content entered in Part III, are included in this document:

- Appendix 1 is a timeline of all action steps and monitoring activities
- Appendix 2 is an outline of all professional development opportunities and technical assistance items
- Appendix 3 is a report of the budget needed to implement the strategies

# **Differentiated Accountability**

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

### **DA Regions**

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

# **DA Categories**

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior three years; charter schools; ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A, B or C with at least one F in the prior three years
- Focus currently D
  - Planning two consecutive grades of D (i.e., DD), or a grade of F immediately followed by a grade of D in the most recent grades release (i.e., FD)
  - Implementing two consecutive grades of D in the most recent grades release preceded by a grade below C (i.e., FDD or DDD)
- Priority currently F
  - Planning declined to a grade of F in the most recent grades release and have not received a
    planning year or implemented a turnaround option during the previous school year
  - Implementing two consecutive grades of F (i.e., FF), or three consecutive grades below C with an F in the most recent grades release (i.e., FDF or DDF)

# **DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses**

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-C with at least one F in the prior three years; SIP is monitored by FDOE
- Planning Focus Planning and Priority Planning; district is planning for possible turnaround
- Implementing Focus Implementing and Priority Implementing; district is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP)

#### 2015-16 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not In DA	1	Melissa Ramsey
Former F		Turnaround Status
No		

# **Part I: Current School Status**

# **Supportive Environment**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of Kate Sullivan Elementary is to prepare students to become responsible, respectful independent learners equipped with the critical thinking skills necessary to compete in our global society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement

Kate Sullivan will be an engaging, safe, respectful learning environment that embraces change and produces successful learners who value diversity and are conscientious contributors to our society.

#### **School Environment**

# Describe the process by which the school learns about students' cultures and builds relationships between teachers and students

Our school will infuse the content required by Florida Statute 1003.42(2) and S.B. Policy 2210), as applicable to appropriate grade level, including but not limited to:

- -History of Holocaust
- -History of Africans and African Americans
- -Hispanic Contributions
- -Women's Contributions
- -Sacrifices of Veterans

#### We also:

- Ensure that relationship-building is a clear priority
- Attend District provided Professional Development on multi-cultural offerings.
- -Embed cultural activities within curriculum and daily course work
- Provide professional development to staff on increasing positive interactions with students

# Describe how the school creates an environment where students feel safe and respected before, during and after school

Our school creates an environment where students feel safe and respected before, during and after school by:

- Provide professional development on social-emotional learning and its relationship to creating a positive, caring, and supportive school community (such as a whole, small, and individualized instruction opportunities).
- Develop and implement a differentiated system of school counseling services with dedicated time for the core social-emotional curriculum, supplemental (data driven small group counseling ) supports.
- Adults across the campus will clarify their expectations for positive interpersonal interactions and create the structures and processes for reporting violations of bullying /harassment/civil rights policies
- Involve non-instructional staff, including office staff, bus drivers, cafeteria personnel, and afterschool personnel in the process of modeling and teaching interpersonal expectations in nonacademic settings and giving them instruction for reporting violations to appropriate supervisors.
- -Create methods/formats where the characteristics of safety and respect can be assessed, monitored, and where strategies for improvement can be created, discussed and supported.

Describe the schoolwide behavioral system in place that aids in minimizing distractions to keep students engaged during instructional time. This may include, but is not limited to, established protocols for disciplinary incidents, clear behavioral expectations, and training for school personnel to ensure the system is fairly and consistently enforced

#### At Kate Sullivan we:

- Ensure teachers are trained in Classroom management strategies (PBS, CHAMPS, etc.)
- Ensure differentiation of instruction is taking place to meet the needs of all students. Teacher will convey and review expectations for each learning activity.
- Make references to behavioral expectations when providing students' with positive feedback.
- Class meetings will occur on a frequent basis to include students feedback.
- School-wide recognition system is in place
- Some of the teachers are participating in a program to promote leadership characteristics in students, called iLead.
- -iLead has a school-wide behavior plan for the lunchroom.

# Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

#### At Kate Sullivan we:

- Have an operational school-based team that meets weekly to discuss students with barriers to academic and social success.
- Provide mentors assigned to students identified with concerns
- Provide instruction and various campus activities that address social/emotional needs of students.
- Engage with identified staff to provide a differentiated delivery of services based on student/school need. Include core, supplemental, and intensive supports. We utilize data-based decision making to close academic and social-emotional equity gaps by connecting all students with the services they need.

#### **Early Warning Systems**

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(ii)(III), (b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), and (b)(1)(I).

# Describe the school's early warning system and provide a list of the early warning indicators used in the system

- Utilize data systems to identify students who have attendance, behavioral or academic concerns.
- Create data decision rules for number of absences or OSS before referrals generated to school based or district teams.
- Ensure teachers are aware of decisions, rules and procedures for notification after students are identified as meeting one of the data indicators.

