

2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Oakleaf High School 4035 PLANTATION OAKS BLVD Orange Park, FL 32065 904-213-1900 http://ohs.oneclay.net

School Type	т	itle I	Free and Reduced Luncl	n Rate
High School		No	32%	
Alternative/ESE Center	Charte	er School	Minority Rate	
No	No		55%	
chool Grades History				
2013-14	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11	
А	А	А	В	

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Part I: Current School Status	6
Part II: Expected Improvements	19
Goals Summary	23
Goals Detail	23
Action Plan for Improvement	30
Part III: Coordination and Integration	0
Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals	35
Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals	38

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
 - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
 - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
 - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
 - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
 - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Reg	jion	RED
Not in DA	N	/A	N/A
Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

Current School Status

School Information

School-Level Information

School

Oakleaf High School

Principal

David S. Broskie

School Advisory Council chair

Tania L. Auguste

Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
David S. Broskie	Principal
Michelle Daly	Vice Principal
Brenda Troutman	Assistant Principal
Michael Randolph	Assistant Principal
Anthony Bradley	Assistant Principal
Susan Hatcher	Director of Guidance
Mary Carter	Reading Coach
Thomas Wolter	Math Department Chair
Katherine Sein	Foreign Languages Department Chair
Kerry Tucker	English Department Chair
Gary Worthington	Social Studies Department Chair
Valarie Williams	Fine Arts Department Chair
David Novelly	Science Department Chair
Annie Montgomery	Multi-Subject Department Chair
Lisa Nixon	Reading Department Chair
Diane Shier	Career/Technical Education Department Chair
Christopher Ware	Parent
Deborah Lewis	Parent
Lynn Sattesahn	Parent
Charlene Wissel	Parent
Leslie Lewis	Parent
Paul Hope	Parent

District-Level Information

District Clay

Superintendent

Mr. Charles E Vanzant, Jr

Date of school board approval of SIP

Pending

School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Membership of the SAC

Principal – David S. Broskie (Intervention Team Facilitator)

Select Administrators: Michelle Daly (Vice Principal), Brenda Troutman (Assistant Principal), Michael Randolph (Assistant Principal), and Anthony Bradley (Assistant Principal)

Reading Coach - Mary Carter

Department Head Teachers-Gary Worthington (Social Studies), Valarie Williams (Fine Arts), Diane Shier (Career and Tech Education), Katherine Sein (Foreign Language), Thomas Wolter (Mathematics), David Novelly (Science), Annie Montgomery (Multi-Subject), Kerry Tucker (English), Lisa Nixon (Reading) Select Guidance Counselors: Susan Hatcher (Director of Guidance) SAC Chair-Tania Auguste

Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

Principal – David Broskie (Intervention Team Facilitator): Facilitates a common vision based upon data to be used in decision-making. Ensures implementation through assessments of school staff, provides communication between Leadership Team, staff, parents, and community stakeholders.

Select Administrators: Provides support for the principal's vision. Facilitates assessments of school staff to ensure implementation of plans and activities. Provides communication to Leadership Team, staff, parents, and community stakeholders.

Reading Coach - Mary Carter: Provides support and guidance for implementation of Rtl in the school. Analyzes data to identify at-risk students in order to provide intervention strategies, progress monitoring, professional development and support for implementing Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Department Head Teachers: A representative from each content area will provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials and instruction with Tier 2 and 3 activities.

Select Guidance Counselors: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data. Facilitates development of intervention plans along with providing support for professional development, interpretation of collected data, problem solving activities, and program evaluation.

Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

The SAC team will meet monthly to review data and assess progress of the School Improvement Plan.

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

School Improvement Funds will be used for student planners to help develop organizational skills as well as update technology which is outdated.

