

## 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Lee Adolescent Mothers Program 3650 MICHIGAN AVE STE 2 Fort Myers, FL 33916 239-332-2526 http://lamp.leeschools.net/

## **School Demographics**

School Type High School Title I Yes Free and Reduced Lunch Rate
[Data Not Available]

Alternative/ESE Center

Yes

Charter School
No

Minority Rate
[Data Not Available]

## **School Grades History**

## **SIP Authority and Template**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Part I: Current School Status	6
Part II: Expected Improvements	15
Goals Summary	21
Goals Detail	21
Action Plan for Improvement	23
Part III: Coordination and Integration	25
Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals	28
Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals	29

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

## Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

## Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

## **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals**

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

## **Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals**

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

## **Differentiated Accountability**

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

## **DA Regions**

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

## **DA Categories**

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
  - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
  - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
  - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
  - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
  - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

## **DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses**

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

## 2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not in DA	N/A	N/A

Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

## **Current School Status**

#### **School Information**

#### School-Level Information

#### School

Lee Adolescent Mothers Program

#### **Principal**

Dr. Ruthie Lohmeyer

#### **School Advisory Council chair**

Angela Hiske

#### Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title	
Dr. Lohmeyer	Principal	
Dr. Chuderski	Assistant Principal	
Dr. Hawkins	Assistant Principal	
Mr. Harris	Behavior Specialist	
Ms. Cassidy	Guidance Counselor	

#### **District-Level Information**

#### **District**

Lee

#### Superintendent

Dr. Nancy J Graham

#### Date of school board approval of SIP

10/22/2013

#### School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Membership of the SAC

The SAC is currently made up of teachers and support staff members.

Ruthie Lohmeyer - Principal

Johnnie Mae Hawkins - Assistant Principal

J.D. Hebner - SAC Chair/DAC rep

Linda Grzeszak - DAC Alt.

Peggy Bos - teacher

Nikki Suarez - support personnel

Corey Williams - teacher

Angela Hiske - teacher

Richard Dunmire - teacher

## Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

During the final SAC meeting of the 2012-2013 school year, parents were asked to give suggestions and recommendations for changes next year. Parents submitted their recommendations, and these were taken into account when developing this year's school improvement plan.

#### Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

This year, the SAC will be working on the Parent Involvement Plan, helping to improve student attendance, and making recommendations for next year's school improvement plan.

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC Not In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

We are always actively recruiting members to our SAC. One of the challenges of LAMP is that many of our student mothers do not have transportation for after school meetings. We are exploring alternate meeting times to meet the needs of our population. We have advertised our meetings in our school newsletters, our school websites, and via ParentLink.

#### **Highly Qualified Staff**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### **Administrators**

#### # of administrators

3

#### # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

#### Administrator Information:

Dr. Ruthie Lohmeyer			
Principal	Years as Administrator: 21	Years at Current School: 1	
	Doctorate in Educational Lead	ership	
	Masters of Education - Educat	•	
	Leadership		
	Bachelor of Science - Elementary		
Credentials Education			
Oredentials	Certifications		
	Educational Leader - K-12 Lev	rel II	
	Elementary Education K-6		
	Exceptional Student Education	K 12	
	Exceptional Student Education	I N-12	

## Performance Record

Dr. Christina Chuderski		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 1	Years at Current School: 1
Credentials	Educational Doctorate - Education Leadership Educational Specialist - Education Leadership Master of Education - Education Leadership Bachelor of Arts - Elementary Educations: Elementary Education K-6 Exceptional Student Education K-12 Endorsements: ESOL Gifted	onal al ducation

#### **Performance Record**

Dr. Johnnie Mae Hawkins		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 0	Years at Current School: 0
Credentials	Educational Doctorate - Organize Leadership Master of Education - Education Leadership Bachelor of Science - Health Educations: Associate of Arts - Nursing Certifications: Health Education K-12 Educational Leadership K-12 Endorsements: ESOL	al

#### **Performance Record**

#### **Instructional Coaches**

#### # of instructional coaches

1

## # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

## **Instructional Coach Information:**

<b>Bonnie Carner</b>		
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 4	Years at Current School: 3
Areas	Reading/Literacy	
Credentials	Bachelor of Science - Early Chil Certifications Elementary 1-6 ESOL	ldhood

#### **Performance Record**

#### **Classroom Teachers**

#### # of classroom teachers

10

## # receiving effective rating or higher

10, 100%

## # Highly Qualified Teachers

100%

#### # certified in-field

10, 100%

#### # ESOL endorsed

7, 70%

## # reading endorsed

7, 70%

#### # with advanced degrees

5, 50%

#### # National Board Certified

0,0%

#### # first-year teachers

0,0%

## # with 1-5 years of experience

0,0%

## # with 6-14 years of experience

6,60%

## # with 15 or more years of experience

4, 40%

## **Education Paraprofessionals**

#### # of paraprofessionals

1

#### # Highly Qualified

1, 100%

#### Other Instructional Personnel

## # of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

1

#### # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

#### **Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies**

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

Teachers meet on a frequent basis to address the needs of both the school and the teachers. Further, all teachers are given the opportunity to take on leadership roles for school based committees/PLCs.

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

LAMP does not have any new teachers this year. All teachers have more than 5 years of teaching experience.

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

The MTSS Problem-Solving team at LAMP meets on an as needed basis to analyze school and/or student progress data in order to identify students in need of further support and monitor the progress of students receiving interventions to ensure that the needs of all students are being met within a multi-tiered system of student supports. The team uses the five-step problem solving process as outlined in the district's MTSS Manual.

## Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

The roles of each member are as follows:

Classroom Teacher

- Keep ongoing progress monitoring notes in a MTSS folder (FAIR, curriculum assessments, STAR or FCAT scores, work samples, anecdotals) to be filed in cumulative folder at the end of each school year or if transferring/withdrawing
- Attend MTSS Team meetings to collaborate on & monitor students who are struggling
- Implement interventions designed by MTSS Team for students receiving supplemental and intensive supports.
- Deliver instructional interventions with fidelity

#### Reading or Math Coach/Specialist

- Attend MTSS Team meetings
- Train teachers in interventions, progress monitoring, differentiated instruction
- Implement supplemental and intensive interventions
- Keep progress monitoring notes & anecdotals of interventions implemented
- · Administer screenings
- Collect school-wide data for team to use in determining at-risk students

#### Speech-Language Pathologist

- Attend MTSS Team meetings for students receiving supplemental and intensive supports.
- Completes Communication Skills screening for students unsuccessful with Tier 2 interventions
- Assist with supplemental and intensive interventions through collaboration, training, and/or direct student contact
- Incorporate MTSS data when guiding a possible Speech/Language referral & when making eligibility decisions

#### Principal/Assistant Principal

- Facilitate implementation of the MTSS problem-solving process in your building
- Provide or coordinate valuable and continuous professional development
- Assign paraprofessionals to support MTSS implementation when possible
- Attend MTSS Team meetings to be active in the MTSS change process
- · Conduct classroom Walk-Throughs to monitor fidelity

## Guidance Counselor/Curriculum Specialist

- Often MTSS Team facilitators
- Schedule and attend MTSS Team meetings
- Maintain log of all students involved in the MTSS process
- · Send parent invites
- Complete necessary MTSS forms
- Conduct social-developmental history interviews when requested

#### School Psychologist

• Attend MTSS Team meetings on some students receiving supplemental supports & on all students receiving intensive

#### supports

- · Monitor data collection process for fidelity
- Review & interpret progress monitoring data
- Collaborate with MTSS Team on effective instruction & specific interventions
- Incorporate MTSS data when guiding a possible ESE referral & when making eligibility decisions ESE Teacher/Staffing Specialist
- · Consult with MTSS Team regarding intensive interventions
- Incorporate MTSS data when making eligibility decisions

Specialist (Behavior, OT, PT, ASD)

- Consult with MTSS Team
- Provide staff trainings

#### Social Worker

- Attend MTSS Team meetings when requested
- Conduct social-developmental history interviews and share with MTSS Team

#### ESOL/ELL Representative

- Attend all MTSS Team meetings for identified ELL students, advising and completing LEP paperwork
- Conduct language screenings and assessments
- · Provide ELL interventions at all tiers

## Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The Lee County School District has developed a comprehensive training plan for faculty and staff. School based MTSS contacts and administrators have been identified and are provided on-going staff development training regarding the MTSS problem-solving process throughout the school year in the areas of problem identification, instructional best practices, curriculum supports, data analysis, implementation of supplemental and intensive interventions, and behavior management techniques. Additionally, district personnel provide coaching and modeling to assist schools with strategies that are designed to improve the educational outcomes for students with academic and behavioral needs within a multitiered system of student supports.

Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

LAMP utilizes the district adopted data management system, Performance Matters. This allows the school

comprehensive access to all school and district databases, thereby assisting with the detailed analysis of district, school, classroom, and student level data. These analyses assist with the tracking of student progress, management of diagnostic, summative, and formative assessment data, and the response of students to implemented interventions.

# Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The Lee County School District has hired District level support personnel to sustain the implementation of the MTSS problem-solving process for all students within schools. They provide training, coaching, modeling, data analysis, and guidance to assist schools with the implementation of supplemental and intensive strategies designed to improve the educational outcomes for students with academic and behavioral needs within a multi-tiered system of student supports. These personnel are comprised of teachers with knowledge in effective instructional practices, data analysis, curriculum resources, behavior management techniques, research based practices, and problem-solving processes to support the academic and behavioral needs of students within a multi-tiered student support system.

#### **Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

#### Strategy: Extended Day for All Students

Minutes added to school year: 500

Our after school program will target students that need to make up credits and offer them access to after school instruction and/or online learning opportunities to meet these requirements.

### Strategy Purpose(s)

Instruction in core academic subjects

#### How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Data from students' academic histories will be collected to see if students are successfully completeing the credits that they are missing.

#### Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Administration and guidance.

## Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Ruthie Lohmeyer	Principal
Christina Chuderski	Assistant Principal for Curriculum
Bonnie Carner	Reading Coach
Angela Hiske	Science Teacher
Marc Lapham	Social Studies Teacher
Beth Ely	English Teacher

#### How the school-based LLT functions

Meetings are held quarterly

Teachers bring suggestions and examples of the ways they are incorporating reading and writing strategies across the curriculum. This information is then taken back to the rest of their departments to share ideas.

#### Major initiatives of the LLT

The major initiatives of the LLT this year will be to incorporate reading and writing strategies across all subject areas as appropriate.

#### **Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction**

#### How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

The Literacy Leadership Team, comprised of a cross section of faculty, help grow department wide literacy strategies across all disciplines in all classrooms.

Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students;

Each teacher incorporates specific reading strategies into their curriculum content.

#### **College and Career Readiness**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

While all of the classes offered at LAMP meet the requirements for a regular diploma, all of the teachers integrate information regarding parenting and general life skills due to the nature of the LAMP program. The teachers within LAMP work to help the ladies understand how to apply their skills to their new roles as mothers.

## How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

LAMP is limited in the number of courses that it is able to offer to our students due to the nature of our program.

During scheduling, the guidance counselors do their best to mimic the student's schedule from their sending school so there will be a smooth transition between the two schools.

#### Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

LAMP does not have a High School Feedback Report available for analysis.

## **Expected Improvements**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	17%	20%	Yes	25%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American				
Hispanic				
White				
English language learners				
Students with disabilities				
Economically disadvantaged	17%	20%	Yes	25%

## Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	-	ed for privacy sons]	24%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	-	ed for privacy sons]	12%

## Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

## **Learning Gains**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)			
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)			

#### **Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)**

2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014 Target %

Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)

Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)

Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)

#### **Postsecondary Readiness**

2012 Actual # 2012 Actual % 2014 Target %

On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.

### Area 2: Writing

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	[data excluded fo	r privacy reasons]	45%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	[data excluded fo	r privacy reasons]	0%

#### Area 3: Mathematics

#### **High School Mathematics**

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students				
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American				
Hispanic				
White				
English language learners				
Students with disabilities				
Economically disadvantaged				

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6
Students scoring at or above Level 7

#### **Learning Gains**

2012 Actual # 2012 Actual % 2014 Target %

Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)

Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC)

#### **Postsecondary Readiness**

2012 Actual # 2012 Actual % 2014 Target %

On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.

#### Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	-	ed for privacy sons]	60%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	-	ed for privacy sons]	15%

#### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	-	ed for privacy sons]	28%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	-	ed for privacy sons]	10%

#### Area 4: Science

#### **High School Science**

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

## Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	-	ed for privacy sons]	60%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4		ed for privacy sons]	40%

## Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)

## **All Levels**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	0		1
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	0	0%	20%

## **High Schools**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more accelerated STEM-related courses	0	0%	0%
Completion rate (%) for students enrolled in accelerated STEM-related courses		0%	0%
Students taking one or more advanced placement exams for STEM-related courses	0	0%	0%
CTE-STEM program concentrators	0		0
Students taking CTE-STEM industry certification exams	0	0%	0%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE- STEM industry certification exams		0%	0%

Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	0	0%	0%
Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more accelerated courses	0	0%	0%
Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses		0%	0%
Students taking CTE industry certification exams	0	0%	0%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams		0%	0%
CTE program concentrators	0	0%	0%
CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications	0	0%	0%

## **Area 8: Early Warning Systems**

#### **High School Indicators**

2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014 Target %

Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time

Students in ninth grade with one or more absences within the first 20 days

Students in ninth grade who fail two or more courses in any subject

Students with grade point average less than 2.0

Students who fail to progress on-time to tenth grade

Students who receive two or more behavior referrals

Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.

#### Graduation

2012 Actual # 2012 Actual % 2014 Target %

Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.

Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)

Academically at-risk students graduating in 4 years, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.

Students graduating in 5 years, using criteria defined at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)

#### **Area 9: Parent Involvement**

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Parental involvement targets for the school

All students who enter LAMP will have a parent attend orientation with them.

#### **Specific Parental Involvement Targets**

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
All students will have a parent/guardian attend orientation with them.		100%	100%

#### **Area 10: Additional Targets**

#### Additional targets for the school

#### Specific Additional Targets

Target 2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014
---

## **Goals Summary**

**G1**. The goal of LAMP is to increase learning gains.

## **Goals Detail**

## **G1.** The goal of LAMP is to increase learning gains.

#### **Targets Supported**

- Reading (FCAT2.0, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- · Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Middle School
- · EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation

#### **Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Day Care Center
- Counseling (Social Worker)
- · Full-Time Nurse
- Transportation
- · Free baby resources
- · Mental Health

#### **Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

Lack of Student Engagement (no sense of belonging, no adult peer or mentor, no connections)

## **Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

will monitor the strategy for effectiveness...

**Person or Persons Responsible** 

Administration...

**Target Dates or Schedule:** 

monthly

**Evidence of Completion:** 

Increase in attendance by 25%

## **Action Plan for Improvement**

#### **Problem Solving Key**

G = Goal

**B** = Barrier

**S** = Strategy

#### **G1.** The goal of LAMP is to increase learning gains.

## G1.B1 Lack of Student Engagement (no sense of belonging, no adult peer or mentor, no connections)

#### **G1.B1.S2** Implement Positive Behavior Support strategies school-wide

## **Action Step 1**

will have incentives for free baby items to support attendance...

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

The Positive Behavior Support Team...

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

biweekly...

## **Evidence of Completion**

The positive reward has a transaction record at the PBS Store

## Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S2

will monitor the process...

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration...

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

monthly...

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Monthly meeting with faculty

## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S2

will assess whether attendance has increased as a result of Positive Behavior Support

**Person or Persons Responsible** 

Guidance...

**Target Dates or Schedule** 

monthly

**Evidence of Completion** 

Pinnacle attendance reports

## **Coordination and Integration**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

Title I, Part A

Title I, Title II and other programs coordinate through the SIP process. Each school completes a needs assessment before writing goals for the year. School improvement plans are written to ensure compliance with all state and national regulations.

All school improvement plans are reviewed at the district level for appropriate use of funds and effective use of resources.

This district level review prevents duplication of services and facilitates coordination between schools and departments. This collaboration ensures that all programs support schools.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part A coordinates with Title I, Part C to provide expanded academic enrichment opportunities to Migrant students.

Services include after school tutorials in reading and math; health services; and literacy workshops for parents as a result of the coordination of these funds. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

Title I, Part D

The facilities and schools coordinate with health services (mental and physical) and other social services to meet the need of students returning back to their assigned educational facility. The district Health Services, Student Services, Title I, Title III and ESE departments are all a part of the collaborative effort. For example: social workers from student services has the process and procedures in place to assist students and their families with social services for food stamps and other health

services; the ESE Department has established a memorandum of understanding for assistance with housing and counseling services through Ruth Cooper and the Lutheran Service; vocational instructors establish partnership with businesses so students will have an opportunity to continue to develop their vocational skill.

Title II, Part A

Title I coordinates with other programs funded under NCLB through the SIP (School Improvement Plan) process. Within this Title I coordinates with other programs funded under NCLB through the SIP (School Improvement Plan) process. Within this plan, schools complete a Professional Development Plan in collaboration with Title II. The PDP is concentrated in reading, math, science and writing to meet the needs of the targeted subgroups not making annual AMO targets. The PDP includes teachers, paraprofessionals, and administrators. As part of the School Advisory Council, parents are included in this planning process. Each school completes a needs assessment before writing goals for the year. School improvement plans are written to ensure compliance with all state and national regulations. This collaboration ensures that all programs funded under NCLB use

funds to support schools, not supplant district obligations. All school improvement plans are reviewed at the district level for appropriate use of funds and effectiveness. This district level review prevents duplication of services and facilitates coordination between agencies. Each school's SIP is reviewed by all stakeholders and submitted to the Board for approval.

Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

Title III

Title I, Part A coordinates with Title III to expand academic enrichment opportunities for ELLs. These services include after school tutorials, professional development, supplemental scientifically research based resources and materials. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

#### Title X- Homeless

Title X coordinates with Title I, Part A, to provide comparable services to homeless children who are not attending Title I schools. By providing ongoing collaboration between Title X and Title I, Part A, program staff, the same services for homeless students in Non-Title I schools are provided to homeless students in Title I schools. In addition to serving homeless students not enrolled in Title I schools, set-aside funds are used to provide services to homeless students who are attending Title I schools. Homeless students who attend Title I school-wide or targeted assistance schools may have unique challenges that are not addressed by the regular Title I program at these schools. These challenges may create barriers to full participation in Title I programs and defeat the overarching program goal of helping all students meet challenging state standards. For

instance, students residing in shelters, motels, or other overcrowded conditions may not have a quiet place to study at the end of the day and may require extended after-school library time; tutoring and/or accessibility to tutoring as needed, school supplies, expedited evaluations, extended days/ learning opportunities, Saturday schools, summer academic camps, coordination of services with shelters or other homeless service providers, or, a student who is dealing with the stress and anxiety associated with homelessness may not be able to focus on his or her studies and may benefit from school counseling services. Through Title I, Part A, or Title I, Part A, in conjunction with Title X, McKinney-Vento funding homeless students can take part in services that enable them to benefit more from a school's Title I program.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI is used to provide unique learning programs at schools. SAI funds are also used to fund summer school programs throughout the District. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs. Violence Prevention Programs

The Youth Coalitions within Lee County provide opportunities for partnerships between the District and other social services. These social services assist all at-risk students through after-school programs that include academic, social, and health services. Anticipated outcomes include a safe environment for children and increased academic achievement. Bullying prevention programs are offered throughout the District. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

#### **Nutrition Programs**

Food and Nutrition Services offers healthy meals to all students. This includes ensuring that families are offered free and reduced lunch applications throughout the year. All students receive free breakfast at all school locations. Many Title I schools have also developed "Backpack Programs" in which nutritious food is sent home in a backpack each weekend to struggling families to ensure that children and families have food throughout the week. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Blended Head Start/Title 1/VPK/Migrant early childhood classrooms will be located on the school's campus. High risk students will attend a year long, high quality early childhood program that serves four year old children. The goal of the program is to prepare children for kindergarten by meeting the federal Head Start Framework for School Readiness and State Standards for Four Year Olds that are aligned with the Common Core Standards. The expected outcome is that enrolled children who complete the program will be deemed ready for kindergarten on the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screening (FLKRS).

Adult Education

Adult Education partners with several Title I schools to offer ESOL classes for parents to learn English. Adult Education partners with Title I, Part A to offer paraprofessional classes to prepare paraprofessionals to take the qualifying test, ParaPro. Adult Education instructors review reading, math and writing skills, as well as test administration. Title I paraprofessionals benefit by becoming highly qualified as defined by NCLB. The benefit of these classes is to help the monolingual parents learn English so that they can become more self-sufficient. Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

#### Career and Technical Education

The district provides extensive opportunity for Career and Technical Education including Industry Certification. Each attendance zone also includes a comprehensive high school with career academies. Job Training

The district provides extensive opportunity for Career and Technical Education including Industry Certification. Each attendance zone also includes a comprehensive high school with career academies. Other

Periodic district level meetings with managers of all programs funded under NCLB also open lines of communication and encourage cooperation between programs.

## **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals**

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

## **Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals**