## Cracker Trail Elementary

 School

## 2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP ..... 3
School Information ..... 4
Needs Assessment ..... 6
Planning for Improvement ..... 9
Title I Requirements ..... 12
Budget to Support Goals ..... 14

## School Demographics

## School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)

Elementary School KG-5

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)

K-12 General Education

## 2017-18 Title I School

Yes

## Charter School

No

2017-18 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)

62\%

School Grades History

| Year | 2017-18 | $2016-17$ | $2015-16$ | $2014-15$ <br> Grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | B | B | C* |  |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Highlands County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.
"To Develop Lifelong Learners and Leaders"
Provide the school's vision statement.
"Leading Together To Achieve Excellence"

## School Leadership Team

Membership
Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kogelschatz, Rick | Principal |
| Belanger, lan | Instructional Technology |
| Prendergast, Elizabeth | Teacher, K-12 |
| White, Andrea | Teacher, K-12 |
| Thomas, Heather | Teacher, K-12 |
| Pugh-Clogston, Stacey | Teacher, K-12 |
| Rowe, Jennifer | Teacher, K-12 |
| Eures, Katherine | Teacher, K-12 K-12 |
| Prince, Amanda | Instructional Coach |
| Germaine, Courtney | Instructional Coach |
| Brooks, Cara | Instructional Coach |
| Jahna, Kim | Assistant Principal |
| Brooker, Sarah |  |

## Duties

## Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

Principal \& Assistant Principal - Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes data on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with school and district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervention services for students, assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis, participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Instructional Coaches - provide information about core instructional strategies \& curriculum information; participate in student data analysis.

Instructional Technology - provide direct instruction to teachers on instructional technology programs, monitor and expand the use of digital instructional programs, labs, and troubleshoot equipment and software issues.

Teachers - participates in core instruction; participates in student data collection; delivers Tier 1 Instruction/intervention; collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 and 3 interventions; and integrates Tier 1 materials and instruction with Tier $2 / 3$ activities, and ensures that all mandates and guidelines for students
entering or in the ESE program are adhered too at the school.

## Early Warning Systems

Year 2017-18
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 28 | 9 | 28 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 48 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 2 | 3 | 20 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Retained Students: Previous Year(s) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |

## Date this data was collected

Tuesday 8/14/2018
Year 2016-17 - As Reported
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 26 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 20 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |

Year 2016-17 - Updated
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 26 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 20 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students exhibiting two or more indicators | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## Assessment \& Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?
English Language Arts Learning Gains for the Lowest 25\% were 29\% for the 2017-2018 school year. This is a $12 \%$ decrease from the previous year. The trend over the last 3 years has been $66 \%, 41 \%$, 29\%.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

English Language Arts Learning Gains showed the greatest decline. The percentage went from $60 \%$ to $41 \%$ for the 2017-2018 school year. This was a 19\% decrease from the previous year.

Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?
English Language Arts and Mathematics for 4th grade had the biggest gap when compared to the state average. There was a $7 \%$ gap for each subject.
ELA 4th Grade - 49\% (State - 56\%)
Math 4th Grade - 55\% (State - 62\%)

## Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

Mathematics for 5th grade showed the most improvement for the 2017-2018 school year. The percent proficient increased from $53 \%$ to $71 \%$.

## Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.

The teachers on the 5th grade team remained the same as the previous year. Teachers followed district developed instructional plans. The STEM Instructional Coach supported teachers and students in the areas of math and science.

## School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Learning Gains | $41 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $29 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ |  |
| Math Achievement | $63 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |
| Math Learning Gains | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $46 \%$ |  |
| Science Achievement | $60 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $51 \%$ |  |


| EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0(0)$ | $6(26)$ | $5(17)$ | $7(25)$ | $9(14)$ | $8(14)$ | $35(96)$ |
| One or more suspensions | $0(0)$ | $5(3)$ | $9(1)$ | $6(3)$ | $5(1)$ | $5(3)$ | $30(11)$ |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | $0(0)$ | $28(20)$ | $9(1)$ | $28(23)$ | $14(1)$ | $24(7)$ | $103(52)$ |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $39(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $29(18)$ | $116(23)$ |

## Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2018 | 54\% | 48\% | 6\% | 57\% | -3\% |
|  | 2017 | 57\% | 48\% | 9\% | 58\% | -1\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -3\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04 | 2018 | 49\% | 45\% | 4\% | 56\% | -7\% |
|  | 2017 | 57\% | 51\% | 6\% | 56\% | 1\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -8\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -8\% |  |  |  |  |
| 05 | 2018 | 54\% | 47\% | 7\% | 55\% | -1\% |
|  | 2017 | 56\% | 47\% | 9\% | 53\% | 3\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -3\% |  |  |  |  |


| MATH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2018 | 59\% | 61\% | -2\% | 62\% | -3\% |
|  | 2017 | 59\% | 60\% | -1\% | 62\% | -3\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04 | 2018 | 55\% | 53\% | 2\% | 62\% | -7\% |
|  | 2017 | 70\% | 60\% | 10\% | 64\% | 6\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -15\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -4\% |  |  |  |  |
| 05 | 2018 | 71\% | 52\% | 19\% | 61\% | 10\% |
|  | 2017 | 53\% | 49\% | 4\% | 57\% | -4\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 18\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | 1\% |  |  |  |  |


| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- <br> District <br> Comparison | State | School- <br> State <br> Comparison |
| 05 | 2018 | $59 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Data

| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS Accel. |  | C \& C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 4 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 9 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ |
| BLK | 43 | 50 |  | 29 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP | 41 | 30 | 35 | 56 | 46 | 38 | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| MUL | 38 | 40 |  | 50 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 57 | 44 | 30 | 68 | 53 | 33 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 44 | 38 | 28 | 54 | 49 | 33 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grad } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { 2015-16 } \end{gathered}$ | C \& C <br> Accel <br> 2015-16 |
| SWD | 22 | 53 | 43 | 30 | 41 | 29 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 25 | 40 |  | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 32 | 35 | 33 | 48 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP | 53 | 57 | 44 | 64 | 60 | 50 | 44 |  |  |  |  |
| MUL | 25 | 70 |  | 44 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 65 | 62 | 38 | 66 | 66 | 49 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 48 | 55 | 44 | 56 | 54 | 39 | 52 |  |  |  |  |

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

Areas of Focus:

## Activity \#1

Title Attendance
Rationale $\quad 5.26 \%$ of students were absent $10 \%$ or more of the 2017-2018 school year.

Intended
Outcome
Point Person Sarah Brooker (brookers@highlands.k12.fl.us)
Action Step

Description

Person
Responsible
SARC Meetings
Attendance Board in Front Office
ConnectED Callouts
Attendance Classroom Incentives

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness
Description
SARC Review
Progress Monitoring
Person
Responsible

Sarah Brooker (brookers@highlands.k12.fl.us)

Activity \#2

| Title | Discipline |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rationale | $15 \%$ of students had 1 behavior incident. <br> $12 \%$ of students had $2-5$ behavior incidents. <br> $3 \%$ of students had 6 or more behavior incidents. |
|  |  |

Intended $\quad 95 \%$ of Cracker Trail Elementary School students will earn PBIS events during the
Outcome $2018-2019$ school year.
Outcome 2018-2019 school year.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Point } \\ \text { Person } & \text { Sarah Brooker (brookers@highlands.k12.fl.us) }\end{array}$

## Action Step

The PBIS Committee meets monthly to review the school wide plan, analyze behavior data, and plan upcoming events.
Mustang Money is fake money, similar to the scholar dollars we used last year. Staff members will have these on hand throughout campus. Students can use their Mustang Money two different ways - A. Turn in increments of 10 to their teacher for classroom

## Description

 incentives, or B. save larger amounts to use at quarterly PBiS events.1st NW - Dance (Mustang Money for glow sticks, necklace, song request, dance with staff member, etc.)
2nd NW - Reindeer Games (Mustang Money for Hot Chocolate Bar)
3rd NW - Block Party: chalk art, movie choice, relay races, social club
4th NW - Splash Day (Mustang Money for Snow cone, Water Balloon)
Classroom Color Clip System, Dojo, and Horseshoe Incentives
Person
Responsible
Sarah Brooker (brookers@highlands.k12.fl.us)
Plan to Monitor Effectiveness
Monthly PBIS Meetings
Description Weekly A-Team Meetings
Progress Monitoring
Person Responsible

Sarah Brooker (brookers@highlands.k12.fl.us)

## Activity \#3

| Title | ELA |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rationale | 52\% of Cracker Trail Elementary students were proficient on the 2017-2018 ELA Florida <br> Standards Assessment. |
| Intended | 62\% of Cracker Trail Elementary students will be proficient on the 2018-2019 ELA <br> Outcome <br> Florida Standards Assessment. |
| Point Person | Kim Jahna (jahnak2@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Action Step |  |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ELA Professional Learning Communities } \\
\text { Instructional Coach }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Description \& ELA Curriculum <br>
\& MTSS <br>
Learning Gains Training <br>

Lowest Quartile Identification\end{array}\right]\)| Kim Jahna (jahnak2@highlands.k12.fl.us) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person | Monthly Committee Meetings |
| Responsible | Monthly Curriculum Leadership Team Meetings |
| Plan to Monitor Effectiveness |  |
| Description | Weekly A-Team Meetings <br> Progress Monitoring |
| Person | Kim Jahna (jahnak2@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Responsible |  |

## Activity \#4

Title Math

Rationale Math learning gains decreased from 63\% to 49\% from 2016-2017 to 2017-2018.
Intended The math learning gains for Cracker Trail Elementary will increase to $54 \%$ on the
Outcome 2018-2019 Florida Standards Assessment.
Point Person Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us)

| Action Step | Math Focused Professional Learning Communities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | STEM Instructional Coach <br> District Developed Instructional Plans <br> Focused skill instruction |
| Person | Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Responsible | Monthly Curriculum Leadership Team Meetings |
| Plan to Monitor Effectiveness |  |
| Description | Weekly A-Team Meetings <br> Progress Monitoring |
| Person | Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Responsible |  |

## Activity \#5

| Title | Science |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rationale | 60\% of Cracker Trail Elementary 5th grade students were proficient on the 2017-2018 Statewide Science Assessment. |
| Intended Outcome | 65\% of 5th grade students at Cracker Trail Elementary will be proficient on the 2018-2019 Statewide Science Assessment. |
| Point Person | Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Action Step |  |
| Description | STEM Instructional Coach Weekly STEM Labs New Science Curriculum |
| Person Responsible | Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us) |
| Plan to Monitor | Effectiveness |
| Description | Monthly Curriculum Leadership Team Meetings Weekly A-Team Meetings Progress Monitoring |
| Person Responsible | Cara Brooks (brooksc@highlands.k12.fl.us) |

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

## Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

To increase parent involvement and build positive relationships with families, as well as increase communication to inform parents of their child's progress, we host:

- Open house and Orientation night
- Student-Led \&/ Teacher Conferences with Parents
- Campus-Wide Beautification Days
- PTO Family Nights
- Science Fair
- Trailblazer 4k race/run

In addition we communicate with families through:

- School \& Classroom Websites
- School Facebook Page
- Monthly School Newsletters
- Weekly Classroom Newsletters
- Call-Outs to Families (to communicate important information/reminders)
- iOS \& Android APP (push messages)
- Student planners/Communication folders
- Leadership notebooks
- DoJo
- Remind


## PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.
Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

CTE addresses the social-emotional needs of all students by providing a full-time guidance resource teacher who conducts class meetings, small groups and individual students focused on social-emotional skills. We employ several non-instructional staff members and teachers to tutor children who are lowperforming students. Teachers include strategies for ESOL, Gifted, Kagan cooperative learning structures and ESE in their weekly lesson plans.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

At the beginning of each academic year, and towards the end, grade levels meet together, as well as with the grades before and after, to discuss expectations of students both entering and exiting the grade levels. Members of our leadership team meet with the teachers at the Kindergarten Learning Center, as well as the middle schools, to discuss expectations and individual students' needs.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The MTSS team meets on a regular basis to review student performance and make suggestions for improvement to the core curriculum. In addition, they meet with team leaders and grade levels as needed based on data. The role of the team is to analyze the success of the implemented strategies and to determine if an increased level of intensity or frequency is necessary. The role of the classroom teacher is to implement strategies with fidelity based on data and to collect and analyze the results of the implementation.

Title I Part A: Will provide funds to all district Title 1 schools, in a school wide project format, to target academic assistance to all students, professional development for teachers and parent involvement activities. This grant is also the funding source for implementing the requirements of NCLB which have not been waived by the FLDOE's waiver.
Title I Part C Migrant: Provides services to migrant students (PreK-12) and their families. The primary goal of the Migrant program is to improve academic performance of migrant students, and provide health and guidance to them. The Migrant Early Childhood Program serves 4 year old children in a full time preschool program, focusing on readiness activities. Parent involvement and education is an integral part of the Migrant Program.
Title II: Provides for teacher professional development and supports all teachers and paraprofessionals to be highly qualified.
Title III: Supports activities to assist students become proficient in English, supports teacher professional development in ELL strategies, parent involvement, and education.
Title VI Part B: Title VI provides for our Career Academy at South Florida State College which gives students vocational opportunities as well as academic education.
Title X Homeless: Students Services coordinates with Title 1 Part A to provide resources (school
supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education(FAPE).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI): SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school for Level 1 readers.
Nutrition Programs: LEA participates in the federal Free/Reduced lunch program and the free breakfast for all students program. Snack is also provided for after school care and after school tutoring programs. Summer food programs are provided at various school sites and community locations. The USDA fruit and vegetable grant will also provided at Fred Wild Elementary School.
VPK: These programs are provided and facilitated through the district each summer at a school location in each community for families of all eligible incoming kindergarten students not previously served in the private sector.
Adult Education: Adult Education programs are provided at South Florida State College. Numerous vocational programs, college level courses, and community interest workshops are available.
Violence Prevention Program: The district offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporates field trips, community.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Specific grade levels also participate in career days, inviting community members to come into their classrooms and discuss their occupations and careers with the students.

## Part V: Budget

