

Hillsborough County Public Schools

# Dover Elementary



## 2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>15</b>

## Dover Elementary

3035 NELSON AVE, Dover, FL 33527

[ no web address on file ]

### School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2017-18 Title I School	2017-18 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	98%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	93%

### School Grades History

Year	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15
Grade	D	D	D	D*

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Dover Dragons believe and achieve.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

We support the District's vision of Preparing Students for Life, and are working to ensure that our students leave our school equipped with the tools they need to graduate on time. Our District's graduation rate goal is 90% by 2020. With that in mind, we have developed the following Vision for our school:

We will develop creative problem solvers and critical thinkers.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title
Becker, Gina	Principal

#### Duties

#### Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

Leadership team meetings can include the following:

Principal

Assistant Principal / ELP Coordinator

Guidance Counselor

SAC Chairs

School Psychologist/ Behavior team Representative

School Social Worker/ Attendance Committee Representative

Academic Coaches (Reading, Math, etc. and other specialists on an ad hoc basis)

ESE teachers

PLC Liaisons for each grade level and/or content area

District support (including Area Superintendents, Support Specialist, District Coaches)

The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:

1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RtI/MTSS process: at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.
2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.
3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains.
4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

A collaborative culture of shared responsibility is established through Leadership Team Meetings and PLCs.

Research consistently bears out that the school leader is the most important element in teachers choosing to go to, and then remain at, a school site. To that end, HCPS works to ensure that principals are selected and placed with great care. HCPS works to develop strong leaders through the Hillsborough Principal Pipeline. As stated above, The Hillsborough Principal Pipeline offers unique and valuable opportunities for teachers to experience and prepare for a school leadership position by helping them gain the skills, experience and confidence that are crucial to becoming a high-performing leader. Pursuing school leadership provides the opportunity to make a direct impact on school culture and positively influence instructional quality, which will result in improved outcomes and higher long-term success rates for students in Hillsborough County.

HCPS' vision for instructional improvement is to have a highly effective teacher in every classroom and a highly effective principal in every school. This vision is founded in the research-based tenet that teacher quality has a larger impact on student achievement than any other schooling factor. Further research demonstrates the impact of a principal's leadership on outcomes for students and teachers. Over the past decade, HCPS has developed a Human Capital Management System (HCMS) to further the district's vision of instructional improvement.

Several Teacher Interview Days and Recruitment Fairs occur throughout the summer months, under the oversight of Human Resources. All applicants must be pre-approved by the District to attend these events. Certified teachers with an Effective or Highly Effective performance evaluation, teaching in field, at our highest needs schools are eligible for salary differential. This program was established with the purpose of helping to create stability and equity in harder to staff schools, recruiting and retaining highly qualified instructional staff, increasing student achievement, and promoting a culture of ongoing professional development.

Compensation is grounded in a performance-based salary structure that explicitly ties salary increases to sustained high-level performance, while career ladder positions, such as Instructional Mentors, are available to effective educators. The base teacher salary schedule is designed to provide substantial increases in compensation to teachers who have demonstrated positive student impact.

Once hired, teacher induction and teacher retention are supported through fully-released instructional mentors assigned to every new educator for up to two years to increase effectiveness and decrease recidivism. Educator effectiveness ratings that differentiate educator quality are used to assist principals in determining teachers' transfer options and promotion into leadership positions. HCPS has linked PD opportunities to HR functions so that school-level and district-level trainings are developed and deployed in response to areas of need identified by educator evaluations. Training course completions can also be tracked by HR Partners to inform human capital decisions.

## Early Warning Systems

**Year 2017-18**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	12	11	10	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	8	41	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	106

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students exhibiting two or more indicators		0	0	0	1	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Retained Students: Previous Year(s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Date this data was collected**

Thursday 8/9/2018

#### Year 2016-17 - As Reported

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	5	14	5	6	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
One or more suspensions	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	13	54	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students exhibiting two or more indicators		0	1	1	3	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

#### Year 2016-17 - Updated

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	5	14	5	6	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
One or more suspensions	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	13	54	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118

**The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	1	1	3	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

#### Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?

School-wide (grades 3-5) ELA achievement performed the lowest on FSA. In 2018, 27% of our intermediate students achieved proficiency in ELA compared to 52% of the district and 56% of the state. In 2017, 25% of our intermediate students achieved proficiency in ELA compared to 52% of the district and 55% of the state.

Although we did achieve a 2% gain in proficiency in 2018, this data is a trend that has occurred over the last 2 years.

#### Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

The school grade data component that showed the greatest decline from last year is in overall ELA learning gains. In 2017, gains were at 45% and declined to 33% in 2018.

#### Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?

ELA achievement is the school grade component that had the biggest gap when compared to the state average. The state's ELA achievement was 56%, and Dover's ELA achievement was 27%. This results in a gap of 29% points compared to state average.

#### Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

Overall Math achievement (grades 3-5) was the data component to show the most improvement. In 2018, 46% of our intermediate students achieved proficiency in Math compared to 55% of the district and 62% of the state. In 2017, 36% of our intermediate students achieved proficiency in Math compared to 53% of the state and 61% of the district. Improvement in this data component is a trend that has occurred over the past two years.

#### Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.

The actions that have led to improvement in Math achievement include building school capacity in utilizing the problem solving process. One of the first steps in this process was to implement facilitative planning in order to ensure instruction that is aligned to the rigor of the Florida math standards. Professional development was provided to teachers with an emphasis on aligning learning targets to the standard and use of the student inquiry model. Follow-up and supplemental support to teachers was utilized through job-embedded PD through coaching cycles, side-by-side coaching, modeling, planning support, data analysis, and common mini assessments.

### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2018			2017		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	27%	52%	56%	24%	48%	52%
ELA Learning Gains	33%	52%	55%	33%	49%	52%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	30%	46%	48%	22%	44%	46%
Math Achievement	46%	55%	62%	29%	52%	58%
Math Learning Gains	61%	57%	59%	39%	53%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	47%	44%	47%	41%	43%	46%
Science Achievement	31%	51%	55%	33%	51%	51%

### EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (5)	12 (14)	11 (5)	10 (6)	4 (8)	10 (7)	47 (45)
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (1)	0 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (2)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (13)	41 (54)	57 (51)	106 (118)

### Grade Level Data

**NOTE:** This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2018	35%	53%	-18%	57%	-22%
	2017	26%	56%	-30%	58%	-32%
Same Grade Comparison		9%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2018	22%	55%	-33%	56%	-34%
	2017	28%	54%	-26%	56%	-28%
Same Grade Comparison		-6%				
Cohort Comparison		-4%				
05	2018	22%	51%	-29%	55%	-33%



ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2017	22%	52%	-30%	53%	-31%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Comparison		-6%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2018	38%	55%	-17%	62%	-24%
	2017	33%	54%	-21%	62%	-29%
Same Grade Comparison		5%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2018	47%	57%	-10%	62%	-15%
	2017	46%	56%	-10%	64%	-18%
Same Grade Comparison		1%				
Cohort Comparison		14%				
05	2018	46%	54%	-8%	61%	-15%
	2017	27%	53%	-26%	57%	-30%
Same Grade Comparison		19%				
Cohort Comparison		0%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2018	29%	52%	-23%	55%	-26%
	2017					
Cohort Comparison						

### Subgroup Data

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	8	35	45	19	50	45	10				
ELL	22	31	29	42	58	41	21				
HSP	27	32	29	48	63	50	33				
WHT	21	42		19	43						
FRL	27	32	30	46	62	46	30				
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	12	10	9	17	30	27					
ELL	20	40	36	33	55	51	12				

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
HSP	25	44	40	37	58	50	24				
WHT	19			25							
FRL	25	43	38	36	57	48	24				

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

#### Areas of Focus:

##### Activity #1

**Title** Alignment of Instruction with the Rigor of the Standard

**Rationale** To ensure that all students are provided with instruction that is aligned to the Florida standards and that instruction is at the grade level rigor of the standard

**Intended Outcome** Students will be able to clearly understand what they are learning, why they are learning it and how they will be assessed on their learning.

**Point Person** Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)

##### Action Step

**Description**

1. 1st twelve week Cycle of Inquiry will focus on teachers posting and clearly communicating the objective of the lesson to students using the What, Why, & How.
2. K-5 grade levels involved in weekly Facilitative Planning within the content areas of ELA, Mathematics and Science. Dover's Content area resource Teachers will lead grade level planning using research based protocols, the Florida Standards& HCPS curriculum maps. Teachers will plan instruction to meet the standards, write an assessment that aligns with the standards and decide on the proficiency example.

**Person Responsible** Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)

##### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

**Description**

1. ILT & ALT Classroom walk throughs
2. Facilitative Planning agendas and notes

**Person Responsible** Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)

Activity #2	
<b>Title</b>	Use of I-Ready:Standards Aligned, Research based program
<b>Rationale</b>	I-Ready is a research-based, prescriptive academic program aligned with the Florida Standards and aimed at improving FSA academic proficiency in the areas of reading and mathematics.
<b>Intended Outcome</b>	Student proficiency in the content areas of reading and mathematics will increase.
<b>Point Person</b>	Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)
Action Step	
	Students will utilize the I-ready Lab for thirty minutes daily. Students will spend approximately 45 minutes engaged in reading lessons and approximately 45 minutes engaged in math lessons.
<b>Description</b>	Teachers will monitor student progress using student and class reports built into the I-Ready Program. Teachers will provide differentiated remediation lessons as students need support with concepts - using the I-Ready Teacher Tool Kit.  in addition, during ERT time, students will be instructed using the LAFS I-Ready support lessons that are aligned with on grade level reading standards.
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)
Plan to Monitor Effectiveness	
<b>Description</b>	I-Ready Diagnostic assessments (3 times per year) I-Ready growth monitoring checkpoints I-Ready Reports LAFS standard based assessments
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)

Activity #3	
<b>Title</b>	Active Student Engagement within all lessons
<b>Rationale</b>	Research has historically indicated strong correlations between student engagement (typically defined as attention to the area of focus, active participation in learning, and time on task) and student achievement. These correlations remain strong for all levels of instruction, across all subject areas, and for varying instructional activities.
<b>Intended Outcome</b>	Student achievement within all content areas will increase.
<b>Point Person</b>	Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)
Action Step	
<b>Description</b>	Students will be involved within the instructional lesson through use of interactive projectors. Staff members will receive Kagan training and opportunities for follow-up coaching. Teachers will use higher order thinking questions and encourage students to use accountable talk to support their learning and thinking.
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Gina Becker (gina.becker@hcps.net)
Plan to Monitor Effectiveness	
<b>Description</b>	ILT & ALT Classroom walk-throughs Interim assessments FSA data
<b>Person Responsible</b>	[no one identified]

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

#### **Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.**

School staff, students, parents, and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We work hard at building positive relationships with our families and community partners. We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making parent link phone calls and posting everything on our website and social media. We make every effort to communicate every child's progress to the parents by sending home quarterly progress alerts and having parent teacher conferences.

We utilized Academic Parent Teacher Teams as our structure for supporting parents and families with their child's academic progress.

We had an Open House to welcome our families back to school. We schedule several parent nights focusing on academic content.

#### **PFEF Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEF), which is available at the school site.

**Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.**

#### **Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Program**

Students are engaged in SEL programs based on their needs and within the HCPS “Building Strong School Culture” framework. This framework includes: Mission and Vision; Procedures & Routines; Promoting & Modeling Great Character; Service Learning; Student Leadership; Conflict Resolution; Mentoring; and Behavior Management Plan. In this way, SEL becomes a part of the fabric of a school’s culture.

Hillsborough County Public Schools has partnered with Frameworks of Tampa Bay to integrate SEL into all middle schools. Students will be engaged with LifeSkills training, an evidence-based program that is designed to improve social, emotional, and academic skills and strengthen relationships between students and teachers..

#### **Behavior Management Plan**

Included in the HCPS “Building Strong School Culture” framework is the need for a behavior management plan. A comprehensive behavior management plan is an important part of the social/emotional framework. It is expected that all settings will be structured for success, expectations for student behavior will be explicitly taught, students will be consistently supervised, teachers will build positive relationships with students, and that students will be corrected fluently, calmly, consistently, respectfully, briefly, and immediately.

Comprehensive behaviors plans should address a behavior support team, faculty/stakeholder commitment, school-wide expectations with a plan for teaching those expectations, effective processes for tracking and documenting behavior incidents and interventions, plan progress monitoring, location-based rules, effective reward/recognition program that includes restorative practices, and a focus on data-based decision making.

It is an expectation that behavior management plans for all DA and Achievement Schools include the 10 Critical Elements for Effective School Wide Management Plans, Restorative Practices, the use of Behavior Tracker to track minor incidences (in classroom), and a separate tool to track ALL interventions (admin/ student services). There may additionally be a need for a Behavior Intervention Team (may choose to use PSLT).

**Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.**

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student’s performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district’s program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student

progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: <http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf>

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

- Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation
- Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students
- Parent information and/or education opportunities
- Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers
- Campus visits
- Shadow days
- Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools
- High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

**Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.**

To ensure efficient and systematic allocation and use of resources, the school's PSLT/ILT utilizes an RtI/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources)

Analyze student outcomes and make data-driven decisions:

- What is the problem?
- Why is it occurring?
- What are we going to do about it?
- Is it working?

Assess the implementation of the SIP:

- Does the data show positive student growth?
- Are we making progress toward the SIPs intended outcomes?
- What can we do to sustain what's working?
- What barriers to implementation are we facing?
- What should be our plan of action?

Annually, schools take inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated to determine necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet the needs of students. Resource maps identify gaps, ensuring resources are available and allocated for use by all.

To ensure teacher support systems, small group, individual needs are met, the PSLT:  
Review school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs across the school and all grade levels; Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Review progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring are opportunities to review assessment outcome data and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/specialists, PLC liaisons, others as needed

**Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.**

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

## Part V: Budget

Total:

\$338,437.50