#### Provide the following data related to the school's early warning system

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level					Total	
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	Iotai
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	1	2	4	5	5	2	19
Course failure in ELA or Math		0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students exhibiting two or more indicators

# Describe all intervention strategies employed by the school to improve the academic performance of students identified by the early warning system

To address the students with one or more suspensions and Level 1s on statewide assessments:

- Effective multi-disciplinary teams in place to problem solve and create action plans
- Reading Pals, Corrective Reading, Intervention Groups, Reading Mastery, EIR, SM5
- Implement before school math programs, such as the Reading Academy for 4th grade
- Utilize a fifth grade resource teacher to provide support to students who are struggling in language arts and/or

math

- Monthly Progress Monitoring Meetings
- Administrative Planning Meetings
- Workshops for parents
- Create evidence-based interventions to close the student needs gap
- Continue the "Intervention Connection", a SIP Committee that works with teachers on an individual basis with

students of concern.

- To promote activities related to Positive Behavior Support (PBS) that encourage students to make good choices

To address the students with poor attendance:

- · Phone call to parents 5 unexcused absences within a calendar month or 10 unexcused absences within a 90 day period
- · Attendance Tracking Form completed by the teacher and submitted to the Assistant Principal 5 unexcused absences within a calendar month or 10 unexcused absences within a 90 day period
- · Notify parents with attendance letters Level 1 (5 unexcused days), Level 2 (10 unexcused days), Level 3 (15 unexcused days)
- · Schedule a meeting with the parent(s)
- · Generate an Attendance Contract
- · Monitor implementation of interventions designed by the child study team
- · Complete a CSAP when all attempts to intervene are not successful and send to Director at LCS
- \*Please note: Unexcused tardies will be handled in the same format as unexcused absences.

### **Family and Community Involvement**

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa).

Describe how the school works at building positive relationships with families to increase involvement, including efforts to communicate the school's mission and vision, and keep parents informed of their child's progress

Title I schools use the Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) to meet the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(F).

#### Will the school use its PIP to satisfy this question?

No

#### PIP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PIP), which is available at the school site.

# Description

# Describe the process by which the school builds and sustains partnerships with the local community for the purpose of securing and utilizing resources to support the school and student achievement

At Kate Sullivan, we build and sustain partnerships with the local community by:

- Soliciting feedback from parents regarding their comfort level in contacting teachers and administrators with questions or problems
- Introducing parents to teachers and administrators during Open House
- -Communicate classroom and school news to parents
- Offer Professional Development concerning effective strategies for conducting supportive and effective parent phone calls and face-to-face meetings
- Sending positive notes, letters and making phone calls home

# **Effective Leadership**

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(H).

#### **School Leadership Team**

### Membership:

Name	Title
Stephens, Pam	Principal
Myers, Sylvia	Assistant Principal
Wilder, Dawn	Assistant Principal

#### **Duties**

# Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making

General Education Teacher- It is the teachers' responsibility to provide instruction and supplemental instruction based on the level of support (tiered instruction) needed by each individual student. The teacher is also responsible for collecting data at each tier and to measure the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered.

Exceptional Student Education Teacher- It is the teachers' responsibility to provide instruction and supplemental instruction based on the level of support (Tier 3) needed by each individual student. The teacher is also responsible for collecting data at each tier and to measure the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered. The Exceptional Student Education and regular education teacher will help in the development of the Individualized Educational Plans.

Administrators- To ensure that teachers have the resources needed to provide instruction and supplemental instruction based on the level of support (tiered instruction) needed by each individual student. The administrator is also responsible monitoring the collection of data at each tier that measures the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered. The administrator also communicates with parents regarding the school-based problem solving process and plan.

School Psychologist- To assist with the steps that should be taken in the case that a student is not making adequate progress or responding to the interventions as expected. The school psychologist may conduct testing and classroom observations to determine if there is a cognitive or behavioral impact on how students respond to interventions. The school psychologist is also responsible for monitoring the collection and the analysis of data at each tier, that measures the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered.

Program Specialist- To assist with the steps that should be taken in the case that a student is not making adequate progress or responding to the interventions as expected. The program specialist may conduct testing and classroom observations to determine if there is a cognitive or behavioral impact on how students respond to interventions. The program specialist is also responsible monitoring the collection of data at each tier, that measures the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered. The program specialist may also help in the development of the Individualized Educational Plans.

Social Worker- To assist with the steps that should be taken in the case that a student is not making adequate progress or responding to the interventions as expected. The social worker may collect information and conduct classroom observations to determine if there is a social impact on how students respond to interventions. The social worker is also responsible for monitoring the collecting of data at each tier that measures the efficacy of the supports so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained and layered.

Referral Coordinator- The referral coordinator is responsible for scheduling and proceeding over problem solving meetings. The referral coordinator collects and distributes forms necessary to facilitate interventions, response to interventions, testing permission and results, and the development of the Individual Education Plan.

Reading Coach- Provides guidance on the K-5 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities, assist in data analysis, provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of tiered instruction and intervention plans.

Speech and Language Pathologist- Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and intervention with individual students, as a basis for appropriate program design; assist in the selection of screening measures; and helps to identify systematic patterns of student needs with respect to speech and language skills.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact

The Multi-Tiered System of Supports is an evidenced-based model of schooling that uses data-based problem-solving to integrate academic and behavioral instruction and intervention. To ensure the effectiveness of core instruction, by identifying trends and patterns using school-wide and grade-level data. Resources are allocated in direct proportion to student needs. The MTSS is a continuum of integrated academic and behavior supports reflecting the needs for students to have fluid access to instruction and supports of varying intensity levels. Students who need interventions beyond what is administered to all students, supplemental interventions are delivered individually or in small groups at increasing levels of intensity. These levels are tiers. Data collected at each tier are used to measure the efficacy of the supports, so that meaningful decisions can be made.

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

Membership:

Name	Stakeholder Group	
La'Tara Osborne	Parent	
Jamie Steed	Parent	
Emerson Thompson	Parent	
Amy Hartman	Parent	
Janet Tashner	Parent	
Kirsten Olson-Doolen	Parent	
Derek Bell	Parent	
Jan Gove	Teacher	
Pamela Stephens	Principal	
Marla Williams	Education Support Employee	
Wendy Barber	Business/Community	
Randi Shiver	Parent	
	Student	
Emily Avery	Teacher	
Sherell Ash	Teacher	
Kaitlin Lansford	Parent	

#### **Duties**

Provide a description of the SAC's involvement with the following activities, as required by section 1001.452(2), Florida Statutes

Evaluation of last year's school improvement plan

The School Improvement Committee evaluated the plan based on student progress, as well as teacher, parent, and administrative feedback.

Development of this school improvement plan

The School Improvement Committee reviewed the researched based strategies proposed by the School Improvement Committees to increase student achievement. The committee also reviewed the school's learning goals in reading, math, science, and STEM. In addition, SAC provided valuable feedback on the strategies and goals outlined in the School Improvement Plan.

Preparation of the school's annual budget and plan

The School Advisory Committee voted on and approved instructional materials to be purchased with the School Improvement dollars.

Describe the use of school improvement funds allocated last year, including the amount budgeted for each project

N/A

Yes

Verify that the school is in compliance with section 1001.452, Florida Statutes, regarding the establishment requirements and duties of the SAC

If the school is not in compliance, describe the measures being implemented to meet SAC requirements

#### **Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)**

### Membership:

Name	Title
Gove, Janet	Teacher, K-12
Bodiford, Samantha	Teacher, K-12
Brown, Opal	Teacher, K-12
Campbell, Leigh-Ann	Teacher, K-12
Dabit, Nari	Teacher, K-12
Shiver, Randi	Teacher, K-12
Lata, Rebecca	Teacher, K-12
Robinson, Beverly	Teacher, K-12
Stephens, Pam	Principal
Myers, Sylvia	Assistant Principal
Shaffer, Ashley	Teacher, K-12
Capen, Kelli	Teacher, ESE
Kenon, Gia	Teacher, K-12

#### **Duties**

#### Describe how the LLT promotes literacy within the school

Celebrate Literacy Week- Celebrate Literacy week will be a way to re-energize teachers, parents, staff, and students and get them reading! The week will be packed with activities that involve the community and stakeholder in featuring books, highlighting authors, sharing favorite book characters, and promoting school-wide reading with the Accelerated Reader.

Implementing Florida State Standard Strategies- One of the goals of the LLT is to not only prepare students for high-stakes testing, but to build stronger readers. Professional development and instructional team meetings will be scheduled to assist teachers with planning reading tasks that encourage higher-order thinking processes that include text dependent questioning and text dependent writing. Teachers will be expected to provide opportunities for students to cite evidence and support their answers to reading questions through writing, Think-Pair-Share and Think-Pair-Write.

Overseeing Intervention Programs/Progress Monitoring/Instructional Team Meetings- The LLT team will work together to monitor the implementation of the intervention programs being used. The LLT will meet each nine weeks to disaggregate reading data and make instructional decisions based on the data determination. Ongoing professional development opportunities will also be based on student reading data.

Curriculum Night- Curriculum nights will be held to give parents information on the reading expectations and shifts. Parents will also be given an overview of the reading programs, interventions, and assessments. Providing this information to parents will help them to better understand what their students need to do, to demonstrate that they can read at or above grade level and how they (the parents) can assist with increasing the student proficiency and the learning gains for students.

Mentor Program- Mentors will be provided to students who are recommended by teachers and/or administrators based on a student's behavior and academic history. Students who have mentors will have the opportunity to work with these volunteers on activities that support and build literacy.

Reading Buddies- Reading Buddies pairs intermediate readers with primary readers once a week. Classes match up and meet to read Accelerated Readers. Either the younger students reads to the older students, or perhaps the older student will do the reading. This collaborative effort will assist students in grades K-2 to meet their AR goals and in some cases provides struggling readers in grades 3-5 with more opportunities to read aloud. In both cases, the purpose of Reading Buddies is to increase fluency and reading comprehension.

### **Public and Collaborative Teaching**

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(D).

# Describe the school's strategies to encourage positive working relationships between teachers, including collaborative planning and instruction

Our venue for encouraging positive working relationships between teachers is participation in Learning Team Meeting. The master schedule has been designed to provide consistent time for teacher to meet by grade levels. Researched-based protocols are utilized to focus meetings on students' academic needs and how students might be assessed. Student improvement is monitored and instruction is modified as needed, based on decisions made through collaboration.

# Describe the school's strategies to recruit, develop and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school

Administrative Team and Teacher Leaders will be responsible for the following recruitment and retainment strategies:

- A team of teachers will be involved in the interview process to hire new, highly qualified teachers.
- Professional Learning Communities will meet to provide support to new teachers.
- The district will host job fairs to recruit highly effective teachers.
- Professional development will be provided, based on evaluations and observations.
- The administrative team will conduct classroom walk-throughs through True North Logic and provide feedback to teachers.

Administrative Team and Mentor Teachers will be responsible for the following recruitment and retainment strategies:

- Beginning teachers will receive formal and informal evaluations within the first 45 days of employment. The data collected during the evaluation is used to identify strengths and areas of need.
- Beginning teachers are assigned highly effective mentors that meet with them regularly to provide positive support and assist in areas of need.

# Describe the school's teacher mentoring program, including the rationale for pairings and planned mentoring activities

Our teacher mentoring program is an effective method that develops and retains high quality classroom teachers. Both the mentor and the mentee benefit from relationships that encourage reflection on the practice of teaching. Our comprehensive mentoring program involves both beginning teachers and experienced teachers who are new to the school or grade level, and/or identified as being in need of improvement. The teachers will also participate in the Beginning Teacher program for Leon County Schools and ACT (Awesome (New) Crocodile Teachers) school-based beginning teacher program. The teacher mentoring activities include:

- Structured guidance and regular support
- Mentor teachers will help teachers to improve their practice of teaching and develop their instructional skills

- Observation and feedback to beginning teachers
- Observation of the mentor teacher by the mentee

Beginning Teacher- Shawn Daniel is paired with Gia Kenon, veteran 1st grade teacher. Ms. Kenon will work along side Mr. Daniel to ensure that he is supported in his growth and development as a teacher. Beginning Teacher- Lindsey Greene is paired with Kelli Capen, veteran ESE teacher. Ms. Capen will work along side Ms. Green to ensure that she is supported in her growth and development as a teacher. Beginning Teacher- Tiffany Markley is paired with Joseph Miller, veteran 5th grade teacher. Mr. Miller will work along side Ms. Markley to ensure that she is supported in her growth and development as a teacher.

-Beginning Teacher- Caitlen Maloney is paired with Jillian Bosarge and Leigh-Ann Campbell, both veteran 3rd grade teachers. They will work along side Ms. Maloney to ensure that she is supported in her growth and development as a teacher.

### **Ambitious Instruction and Learning**

# **Instructional Programs and Strategies**

#### **Instructional Programs**

# Describe how the school ensures its core instructional programs and materials are aligned to Florida's standards

The school utilizes curriculum selected by the district that meets the Florida State Standards. Our school creates ongoing opportunities for teachers to unpack the Florida Standards and to plan and discuss reading and writing curriculum that aligns to the standards. This supports a deeper level of comprehension. These conversations and learning opportunities promote dialogue that can dispel misunderstanding and promote opportunities for growth in instructional practice, curriculum, and the standards.

#### **Instructional Strategies**

Describe how the school uses data to provide and differentiate instruction to meet the diverse needs of students. Provide examples of how instruction is modified or supplemented to assist students having difficulty attaining the proficient or advanced level on state assessments

The school uses Response to Interventions to monitor student progress and to determine what services should be implemented or that should be added to provide students with the optimum learning opportunities. We use intensive reading instruction, the use of small groups to supplement the whole group, grade level reading instruction. The school ensures every teacher contributes to literacy improvement to every student by:

- Holding meeting on a regular basis to make decisions about literacy instruction in the school. Student data is analyzed and compared to expectations found in the Language Arts Florida Standards.
- Creating a schedule with an uninterrupted 90 minute reading block
- Creating a schedule with an uninterrupted 45-60 minute intervention/enrichment block
- Creating a schedule that builds in science and social studies instruction with integrated math and reading.
- Providing tiered instruction, based on student need.
- Providing resources to support instruction
- Administering assessments that measure standards
- Monitoring progress at the class and grade level during progress monitoring and team meetings
- Conducting data chats with students
- Students receive pull-out

Provide the following information for each strategy the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help enrich and accelerate the curriculum:

**Strategy:** Before School Program

Minutes added to school year: 8,100

The math club provides one on one instruction in math skills.

#### Strategy Rationale

N/A

#### Strategy Purpose(s)

Core Academic Instruction

Person(s) responsible for monitoring implementation of the strategy Myers, Sylvia, myerss@leonschools.net

Data that is or will be collected and how it is analyzed to determine effectiveness of the strategy

**FSA Math** 

#### **Student Transition and Readiness**

#### **PreK-12 Transition**

The school's response to this question may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(G).

# Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another

- 1) FLKRS- All incoming Kindergarteners are screened at the beginning of the year to determine their readiness for Kindergarten. (August 19-September 30th)
- 2) AIMS Web testing is used to progress monitor students who are struggling with grade level appropriate skills. (Year-round)
- 3) Students are assessed to determine if there is a need for intervention reading instruction and provided with additional reading support if deemed necessary. (August 19-September 3/As determined by student performance)
- 4) Students articulating from a Pre-K program into Kindergarten that are Developmentally Delayed are monitored or served by the Exceptional Student Education teacher until their birthday or time designated by the IEP. Determination for continued support is also determined during their Kindergarten year.
- 5) Orientation (August), Open House (September), and Curriculum night (TBA) are several opportunities for parents to be informed of the school and classroom procedures and expectations.
- 6) Reading Buddies pair Kindergarten students and intermediate students together to practice reading skills through picture books (Accelerated Reading). (Year-Round)

#### College and Career Readiness

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

N/A

Identify the career and technical education programs available to students and industry certifications that may be earned through those respective programs

N/A

Describe efforts the school has taken to integrate career and technical education with academic courses (e.g., industrial biotechnology) to support student achievement

N/A

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, as required by section 1008.37(4), Florida Statutes

N/A

# **Needs Assessment**

#### **Problem Identification**

### **Data to Support Problem Identification**

#### **Portfolio Selection**

Portfolio selection is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is offered as a tool for needs assessment.

# **Data Uploads**

Data uploads are not required by the Florida Department of Education but are offered as a tool for the needs assessment. In this section, the school may upload files of locally available data charts and graphs being used as evidence of need.

The following documents were submitted as evidence for this section:

#### **Problem Identification Summary**

This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the points of strength and areas of need that have been identified in the data.

#### **Problem Analysis Summary**

This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the underlying "why" or root causes for the areas of need identified in the data, as determined by situational awareness of, and research conducted by, the stakeholders involved in the needs assessment.

# **School Improvement Goals**

The following key is intended to help readers understand how the sections of this document correspond to the steps of the 8-step planning and problem-solving framework used in the School Improvement Plan. The Quick Key numbers can help registered users go directly to the point of entry for any given goal, barrier and strategy within the online survey.

# **Problem Solving Key**

**G** = Goal

B = S = Strategy

1 = Problem Solving Step S123456 = Quick Key

# **Strategic Goals Summary**

- G1. As we begin a more complex state measure of English/Language Arts, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores.
- As we begin a more complex state measure of Math, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores.
- G3. Sixty-five percent of students will score a Level 3 or higher according to the FCAT Science Assessment.

# **Strategic Goals Detail**

For each strategic goal, this section lists the associated targets (i.e., "SMART goals"), resources available to support movement toward the goal, barriers to achieving the goal, and the plan for monitoring progress toward the goal

**G1.** As we begin a more complex state measure of English/Language Arts, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores. 1a

# Targets Supported 1b



Indicator	Annual Target
5Es Score: English Instruction	
AMO Math - All Students	73.0
FCAT 2.0 Science Proficiency	60.0

# Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

 Wonders Intervention/Enrichment Materials, Supplemental Intervention Curriculum, Pull-Out Reading Interventions and Enrichment, Professional Development Related to Reading, and Using Reading Data to Drive Instruction with Progress Monitoring

# Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

- Students lack the ability to effectively read complex text, answer comprehension questions related to complex text, while citing evidence from the text..
- Teachers providing a variety of methods to differentiate (including Tier 2 and Tier 3) instruction.
- Lack of time to collaborate among and between grade levels.
- Effectively utilizing progress-monitoring data to drive instruction.

# Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G1. 8

Focused staff development, instructional, Literacy and administrative team meetings.

#### **Person Responsible**

#### Schedule

On 5/31/2016

#### Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, classroom observations, and progress monitoring

**G2.** As we begin a more complex state measure of Math, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores. 1a

Targets Supported 1b



Indicator Annual Target
70.0

# Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

• Go Math! Enrichment, Re-teach, and Remediation components, Professional Development, and Thinking Math Strategies

# Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

- · Lack of time to collaborate among and across the grade levels.
- Ineffectively utilizing progress monitoring data to drive instruction.
- Students lack background knowledge and critical thinking skills.

# Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G2. 8

Allowed time for collaboration and professional development

# **Person Responsible**

Sylvia Myers

#### **Schedule**

Weekly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

### **Evidence of Completion**

Agendas

# **G3.** Sixty-five percent of students will score a Level 3 or higher according to the FCAT Science Assessment. 1a

Targets Supported 1b



Indicator Annual Target
60.0

# Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

• Science Lab, STEM Learning Opportunities, and Integrated Science in Reading and Math

# Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

- Lack of time to collaborate among and across the grade levels.
- Effectively utilizing progress monitoring data to drive instruction.
- · Students lack background knowledge and critical thinking skills.

# Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G3.

Allowed time for collaboration and professional development

### Person Responsible

Pam Stephens

#### Schedule

Semiannually, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Notes from meetings

# **Action Plan for Improvement**

For each strategy selected in the plan to reduce a targeted barrier to a strategic goal, this section lists the rationale for that strategy (i.e., why the school believes it will reduce the barrier) and the action steps that have been identified as necessary to implementing the strategy, including details such as the point person, timing and duration, and evidence of completion. At the end of each set of action steps is the plan for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the respective strategy.

# **Problem Solving Key**

**G** = Goal

B = Barrier

S = Strategy

**G1.** As we begin a more complex state measure of English/Language Arts, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores. 1

**Q** G073421

**G1.B1** Students lack the ability to effectively read complex text, answer comprehension questions related to complex text, while citing evidence from the text.. 2

**%** B191868

**G1.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings, instructional team meetings, and administrative team meetings.

# **Strategy Rationale**

S203311

Developing a schedule based on the needs of the teachers and students is a more effective use of meeting time.

Action Step 1 5

Expectations of running instructional team meetings

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

Schedule

On 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Team meeting notes

# Action Step 2 5

Focused staff development meetings

#### Person Responsible

#### **Schedule**

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

# **Evidence of Completion**

Classroom observations and progress monitoring

# Action Step 3 5

Administrative Team Meetings

#### Person Responsible

#### **Schedule**

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

# **Evidence of Completion**

**Progress Monitoring** 

# Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 6

Walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans

### **Person Responsible**

Pam Stephens

#### **Schedule**

On 5/29/2015

# **Evidence of Completion**

iObservation evaluation documentation

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 7

Progress monitoring

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

On 6/2/2015

**Evidence of Completion** 

Summative and formative assessments

# G1.B1.S2 Implement Florida State Standards 4

### Strategy Rationale

🥄 S203312

Teaching the state standards will help students with more complex state assessments.

### Action Step 1 5

Demonstrations and observations

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

**Schedule** 

On 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Classroom observations and lesson plans

# Action Step 2 5

Lesson Plan Reviews

Person Responsible

Pam Stephens

Schedule

Semiannually, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Lesson Plan review forms and iObservation documentation

# Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S2 6

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

# Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S2 7

Person Responsible

Schedule

**Evidence of Completion** 

G1.B1.S3 Implementation and usage of rubrics accompanied by learning goals. 4



# **Strategy Rationale**

The use of rubrics and formative assessments will help teachers alter instruction throughout the teaching of the standard to ensure proficiency.

# Action Step 1 5

Professional development

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

**Schedule** 

On 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agenda

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S3
--

Walk-throughs, informal and formal observations

Person Responsible

Schedule

# **Evidence of Completion**

True North Logic evaluation documentation

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S3 7

Person Responsible

Schedule

**Evidence of Completion** 

G1.B2 Teachers providing a variety of methods to differentiate (including Tier 2 and Tier 3) instruction.



**G1.B2.S1** Professional development that focuses on teaching reading to students with different reading needs. 4

### **Strategy Rationale**



Action Step 1 5

**Professional Development** 

Person Responsible

Schedule

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas and sign-in

# Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B2.S1 6

Αg	en	d	as
----	----	---	----

**Person Responsible** 

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

Check-off and sign-in sheets

# Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B2.S1 7

Walk-throughs, formal and informal observations and lesson plans

**Person Responsible** 

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

Evaluation feedback

**G2.** As we begin a more complex state measure of Math, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores.

100			
	00	70	100
	G <sub>0</sub>	134	+22
100			

**G2.B1** Lack of time to collaborate among and across the grade levels.

🔍 B191873

**G2.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings that encourage collaboration among and across the grade levels.

### **Strategy Rationale**

S203315

Action Step 1 5

Collaboration opportunities

**Person Responsible** 

Pam Stephens

Schedule

Semiannually, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1 6

Agendas and sign-in sheets

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas and sign-in sheets

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G2.B1.S1 7

Progress monitoring data

Person Responsible

Schedule

# **Evidence of Completion**

Data collected from summative and formative data

G2.B2 Ineffectively utilizing progress monitoring data to drive instruction.



**G2.B2.S1** Progress monitoring meetings to determine the effectiveness of classroom instruction.



# **Strategy Rationale**

Action Step 1 5

Monthly Progress Monitoring Meetings

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

**Schedule** 

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B2.S1 6

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G2.B2.S1 7

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

**G3.** Sixty-five percent of students will score a Level 3 or higher according to the FCAT Science Assessment.

1



G3.B1 Lack of time to collaborate among and across the grade levels.



**G3.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings that encourage collaboration among and across the grade levels.

# **Strategy Rationale**



Action Step 1 5

Staff Development Meetings

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

**Schedule** 

Quarterly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas and sign-in sheets

# Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1 6

Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans

Person Responsible

Schedule

# **Evidence of Completion**

Evaluation feedback and lesson plan review forms

# Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G3.B1.S1 7

Progress monitoring of summative and formative assessments

Person Responsible

Schedule

# **Evidence of Completion**

Students demonstrate satisfactory progress on summative and formative assessments

# **G3.B2** Effectively utilizing progress monitoring data to drive instruction.

**९** B191877

**G3.B2.S1** Monthly progress monitoring meetings to determine if instruction is improving student achievement of students in the lowest quartile.

# **Strategy Rationale**

🔍 S203320

Action Step 1 5

Progress monitoring meetings

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

**Schedule** 

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Data compiled in notebooks

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B2.S1 6

**Progress Monitoring Notebooks** 

Person Responsible

Sylvia Myers

Schedule

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Data compiled in notebooks, agendas

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G3.B2.S1 7

Data that records students response to instruction

Person Responsible

Schedule

# **Evidence of Completion**

Data compiled in notebooks, summative assessment data

G3.B3 Students lack background knowledge and critical thinking skills. 2



**G3.B3.S1** Provide students with real-world and concrete examples to help conceptualize and solve complex science concepts. 4

# **Strategy Rationale**



Action Step 1 5

Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

Weekly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion** 

Evaluation feedback

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B3.S1 6

**Evaluations** 

Person Responsible

Schedule

**Evidence of Completion** 

Evaluation feedback

# Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B3.S1 6

Person Responsible

**Schedule** 

**Evidence of Completion** 

# Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G3.B3.S1 7

Progress monitoring data on formative and summative assessments

**Person Responsible** 

**Schedule** 

# **Evidence of Completion**

Summative assessment data collection

# **Appendix 1: Implementation Timeline**

Action steps and monitoring activities identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

Source	Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity	Who	Start Date (where applicable)	Deliverable or Evidence of Completion	Due Date/ End Date
G1.B1.S1.A1	Expectations of running instructional team meetings	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Team meeting notes	5/31/2016 one-time
G1.B1.S2.A1	Demonstrations and observations	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Classroom observations and lesson plans	5/31/2016 one-time
G1.B1.S3.A1	Professional development	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Agenda	5/31/2016 one-time
G1.B2.S1.A1	Professional Development		Agendas and sign-in	one-time	
G2.B1.S1.A1	Collaboration opportunities	Stephens, Pam	8/17/2015	Agendas	5/31/2016 semiannually
G2.B2.S1.A1	Monthly Progress Monitoring Meetings	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Agendas	5/31/2016 monthly
G3.B1.S1.A1	Staff Development Meetings	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Agendas and sign-in sheets	5/31/2016 quarterly
G3.B2.S1.A1	Progress monitoring meetings	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Data compiled in notebooks	5/31/2016 monthly
G3.B3.S1.A1	Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans		8/17/2015	Evaluation feedback	5/31/2016 weekly
G1.B1.S1.A2	Focused staff development meetings		8/17/2015	Classroom observations and progress monitoring	5/31/2016 monthly

Source	Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity	Who	Start Date (where applicable)	Deliverable or Evidence of Completion	Due Date/ End Date
G1.B1.S2.A2	Lesson Plan Reviews	Stephens, Pam	8/17/2015	Lesson Plan review forms and iObservation documentation	5/31/2016 semiannually
G1.B1.S1.A3	Administrative Team Meetings		8/17/2015	Progress Monitoring	5/31/2016 monthly
G1.MA1	Focused staff development, instructional, Literacy and administrative team meetings.		8/17/2015	Lesson plans, classroom observations, and progress monitoring	5/31/2016 one-time
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Progress monitoring		8/18/2014	Summative and formative assessments	6/2/2015 one-time
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans	Stephens, Pam	8/18/2014	iObservation evaluation documentation	5/29/2015 one-time
G1.B2.S1.MA1	Walk-throughs, formal and informal observations and lesson plans		Evaluation feedback	one-time	
G1.B2.S1.MA1	Agendas		Check-off and sign-in sheets	one-time	
G1.B1.S2.MA1	[no content entered]			once	
G1.B1.S2.MA1	[no content entered]			once	
G1.B1.S3.MA1	[no content entered]			once	
G1.B1.S3.MA1	Walk-throughs, informal and formal observations		True North Logic evaluation documentation	one-time	
G2.MA1	Allowed time for collaboration and professional development	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Agendas	5/31/2016 weekly
G2.B1.S1.MA1	Progress monitoring data		Data collected from summative and formative data	once	
G2.B1.S1.MA1	Agendas and sign-in sheets		Agendas and sign-in sheets	once	
G2.B2.S1.MA1	[no content entered]			once	
G2.B2.S1.MA1	[no content entered]			once	
G3.MA1	Allowed time for collaboration and professional development	Stephens, Pam	8/17/2015	Notes from meetings	5/31/2016 semiannually
G3.B1.S1.MA1	Progress monitoring of summative and formative assessments		Students demonstrate satisfactory progress on summative and formative assessments	once	
G3.B1.S1.MA1	Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans		Evaluation feedback and lesson plan review forms	once	
G3.B2.S1.MA1	Data that records students response to instruction		Data compiled in notebooks, summative assessment data	once	
G3.B2.S1.MA1	Progress Monitoring Notebooks	Myers, Sylvia	8/17/2015	Data compiled in notebooks, agendas	5/31/2016 monthly
G3.B3.S1.MA1	Progress monitoring data on formative and summative assessments		Summative assessment data collection	one-time	
G3.B3.S1.MA1	Evaluations		Evaluation feedback	one-time	
G3.B3.S1.MA3	[no content entered]			one-time	

# **Appendix 2: Professional Development and Technical Assistance Outlines**

Professional development opportunities and technical assistance items identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

# **Professional Development Opportunities**

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

**G1.** As we begin a more complex state measure of English/Language Arts, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores.

**G1.B1** Students lack the ability to effectively read complex text, answer comprehension questions related to complex text, while citing evidence from the text..

**G1.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings, instructional team meetings, and administrative team meetings.

# **PD Opportunity 1**

Focused staff development meetings

#### **Facilitator**

Curriculum Developers, Sylvia Myers, and Teacher Leaders

### **Participants**

All classroom teachers

#### Schedule

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

**G1.B1.S3** Implementation and usage of rubrics accompanied by learning goals.

### PD Opportunity 1

Professional development

#### **Facilitator**

Teacher Leader/Administrators

### **Participants**

All teachers and staff

#### **Schedule**

On 5/31/2016

**G1.B2** Teachers providing a variety of methods to differentiate (including Tier 2 and Tier 3) instruction.

**G1.B2.S1** Professional development that focuses on teaching reading to students with different reading needs.

# PD Opportunity 1

**Professional Development** 

#### **Facilitator**

Teacher Leaders and District Curriculum Developer

### **Participants**

All teacher

**Schedule** 

G3. Sixty-five percent of students will score a Level 3 or higher according to the FCAT Science Assessment.

**G3.B1** Lack of time to collaborate among and across the grade levels.

**G3.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings that encourage collaboration among and across the grade levels.

### **PD Opportunity 1**

Staff Development Meetings

#### **Facilitator**

Teacher Leaders, District Math Developers, and District SuccessMaker Specialist

#### **Participants**

All teachers

#### **Schedule**

Quarterly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

### **G3.B2** Effectively utilizing progress monitoring data to drive instruction.

**G3.B2.S1** Monthly progress monitoring meetings to determine if instruction is improving student achievement of students in the lowest quartile.

# PD Opportunity 1

Progress monitoring meetings

**Facilitator** 

Administrators

**Participants** 

All teachers

**Schedule** 

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

G3.B3 Students lack background knowledge and critical thinking skills.

**G3.B3.S1** Provide students with real-world and concrete examples to help conceptualize and solve complex science concepts.

# PD Opportunity 1

Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans

# **Facilitator**

Teacher Leaders and District Curriculum Developers

### **Participants**

All teachers

# **Schedule**

Weekly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

# **Technical Assistance Items**

Technical Assistance opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

**G1.** As we begin a more complex state measure of English/Language Arts, our school goal for the 2016 FSA is to be within 10% (+/-) of our previous proficiency rate as measured by 2015 FSA scores.

**G1.B1** Students lack the ability to effectively read complex text, answer comprehension questions related to complex text, while citing evidence from the text..

**G1.B1.S1** Focused staff development meetings, instructional team meetings, and administrative team meetings.

# **PD Opportunity 1**

Administrative Team Meetings

**Facilitator** 

Team Leader

**Participants** 

**Grade Level Teams** 

Schedule

Monthly, from 8/17/2015 to 5/31/2016

# **Budget**

Budget Data					
1	G1.B1.S1.A1	Expectations of running instructional team meetings	\$0.00		
2	G1.B1.S1.A2	Focused staff development meetings	\$0.00		
3	G1.B1.S1.A3	Administrative Team Meetings	\$0.00		
4	G1.B1.S2.A1	Demonstrations and observations	\$0.00		
5	G1.B1.S2.A2	Lesson Plan Reviews	\$0.00		
6	G1.B1.S3.A1	Professional development	\$0.00		
7	G1.B2.S1.A1	Professional Development	\$0.00		
8	G2.B1.S1.A1	Collaboration opportunities	\$0.00		
9	G2.B2.S1.A1	Monthly Progress Monitoring Meetings	\$0.00		
10	G3.B1.S1.A1	Staff Development Meetings	\$0.00		
11	G3.B2.S1.A1	Progress monitoring meetings	\$0.00		

Budget Data					
1:	G3.B3.S1.A1	Classroom walk-throughs, informal and formal observations, and lesson plans		\$0.00	
			Total:	\$0.00	