

2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Cape Elementary School 4519 VINCENNES BLVD Cape Coral, FL 33904 239-542-3551 http://cap.leeschools.net//

School Demographics

School TypeTitle IFree and Reduced Lunch RateElementary SchoolNo59%

Alternative/ESE Center Charter School Minority Rate
No No 37%

School Grades History

2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 A A

SIP Authority and Template

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--|----|
| Differentiated Accountability | 5 |
| Part I: Current School Status | 6 |
| Part II: Expected Improvements | 16 |
| Goals Summary | 20 |
| Goals Detail | 20 |
| Action Plan for Improvement | 22 |
| Part III: Coordination and Integration | 0 |
| Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals | 25 |
| Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals | 27 |

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
 - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
 - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
 - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
 - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
 - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

| DA Category | Region | RED |
|-------------|--------|-----|
| Not in DA | N/A | N/A |

| Former F | Post-Priority Planning | Planning | Implementing TOP |
|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------|
| No | No | No | No |

Current School Status

School Information

School-Level Information

School

Cape Elementary School

Principal

Nicole Osterholm

School Advisory Council chair

Obed Morales

Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

| Name | Title |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Dwayne Blazina | Assistant Principal |
| Dianne Johnson | Reading Specialist |
| Shelly Boeck | Guidance Counselor |
| Jan Maconi | Kindergarten Teacher |
| Lisa Hunt | 1st Grade Teacher |
| Debbie Leith | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| Debra Horn | 3rd Grade Teacher |
| Jennifer Anderson | 4th Grade Teacher |
| Amy Galbreath | 5th Grade Teacher |
| Nicole Osterholm | Principal |
| Julie Ingraham | ESE Department Chair |
| Shirley Lison | Principal's Secretary |

District-Level Information

District

Lee

Superintendent

Dr. Nancy J Graham

Date of school board approval of SIP

10/22/2013

School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Membership of the SAC

Obed Morales - SAC Chairperson, Adminstration(ELHS) Nicole Osterholm - member, Administration Debbie Horn - DAC, teacher Chelle Maconi - DAC - alternate, teacher Meghan Serra - member, support staff Francisco Benitez - member, support staff John Lison - member, community member Kathy Taylor - member, community member Nako Kellum - member, business Andrea Laster - member, parent Alex Evers - member, parent Jacqueline Cruz - member, parent Victor Soto - member, parent Sara Failla - member, parent

Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

During a SAC meeting, members reviewed the SIP and were provided the opportunity to ask questions, make recommendations and approve revisions prior to voting and reaching consensus on the approval or revokation of the drafted SIP.

Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

Involvement in the creation and approval of the SIP

Reviewing updates in the school's data as it relates to monitoring toward SIP goals.

Updates on the Professional development plan as it relates to monitoring progress and adjusting bases on most current data available.

Updates to instructional practices based upon data trends as they relate to SIP goals.

.

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

School Improvement Funds are not available at this time.

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Administrators

of administrators

2

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Administrator Information:

| Nicole Osterholm | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Principal | Years as Administrator: | Years at Current School: | |
| Credentials | Bachelor's of Science Degree in Elementary Education from Valparaiso University Master's Degree in Educational Leadership from Florida Gulf Coast University Certifications: School Principals(all levels) ESOL Endorsed Elementary Education(1-6) | | |
| Performance Record | Years as Administrator: 10 Years at Current School: 0 2012-13 Grade A % Meeting High Standards in Re % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in Re % Making Learning Gains in Re % Making Learning Gains in Ma % of Lowest 25% Making Learni % of Lowest 25% Making Learni Grade A (Cape Elementary) % Meeting High Standards in Re % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in Sc % Making Learning Gains in Re % Making Learning Gains in Ma % of Lowest 25% Making Learni % of Lowest 25% Making Learni % of Lowest 25% Making Learni | ath: 77 riting: 74 sience: 64 ading: 72 th: 69 ing Gains in Reading: 71 ing Gains in Math:82 eading: 81 ath: 77 riting: 74 sience: 64 ading: 72 th: 69 ing Gains in Reading: 71 | |

| Dwayne Blazina | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Asst Principal | Years as Administrator: 2 | Years at Current School: 2 | |
| Credentials | Bachelor's Degree in Music Education from Florida State University Master's Degree in Educational Leadership from Nova Southeastern University Certifications: Educational Leadership (all levels) Elementary Education(K-6) Music(K-12) | | |
| Performance Record | Years as Administrator: 2 Years at Current School: 2 2012-13 Grade A % Meeting High Standards in Re % Meeting High Standards in M % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in Re % Making Learning Gains in Re % Making Learning Gains in Ma % of Lowest 25% Making Learn % of Lowest 25% Making Learn Grade A (Cape Elementary) % Meeting High Standards in Re % Meeting High Standards in M % Meeting High Standards in W % Meeting High Standards in Re % Making Learning Gains in Re % Making Learning Gains in Re % Making Learning Gains in Ma % of Lowest 25% Making Learn % of Lowest 25% Making Learn | rath: 77 /riting: 74 cience: 64 rading: 72 ath: 69 ing Gains in Reading: 71 ing Gains in Math:82 reading: 81 rath: 77 /riting: 74 cience: 64 rading: 72 ath: 69 ing Gains in Reading: 71 | |

Instructional Coaches

of instructional coaches

receiving effective rating or higher

Instructional Coach Information:

Full-time / School-based Years as Coach: Years at Current School:

Areas Reading/Literacy, Data, Rtl/MTSS

Credentials

Performance Record

Classroom Teachers

of classroom teachers

46

receiving effective rating or higher

46, 100%

Highly Qualified Teachers

100%

certified in-field

46, 100%

ESOL endorsed

43, 93%

reading endorsed

7, 15%

with advanced degrees

8, 17%

National Board Certified

5, 11%

first-year teachers

1, 2%

with 1-5 years of experience

8, 17%

with 6-14 years of experience

21, 46%

with 15 or more years of experience

16, 35%

Education Paraprofessionals

of paraprofessionals

15

Highly Qualified

15, 100%

Other Instructional Personnel

of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

2

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

Meeting with teachers new to Cape Elementary. Review of school policies and procedures, curriculum expectations, and the instructional staff handbook.

Principal

Assistant Principal

Reading Specialist

New teachers to Cape Elementary are assigned peer mentors and meet regularly.

Assistant Principal

Peer Mentor

Regular Meetings of new teachers with the administration and reading specialist.

Principal

Assistant Principal

Reading Specialist

Volunteer Program and Watch Dogs (Dads of Great Students) are available for support.

Assistant Principal

Teachers

Parents

Paraprofessionals support working with students in intensive classrooms for 120 minutes in the ELA block.

Administrators

Paraprofessional

Partnership with PTO

PTO President and Board

Administrators

Teachers

Staff will participate in Professional Development and Professional Learning Communities to plan for the implementation of CCSS.

Administration

Reading Specialist

Trainers

Ongoing

Provide RTI/MTSS support for students not succeeding academically and/or behaviorally.

School Counselor

Ongoing

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

Teachers are paired with the same level teacher who is an highly effective and has completed the Clinical Education training. The pair meet monthly based on a needs' assessment. The first year teacher also participates in the Lee County School District APPLES program.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

The MTSS Problem-Solving team at Cape Elementary meets on a weekly, monthly, as needed basis to analyze school and/or student progress data in order to identify students in need of further support and monitor the progress of students receiving interventions to ensure that the needs of all students are being met within a muti-tiered system of student supports. The team uses the five-step problem solving process as outlined in the district's MTSS Manual. Data analysis comparison of cohort schools at the district level, classrooms by teacher, and individual student level will be used to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs.

Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

The roles of each member are as follows:

Classroom Teacher

- * Keep ongoing progress monitoring notes in a MTSS folder (Curriculum assessments, STAR or FCAT scores, work samples, anecdotals) to be filed in cumulative folder at the end of each school year or if transferring/withdrawing.
- * Attend MTSS Team Meetings to collaborate on & monitor students who are struggling.
- * Implement interventions designed by MTSS Team for students receiving supplemental and intensive supports.
- * Deliver instructional interventions with fidelity.

Reading Specialist

- * Attend MTSS Team Meetings.
- * Train teachers in interventions, progress monitoring, differentiated instruction
- * Implement supplemental and intensive interventions
- * Keep progress monitoring notes & anecdotals of interventions implemented
- * Administer screenings
- * Collect school-wide data for team to use in determining at-risk students

Speech-Language Pathologist

- * Attend MTSS Team Meetings for students receiving supplemental and intensive support
- * Completes Communications Skills screening for students unsuccessful with Tier 2 interventions
- * Assist with supplemental and intensive interventions through collaboration, training, and/or direct student contact
- * Incorporate MTSS data when guiding a possible Speech/Language referral & when making eligibility decisions

Principal/Assistant Principal

- * Facilitate implementation of the MTSS problem-solving process in your building
- * Provide or coordinate valuable and continuous professional development
- * Assign paraprofessionals to support MTSS implementation when possible
- * Attend Team Meetings to be active in the MTSS change process
- * Conduct classroom Walk-Throughs to monitor fidelity

Guidance Counselor

- * Often MTSS Team facilitators
- * Schedule and attend MTSS Team Meetings
- * Maintain log of all students involved in the MTSS process
- * Send parent invites
- * Complete necessary MTSS forms
- * Conduct social-developmental history interviews when requested

School Psychologist

- * Attend MTSS Team meetings on some students receiving supplemental supports & on all students receiving intensive supports
- * Monitor data collection process for fidelity
- * Review & interpret progree monitoring data

- * Collaborate with MTSS Team on effective instruction & specific interventions
- * Incorporate MTSS data when guiding a possible ESE referral & when making eligibility decisions ESE Teacher/Staffing Specialist
- * Consult with MTSS Team regarding intensive interventions
- * Incorporate MTSS data when making eligibility decisions

Specialist (Behavior, OT, PT, ASD)

- * Consult with MTSS Team
- * Provide staff trainings

Social Worker

- * Attend MTSS Team meetings when requested
- * Conduct social-developmental history interviews and share with MTSS Team

ESOL/ELL Representative

- * Attend all MTSS Team meetings for identified ELL students, advising and completing LEP paperwork
- * Conduct language screenings and assessments
- * Provide ELL interventions at all tiers

Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The Lee County School District has developed a comprehensive training plan for facuty and staff. School based MTSS contacts and adminsitrators have been identified and are provided on-going staff development trainings regarding the MTSS problem-solving process throughout the school year in the areas of problem identification, instructional best practicies, curriculum supports, data analysis, implementation of supplemental and intensive interventions, and behavior management techniques. Additionally, district personnel provide coaching and modeling to assist schools with strategies that are designed to improve the educational outcomes for students with academic and behavioral needs within a multi-tiered system of student supports.

Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

Cape Elementary utilizes the district adopted data management system, Performance Matters. This allows the school comprehensive access to all school and district databases, thereby assisting with the detailed analysis of district, school, classroom, and student level data. These analyses assist with the tracking of student progress, management of diagnostic, summative, and formative assessment data, and the response of students to implementd interventions.

Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The Lee County School District has hired District level support personnel to sustain the implementation of the MTSS problem-solving process for all students within schools. They provide training, coaching, modeling, data analysis, and guidance to assist schools with the implementation of supplemental and intensive strategies designed to improve the educational outcomes for students with academic and behavioral needs within a muti-tiered system of student supports. These personnel are comprised of teachers with knowledge in effective instructional practices, data analysis, curriculum resources, behavior management techniques, research based practices, and problem-solving processes to support the academic and behavioral needs of students within a muti-tiered student support system.

Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Strategy: Extended Day for All Students

Minutes added to school year:

Strategy Purpose(s)

••••

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

| Name | Title |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Nicole Osterholm | Principal |
| Dwayne Blazina | Assistant Principal |
| Dianne Johnson | Reading Specialist |
| Jan Maconi | Kindergarten Teacher |
| Lisa Hunt | 1st Grade Teacher |
| Debbie Leith | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| Debra Horn | 3rd Grade Teacher |
| Jennifer Anderson | 4th Grade Teacher |
| Amy Galbreath | 5th Grade Teacher |
| Julie Ingraham | ESE teacher |

How the school-based LLT functions

Meetings are held on a monthly basis; however, meetings may be more frequent should a need or issue arise.

LLT will be updated on most current school-wide data trends as related to SIP. LLT Chair and administration will share the expected outcomes of the PLC teams and the data tools that can be used to track student progress.

Teams Leaders will update the LLT on progress of individual teams toward achieving school-wide goals and, if appropriate, share impact of data on instructional practices.

Major initiatives of the LLT

Review reading data using Performance Matters, formative assessments and teacher input to determine specific needs for targeted students.

Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

- 1. Teachers consistently implement high quality initial instruction and follow-up small group instruction that is well differentiated according according to student needs.
- 2. Teachers use student data to guide reading instruction.
- 3. Resources are provided for teachers to implement interventions for struggling readers.
- 4. Providing excellent, ongoing professional development for Reading instruction.
- 5. Providing teacher adequate materials to support high quality instruction.
- 6. Each classroom has a library of books written at different levels of difficulty.
- 7. Teachers provide students with supplemental reading materials as well as access to technology to improve student reading skills. This is monitored through lessons plans, classroom walk-throughs, PLC data, sharing lesson plans, model classrooms, and teachers training teachers.

Preschool Transition

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs

All incoming kindergarten students are screened prior to or upon entering kindergarten. Students are assessed and at the same time parents meet with a PTO Board member to learn about Cape Elementary.

A Curriculum Night meeting will be held during Quarter 1 of the school year for kindergarten students and their families to familiarize them with the school and expectations for the coming year.

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| All Students | 83% | 81% | No | 84% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | | | | |
| Black/African American | 58% | 68% | Yes | 63% |
| Hispanic | 68% | 68% | Yes | 71% |
| White | 88% | 87% | No | 89% |
| English language learners | 50% | 38% | No | 55% |
| Students with disabilities | 63% | 62% | No | 66% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 77% | 72% | No | 79% |

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 99 | 26% | 23% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 195 | 52% | 57% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | - | ed for privacy sons] | 32% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 55% |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA) | 143 | 69% | 72% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0) | 28 | 65% | 68% |

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | 13 | 43% | 49% |
| Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | 11 | 37% | 43% |
| Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | 13 | 42% | 48% |

Postsecondary Readiness

| 2012 Actual # | 2012 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| ZUIZ ACIUAI # | ZUIZ ACIUAI /0 | ZUIH IAIYEL /0 |

On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.

Area 2: Writing

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5 | 67 | 71% | 74% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4 | 34 | 36% | 42% |

Area 3: Mathematics

Elementary and Middle School Mathematics

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| All Students | 83% | 77% | No | 85% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | | | | |
| Black/African American | 73% | 74% | Yes | 75% |
| Hispanic | 72% | 55% | No | 75% |
| White | 88% | 85% | No | 89% |
| English language learners | 58% | 36% | No | 63% |
| Students with disabilities | 72% | 55% | No | 75% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 78% | 70% | No | 81% |

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 126 | 34% | 41% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 160 | 43% | 49% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | | | |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | | | |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Learning Gains | 138 | 66% | 69% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC) | 38 | 76% | 78% |

Area 4: Science

Elementary School Science

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 46 | 30% | 37% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 46 | 30% | 37% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | 64% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | 28% |

Area 8: Early Warning Systems

Elementary School Indicators

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | 29 | 4% | 2% |
| Students retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S. | 15 | 2% | 2% |
| Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade | 19 | 15% | 14% |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | 40 | 5% | 3% |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that lead to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | 28 | 4% | 2% |

Area 9: Parent Involvement

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Parental involvement targets for the school

- 1. Increase volunteer hours to 4,750.
- 2. Increase attendance at PTO meetings by 10 families.

Specific Parental Involvement Targets

| Target | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Increase volunteers hours to 4,750. | 4671 | | 2% |
| Increase family attendance at PTO meetings by 10 families. | 10 | | 50% |

Goals Summary

G1. Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning.

Goals Detail

G1. Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning.

Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains)
- Science Elementary School
- Parental Involvement
- · EWS Elementary School

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- · District Academic Plans
- · District wide adoption of ELA, Math, Science and Social Studies resources
- Common Assessments
- District and school Professional Development
- PLCs / Common Planning Time
- Performance Class Placement
- Volunteers
- Stability of staff(especially teachers)
- · Performance Matters
- · Curriculum Evening Events

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Lack of knowledge and transition into CCSS along with the adoption of Reading Street new ELA and math textbooks
- Variety of knowledge and implementation of PLCs in the school.

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Progress toward meeting our goal will be monitored by reviewing the following data with Administration and PLC teams: Performance Matters item analysis by teacher, STAR Reading/Early STAR, reading and math formative assessments, district common assessments

Person or Persons Responsible

Administration and PLC team members

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

PLC agendas with administration, data analysis of reading and math formative assessments, STAR data, ELA Concept tests and writing assessments and district baseline/mid-year assessments

Action Plan for Improvement

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal

B = Barrier

S = Strategy

G1. Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning.

G1.B1 Lack of knowledge and transition into CCSS along with the adoption of Reading Street - new ELA and math textbooks

G1.B1.S1 Design and deliver PD in district academic plans, and implementation of CCSS - ELA and Math for teaching and learning.

Action Step 1

Professional Development on the Academic Plans and CCSS - ELA and Math.

Person or Persons Responsible

Leadership team, Cadre ELA trainers, and Cadre Math trainers

Target Dates or Schedule

At least two times a month - ongoing beginning September 12, 2013

Evidence of Completion

Agendas/Meeting Minutes/SharePoint

Facilitator:

Cadre ELA trainers and Cadre Math trainers

Participants:

Kindergarten to 5th Grade teachers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

Design specific professional development for CCSS and Academic Plans.

Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Monthly - ongoing

Evidence of Completion

Grade level meeting minutes; agendas, SharePoint calendar

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

Develop calendar and schedule for data collection from classroom walkthrough using instruments measuring: instruction aligned to CCSS and the academic plan

Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Monthly - ongoing

Evidence of Completion

Classrroom Walkthrough data

G1.B2 Variety of knowledge and implementation of PLCs in the school.

G1.B2.S1 Provide ongoing support, guidance and time for PLCs.

Action Step 1

PLCs

Person or Persons Responsible

PLC facilitators and administrators

Target Dates or Schedule

Three times a month for one hour each.

Evidence of Completion

PLC norms, agendas, meeting minutes posted on SharePoint.

Facilitator:

Administrators and PLC Facilitators

Participants:

All instructional staff

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B2.S1

Ongoing collaboration to: Plan, establish and publish agendas for PLC meetings; agendas sent to PLC teams two days prior to the meeting; facilitate meetings, meeting minutes (including attendance)published on grade-level SharePoint

Person or Persons Responsible

Administration and PLC Facilitators

Target Dates or Schedule

Three times a month: two with grade levels and one with administration

Evidence of Completion

PLC Agendas, data collected/analyzed and Meeting Minutes for each grade level on SharePoint

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B2.S1

Grade level SharePoint site: PDSAs and Meeting Minutes

Person or Persons Responsible

Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Smart Goals, PDSAs, and specific data collected for the PLC cycle

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning.

G1.B1 Lack of knowledge and transition into CCSS along with the adoption of Reading Street - new ELA and math textbooks

G1.B1.S1 Design and deliver PD in district academic plans, and implementation of CCSS - ELA and Math for teaching and learning.

PD Opportunity 1

Professional Development on the Academic Plans and CCSS - ELA and Math.

Facilitator

Cadre ELA trainers and Cadre Math trainers

Participants

Kindergarten to 5th Grade teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

At least two times a month - ongoing beginning September 12, 2013

Evidence of Completion

Agendas/Meeting Minutes/SharePoint

G1.B2 Variety of knowledge and implementation of PLCs in the school.

G1.B2.S1 Provide ongoing support, guidance and time for PLCs.

PD Opportunity 1

PLCs

Facilitator

Administrators and PLC Facilitators

Participants

All instructional staff

Target Dates or Schedule

Three times a month for one hour each.

Evidence of Completion

PLC norms, agendas, meeting minutes posted on SharePoint.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

Budget Summary by Goal

| Goal | Description | Total |
|------|--|---------|
| G1. | Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning. | \$6,109 |
| | Total | \$6,109 |

Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type

| Funding Source | Professional Development | Total |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title II | \$6,109 | \$6,109 |
| Total | \$6,109 | \$6,109 |

Budget Details

Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Increase student achievement gains school-wide by focusing on teaching and learning.

G1.B2 Variety of knowledge and implementation of PLCs in the school.

G1.B2.S1 Provide ongoing support, guidance and time for PLCs.

Action Step 1

PLCs

Resource Type

Professional Development

Resource

We will use all of our Title II funds for substitutes in order to have two - two hour PLCs and two three hour PLCs(at the end of each quarter)

Funding Source

Title II

Amount Needed

\$6,109