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Administrators

# of administrators		
5		
# receiving effective rating	g or higher	
(not entered because basis	is < 10)	
Administrator Information	:	
David S. Broskie		
Principal	Years as Administrator: 13	Years at Current School: 3
Credentials	Degrees: Master's of Education University Of North Florida Bachelor's of Science in Educ Mansfield University Certifications: School Principal (all levels) Social Science (6-12) Middle Grades Endorsement Athletic Coaching Endorseme	
Performance Record	*2011-2012 moved Oakleaf H	f High's writing achievement level to Reading= 59 Math=68 Writing= 66 Science=74 Reading Gains=68

Michelle Daly			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 7	Years at Current School: 4	
Credentials	Master's in Educational Leadership University of North Florida Certifications: School Principal (all levels) Educational Leadership (all levels) Spanish (K-12)		
Performance Record	2010-2011 Oakleaf High's Inaug 2011-2012 Moved Oakleaf High 2012-2013 Moved Oakleaf High 2012-2013 Performance Data % meeting High Standards in Re % meeting High Standards in W % meeting High Standards in W % meeting High Standards in So % making Reading Gains=65 % making Math Gains=71 % of the Lowest 25% making Re % of the Lowest 25% making Math	a from a B to an A eading= 59 ath=68 /riting= 66 cience=74 eading Gains=68	
Brenda Troutman			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 7	Years at Current School: 3	
Credentials	Bachelor's in Business and Marketing University of North Florida Master's in Educational Leadership University of North Florida Certifications: Educational Leadership (all levels) School Principal (all levels) Mathematics (grades 5-9) Exceptional Student Education (grades K-12)		
Performance Record	*2010-2011 (Mrs. Troutman served as an administrator at Middleburg High in 2010-2011. She helped moved Middleburg High School from a B to an A *2011-2012 Oakleaf High School B *2012-2013 Moved Oakleaf High from a B to an A school 2012-2013 Performance Data % meeting High Standards in Reading= 59 % meeting High Standards in Math=68 % meeting High Standards in Writing= 66 % meeting High Standards in Science=74		

% making Reading Gains=65 % making Math Gains=71

% of the Lowest 25% making Reading Gains=68 % of the Lowest 25% making Math Gains=58

Michael Randolph		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 2	Years at Current School: 3
Credentials	Master's in Educational Leadership and Supervision University of Phoenix Bachelor's Degree in English Language and Literature University of Maryland Certifications English (grades 6-12) Educational Leadership (all levels)	
Performance Record	*2011-2012 Oakleaf High School *2012-2013 Moved Oakleaf High 2012-2013 Performance Data % meeting High Standards in Re % meeting High Standards in M % meeting High Standards in W % meeting High Standards in So % making Reading Gains=65 % making Math Gains=71 % of the Lowest 25% making Re % of the Lowest 25% making Math	h from a B to an A school eading= 59 ath=68 /riting= 66 cience=74 eading Gains=68
Anthony Bradley		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 7	Years at Current School: 0
Credentials	Degrees: B.S. in Accounting Florida State University B.S. in Finance Florida State University Master's in Business Administra City University Certifications: Math (grades 5-9) Educational Leadership School Principal (all levels)	ıtion
Performance Record	School Grades at Grove Park El 2010-2011 Moved Grove Park E 2011-2012 Grove Park Elementa 2012-2013 Moved Grove Park E 2012-2013 Performance Data Mr. Bradley was at Grove Park E school year	Elementary from a D to C ary D

Instructional Coaches

of instructional coaches

1

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Instructional Coach Information:

Mary Carter		
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 9	Years at Current School: 3
Areas	Reading/Literacy, Data, Rtl/MTS	S
Credentials	Bachelor's in Elementary Educat Master's in Administrative Leade Certifications: Primary-3 K-6 English 6-12 Reading Endorsed Administrative Leadership	
Performance Record	*2010-2011 moved Middleburg H *2011-2012 moved Oakleaf High *2012-2013 2012-2013 Performance Data % meeting High Standards in Re % meeting High Standards in Ma % meeting High Standards in Wa % meeting High Standards in So % making Reading Gains=65 % making Math Gains=71 % of the Lowest 25% making Re % of the Lowest 25% making Ma	a School from a B to an A eading= 59 ath=68 riting= 66 sience=74 eading Gains=68

