**The School District of Palm Beach County** 

# **Highridge Family Center**



2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

### Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information             | 4  |
| Needs Assessment               | 6  |
| Planning for Improvement       | 10 |
| Title I Requirements           | 11 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 13 |

# **Highridge Family Center**

4400 N AUSTRALIAN AVE, West Palm Beach, FL 33407

https://hr.palmbeachschools.org

### **School Demographics**

| School Type and Grades Served |                        | 2017-18 Economically      |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| -                             | 2017-18 Title I School | Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate  |
| (per MSID File)               |                        | (as reported on Survey 3) |

Combination School No 84%

Primary Service Type
(per MSID File)

Charter School

Charter School

Charter School

Alternative Education

No

2018-19 Minority Rate
(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)

72%

**School Grades History** 

Year

Grade

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Palm Beach County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement.

The schools in the Department of Support Services are committed to providing effective and relevant instruction to meet the needs of all students. We work to provide a world-class education with excellence and equity to empower each student to reach his or her highest potential, with the most effective staff, to foster the knowledge, skills, and ethics required for responsible citizenship and productive careers.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The schools in the Department of Support Services envision a dynamic collaborative multicultural community, where education and lifelong learning are valued and supported, and all learners reach their highest potential to succeed in the global economy.

### School Leadership Team

### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name             | Title               |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Lawrence, Shelia | Assistant Principal |
| Brown, Erma      | Teacher, ESE        |
| Horne, Alma      | Other               |
| Keough, Lisa     | School Counselor    |
| Vlad, Judith     | Teacher, K-12       |

### **Duties**

Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

Principal provides a vision for department administrators utilizing problem-solving processes for identifying challenges and strategies.

- \*Assistant Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures the school-based team is implementing MTSS/RtI, conducts assessment of MTSS/RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS/RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based plans and activities.
- \*Select General Education Teachers: Provide information on core instruction, collect and analyze student data, deliver School-wide Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate materials and progress between Tier 1 and 2.
- \*Certified School Counselor: Provides quality support services and expertise on issues ranging from academic program to individual student intervention and assessment. Links community agencies to schools and families to support child's academic, emotional, behavioral and social success.
- \*Support Facilitators: support for students in the classroom and teachers planning for instruction of

students with exceptional needs in a non-isolating, least restrictive environment.

Due to the small size of the school and staff, there is an overlap in membership with the leadership team, MTSS/Rtl and School Advisory Council. The school collaborates/plans with the other schools in the DJJ/Youth Services group.

### **Early Warning Systems**

#### Year 2017-18

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       |   |   |   |   |   | ( | Grad | e Le | vel |    |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                       | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1    | 5    | 2   | 3  | 2  | 1  | 0  | 15    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1    | 13   | 4   | 9  | 3  | 2  | 0  | 32    |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1    | 8    | 3   | 14 | 5  | 4  | 0  | 35    |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10   | 8    | 9   | 10 | 4  | 0  | 0  | 43    |

# The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| lu di coto u                               |   |   |   |   |   | G | rac | le L | eve | I  |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                                  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1   | 11   | 3   | 12 | 4  | 3  | 0  | 35    |

### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | eve |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                           | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 2   | 7    | 1   | 4 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 16    |
| Retained Students: Previous Year(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 2   | 7    | 1   | 4 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 16    |

### Date this data was collected

Tuesday 9/25/2018

### Year 2016-17 - As Reported

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       |   |   |   |   |   | G | rade | e Le | evel |    |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                       | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3    | 2    | 1    | 3  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 11    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12   | 4    | 5    | 13 | 1  | 1  | 0  | 39    |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11   | 8    | 3    | 17 | 0  | 3  | 0  | 42    |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11   | 5    | 4    | 14 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 37    |

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                                  |   |   |   |   |   | G | rade | e Le | eve | I  |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                                  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12   | 5    | 5   | 15 | 0  | 2  | 0  | 42    |

### **Year 2016-17 - Updated**

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       |   |   |   |   |   | G | rade | e Le | evel |    |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                        | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3    | 2    | 1    | 3  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 11    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12   | 4    | 5    | 13 | 1  | 1  | 0  | 39    |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11   | 8    | 3    | 17 | 0  | 3  | 0  | 42    |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11   | 5    | 4    | 14 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 37    |

# The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                                  |   |   |   |   |   | G | rade | e Le | eve | I  |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                                  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12   | 5    | 5   | 15 | 0  | 2  | 0  | 42    |

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

### Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?

Due to the students enrollment in Highridge School being limited to three months, the students enrolled this year are different from the students that was enrolled in the school last year. We are focusing on attendance. Attendance is a problem for our students. Parents are referred to Highridge Family Center due to their lack of desire to attend school. Yes this is a trend. Student attendance will increase by 10% upon enrollment into Highridge Family Center.

### Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

Due to the students enrollment in Highridge School being limited to three months, the students enrolled this year are different from the students that was enrolled in the school last year. We will focus on school referrals.

Students are required to attend school and display a positive behavior once they enter the program. Students referrals will decrease by 20% upon enrollment into Highridge Family Center.

### Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?

Students are required to attend school and display a positive behavior once they enter the program. Students referrals will decrease by 20% upon enrollment into Highridge Family Center. Students are required to attend school and display a positive behavior once they enter the program. Students referrals will decrease by 20% upon enrollment into Highridge Family Center.

### Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

Due to the students enrollment in Highridge School being limited to three months, the students enrolled this year are different from the students that was enrolled in the school last year. The most improved component is behavior. Behavior during instructional hours will continue to declined 10%.

### Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.

Teachers at Highridge School have all been trained on trauma informed care. Teachers attend ongoing training on focusing on the positive behavior rather than the negative behavior of the students. Teachers counsel with with the students rather than discipline them for each infraction including the small infractions.

### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Crade Commonant      |        | 2018     |       |        | 2017     |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             | 0%     | 55%      | 60%   | 0%     | 44%      | 55%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 0%     | 56%      | 57%   | 0%     | 52%      | 54%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 0%     | 51%      | 52%   | 0%     | 49%      | 49%   |
| Math Achievement            | 0%     | 52%      | 61%   | 0%     | 43%      | 56%   |
| Math Learning Gains         | 0%     | 54%      | 58%   | 0%     | 47%      | 54%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 0%     | 49%      | 52%   | 0%     | 42%      | 48%   |
| Science Achievement         | 0%     | 49%      | 57%   | 0%     | 37%      | 52%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 0%     | 72%      | 77%   | 0%     | 66%      | 72%   |

| EWS Ind                         | icato | rs as | s Inpu | ut Earlie | er in th | ne Su | ırvey    |       |       |       |         |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Indicator                       |       |       | Gra    | ade Lev   | el (pric | r yea | r report | ed)   |       |       | Total   |
| indicator                       | 3     | 4     | 5      | 6         | 7        | 8     | 9        | 10    | 11    | 12    | Total   |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0 (0) | 1 (0) | 0 (1)  | 1 (3)     | 5 (2)    | 2 (1) | 3 (3)    | 2 (1) | 1 (0) | 0 (0) | 15 (11) |
| One or more suspensions         | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (3)  | 1 (12)    | 13 (4)   | 4 (5) | 9 (13)   | 3 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) | 32 (39) |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0)  | 1 (11)    | 8 (8)    | 3 (3) | 14 (17)  | 5 (0) | 4 (3) | 0 (0) | 35 (42) |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 (0) | 1 (0) | 1 (2)  | 10 (11)   | 8 (5)    | 9 (4) | 10 (14)  | 4 (0) | 0 (1) | 0 (0) | 43 (37) |

### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|                   | ELA  |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Grade             | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |
| 03                | 2018 |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |
|                   | 2017 |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |      |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |
| 04                | 2018 | 0%     | 58%      | -58%                              | 56%   | -56%                           |  |  |
|                   | 2017 | 0%     | 57%      | -57%                              | 56%   | -56%                           |  |  |

### Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

|              |                       |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade        | Year                  | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| Same Grade   | Comparison            | 0%     |          |                                   | '     |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | nparison              | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 05           | 2018                  | 0%     | 59%      | -59%                              | 55%   | -55%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 52%      | -52%                              | 53%   | -53%                           |
| Same Grade ( | Comparison            | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | nparison              | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 06           | 2018                  | 0%     | 53%      | -53%                              | 52%   | -52%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 54%      | -54%                              | 52%   | -52%                           |
| Same Grade ( | Comparison            | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | mparison              | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07           | 2018                  | 25%    | 54%      | -29%                              | 51%   | -26%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 55%      | -55%                              | 52%   | -52%                           |
| Same Grade ( | Comparison            | 25%    |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | mparison              | 25%    |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08           | 2018                  | 0%     | 60%      | -60%                              | 58%   | -58%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 56%      | -56%                              | 55%   | -55%                           |
| Same Grade ( | Comparison            | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | mparison              | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 09           | 2018                  | 10%    | 56%      | -46%                              | 53%   | -43%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 9%     | 54%      | -45%                              | 52%   | -43%                           |
| Same Grade ( | Same Grade Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | nparison              | 10%    |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 10           | 2018                  | 0%     | 55%      | -55%                              | 53%   | -53%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 51%      | -51%                              | 50%   | -50%                           |
| Same Grade   | Comparison            | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Cor   | nparison              | -9%    |          |                                   |       |                                |

|                   | MATH       |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
|-------------------|------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|
| Grade             | Year       | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |
| 03                | 2018       |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
|                   | 2017       |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
| Cohort Cor        | mparison   |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
| 04                | 2018       |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
|                   | 2017       | 0%     | 64%      | -64%                              | 64%   | -64%                           |  |
| Cohort Cor        | mparison   | 0%     |          |                                   | •     |                                |  |
| 05                | 2018       |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
|                   | 2017       | 0%     | 61%      | -61%                              | 57%   | -57%                           |  |
| Cohort Cor        | mparison   | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
| 06                | 2018       | 0%     | 56%      | -56%                              | 52%   | -52%                           |  |
|                   | 2017       | 0%     | 55%      | -55%                              | 51%   | -51%                           |  |
| Same Grade        | Comparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
| Cohort Comparison |            | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |  |
| 07                | 2018       | 29%    | 39%      | -10%                              | 54%   | -25%                           |  |
|                   | 2017       | 0%     | 38%      | -38%                              | 53%   | -53%                           |  |

## Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

|              |                       |        | MATH     |                                   |       |                                |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade        | Year                  | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| Same Grade C | Same Grade Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Com   | Cohort Comparison     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08           | 2018                  | 0%     | 65%      | -65%                              | 45%   | -45%                           |
|              | 2017                  | 0%     | 63%      | -63%                              | 46%   | -46%                           |
| Same Grade C | Same Grade Comparison |        |          |                                   | •     |                                |
| Cohort Com   | parison               | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |

|            | SCIENCE           |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade      | Year              | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |
| 05         | 2018              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
|            | 2017              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
| Cohort Com | Cohort Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
| 08         | 2018              | 0%     | 54%      | -54%                              | 50%   | -50%                           |  |  |  |
|            | 2017              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
| Cohort Com | nparison          | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |

|      |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2018 | 0%     | 67%      | -67%                        | 65%   | -65%                     |
| 2017 | 0%     | 66%      | -66%                        | 63%   | -63%                     |
| Co   | ompare | 0%       |                             |       |                          |
|      | ·      | CIVIC    | S EOC                       |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2018 | 36%    | 72%      | -36% 71%                    |       | -35%                     |
| 2017 | 0%     | 73%      | -73%                        | 69%   | -69%                     |
| Co   | ompare | 36%      |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2018 | 0%     | 68%      | -68%                        | 68%   | -68%                     |
| 2017 | 0%     | 68%      | -68%                        | 67%   | -67%                     |
| Co   | ompare | 0%       |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | ALGEB    | RA EOC                      |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2018 | 0%     | 62%      | -62%                        | 62%   | -62%                     |
| 2017 | 0%     | 59%      | -59%                        | 60%   | -60%                     |
| Co   | ompare | 0%       |                             |       |                          |

# Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

|      | GEOMETRY EOC |          |                             |       |                          |  |  |  |
|------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Year | School       | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 0%           | 57%      | -57%                        | 56%   | -56%                     |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 0%           | 55%      | -55%                        | 53%   | -53%                     |  |  |  |
| С    | ompare       | 0%       |                             |       | _                        |  |  |  |

### Subgroup Data

|           | 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
|           |   | 2017      | SCHOO             | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2015-16 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2015-16 |

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

### Areas of Focus:

| Activity #1           |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Title                 | To ensure student achievement in alignment to the District's Strategic Plan; LTO #2, High School readiness and LTO #3, High School graduation rate.   |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale             | Since our school center is an Alternative Educational environment, we work very closely with supporting our students in wanting to learn and changing their mindsets towards a growth mindset.  |  |  |  |  |
| Intended<br>Outcome   | Our intended outcome is to motivate our students to want to learn and build self-esteem and supporting our student's academic progress. Our goal is to reduce the number of behavior referrals by 10%.  |  |  |  |  |
| Point<br>Person       | Shelia Lawrence (shelia.harvey-lawrence@palmbeachschools.org)   |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step           |   |  |  |  |  |
| Description           | Pillars of Effective Instruction: Students are immersed in rigorous task encompassing the full intent of the Florida State Standards and content required by Florida State Statute 1003.42 continuing to develop a single school culture and appreciation of multicultural diversity in alignment to S.B. 2.09Student of the Week -Highridge Bucks -Group Counseling -Therapy |  |  |  |  |
| Person<br>Responsible | Lisa Keough (lisa.keough@palmbeachschools.org)  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan to Monito        | or Effectiveness  |  |  |  |  |
| Description           | Ongoing monitoring by the leadership team to review Coaching support, Professional Development and data. The administrator will meet with the Reading and Math teachers to review PD and student data.  |  |  |  |  |
| Person<br>Responsible | Shelia Lawrence (shelia.harvey-lawrence@palmbeachschools.org)   |  |  |  |  |

### Part IV: Title I Requirements

### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

All parents will participate in orientation when students enroll and in weekly parenting sessions at the Family Center. Parents will receive progress reports midway during the nine-weeks. Reports are shared with therapists and parent during the weekly family sessions conducted by residential staff.

### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

# Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The School Counselor works with the therapeutic staff of the collaborative partner to address student concerns. Through the collaborative agreement, the partnering agency provides weekly therapeutic sessions addressing student needs as well as weekly group sessions.

The PS/SBT meets weekly to discuss students with barriers to academic and social success.

The school counselor meets with each students within 10 days of entry to determine any additional needs. Teachers report students who are observed to have additional needs to the school counselor who follows up with the student and/or therapeutic counselor.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

All members of the school staff participate in collaborative learning communities that meet both informally and formally on a regular schedule. Collaboration occurs across grade levels, content areas, and feeder schools. Staff members implement a formal process that promotes productive discussion about student learning. School personnel can clearly link collaboration to improvement results in instructional practice and student performance.

Students enter at various times during the year and remain in the Youth Services school for 3 months. Upon entry students participate in an orientation and career information session. The school counselor meets with students within two weeks of students enrolling to go over individual needs and questions.

Upon completion of the program, the school counselor meets with the students. The counselor shares information with the home school counselor relating to the student's success in the program, interventions that worked with the student and recommendations/suggestions for the students upon return to the home school.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

In alignment, to school board 2.09 and Florida State Statue 1003.42 our school highlights multicultural diversity within the arts. Our students participate in activities and studies including: utilizing several methods to build relationships between cultures. African American studies, Holocaust studies and Latin America studies are offered as needed. The school also invites guest speakers with personal experiences involving intolerance to speak to the student body. Professional development is offered to teachers to help them build strong relationships with all students. Teachers are asked to incorporate their students' cultural backgrounds into lessons with the intent of creating sensitive classes that foster respect for all cultures.

Step 1: Identify the Problem by determining the difference between what is and what should be happening. Use EDW reports comparing school to district and state. Use Performance Matters reports to monitor student progress on diagnostics and mini-assessments. In teacher groups:

- a. Analyze school demographic/academic/profile data for the purpose of problem analysis and generating a working hypothesis.
- b. analyze school-wide and grade-level data on academic performance to select academic goals

# Palm Beach - 3024 - Highridge Family Center - 2018-19 SIP Highridge Family Center

- c. analyze disaggregated data to identify groups in need of intervention
- d. Assess school staff's skill development
- Step 2: Analyze the problem by brainstorming in different groups why the problem is happening. Use PLC meetings to eliminate hypotheses that are not relevant, Identify barriers to success and action plan on how to overcome.
- Step 3: Design intervention and implementation by developing action plan for strategies, with monitoring of implementation with fidelity and progress on a schedule.
- Step 4: Administrators and teachers determine if the Response to Instruction/Intervention is working by analyzing student data (progress monitoring) and go back to step 1 and 2 if not.

The school receives Title I, Part D funds as part of the DJJ/Youth Services group in the Support Services department. Student data is reviewed from the previous year during the summer and a team identifies needs through the problem-solving process. Information is shared with School Advisory Council and teachers. Tutoring, resource teachers, and instructional materials to aid instruction are primary areas of use of these funds.

Due to the small size of the school (less than 60), the needs of individual students are provided for by district department through the intervention of the certified school counselor.

School improvement funds are used to provide organizational materials for the students such as paper, pencils and pens and pouches. Funds are sufficient to cover the number of students who enroll throughout the year.

Administrative Staff will work with the Director to identify needs of students and teachers at each school site, not supported by regular district funding. Supplemental funding is used for materials, training and workshops, and tutorials, to name a few.

Our school integrates Single School Culture by sharing our Universal Guideline for Success, following our Behavioral Matrix, teaching Expected Behaviors, and communicating with parents. We update our action plans during PLC Meetings. We instill an appreciation for multicultural diversity through our antibullying campaign, structured lessons, and implementation of SwPBS program.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

During weekly student orientation the Graduation/Career Coach meets with students to present information about careers and College Goal Planning. The school counselor meets with students to review progress and discuss career interests and complete My Career Shines planning tools if the student has not already done so.

Students participate in the PSAT and SAT school day test administration which allows the opportunity for student to take the SAT in a known environment and removing barriers to not participating in Saturday testing.

The College Success Program which provides First Generation Mentors who are themselves first generation college goers to work with high school students who are potential first generation college goers using the Believing the College Dream (middle school and Realizing the college Dream (High school).

| Part V: Budget |         |  |  |  |
|----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Total:         | \$70.00 |  |  |  |