

Sarasota County Schools

Bay Haven School Of Basics Plus



2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	3
School Information	4
Needs Assessment	6
Planning for Improvement	9
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	10

Bay Haven School Of Basics Plus

2901 W TAMIAMI CIR, Sarasota, FL 34234

www.sarasotacountyschools.net/bayhaven

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2017-18 Title I School	2017-18 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School KG-5	No	43%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	45%

School Grades History

Year	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15
Grade	B	A	A	A*

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Sarasota County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Bay Haven School of Basics Plus provides a highly structured environment through the collaboration of the staff, students, and parents. High expectations are maintained through written contract commitments.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The Bay Haven School of Basics Plus is based on the premise that all students can grow academically, physically, and emotionally in a highly structured environment.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title
Erickson, Chad	Principal
Brusoe, Erica	Assistant Principal
Taylor, Robert	Teacher, K-12
Wedebrook, Mary	Teacher, K-12
Lyons, Sheila	Teacher, K-12
Mickley, Sarah	Teacher, K-12
Germanio, Melissa	Teacher, K-12
Hayes, Laurie	Teacher, K-12
Hanson, Rolf	Teacher, K-12
Fehr, Farnaz	Administrative Support

Duties

Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

The School Leadership Team is responsible for making decision and/or providing input on school topics including grading procedures, school routines and and other tasks that impact the school as a whole. At times this team will make decisions by consensus and at times this team will provide input for administration to consider when making decisions.

Early Warning Systems

Year 2017-18

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	7	7	7	9	15	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
One or more suspensions	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	2	3	4	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	4	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Retained Students: Previous Year(s)	1	3	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13

Date this data was collected

Friday 10/19/2018

Year 2016-17 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	4	10	4	8	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
One or more suspensions	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	5	10	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Year 2016-17 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Attendance below 90 percent	4	10	4	8	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
One or more suspensions	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	5	10	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?

The percentage of students making learning gains in the ELA bottom quartile is the lowest of all areas. Looking closer, black students and students with disabilities within this group are making the least gains of all. The data connected to our fourth grade students shows the greatest level of need in all indicators. This group showed the greatest number of absences, course failures and students with two or more indicators.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

The performance of our bottom quartile students in ELA experienced the greatest decline from 46% percent proficient to 30% proficient.

Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?

Bay Haven's greatest area of need, as compared to the state average, is ELA Learning Gains for our bottom 25%. The state proficient percentage is 48% as compared to Bay Haven's 30%. Our greatest area of success is in the area of ELA proficiency. The state average is 56%, while 77% of Bay Haven students are proficient.

Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

The performance of our 5th grade students in Science improved from 67% to 72% proficient. No, this is not a trend since the previous year indicated a drop in proficiency by one percentage point.

Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.

During SY 1718 our staff placed a greater focus on Science K-5. This included site based Science professional development, as well as vertical discussions among teachers at all grade levels so they understood the level of rigor demanded by the FSSA, as well as the standards that did not spiral and must be covered in grades K-4. The 5th grade team additionally participated in the district-based

Science benchmark assessments, which provided data after each window that was used to guide instruction and target students and standards that needed support.

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2018			2017		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	77%	66%	56%	70%	65%	52%
ELA Learning Gains	56%	57%	55%	55%	54%	52%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	30%	46%	48%	50%	49%	46%
Math Achievement	78%	72%	62%	83%	70%	58%
Math Learning Gains	64%	63%	59%	70%	66%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	50%	51%	47%	60%	50%	46%
Science Achievement	72%	66%	55%	68%	64%	51%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	
Attendance below 90 percent	7 (4)	7 (10)	7 (4)	9 (8)	15 (4)	8 (6)	53 (36)
One or more suspensions	1 (0)	0 (1)	1 (2)	2 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	5 (3)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	2 (0)	3 (5)	4 (1)	17 (1)	7 (1)	33 (8)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (5)	17 (10)	7 (12)	28 (27)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2018	92%	68%	24%	57%	35%
	2017	84%	71%	13%	58%	26%
Same Grade Comparison		8%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2018	66%	67%	-1%	56%	10%
	2017	74%	69%	5%	56%	18%
Same Grade Comparison		-8%				
Cohort Comparison		-18%				
05	2018	71%	66%	5%	55%	16%
	2017	71%	66%	5%	53%	18%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Comparison		-3%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2018	79%	72%	7%	62%	17%
	2017	81%	71%	10%	62%	19%
Same Grade Comparison		-2%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2018	71%	71%	0%	62%	9%
	2017	81%	73%	8%	64%	17%
Same Grade Comparison		-10%				
Cohort Comparison		-10%				
05	2018	84%	72%	12%	61%	23%
	2017	73%	70%	3%	57%	16%
Same Grade Comparison		11%				
Cohort Comparison		3%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2018	72%	67%	5%	55%	17%
	2017					
Cohort Comparison						

Subgroup Data

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	52	42	25	47	43	33	17				
ELL	73			45							
BLK	62	40	19	50	43	35	55				
HSP	76	61	60	82	79		69				
MUL	69			85							
WHT	82	61	26	84	67	57	75				
FRL	67	48	29	67	54	47	57				

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	38	35	28	35	58	56	8				
BLK	55	58	56	55	55	50	21				
HSP	76	80		78	69		75				
MUL	64	64		79	64						
WHT	84	63	44	86	69	71	83				
FRL	68	60	52	70	61	57	56				

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

Areas of Focus:

Activity #1

Title Lowest Quartile students will make learning gains in FSA Mathematics

Rationale Assessment data showed a significant decrease in percent of students proficient in the lowest quartile (62% to 50%).

Intended Outcome Through implementing the Action Steps, this student group will make significant gains (increase by 5%) in Math.

Point Person Chad Erickson (chad.erickson@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Action Step

Description *Increase time amount and frequency of Math with Jane Weichmann, our interventionist, who serves our 3rd, 4th and 5th grade students.
 *Solidify ESE pull-out and push-in Math service delivery program utilizing the i-Ready toolbox

Person Responsible Chad Erickson (chad.erickson@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

Description Hold quarterly grade level and individual data meetings focusing on the needs of our lowest achieving 25%. During these data meetings, pay special attention to our lowest performing student groups (black students and students with disabilities). The support team will collaborate with homeroom teachers to provide additional resources or support strategies to address academic and/or social/emotional needs.

Person Responsible Erica Brusoe (erica.brusoe@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #2

Title Lowest Quartile students will make learning gains in FSA ELA
Rationale Assessment data showed a significant drop in proficiency (-16%) for students in this group.
Intended Outcome By implementing the Action Steps, students working in the lowest ELA quartile will make a twenty percentage point gain in proficiency.
Point Person Chad Erickson (chad.erickson@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Action Step

Description * Increase time amount and frequency of ELA intervention with Jane Weichmann, our interventionist, who seves 3rd, 4th and 5th grade students
 *Solidify ESE pull-out and push-in ELA service delivery program utilizing the i-Ready toolbox and cold read results
 *Participate in ELA professional development provided by the district and on-site leaders
 *Increase before and after school ELA tutoring for students who earned a 1, 2, or low 3
 Increase the use of common formative and summative ELA assessments in all grade levels

Person Responsible Chad Erickson (chad.erickson@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

Description Hold quarterly individual teacher and team level data meetings with a focus on students in the bottom quartile. These meetings will review common data, identify areas of need and implement/follow up on support structures. During these data meetings, pay special attention to our lowest performing student groups (black students and students with disabilities). The support team will collaborate with homeroom teachers to provide additional resources or support strategies to address academic and/or social/emotional needs.

Person Responsible Erica Brusoe (erica.brusoe@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Part V: Budget

Total:	\$15,839.04
---------------	--------------------