Classroom Teachers

# of classroom teachers	
113	
# receiving effective rating or higher	
113, 100%	
# Highly Qualified Teachers	
98%	
# certified in-field	
110, 97%	
# ESOL endorsed	
20, 18%	
# reading endorsed	
10, 9%	
# with advanced degrees	
31, 27%	

National Board Certified

9,8%

first-year teachers

3, 3%

with 1-5 years of experience

40, 35%

with 6-14 years of experience 47, 42%

with 15 or more years of experience 18, 16%

Education Paraprofessionals

# of paraprofessionals		
6		
# Highly Qualified		
6, 100%		

Other Instructional Personnel

of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

0

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

The strategies implemented at Oakleaf High School to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-infield, effective teachers are:

1) Interview and then hire "Highly Qualified" candidates that demonstrate the ability to raise student achievement

2) Facilitate Professional Learning Communities

Designed to address the needs/concerns of the faculty as a whole and to share best practices in the classroom

3) Promote Professional Development amongst faculty

Provide an array workshops for teachers, in order to foster continual growth and development in their area of expertise.

4)Recognize teachers who meet or surpass data targets

5) Foster two-way communication

Ongoing teacher support through conferencing with administration.

6) Tip Plus Program

Designated veteran teachers serve as mentors to teachers new to the profession

and district. Monthly support meetings are conducted to

address the needs/concerns and to share best practices.

Person Responsible- OHS Administration

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

The teacher mentoring program at Oakleaf High, consists of designated veteran teachers who serve as mentors to teachers new to the profession and the district. Monthly support meetings are conducted to address the needs/concerns and to share best practices. Mentor-Tania Auguste Mentee-Tonia Eastman Rationale for pairing: Mrs. Auguste is a Language teacher at Oakleaf High. She is a highly qualified veteran teacher who will assist in the development of lesson plans, classroom management and curriculum development. Planned Mentoring Activities-Class visitations Working together on lesson plans and lesson design Sharing and Counseling Provide helpful feedback for mentee Mentor- Annie Montgomery Mentee- William Wood Rationale for pairing: Mrs. Montgomery is the Head of ESE Department at Oakleaf High. She is a highly gualified teacher who is well versed in classroom techniques and how to use different strategies to reach all learning styles. Planned Mentoring Activities-Class visitations Working together on lesson plans and lesson design Sharing and Counseling Provide helpful feedback for mentee Mentor- Lisa Nixon Mentee-Mizsraem Byrd Rationale for pairing: Mrs. Nixon is the Head of the Reading Department at Oakleaf High. She is a highly gualified veteran teacher who will assist in the development of lesson plans, classroom management and curriculum development. Planned Mentoring Activities-Class visitations Working together on lesson plans and lesson design Sharing and Counseling Provide helpful feedback for mentee Mentor- Diane Harvey Mentee- Chase Weiskopf Rationale for pairing: Mrs. Harvey is a Math teacher at Oakleaf High. She is a highly qualified veteran math teacher who will assist in the development of lesson plans, classroom management and curriculum development. Planned Mentoring Activities-Class visitations Working together on lesson plans and lesson design Sharing and Counseling Provide helpful feedback for mentee

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

The data-based problem solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP to address effectiveness of core instruction include ensuring the 80% of students are successful at Tier 1, 15% of students are in Tier 2 and 5% or less of students are in Tier 3. If at least 80% are not successful, then additional intervention must be considered. With school-wide initiatives that include: writing across all content areas with a focus on evidence -based strategies that innovate students, engaging students with strategies that allow students to read, talk and write, focusing on contextual reading analysis with text dependent responses and empowering faculty /department meetings by strengthening Professional Learning Communities to allow for teacher leadership and job-embedded professional development towards a common goal. Progress measuring will be done by individual teachers quarterly to ensure success rate. Reading Coach and Intervention Facilitator will be available to support teachers ensure that core instruction benchmarks are being met.

Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

The RTI Leadership Team is the coordinating body that develops and implements the action plan to best meet the needs of all learners. The RTI Team will identify resources, collect and analyze data, arrange professional development for all discerned staff, and ensure implementation of the Problem-solving/ Response to Intervention model so that all students' needs are identified and addressed. The entire RTI Leadership Team will meet monthly, while sub-groups of the RTI Leadership Team will meet bi-weekly, for the analysis of collected data and to make instructional decisions, progress monitor data to identify all possible Tiers of students. The team will share researched strategies to enhance professional development and instructional modes of delivery to enhance the implementation and success of RTI intervention.

Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP are:

- 1. Classroom walk-throughs
- 2. Frequent lesson plan checks
- 3. Constructive feedback for teachers from administration using observation tool PD360

4. Professional Learning Communities facilitated by Oakleaf Administration to ensure best practices

5. Curriculum Council Meetings with Department Chairs to ensure that all departments align with the vision and goals of the school

Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

Tier 1 data sources include, but not limited to:

- -Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) for 9th and 10th grades (Performance Matters)
- -Baseline reading assessments through Performance Matters for grades 9th and 10th
- -Algebra I prerequisite test (Class Roll)
- Report Card and Interim Grades. (Focus)
- -End of Course Exams (Focus and Performance Matters)
- -Review of Dashboard Discipline Records (Focus)

-Clay Writes (Focus)

-Science Baseline Assessments through Performance Matters

Tier 2 data sources:

-Performance Matters Reading Assessment for students scoring less than 245/300 on FCAT in 11th and 12th grade

-Intensive Reading courses for students scoring less than 245/300 on FCAT 11th and 12th grade

-Intensive Reading data collection Fare testing

-Math Diagnostic assessments

-Data from after school tutoring programs (i.e FCAT Workshops) (Workshop Teachers)

-Student Assistance Program (SAP) from Clay Behavioral

Tier 3 data includes:

-Progress Monitoring data from Tier 3 interventions (Rtl documentation logs)

-Performance Matters subtest data for grades 9th, 10th, and students scoring less than 300 on FCAT in 11th and 12th grade

-Cool Tools (Classroom Teachers)

-Precision Teaching (Classroom Teachers)

-Diagnostic Assessment in Reading (DAR) (Intensive Reading Teachers)

-Functional Behavioral Assessment (ESE Teacher or Intervention Team Facilitator)

-Students Assistance Program (SAP) from Clay Behavioral

Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The plan to support understanding for staff and parents will be through the use of school improvement meetings that will take place on a monthly basis.

Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Strategy: Before or After School Program **Minutes added to school year:** 50

Students at risk of failing core academic subjects are provided an opportunity for remediation by taking a credit recovery course in order to receive credit. This course is offered after school as a 7th period class. Students use Compass Learning which facilitates differentiated and personalized instruction, while formative assessments and reporting tools help drive data-driven decision making. Compass Learning supports scaffolding, spiraling, and enrichment, and allows teachers to reach each and every student.

Strategy Purpose(s)

- Instruction in core academic subjects
- · Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education
- · Teacher collaboration, planning and professional development

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Teachers can monitor student progress in real-time, to immediately identify the skill and concept mastery of each student. Utilizing the vast array of customizable reports, teachers are empowered to make data-driven decisions, and can track student, class, and school achievement. Teachers can easily modify lesson plans based on each student's individual progress. This facilitates immediate intervention for underperforming students, and keeps high-achieving students engaged.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

OHS Administration OHS Teachers

Strategy: Extended Day for All Students Minutes added to school year:

```
Strategy Purpose(s)
```

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
David Broskie	Team Facilitator
Michelle Daly	Vice Principal
Brenda Troutman	Assistant Principal

Name	Title
Michael Randolph	Assistant Principal
Anthony Bradley	Assistant Principal
Mary Carter	Reading Coach
Gary Worthington	Social Studies Chair
Thomas Wolter	Math Chair
Kerry Tucker	English Chair
Valarie Williams	Fine Arts/ Physical Education Chair
Katherine Sein	Foreign Language Chair
Diane Shier	Career and Technical Education Chair
David Novelly	Science Chair
Annie Montgomery	Multi-subject Chair
Lisa Nixon	Reading Chair

How the school-based LLT functions

The literacy team will meet with teachers during their department meetings and/or one-on-one throughout the year in order to discuss assessment results and student reading. These meetings will involve going over teachers' lesson plans and other vital data in order to address individual student needs. As a result of these meeting, the literacy team will be able to document the teaching of reading.

Major initiatives of the LLT

The literacy Leadership Team will be focusing on reading strategies across all subject areas. We have incorporated the essential reading skills into all lessons so all students practice the skills they need. In addition, we will develop a summer reading assignment to extend learning throughout the school.

Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

Oakleaf High School will use the research based strategy of reading across the curriculum to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. As a part of the reading across the curriculum strategy, teachers will ensure that students are reading 10 minutes a day on average in every class followed by series text dependent questions. In addition, teachers will also participate in an uninterrupted, 30 minute reading block, called Enrichment, which will be documented in their lesson plans. Teachers will document and implement the use of essential reading skills in their lesson plans to ensure that reading strategies are being taught by every teacher as well.

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

Oakleaf High offers a number of Career and Technical courses. Along with those courses Oakleaf offers an Academy program in Aerospace. All of these courses are set to actively engage the students in a field of study. These courses integrate the core and academic content while learning the skills or practice of the selected career field

Additional information: Dropout Prevention

• Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan) When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result.

4 Elements/15 Strategies:

The Basic Core Strategies

- Mentoring/Tutoring
- Service Learning
- Alternative Schooling
- After School Opportunities
- Early Interventions
- Early Childhood Education
- Family Engagement
- Early Literacy Development

Making the Most of Instruction

- Professional Development
- Active Learning
- Educational Technology
- Individualized Instruction

Making the Most of the Wider Community

- Systemic Renewal
- School-Community Collaboration
- Career and Technical Education
- Safe Schools

How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

OHS guidance department works closely with students to ensure that they are on track throughout their academic and career planning, as well as graduation. In addition, Oakleaf High has a Career Specialist who provides different opportunities in which students can determine the area of speciality in which they are interested to begin taking the coursework for their field of study.

Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

Oakleaf High School has increased the number of students taking advanced coursework (such as AP and Dual Enrollment) courses. This strategy will increase students readiness for the post-secondary level based on the annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report because more students will attempt college level courses while attending Oakleaf High School

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	63%	56%	No	67%
American Indian				
Asian	73%	68%	No	76%
Black/African American	48%	38%	No	54%
Hispanic	58%	49%	No	62%
White	73%		No	75%
English language learners	46%		No	51%
Students with disabilities	47%		No	52%
Economically disadvantaged	53%		No	58%

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	244	26%	67%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	293	31%	67%

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	-	led for privacy sons]	27%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	11	48%	53%
Learning Gains			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (ECAT 2.0 and			

FAA)	607	65%	67%	
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	635	68%	67%	

Postsecondary Readiness

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	224	56%	61%

Area 2: Writing

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	292	66%	70%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	16	70%	75%

Area 3: Mathematics

High School Mathematics

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	69%	68%	No	72%
American Indian				
Asian	82%	89%	Yes	84%
Black/African American	58%	54%	No	63%
Hispanic	73%	62%	No	76%
White	75%	76%	Yes	78%
English language learners	53%	48%	No	58%
Students with disabilities	56%	32%	No	60%
Economically disadvantaged	63%	58%	No	67%

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual # 2013 Actual 9	% 2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	35%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	44%

Learning Gains

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)	612	71%	72%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC)	500	58%	72%

Postsecondary Readiness

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	205	51%	56%

Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	182	46%	72%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	47	12%	72%

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	163	35%	72%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	154	33%	72%

Area 4: Science

Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	247	47%	55%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	142	27%	35%

Area 8: Early Warning Systems

High School Indicators

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time			
Students in ninth grade with one or more absences within the first 20 days			
Students in ninth grade who fail two or more courses in any subject			
Students with grade point average less than 2.0			
Students who fail to progress on-time to tenth grade			
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals			
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	139	7%	2%

Graduation

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.	28	8%	
Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	324	93%	98%
Academically at-risk students graduating in 4 years, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.	8	2%	
Students graduating in 5 years, using criteria defined at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	4	93%	98%

Goals Summary

- **G1.** Using instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, including contextual reading analysis and text dependent responses.
- **G2.** Strengthening PLCs to allow for teacher collaboration towards the school's mission.
- **G3.** Using writing across all curriculum, with a focus on contextual-based support.

Goals Detail

G1. Using instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, including contextual reading analysis and text dependent responses.

Targets Supported

- All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- EWS Graduation
- Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

• Instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, focusing on contextual reading analysis with text-dependent responses.

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

· Time for Professional Development

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text-dependent questions and assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule:

Bi-monthly during PLCs, as well as during classroom walk-through visits

Evidence of Completion:

A copy of teachers' weekly lesson plans

G2. Strengthening PLCs to allow for teacher collaboration towards the school's mission.

Targets Supported

- All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- EWS Graduation
- Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

• PLCs embracing teacher collaboration, developing leadership skills, and job-embedded professional development focus on the school's mission.

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

• Time for PLCs

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible Department Heads, Reading Coach, Administration

Target Dates or Schedule: Bi-monthly

Evidence of Completion: PLC Logs

G3. Using writing across all curriculum, with a focus on contextual-based support.

Targets Supported

- All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- EWS Graduation
- Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

• Focus on evidence based writing and text-dependent questions.

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

• Time for Professional Development

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Effective Writing Instruction across all content areas.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule:

Bi-monthly during PLCs

Evidence of Completion:

FCAT Writes Clay Writes Formative/Summative Classroom Assessment Data

Action Plan for Improvement

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal **B** = Barrier **S** = Strategy

G1. Using instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, including contextual reading analysis and text dependent responses.

G1.B1 Time for Professional Development

G1.B1.S1 OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create text-dependent questions and assessments.

Action Step 1

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text dependent questions and assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly during PLCs, as well as during classroom walk-through visits

Evidence of Completion

A copy of teachers' weekly lesson plans.

Facilitator:

OHS Administration and Department Heads (serving as PLC Facilitators)

Participants:

OHS Classroom Instructors

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text-dependent questions and assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-monthly during PLCs, as well as during classroom walk-through visits

Evidence of Completion

A copy of teachers' weekly lesson plans.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text-dependent questions and assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-monthly during PLCs, as well during classroom walk-through visits.

Evidence of Completion

A copy of teachers' weekly lesson plans.

G2. Strengthening PLCs to allow for teacher collaboration towards the school's mission.

G2.B1 Time for PLCs

G2.B1.S1 PLCs will operate under the assumption that the key to improved learning for students is continuous, job-embedded learning for educators.

Action Step 1

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

PLC Facilitators (Department Heads); Reading Coach, Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-monthly (Wednesdays)

Evidence of Completion

PLC Logs

Facilitator:

Department Heads

Participants:

OHS Instructors

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

Department Heads, Reading Coach, Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly

Evidence of Completion

PLC Logs

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B1.S1

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Person or Persons Responsible

Department Heads, Reading Coach, Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly

Evidence of Completion

PLC Logs

G3. Using writing across all curriculum, with a focus on contextual-based support.

G3.B1 Time for Professional Development

G3.B1.S1 Oakleaf High School will organize professional development communities (PLCs) for the 2013-2014 school year.

Action Step 1

OHS PLCs will study the essential question of "What distinguishes a Highly Effective Teacher from an Effective Teacher." Throughout PLCs, teachers will discuss and analyze effective writing instruction.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly Departmental PLCs.

Evidence of Completion

Departmental PLC agendas and student data that is collected and analyzed to improve writing instruction across all curriculum areas.

Facilitator:

OHS Administration

Participants:

OHS Classroom Instructors

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1

Effective Writing Instruction across all curriculum

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

During classroom walk-throughs;

Evidence of Completion

Clay Writes Formative/Summative Assessment Data Sample Student Work

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B1.S1

Effective Writing Instruction across all content-areas.

Person or Persons Responsible

OHS Administration; Dept. Heads

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-monthly during PLCs.

Evidence of Completion

Clay Writes FCAT Writes Formative/Summative Classroom Data

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Using instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, including contextual reading analysis and text dependent responses.

G1.B1 Time for Professional Development

G1.B1.S1 OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create text-dependent questions and assessments.

PD Opportunity 1

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text dependent questions and assessments.

Facilitator

OHS Administration and Department Heads (serving as PLC Facilitators)

Participants

OHS Classroom Instructors

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly during PLCs, as well as during classroom walk-through visits

Evidence of Completion

A copy of teachers' weekly lesson plans.

G2. Strengthening PLCs to allow for teacher collaboration towards the school's mission.

G2.B1 Time for PLCs

G2.B1.S1 PLCs will operate under the assumption that the key to improved learning for students is continuous, job-embedded learning for educators.

PD Opportunity 1

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Facilitator

Department Heads

Participants

OHS Instructors

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-monthly (Wednesdays)

Evidence of Completion

PLC Logs

G3. Using writing across all curriculum, with a focus on contextual-based support.

G3.B1 Time for Professional Development

G3.B1.S1 Oakleaf High School will organize professional development communities (PLCs) for the 2013-2014 school year.

PD Opportunity 1

OHS PLCs will study the essential question of "What distinguishes a Highly Effective Teacher from an Effective Teacher." Throughout PLCs, teachers will discuss and analyze effective writing instruction.

Facilitator

OHS Administration

Participants

OHS Classroom Instructors

Target Dates or Schedule

Bi-Monthly Departmental PLCs.

Evidence of Completion

Departmental PLC agendas and student data that is collected and analyzed to improve writing instruction across all curriculum areas.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

Budget Summary by Goal

Goal	Description	Total	
	Total		\$0

Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type

Funding Source	Evidence-Based Program		Total
		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0

Budget Details

Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Using instructional strategies that allow students to read, write, and speak, including contextual reading analysis and text dependent responses.

G1.B1 Time for Professional Development

G1.B1.S1 OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create text-dependent questions and assessments.

Action Step 1

OHS teachers will document at least fifteen text-dependent questions in their weekly lesson plans. Teachers will collaborate to create common text dependent questions and assessments.

Resource Type

Evidence-Based Program

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

G2. Strengthening PLCs to allow for teacher collaboration towards the school's mission.

G2.B1 Time for PLCs

G2.B1.S1 PLCs will operate under the assumption that the key to improved learning for students is continuous, job-embedded learning for educators.

Action Step 1

PLCs will focus on the work of improving teaching and learning. PLCs will shift teachers from isolation to collaboration, from remediation to intervention, and isolated assessments to collaborative common assessments.

Resource Type

Evidence-Based Program

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

G3. Using writing across all curriculum, with a focus on contextual-based support.

G3.B1 Time for Professional Development

G3.B1.S1 Oakleaf High School will organize professional development communities (PLCs) for the 2013-2014 school year.

Action Step 1

OHS PLCs will study the essential question of "What distinguishes a Highly Effective Teacher from an Effective Teacher." Throughout PLCs, teachers will discuss and analyze effective writing instruction.

Resource Type

Evidence-Based Program

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed