

Escambia County School District

# Bellview Middle School



## 2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

|                                       |           |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b> | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>School Information</b>             | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Needs Assessment</b>               | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>Planning for Improvement</b>       | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>Title I Requirements</b>           | <b>17</b> |
| <b>Budget to Support Goals</b>        | <b>18</b> |

**Bellview Middle School**

6201 MOBILE HWY, Pensacola, FL 32526

www.escambiaschools.org

**School Demographics**

| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File) | 2017-18 Title I School | 2017-18 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3) |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Middle School<br>6-8                             | Yes                    | 100%   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)          | Charter School         | 2018-19 Minority Rate<br>(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)               |
| K-12 General Education                           | No                     | 76%  |

**School Grades History**

| Year  | 2017-18 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | D       | C       | D       | D*      |

**School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

**SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

**Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Bellview Middle School is a diverse and inclusive school community in which all staff is committed to academic and behavioral excellence. Therefore, Bellview Middle School respects the individual needs of children; fosters a caring, safe and creative environment; and emphasizes the social, emotional, physical, intellectual development of each child.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Bellview Middle School is to provide a caring and stimulating environment where children will be able to recognize and achieve their fullest potential.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name               | Title                     |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Adams, Melia       | Principal                 |
| Boulanger, Tyvanna | Instructional Coach       |
| Robbins, Jan       | School Counselor          |
| Gibson, Katie      | Teacher, K-12             |
| Palasciano, Tara   | Assistant Principal       |
| Hall, Jan          | Teacher, ESE              |
| Thompson, Carla    | School Counselor          |
| Ewing, David       | Teacher, K-12             |
| Simmons, Theresa   | Teacher, Career/Technical |

#### Duties

##### Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

Bellview Middle School's leadership team members are committed to school wide change, are respected by colleagues and have respect for colleagues and are able to look at the entire school culture from a "mountain" kind of view. Roles and responsibilities of the school leadership team will include serving as stewards of the school's mission, vision and commitments, monitor achievement, climate and satisfaction data to assure that all learning environments are consistent with our improvement goals, identify gaps in processes and plans for how we will improve school wide, build the capacity of Bellview Middle to address parent and staff concerns. Members are grade-level and content-area staff.

### Early Warning Systems

Year 2017-18

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |   |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                 | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16  | 21  | 18  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 55    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81  | 126 | 96  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 303   |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68  | 32  | 36  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 136   |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 202 | 173 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 591   |

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                                  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |    |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|  | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 108 | 93 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 305   |

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2  | 11 | 6  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 19    |
| Retained Students: Previous Year(s) | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 52    |

**Date this data was collected**

Wednesday 8/22/2018

**Year 2016-17 - As Reported****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |   |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                 | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57  | 56  | 52  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 165   |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39  | 53  | 52  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 144   |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32  | 32  | 39  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 103   |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 154 | 132 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 441   |

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                                  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|  | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 77 | 65 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 202   |

**Year 2016-17 - Updated****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |   |    |    |    |     | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|
|                                 | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |     |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57  | 56  | 52  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 165 |       |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39  | 53  | 52  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 144 |       |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32  | 32  | 39  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 103 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 154 | 132 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 441 |       |

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                                  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    |    |    |     | Total |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|
|  | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |     |       |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 77 | 65 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 202 |       |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

**Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?**

Math data showed the 6th, 7th and 8th grade levels performed the lowest school wide specifically at the 6th grade level. This has been a trend.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?**

Civics EOC data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year at 11%.

**Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?**

Civics EOC data component had the biggest gap of 37% when compared to the state average.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?**

ELA data component at the 8th grade level showed the most improvement. This is a trend.

**Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.**

Targeted professional development in writing and close reading strategies along with required monthly reading logs and lesson plans were submitted to and reviewed by the instructional coach and assistant principal who both have strong backgrounds in literacy strategies which led to the improvement in this area at the 8th grade level.

### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | 2018   |          |       | 2017   |          |       |
|------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                        | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement        | 30%    | 46%      | 53%   | 26%    | 45%      | 52%   |

| School Grade Component      | 2018   |          |       | 2017   |          |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                             | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 44%    | 48%      | 54%   | 36%    | 47%      | 53%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 46%    | 44%      | 47%   | 37%    | 41%      | 45%   |
| Math Achievement            | 24%    | 44%      | 58%   | 26%    | 46%      | 55%   |
| Math Learning Gains         | 36%    | 47%      | 57%   | 34%    | 46%      | 55%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 42%    | 44%      | 51%   | 33%    | 41%      | 47%   |
| Science Achievement         | 39%    | 48%      | 52%   | 25%    | 45%      | 50%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 33%    | 55%      | 72%   | 28%    | 54%      | 67%   |

### EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator                       | Grade Level (prior year reported) |           |           | Total     |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                 | 6                                 | 7         | 8         |           |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 16 (57)                           | 21 (56)   | 18 (52)   | 55 (165)  |
| One or more suspensions         | 81 (39)                           | 126 (53)  | 96 (52)   | 303 (144) |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 68 (32)                           | 32 (32)   | 36 (39)   | 136 (103) |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 216 (155)                         | 202 (154) | 173 (132) | 591 (441) |

### Grade Level Data

**NOTE:** This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA                   |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade                 | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06                    | 2018 | 20%    | 40%      | -20%                       | 52%   | -32%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 27%    | 43%      | -16%                       | 52%   | -25%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | -7%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| 07                    | 2018 | 24%    | 41%      | -17%                       | 51%   | -27%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 27%    | 40%      | -13%                       | 52%   | -25%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | -3%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      | -3%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| 08                    | 2018 | 45%    | 51%      | -6%                        | 58%   | -13%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 30%    | 47%      | -17%                       | 55%   | -25%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | 15%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      | 18%    |          |                            |       |                         |

| MATH                  |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade                 | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06                    | 2018 | 14%    | 36%      | -22%                       | 52%   | -38%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 16%    | 36%      | -20%                       | 51%   | -35%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | -2%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |

| MATH                  |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade                 | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 07                    | 2018 | 27%    | 45%      | -18%                       | 54%   | -27%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 30%    | 44%      | -14%                       | 53%   | -23%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | -3%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      | 11%    |          |                            |       |                         |
| 08                    | 2018 | 15%    | 24%      | -9%                        | 45%   | -30%                    |
|                       | 2017 | 14%    | 25%      | -11%                       | 46%   | -32%                    |
| Same Grade Comparison |      | 1%     |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison     |      | -15%   |          |                            |       |                         |

| SCIENCE           |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade             | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 08                | 2018 | 36%    | 45%      | -9%                        | 50%   | -14%                    |
|                   | 2017 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |

| BIOLOGY EOC |        |          |                       |       |                    |
|-------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Year        | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2018        |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| 2017        |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| CIVICS EOC  |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| Year        | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2018        | 32%    | 51%      | -19%                  | 71%   | -39%               |
| 2017        | 44%    | 53%      | -9%                   | 69%   | -25%               |
| Compare     |        | -12%     |                       |       |                    |
| HISTORY EOC |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| Year        | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2018        |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| 2017        |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| ALGEBRA EOC |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| Year        | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2018        | 56%    | 51%      | 5%                    | 62%   | -6%                |
| 2017        | 72%    | 51%      | 21%                   | 60%   | 12%                |
| Compare     |        | -16%     |                       |       |                    |



| GEOMETRY EOC |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|--------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year         | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2018         |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2017         |        |          |                             |       |                          |

## Subgroup Data

| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |             |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
| SWD                                       | 18          | 44        | 46                | 16           | 37         | 39                 | 28          | 22         |              |                         |                           |
| ELL                                       | 12          | 52        | 47                | 22           | 49         | 53                 | 15          | 10         |              |                         |                           |
| ASN                                       | 50          | 60        |                   | 65           | 69         |                    |             |            | 83           |                         |                           |
| BLK                                       | 23          | 40        | 45                | 17           | 31         | 40                 | 23          | 26         | 62           |                         |                           |
| HSP                                       | 31          | 43        | 33                | 25           | 38         | 43                 | 40          | 43         |              |                         |                           |
| MUL                                       | 32          | 38        |                   | 25           | 32         |                    | 50          | 27         | 79           |                         |                           |
| WHT                                       | 41          | 52        | 57                | 34           | 43         | 43                 | 59          | 45         | 66           |                         |                           |
| FRL                                       | 27          | 42        | 47                | 22           | 33         | 40                 | 36          | 32         | 46           |                         |                           |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |             |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2015-16 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2015-16 |
| SWD                                       | 6           | 30        | 33                | 11           | 41         | 39                 | 6           | 24         |              |                         |                           |
| ELL                                       | 18          | 29        | 23                | 23           | 25         |                    |             | 18         |              |                         |                           |
| ASN                                       | 65          | 67        |                   | 72           | 58         |                    |             | 82         | 100          |                         |                           |
| BLK                                       | 19          | 43        | 44                | 14           | 37         | 39                 | 12          | 33         | 82           |                         |                           |
| HSP                                       | 43          | 47        | 38                | 26           | 29         | 13                 |             | 53         | 100          |                         |                           |
| MUL                                       | 39          | 51        |                   | 27           | 32         |                    | 38          | 67         | 78           |                         |                           |
| WHT                                       | 36          | 45        | 59                | 38           | 44         | 44                 | 45          | 53         | 67           |                         |                           |
| FRL                                       | 26          | 44        | 46                | 22           | 38         | 37                 | 22          | 37         | 52           |                         |                           |

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

## Areas of Focus:

| Activity #1                   |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>                  | ELA proficiency  |
| <b>Rationale</b>              | Bellview Middle School is below the state average in ELA proficiency. We did increase by 1% in proficiency compared to the 2017 data however, we must accelerate our proficiency increase in efforts to close the achievement gap. ELA lowest 25th percentile was 1% below state average, but 2% above district average. We must ensure that teacher and student engagement is highly interactive and rigorous materials are available as well as provide various opportunities for remediation and enrichment in all ELA instructional environments. Remediation will target students who have been identified through academic data. All ELA teachers must feel confident and supported in the materials they are using and follow with fidelity.  |
| <b>Intended Outcome</b>       | FSA ELA 2019 overall proficiency will increase by 10% at Bellview Middle School.   |
| <b>Point Person</b>           | Tara Palasciano (tpalasciano@ecsdfi.us)  |
| Action Step                   |  |
| <b>Description</b>            | <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Identify teachers whose STAR AP1 data reflects less than 41% of their students are proficient. Collaborate with teachers to focus instruction and engagement for targeted interventions for those students early on. Provide professional development training, modeling and support with the help of the ELA department.</li><li>2. Provide professional development in the following areas that will be monitored through class walk through's: The Six Traits of Writing and Step Up to Writing across all subject area contents, student engagement and collaboration, small group center based instructions, close reading and complex text, vocabulary instruction, fluency instruction.</li><li>3. Professional development will be provided in iReady and Thinking Maps. Solution Tree will provide PD in PLC's to support effective collaboration, student achievement, closing the achievement gap in subgroups and continuous improvement with additional coaching days with leadership and staff throughout the school year.</li><li>4. Implement iReady in all ELA instructional environments. Review assessment data every two weeks and hold data meetings to identify students in need of remediation and strategies.</li><li>5. Provide 6th - 8th grade classroom libraries with current Sunshine State Young Readers Award books that are engaging and rigorous to include more non-fiction.</li><li>6. Provide remediation materials for center based rotations and small group instruction.</li><li>7. After school tutoring for 6th -8th grade students.</li><li>8. Substitute teachers to provide small group instruction within the ELA classrooms during school day</li></ol> |
| <b>Person Responsible</b>     | Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)   |
| Plan to Monitor Effectiveness |  |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Description</b>        | Review and track STAR data, iReady data and LANGUAGE! Live data. Weekly classroom walk through will focus on strategies and components of the ELA curriculum and professional development continued support. |
| <b>Person Responsible</b> | Tara Palasciano (tpalasciano@ecsdfi.us)  |

| Activity #2             |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>            | Math proficiency   |
| <b>Rationale</b>        | Bellview Middle School is below the state average in math proficiency. We dropped by 1% in proficiency compared to the 2017 data. We must ensure that teacher and student engagement is highly interactive and rigorous materials are available as well as provide various opportunities for remediation and enrichment in all math instructional environments. Math remediation will target students who have been identified through academic data. All math teachers must feel confident and supported in the materials they are using and follow with fidelity.  |
| <b>Intended Outcome</b> | FSA Math 2019 overall proficiency will increase by 10% at Bellview Middle School.  |
| <b>Point Person</b>     | Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)   |
| <b>Action Step</b>      |  |
| <b>Description</b>      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify teachers whose STAR AP1 data reflects less than 41% of their students are proficient. Collaborate with teachers to focus instruction and engagement for targeted interventions for those students early on. Provide professional development training, modeling and support.</li> <li>2. Provide professional development through the math department focused on the shifts of the standards and the implementation of instructional practices to allow students to learn the content of the standard.</li> <li>3. Provide professional development focused on the TQE (Task, Questioning, Evidence) process to be implemented in the math time block. Math teachers will be provided the book Making Sense of Mathematics for Teaching to support the growth of the teacher in providing tasks to students for standard understanding.</li> <li>4. Provide professional development in iReady and Thinking Maps. Solution Tree will provide PD in PLC's to support effective collaboration, student achievement, closing the achievement gaps in subgroups and continuous improvement with additional coaching days with leadership and staff throughout the school year.</li> <li>5. Provide substitute teachers for days to plan, model, practice the standard(s) and aspect of rigor prior to returning to the classroom to implement.</li> <li>6. Conduct class walk through's to calibrate the lens of math expectations and to support the instructional environment.</li> <li>7. Implement iReady in all math instructional environments. Review assessment data every two weeks and hold data meetings to identify students in need of remediation and strategies.</li> <li>8. Provide 6th - 8th grade math manipulative's that were suggested by the math department to increase student engagement and involvement in math.</li> <li>9. Provide remediation materials for center based rotations and small group instruction.</li> <li>10. After school tutoring for 6th -8th grade students.</li> </ol> |

11. Substitute teachers to provide small group instruction within the math classrooms during school day.

**Person Responsible** Melia Adams (madams@ecsdf1.us)

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

**Description** Review and track STAR, iReady data and other assessments. Provide weekly classroom walk through's to focus on center based instruction and targeted goals.

**Person Responsible** Melia Adams (madams@ecsdf1.us)

| Activity #3             |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>            | Civics proficiency  |
| <b>Rationale</b>        | Bellview Middle School is below the state average in Civics achievement. We dropped 12% when compared to Civics achievement 2017. We must ensure that teacher and student engagement is highly interactive and rigorous materials are available as well as provide opportunities for remediation and enrichment in Civics instructional environments. Remediation will target students who have been identified through academic and assessment data.   |
| <b>Intended Outcome</b> | Social Studies achievement will increase by 10% at Bellview Middle School.  |
| <b>Point Person</b>     | Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)  |
| <b>Action Step</b>      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify teachers whose School Net data reflects less than 41% of their students are proficient. Collaborate with teachers to focus instruction and engagement for targeted interventions for those students early on. Provide professional development training, modeling and support.</li> <li>2. Utilize Curriculum Frameworks with Instructional Materials and Supplemental Resources linked in the CORE LMS based on course calendar.</li> <li>3. Use 30 minute pull out time once a week to build foundational knowledge in Civics prior to teaching the content by using: The Gateway to American Government workbook in combination with Civics 360 website with module guides.</li> <li>4. Use text aligned with Civics Benchmarks in cross curriculum reading to build disciplinary literacy.</li> <li>5. Utilize District Civics probes according to the curriculum framework calendar to inform instruction and the 9 weeks exams to track progress. Schedule pd days to review data and plan for new instruction for remediation. These can be whole or half days with curriculum specialist.</li> <li>6. 6th grade US History will participate in the Scale-up PACT study for U.S. History grant to improve content based reading comprehension.</li> <li>7. Utilize History Labs lessons to build foundation of reading/writing in content area using primary sources.</li> <li>8. Use Document based questions either by DBQ project or Stanford History Education Group and alternative writing assessments to build reading and writing in the content area at the 8th grade level.</li> <li>9. Participate in the History Fair program building on research, writing, speaking and listening skills.</li> <li>10. Provide professional development in Thinking Maps and implement Thinking Map strategies, The Six Traits of Writing, review assessment data followed by data chats with students, identify students in need of remediation and strategies. Solution Tree will provide PD in PLC's to support effective collaboration, student achievement and continuous</li> </ol> |
| <b>Description</b>      |   |

improvement with additional coaching days with leadership and staff throughout the school year.

11. Provide 7th grade classroom libraries with US constitutions and other documents needed to support standards.

**Person Responsible** Tyvanna Boulanger (tboulanger@ecsdfi.us)

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

**Description** Review School Net data and other formative assessments created for Civics. Weekly classroom walk through's will focus on center based instruction for small groups, how resources are implemented and used with fidelity to include DBQ's, research and writing.

**Person Responsible** Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)

| Activity #4                          |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>                         | Science proficiency  |
| <b>Rationale</b>                     | Bellview Middle School is below the state average in Science achievement by 13%. We did increase by 11% when comparing data from 2017; however, we must accelerate increase in efforts to close the achievement gap. We must ensure that all science teachers and student engagement is highly interactive and rigorous materials are available as well as provide various opportunities for remediation and enrichment in all Science learning environments. Remediation will target students who have been identified through academic data.   |
| <b>Intended Outcome</b>              | Science 2019 overall achievement will increase by 10% at Bellview Middle School.   |
| <b>Point Person</b>                  | Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)   |
| <b>Action Step</b>                   |  |
|                                      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify teachers whose STAR AP1 data reflects less than 41% of their students are proficient. Collaborate with science teachers to focus instruction and engagement for targeted interventions for those students early on.</li> <li>2. Solution Tree will provide PD in PLC's to support effective collaboration, student achievement and continuous improvement with additional coaching days with leadership and staff throughout the school year.</li> <li>3. Science Department Leads and teachers will hold regularly schedule meetings and review student achievement data to ensure that the science curriculum and instruction is aligned and focused on areas of need.</li> <li>4. Review the Sunshine State Science Standards for SSA for 8th grade.</li> </ol>  |
| <b>Description</b>                   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Science teacher PLC's will meet to discuss progress monitoring and formative assessment data.</li> <li>6. Science teachers will utilize text resources in CPALMS aligned to Next Generation Sunshine State Standards for science with the text complexity resources that will provide information text, text dependent questions, text complexity analysis and qualitative measure rubrics.</li> <li>7. Science teachers will utilize scientific probes and supplies/equipment to provide and enhance hands on visuals and experience as well as data collection experience to enhance science lab instruction.</li> <li>9. The Science specialist will meet with teachers to work on standards based planning and conduct walk through's in support to insure strategies and instruction is implemented with fidelity.</li> </ol> |
| <b>Person Responsible</b>            | Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)   |
| <b>Plan to Monitor Effectiveness</b> |  |
| <b>Description</b>                   | Weekly walk through's will focus on instruction and science strategies. Data chats with teaches will be held after each formative assessment supported by science specialist.  |



**Person Responsible** Melia Adams (madams@ecsdfi.us)

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

#### **Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.**

Bellview Middle School will target the following parental involvement activities to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students:

1. Increase the number of parents coming to Open House (parent sign in sheets)
2. Family Literacy Night focusing on literacy strategies and engagement
3. Report Card Days/Nights focusing on academic needs and parent/teacher conferences
4. Science and Civics Research Night focusing on writing and research strategies and lab activities with supplies provided.
5. Monthly parent newsletters with tips on reading, writing, and studying for home support.
6. Focus parent portal training offered in August/September to parents
7. Create a family friendly resource room within the Innovation Center for parents to use a computer and other resources.

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

#### **Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.**

Bellview Middle School has 2 school guidance counselors, 1 behavior coach, 1 PBIS Coach, 1 CDAC RISE counselor, 1 RTI/MTSS teacher and 1 MCUSA Mental Health Counselor who are assigned to the school full-time. All parties work together with teachers to ensure that proper counseling and mentoring services are established at school. Teachers, students and parents will be notified in writing regarding ways to request services to address social-emotional needs with one of the means of notification being created though a google form link that students have access to and is sent automatically to the school guidance counselors to review.

#### **Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.**

Bellview Middle School has established 6th grade and 8th grade transition committees that make up 6th and 8th grade level teachers and guidance counselors to review information that is shared with feeder elementary schools and transiting high schools. Family transition nights and various activities are planned in November, February and March to provided opportunities for families to tour the school and meet with staff. High school academies are advertised for our middle school students and families to help promote higher learning opportunities and career academies at the high school levels.

**Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.**

Bellview Middle School will use data retrieved from iReady, LANGUAGE! Live and other formative and district assessments. PBIS, RTI and attendance data will be reviewed to assist with problem-solving processes and monitoring of our MTSS and SIP structures.

Bellview Middle School's Leadership Team met during the summer and will continue to meet each month to review staff, parent and student concerns, disaggregate data identifying achievement areas of need in order to meet the needs of all students and desired student outcomes.

**Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.**

Selected Bellview Middle School teachers attended the summer NMASI training and will focus on identifying students for advance placement in math and science at grades 6th, 7th and 8th for the 2018-2019 school year. Teachers will advise course selections and promote academic and career planning by incorporating research into all levels of electives that include personal development, Computer Fundamentals, keyboarding, Cybersecurity, and the Critical Thinking course. We will request promotional items that are specific to the Career Academy programs offered at each high school in efforts to provide exposure of students. We will also have an 8th grade informational night for students and parents that will allow the high schools to present information and answer questions directly from students and parents. School guidance counselors will develop lesson plans to specifically target students by rotating through the teams to advise students during the registration process.

## Part V: Budget

Total:

\$441,322.50